

Meeting of the Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) and its Executive Committee (CCPEC)

AGENDA

Thursday, May 7, 2015
10 am-12 noon
San Francisco Department of Public Health
101 Grove Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

Note: *Each member of the public may be allotted no more than 3 minutes to speak on each item.*

1. Call to Order and Introductions.
2. Public Comment on Any Item Listed Below as for “Discussion Only.”
3. Review and Adoption of Meeting Minutes of February 12, 2015 (discussion and possible action).
4. Staff Report (discussion only).
5. Update on \$250,000 Community Recidivism Reduction Grant Award (discussion only).
6. Roundtable Updates on the Implementation of Public Safety Realignment (AB109) and other comments, questions, and requests for future agenda items (discussion only).
7. Public comment on any item listed above, as well as items not listed on the Agenda.
8. Adjournment.

SUBMITTING WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT TO THE COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

Persons who are unable to attend the public meeting may submit to the Community Corrections Partnership, by the time the proceedings begin, written comments regarding the subject of the meeting. These comments will be made a part of the official public record, and brought to the attention of the Community Corrections Partnership. Written comments should be submitted to: Karen Shain, Adult Probation Department, 880 Bryant Street, Room 200, San Francisco, CA 94102, or via email: karen.shain@sfgov.org

MEETING MATERIALS

Copies of agendas, minutes, and explanatory documents are available through the Community Corrections Partnership's website at <http://sfgov.org/adultprobation> or by calling Karen Shain at (415) 553-1047 during normal business hours. The material can be FAXed or mailed to you upon request.

ACCOMMODATIONS

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Karen Shain at karen.shain@sfgov.org or (415) 553-1047 at least two business days before the meeting.

TRANSLATION

Interpreters for languages other than English are available on request. Sign language interpreters are also available on request. For either accommodation, please contact Karen Shain at karen.shain@sfgov.org or (415) 553-1047 at least two business days before the meeting.

CHEMICAL SENSITIVITIES

To assist the City in its efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library, and on the City's web site at: www.sfgov.org/sunshine.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ON YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE OR TO REPORT A VIOLATION OF THE ORDINANCE, CONTACT THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE:

Administrator
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place,
San Francisco, CA 94102-4683.
Telephone: (415) 554-7724
E-Mail: sotf@sfgov.org

CELL PHONES

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Co-Chairs may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (SF Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2300, FAX (415) 581-2317, and web site <http://www.sfgov.org/ethics/>

Meeting of the Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) and its Executive Committee (CCPEC)

DRAFT MINUTES

Thursday, February 12, 2015
1pm-3pm
San Francisco Public Library, Latino Room A/B
100 Larkin Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

Note: *Each member of the public may be allotted no more than 3 minutes to speak on each item.*

Present: Chief Wendy Still (chair), Greg Asay, Joyce Crum, Cristine Soto DeBerry, Steve Good, Paul Henderson, Jennifer Johnson, Ross Mirkarimi, Craig Murdock, Michael Redmond, Federico Rocha, Beverly Upton, Frank Williams (Steve Clark)

1. Call to Order and Introductions.
Chief Still called the meeting to order at 1:09 pm. She welcomed CCP members and interested members of the public and asked CCP members to introduce themselves.
2. Public Comment on Any Item Listed Below as for “Discussion Only.”
Chief Still reviewed the agenda and asked for public comment on any of the Agenda items listed for “Discussion Only”. There was none.
3. Review and Adoption of Meeting Minutes of November 11, 2014 (discussion and possible action).
Chief Still asked members to review the minutes from the November 11, 2014 meeting of the CCP. Chief Still asked for comments and called for a motion to adopt the minutes. Cristine DeBerry moved to adopt the minutes. Craig Murdock seconded. Chief Still asked for public comment. There was none. The motion passed unanimously at 1: 12 pm.
4. Staff Report (discussion only).
Chief Still asked Karen Shain, Reentry Policy Planner at APD, to give an update. Karen presented the new CCP website, pointing out some of its features, including the recently released 3rd year Realignment report. She also explained that the dates of past and upcoming meetings – agendas, meeting materials, and supporting documents can be found.

Karen said that the MacArthur Foundation announced that they will be seeking proposals for the Safety and Justice Challenge, which supports city and county projects and offers upwards of 75 million dollars in granting funding to support these. The first stage of the Challenge is a planning

grant that will be used to move forward with the criminal justice master plan, which would include social agencies as well as criminal justice ones.

