

# Special Meeting of the Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)

Approved Minutes

Thursday, September 16, 2020

1pm – 2pm

Zoom Meeting

**Members Present:** Chief Adult Probation Officer **Karen Fletcher** (Chair-Adult Probation Department), **Chief William Scott** (San Francisco Police Department), Manohar Raju (Public Defender's Office), **Sheriff Paul Miyamoto** (Sheriff's Department), **Tara Anderson** (Chesa Boudin District's Attorney Office) **Joseph Engler** Assistant Sheriff (Sheriff's Department)

## 1. Agenda Item 1: Call to Order and Introductions.

This meeting was called to order by Chair, Chief Probation Officer, Karen Fletcher, at 1:03pm.

## 2. Agenda Item 2: Review and Adoption of Meeting Minutes of January 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020 (discussion and action).

Chief Fletcher gave members of the committee a couple of minutes to review the meeting minutes of January 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020.

Motion adopt the minutes by Sheriff Miyamoto, seconded by Chief Scott. The motion passed.

There was no public comment.

All ayes stated Aye

Motion passes. Minutes are approved

## 3. Agenda Item 3: Realignment Project Discussion. An Evaluation of Evaluation of AB 109 funded services in San Francisco or a production of an updated Realignment report (similar to the 2015 Three Years of Realignment in San Francisco) (discussion and action)

Chief Probation officer Karen Fletcher: Sstated this body discussed the Scope of Work for a Realignment project, and two broad project ideas. The two ideas are either an evaluation of AB 109 funded services, or production of an updated Realignment report.

Informed the Committee that Tara Agnese the Director of Research for the Adult Probation Department would provide more information and clarification regarding each option. For Departments to think about how they might want to prioritize these projects and define the potential scopes of work.

Tara Agnese :Informed the Committee that we could do a 10 year update to our Realignment Report or evaluate AB 109. We currently have 4 vendors in place that could evaluate our AB 109 Funds. As far as a Realignment report we would essentially pay someone to write it, and data from various Departments. Departments should be aware of the workload that may be required for both projects. All Departments would have to agree and focus on certain Target Populations, dosages, and intended outcomes. Due to the pandemic an evaluation may not be most appropriate, especially for needing an inventory of services and a specific focus. The other overarching goal for evaluation would be how effective services are that are being provided.

Joseph Engler Assistant Sheriff: Had a question about the Scope. Are we discussing AB 109 funded treatment and housing services at Probation. Or what is the focus of your study you are suggesting.

Tara Agnese: Informed Assistant Sherriff Engler that we don't have an answer because it would be up to the Priority of each Department Head.

Joseph Engler Assistant Sheriff: Doesn't want to compromise quality and would like to define what we would like to achieve. Believes it we should have a focus.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Agreed and informed committee that what is important to Departments. Bulk of the work would fall primarily on Adult Probation and the Sheriff's Department. Given the circumstances per Tara Agnese's point about the Pandemic it wouldn't be a good idea to do an evaluation of services.

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Asked about the funds allocated for the proposed projects.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Called upon Veronica Martinez Acting CFO for the Adult Probation: In relation to the funding the Adult Probation as a part of the AB 109 allocation does have some funds allocated for technical assistance including evaluation and research projects. The amount is not fully set and determined since we have to wait and find

out more about our services and budget cuts coming from the City that impacted the Adult Probation Department.

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Acknowledged that in previous years that the Realignment Report has been tied to getting a new benefit or resources. She further indicated that with that in mind we should do a report.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Informed Tara Anderson that not necessarily a requirement to continue to receive or to receive new resources. She confirmed that APD does submit a CCP survey annually summarizing AB 109 funding allocated to and disbursed by Adult Probation. She further indicated that the summary includes information on what APD and Sheriff AB 109 budgets.

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Shared that the SFDA AB 109 budget allocation and funded activities are relatively small when compared to other departments. The funds support Attorney time and a Sentencing Planner position. She provided a brief overview of the Sentence Planner position, which transforms the way that prosecutors approach cases by developing individualized sentences that address the needs and risks of justice-involved individuals. She shared that an early evaluation of the program found promising results and that under DA Boudin the office is conducting a follow up evaluation in partnership with UC Berkley.. Mrs. Anderson then inquired about the previous risk needs responsivity work conducted by APD and if the proposed analysis would incorporate that focus. Lastly she inquired if the proposed evaluation project would only focus on Adult Probation funded services, due to the CASC portfolio of services being so robust. In closing Mrs. Anderson reinforced the interest to ensure the evaluation assess which services are being utilized and what needs more priority in AB 109 Services.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: For some clarity we had meeting with the Public Defender meeting in January the request to assessment on all Risk and Needs tools. We are committed to look internally for new tools and are excited to work with our partnership with al justice partners. Our conversation will start with the courts and we are interested in moving away from current risk assessment tool.

Sheriff Miyamoto: Inquired about whether racial and ethnic disparities would be tracked or included as a part of the analysis.

Tara Agnese (Adult Probation): We have not discussed having those items in a Scope of Work. In the work we are doing we need to be mindful of racial and ethnic disparities. It would be included on both possible projects. We have started to compare data from our Staff, Service Providers to see that if people be served are represented by the staff.