Jennifer Scaife announced that in partnership with HSA, DCYF, Community Works West, and CJCJ, Adult Probation applied for a Proud Parenting grant from the Board of State and Community Corrections. The purpose of this grant would be to target 18-25 year old men and women, under APD supervision who have children – women at Cameo House and men participating in the Father's Matters and Transforming Our Attitudes curriculum – and to offer family literacy, classes, and other family strengthening programs. Jennifer concluded with announcing that this is Chief Still's final meeting. On behalf of the entire CCP community, she thanked the Chief for her vision, leadership, and commitment over the years. She invited everyone to an all-day event that will be happening at the Community Assessment and Services Center (CASC) in March. Karen Fletcher will begin as the new Chief of Adult Probation on April 1st, 2015.

5. Discussion of *Three Years of Realignment in San Francisco: January 2015* (discussion and possible action).

Chief Still asked Leah Rothstein and Karen Shain to give an overview of the Three Years of Realignment in San Francisco Report. Karen started by explaining that an in house cover was used and thanked Tina Gilbert of the Adult Probation Department for her contribution. She also pointed out that graduates of the 5 Keys Charter School program are featured on the front page, along with 4 client profiles throughout.

Leah Rothstein was asked to talk through some of the highlights:

Chart 1, page nine indicates that the jail population has dropped significantly since Realignment began. Probation population rates have also decreased significantly.

Chart 6, page 14 shows that there was a large influx of PRCS clients in Adult Probation in the first two years of Realignment, and that number stabilized during the third year. The jail population continues to drop steadily.

Chart 8, page 16 shows that 1170 sentences have gone down by 25% since the beginning of realignment. As the overall number of people on probation has gone down, split sentences have gone up (from 55% in Year 1 to 71% in Year 3). Using CPOC's definition for recidivism, on Page 50 we see that recidivism for AB109 is at 14%. This has been consistent since last year.

Chief Still asked each department represented at the table to share some of their achievements, as reflected in the realignment report.

District Attorney (Cristine DeBerry) – Cristine explained that the District Attorney's office received \$170,000 of Realignment funds. This amount does not reflect the cost of Realignment to the office. With this funding, they hired social worker and are working to find alternatives to incarceration while balancing public safety. She indicated that the Department continues to advocate for additional alternative sentencing planners and that currently, the one position they have deals with less than 10% of those charged. She said that through victim services the District Attorney's office continues to support victims of crimes in many ways and connecting clients to services. Cristine indicated that most of the crimes committed are property crimes, and the State does not reimburse for these types of

crimes through the Victim's Compensation Fund. Therefore, the District Attorney's office continues to explore ways to help those victims. The District Attorney is asking the city to help with a small amount of money to help with their expenses.

Cristine expressed the desire to understand more precisely where Realignment dollars are being spent across all of the departments. She would like to see a memo from the CCP recommending best uses of the County's Realignment money. She suggested that a working group be convened to discuss this. She would like to see more money being spent to support victims and improve data collection.

Public Defender(Jennifer Johnson) – Jennifer stated that, as the local criminal justice systems are changing, the responsibility of the Public Defender's Office has broadened. She further explained that all Departments should be looking at the various points of intervention to be opportunities to connect people with services to keep them from returning to the system. She said that her office continues to work with the realignment team, social workers, and advocating for clients in a new way. The office is committed to giving clients tools to keep them out of the system – diversion programs, pretrial, alternative collaborative courts, clean slate program, etc. – removing barriers, with a role not only to try cases but to advocate for clients.

Department of Public Health (Craig Murdock) – Craig indicated that DPH has been able to create a comprehensive matrix of services that combines modalities. DPH now has the ability to access medical records system at CDCR, once a probationer's consent has been given. Through AB109 efforts, clinics and pharmacies have been able to adjust formularies and ensure that medication is billable through Medi-Cal. DPH has two care coordinators and two clinicians at the CASC. They are anticipating two more clinicians working solely with Adult Probation clients.

Human Services Agency (Joyce Crum) – Joyce explained that HSA manages the city's three entitlement programs: Medi-Cal, CalFresh, CAAP. In August, HSA implemented a pilot program to enroll individuals in Medi-Cal pre-release from custody and currently has an eligibility worker out stationed at the CASC.

Sheriff's Department (Ross Mirkarimi) – The Sheriff highlighted the Reentry Pod as being a great training ground for the Sheriff's Department and Adult Probation to work together. He also explained that San Francisco was the first county to begin the process of signing people up for health care while still in custody.

Adult Probation (Wendy Still) – Chief Still talked about five areas of Adult Probation's work that are described in the report: evidence-based supervision, the Community Assessment and Services Center (CASC), the Reentry Pod, Cameo House and Adult Probation's Reentry Division. She stated that she continues to be proud of the work that Adult Probation has done since Realignment began and of the leadership this department has provided across the state to develop solid evidence-based practices for community supervision.

Chief Still asked for additional member comment on the report and there was none. Sheriff Mirkarimi moved to adopt that report and Beverly Upton seconded it. With no public comment, the motion passed at 12:10 pm.

6. Update on \$250,000 Community Recidivism Reduction Grant Award (discussion and possible action)
Next agenda item called out of order.
7. Update on Risk Needs Responsivity Study by Amy Murphy, from George Mason University's Center for Advancing Correctional Excellence! and Leah Rothstein, Research Director, Adult Probation Department (discussion only).

Amy Murphy explained that the purpose of the Risk Needs Responsivity (RNR) study is to look at the current systems and determine if they are able to meet the needs of clients. Is available programming suitable given probationer profiles? Does programming include services that meet individual's needs?

Amy explained that RNR is an online tool and can be used as an assessment aid for individuals. The tool categorizes the person and assesses them based on evidence based factors. Many clients present with many needs and the temptation is to address the "easier" issues, those that are most concrete but least likely to make a qualitative difference if the deeper issues are not addressed. These easier issues include completing GED or placing clients somewhere because there is room in that location without looking at the particular situation of that person.

It's critical to determine what is driving a person's criminal behavior and to address those drivers.

The greatest unfilled needs for SFAPD clients are cognitive restructuring programs, mental health services, co-occurring disorders and substance abuse treatment.

Amy explained that as part of this study, data was derived from COMPAS and then the tool took information provided and estimated the responsivity gap- what is available and what is needed? Cognitive restructuring programming was found to result in the biggest gap, which is seen frequently in other jurisdictions as well.

Conclusions and Recommendations:

- High-need clients need greater intensity of treatment. Front-load services during first 30-60 days after release.
- Integrate "criminal thinking" programming and ensure that TAY services are responsive to youth needs.
- Ensure that probation officers and treatment providers understand common goals and reinforce each other.
- Provide female-only substance abuse programming that incorporates trauma-informed curriculum.
- Provide additional training to CASC and probation officers on reward-sanctions grid and track whether it is being followed.

Cristine DeBerry asked about ways to deal with the gap in cognitive restructuring programs. Chief Still responded that APD is continuously offering T4C both in and out of custody and is looking for strength based programs and working with George Mason to identify these programs.

Beverly Upton stated that she is interested in cognitive restructuring and suggested looking at sub groups, such as older adults who have been exposed to domestic violence as children. She suggested that there is preventative work that could be happening before they offend. She concluded by stating that even though BIP is mandated to 52 weeks and sees it as alternative to jail/prison, the dosage is still not enough.

Frank Williams asked at what age homelessness is occurring and he also asked to clarify the statement made that “Education and Employment are not directly tied to criminal behavior.” Amy explained that if a person has multiple destabilizing factors, the accumulation can influence their involvement in the criminal justice system. If you isolate those factors, these factors taken alone won’t have a great impact.

Craig Murdock inquired about the definition for diagnosis for the mental health component and commented that a lack of addressing primary care concerns are leading to increased risks. He concluded by emphasizing the need to connect reentry clients to Medi-Cal.

Chief Still reminded the Council that the purpose of this study is to identify the needs of our population which will help to frame how we will prioritize the \$250,000 grant that we received.

Chief Still asked for a motion to issue an RFP based on the gaps identified in the study with a clear priority to support programs providing services in areas of high need, particularly the Bayview and the Mission. Cristine DeBerry motioned and Paul Henderson seconded. Motion was successfully passed at 2:47.

8. Roundtable Updates on the Implementation of Public Safety Realignment (AB109) and other comments, questions, and requests for future agenda items (discussion only).