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Expressed appreciation to the Sheriff's Department for good race and ethnicity data and the three point self-report process that is used by the department.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Requested if members had any other questions around projects and timing?

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Asked if there are thoughts around timeline covered for the evaluation and service delivery based on the pandemic.

Tara Agnese (Adult Probation): Not sure can give a comprehensive answer. It would be great time to see data pre Covid-19, during Covid-19, and post Covid-19. We will miss well-being aspect of clients during the time. We need richer details on well-being items that make or made a differences.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Informed Committee that we are on target for a basic decision today. Do we want to do an updated 10 year report or an evaluation of AB 109 Funded services? Could we decide on those things so we can outline some items to discuss in January?

Chief Bill Scott: Will there be another chance for an evaluation if we don't choose to evaluate programs currently?

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: We can commit ourselves to do being to do extensive evaluation of AB 109 funded services in the future. It will be interesting to see what the State will be doing in terms of reductions. Would any member like to motion to Evaluate all AB 109 Funded or create a new realignment report similar to one in 2015.

Sheriff Miyamoto: Moved for Report similar to one we completed in 2015.

Chief Bill Scott: Seconds Sheriffs Motion

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Move forward with Realignment Report that was similar to one in 2015.

Public Comment: None

All in Favor stated Aye.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Thank you Motion Passes.

#### **4. Agenda Item 4: AB109 Funding and Expenditures: (Discussion Only)**

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Informed Committee Members that Questions were raised regarding how much AB109 Funding the various departments receive and what exactly those funds are spent on. In packet you will find the AB109 Expenditure information provided by Adult Probation, the Sheriff's Office, the Department of Public Health, the Public Defender's Office, and the District Attorney's Office. Any question about AB 109 Funding.

Chief Bill Scott: Asked why the San Francisco Police Department does not receive any funding was not around in 2015 and insight on how this happened. ?

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Informed Chief Scott that AB 109 Funds are not distributed to Police Departments were not included in AB109 Funding.

Veronica Martinez: Doesn't have any information, and we have been doing research to see how the allocations were divided. Police Department is a partner but a partner that doesn't receive funding.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Asked Chief Scott if SFPD is receiving any AB 109 funding?

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Shared additional context indicating that because San Francisco is a dual jurisdiction, City and County, the Mayor's Budget Office plays a significant role in decisions unlike other counties in California.

## **5. Agenda Item 5: AB109 Funding and Expenditures: (Discussion Only)**

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Reminded members that during the December 2019 CCPEC meeting, this body decided to use the Local Innovation Subaccount to expand Recovery Survival Network's (RSN) contract with Adult Probation Department in order to provide transitional housing to justice involved individuals. The motion was passed with the understanding that we would continue to clarify the program design. Please welcome Destiny Pletsch from Adult Probation will provide an update on the CW Hotel.

Destiny Pletsch (Adult Probation): Program launched in April of this year and serves 14 individuals across 7 rooms only due to Covid-19. 36 referrals to date and 16 enrollments. We are trying accept people in real time as varies occur. Dennis Windham from Men's Mentoring Movement is providing case management and going well so far.

Joseph Engler Assistant Sheriff: Asked about referrals and who is referring in to the program and who is making referrals.

Destiny Pletsch (Adult Probation): 2 staff members placed at the Sheriff Department and we have received numerous referrals from the Sheriff Department.

**6. Agenda Item Adjournment:**

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Motion to Adjourn

Chief Bill Scott motioned to adjourn and Tara Anderson from the District Attorney seconded. The body unanimously passed.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Any public comment none.

The meeting adjourned at 1:58 PM.

SUBMITTING WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT TO THE COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

Persons who are unable to attend the public meeting may submit to the Community Corrections Partnership, by the time the proceedings begin, written comments regarding the subject of the meeting. These comments will be made a part of the official public record, and brought to the attention of the Community Corrections Partnership. Written comments should be submitted to: Geoffrea Morris, Adult Probation Department, 880 Bryant Street, Room 200, San Francisco, CA 94102, or via email: [geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org](mailto:geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org)

MEETING MATERIALS

Copies of agendas, minutes, and explanatory documents are available through the Community Corrections Partnership's website at <http://sfgov.org/adultprobation> or by calling Geoffrea Morris at (415) 241-4241 during normal business hours. The material can be faxed or mailed to you upon request.

ACCOMMODATIONS

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Geoffrea Morris at [geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org](mailto:geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org) or (415) 241-4241 at least two business days before the meeting.

TRANSLATION

Interpreters for languages other than English are available on request. Sign language interpreters are also available on request. For either accommodation, please contact Geoffrea Morris at [geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org](mailto:geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org) or (415) 241-4241 at least two business days before the meeting.

CHEMICAL SENSITIVITIES

To assist the City in its efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library, and on the City's web site at: [www.sfgov.org/sunshine](http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine).

FOR MORE INFORMATION ON YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE OR TO REPORT A VIOLATION OF THE ORDINANCE, CONTACT THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE:

Administrator  
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place,  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4683.  
Telephone: (415) 554-7724  
E-Mail: [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org)

CELL PHONES

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Co-Chairs may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (SF Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2300, FAX (415) 581-2317, and web site <http://www.sfgov.org/ethics/>