Karen mentioned that the only new legislation was Prop 47. She further acknowledged that this had little impact on San Francisco, as it had already implemented the strategies along the way.

9. Public comment on any item listed above, as well as items not listed on the Agenda.

Terry Anders asked why the CCP only deals with those people on probation and not those on parole.

Chief Still explained that per the Penal Code, the partnership addresses individuals on probation.

Rod Kearney from Metropolitan Fresh Start stated his concern that racial and geographical disparity in San Francisco criminal justice system is not lost in collection of data in the annual realignment report.

10. Adjournment.

Chief Still asked for a motion to adjourn. Paul Henderson moved, Steve Good seconded the motion, and the meeting adjourned at 3:00.



LINDA M. PENNER
Chair
KATHLEEN T. HOWARD
Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

2590 VENTURE OAKS WAY, SUITE 200 • SACRAMENTO CA 95833 • 916.445.5073 • BSCC.CA.GOV



EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
Governor

April 22, 2015

Wendy Still, Chief Probation Officer
San Francisco County Adult Probation Department
880 Bryant Street, Room 200
San Francisco, California 94103

Dear Chief Still,

The Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) is pleased to announce that on April 9, 2015, the BSCC Board approved funding recommendations for the Proud Parenting Program. The proposal submitted by the San Francisco County Adult Probation Department was selected for funding, congratulations. The following Probation Departments were approved for funding:

- Alameda County Probation Department
- Contra Costa County Probation Department
- Imperial County Probation Department
- Madera County Probation Department
- San Francisco County Probation Department
- Santa Cruz County Probation Department
- Shasta County Probation Department

The initial grant period for the Proud Parenting Program commences July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016. Grantees may obtain funding on a non-competitive basis for up to two additional years (12-month grants) **if:** (a) the Legislature appropriates funds for the grants, (b) the grantee is making measurable progress toward stated goals, and (c) the grantee is in compliance with all contractual requirements.

Board Resolution

Proud Parenting Program applicants were provided an opportunity to submit a Board Resolution at the time of application. A Board Resolution was not received at the time of application. A sample Board Resolution is attached to this communication. At a minimum, the assurances outlined in the sample resolution must be included.

Save the Date

On Friday, **July 10, 2015** the BSCC will host an all-day Grantee Orientation in Sacramento. Attendance is **required** for individuals identified as the *Project Director, Day to Day Contact Person, Project Financial Officer* and *one individual tasked with data collection/evaluation*. Additional information on the Grantee Orientation will be provided in the coming months.

Contract Documents

BSCC staff will electronically provide contract documents and accompanying instructions by

April 30, 2015.

Once you receive your grant documents (STD. 213), return the signed hard copies as soon as possible so that the grant can be fully executed by the start of the grant period (July 1, 2015). **The BSCC can no longer reimburse grant related activities prior to the date of an executed contract.** The contract documents must be signed and received in order to fully execute your contract.

The BSCC staff look forward to working with your organization in the implementation of this project. Should you have questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at (916) 341-5160 or ricardo.goodridge@bscc.ca.gov.

Ricardo Goodridge

Field Representative

BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

2590 Venture Oaks Way

Sacramento, CA 95833



San Francisco Adult Probation Department AB109 Compliance Report





April 1, 2015

	Current Active Clients		Total Clients to Date	
Total AB109 Population	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total
Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS)	261	58%	822	67%
1170(h) Mandatory Supervision (MS)	190	42%	398	33%
Total	451		1220	

Compliance				
In compliance (no new law violations)	234	52%	718	59%
In compliance (no sanctions or arrests)	188	42%	626	51%
One or more sanction / arrest	263	58%	594	49%
One or more new law violation	217	48%	502	41%

Reasons for New Law Violation Arrests*				
Drug / Narcotics	145	31%	327	34%
Violence / Weapons	110	23%	223	23%
Property Crime	199	42%	394	41%
Sex Crime	0	0%	2	0%
Violation of Stay Away	16	3%	20	2%
Total New Law Violation Arrests	470		966	

Sanctions Imposed*				
Charges Dismissed	39		106	
Flash Incarceration Imposed (PRCS only)	336	40%	621	38%
3455 Violation Imposed (PRCS only)	340	40%	617	37%
3455 EM Violation Imposed (PRCS only)	8	1%	15	1%
Motion to Revoke filed (MS only)	69	8%	155	9%
Sentenced on New Charge	97	11%	245	15%
Total Sanctions Imposed	850		1653	




* Includes new arrests and sanctions occurring in San Francisco County only. Individuals on PRCS with a flash or violation and new sentence for the same incident is counted as a new sentence.



San Francisco Adult Probation Department Post Release Community Supervision Warrant Report

April 1, 2015

**1,205 Warrants* Have been
Issued to 380 PRCS Clients**



Total Warrants Issued		
Total Number of Warrants Issued	1,341	
Number of Warrants Recalled	136	10% of issued warrants
Number of Warrants Served	1,152	86% of issued warrants
Number of Warrants Outstanding	52	4% of issued warrants


Total PRCS Clients to Date		
Total PRCS Clients to Date	822	
PRCS clients with no warrant	429	52% of PRCS clients
PRCS clients with one or more warrant*	393	48% of PRCS clients
PRCS clients with initial no-show warrant	73	9% of PRCS clients
PRCS clients with non-compliance warrant(s)	375	46% of PRCS clients

Served Warrants		
Total Number of Warrants Served	1152	
Average number of days outstanding	32	
Warrants issued for initial no-show	70	6% of served warrants
Warrant issued for non-compliance	1082	94% of served warrants

Outstanding Warrants		
Total Number of Warrants Outstanding	52	
Average number of days outstanding	266	
Warrants issued for initial no-show	4	8% of outstanding warrants
Warrant issued for non-compliance	48	92% of outstanding warrants

* Excludes recalled warrants

**6% Issued for Initial No-Show
94% Issued for Non-Compliance**





Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS) Status Report

San Francisco Adult Probation Department

As of April 30, 2015

As of October 1, 2011, *The Public Safety Realignment Act* (AB109), required people in State Prison for a non-violent, non-serious, non-sex-offender felony offense be released to Post Release Community Supervision, the responsibility of the SF Adult Probation Department, for up to three years.

As of 4/30/2015

Total packets received from CDCR
and processed by APD: 1064
Number Transferred to Other County: 59
Number Ineligible for PRCS: 40
Number Denied Transfer In: 107

Those Expected to Date
(Packets Received but Not
Released from Prison)

Total Active, Completed,
and Expected to Date

	Those on PRCS To Date		Those Expected to Date (Packets Received but Not Released from Prison)		Total Active, Completed, and Expected to Date	
	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total
Total PRCS Population To Date	859		0		859	
Total Number of RTCs Completing PRCS	24	4% of completions	NA			
Total Number Successfully Completing PRCS Early	4	1% of completions				
Total Number Successfully Completing PRCS at 1 year	401	69% of completions				
Total Number Completing PRCS at 3 years	4	1% of completions				
Total Number of completions due to court termination	85	15% of completions				
Total Number of completions due to client's death	10	2% of completions				
Total Number of Prop 47 Terminations	7	1% of completions				
Total Number transferred to another county	42	7% of completions				
Total Completions to Date	577					
Current Holds						
Number with ICE Holds	3	0%	0		3	0%
Number with Federal Holds	2	0%	0		2	0%
Number with State Holds	0	0%	0		0	0%
Number with Other County Holds	3	0%	0		3	0%
Total Active on PRCS (Not Including Holds)	272		22		294	
Gender¹						
Male	249	92%	0	0%	249	85%
Female	23	8%	0	0%	23	8%
Age						
Average Age	39		39		39	
Men	39		40		38	
Women	39		32		39	
18 - 24	31	11%	2	9%	33	11%
25 - 39	109	40%	10	45%	119	40%
40 - 54	108	40%	8	36%	116	39%
55 - 69	24	9%	2	9%	26	9%
70 and over	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity²						
Black	179	66%	8	36%	187	64%
White	55	20%	7	32%	62	21%
Hispanic	18	7%	4	18%	22	7%
Other	6	2%	2	9%	8	3%
Asian	8	3%	1	5%	9	3%
Filipino	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%
Samoan	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Native American	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Vietnamese	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NA	3	1%	0	0%	3	1%
Risk and Needs Assessments, as of 4/30/15³						
Number of Assessments Completed	199	73%	of active PRCS clients			
Number Assessed as High Risk	166	83%	of PRCS clients assessed			
Number Assessed as Medium Risk	17	9%	of PRCS clients assessed			
Number Assessed as Low Risk	16	8%	of PRCS clients assessed			

¹ As reported by CDCR.

² Race/ethnicity information for those active on PRCS is as recorded by Deputy Probation Officers. Race/ethnicity information for individuals not yet released to PRCS from CDCR is as reported by CDCR and is limited to: White, Black, Hispanic, and Asian.

³ Of all actively supervised PRCS clients, as recorded in APD's case management system. While all PRCS clients are assessed, there may be a delay in recording the results.



Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS) Status Report

San Francisco Adult Probation Department

As of April 30, 2015

	Those Active on PRCS To Date		Those Expected to Date (Packets Received but Not Released from Prison)		Total Active and Expected to Date	
	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total
Residence by District, as Reported to CDCR⁴						
District 1	2	1%	1	4%	3	1%
District 2	1	0%	1	4%	2	1%
District 3	3	1%	0	0%	3	1%
District 4	6	2%	1	4%	7	2%
District 5	7	3%	0	0%	7	2%
District 6	53	19%	2	9%	55	18%
District 7	4	1%	0	0%	4	1%
District 8	7	3%	2	9%	9	3%
District 9	9	3%	0	0%	9	3%
District 10	49	18%	3	13%	52	17%
District 11	15	5%	0	0%	15	5%
Homeless	26	9%	0	0%	26	9%
Out of County	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	93	34%	13	57%	106	36%
Days in CDCR Custody Prior to Release						
Average Number of Days in CDCR Custody	466		516		470	
Less than 1 year	178	64%	14	61%	192	64%
1 - 2 Years	63	23%	3	13%	66	22%
2 - 5 Years	29	10%	6	26%	35	12%
5 or More Years	9	3%	0	0%	9	3%
Prior Convictions						
Average Number of Prior Convictions	7		9		8	
0 Prior Convictions	8	3%	0	0%	8	3%
1 - 2 Prior Convictions	33	12%	2	9%	35	12%
3 - 5 Prior Convictions	71	26%	7	30%	78	26%
6 - 10 Prior Convictions	104	38%	6	26%	110	37%
11 or More Prior Convictions	59	21%	8	35%	67	22%
Type of Most Serious Prior Conviction						
Arson	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%
Drug Crime	21	8%	9	29%	30	10%
Fraud	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Gang Crime	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Property Crime	61	22%	7	23%	68	22%
Sex Offense	5	2%	0	0%	5	2%
Vehicle Crime	5	2%	1	3%	6	2%
Violent Crime	148	54%	12	39%	160	53%
Weapons Crime	31	11%	2	6%	33	11%
Total with violent, weapons, or sex crime	184	67%	14	61%	198	65%

⁴ Residence information is based on addresses individuals provide to CDCR prior their to release to PRCS. Current residence information will be included in future reports.



Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS) Status Report


San Francisco Adult Probation Department

As of April 30, 2015


	Those Active on PRCS To Date	
	Number	Percent of Total
PRCS Compliance Summary		
Number Who Have Reported	847	99.6% of PRCS to date
Number Who Have Reported within 2 Days	777	91% of PRCS to date
PRCS Warrants		
Number with an Active Warrant	58	21% of active
Number of Individuals With One or More Warrant	435	51% of PRCS to date
Number of PRCS clients to Date with No Warrants	413	49% of PRCS to date
Total Number of Warrants Issued ⁵	1368	
Warrants Recalled	140	10% of all warrants
Warrants Served	1169	85% of all warrants
Warrants issued for initial no-show	72	5% of all warrants
Warrants issued for non-compliance	1097	80% of all warrants
PRCS Violations (3455a)		
Total Number of EM Sanctions Imposed	18	
Total Number of 3455a Violations Issued	832	
For New Arrest/Warrant	745	90% of violations
For Pattern of Non-Compliance	39	5% of violations
For Other Condition Violation	32	4% of violations
For Violation of Stay Away Order	16	2% of violations
Number with One or More 3455a Violation	338	40% of PRCS to date
Number of Women with One or More 3455a	25	
Number of Men with One or More 3455a	313	
Flash Incarcerations (3454b)		
Total Number of Flash Incarcerations Imposed	658	
No. of Individuals Receiving One or More Flash	270	32% of PRCS to date
Number of Women Receiving One or More Flash	23	
Number of Men Receiving One or More Flash	247	
No. of Individuals Requesting Attorney Consult	8	
No. of Flashes Involving Admin. Hearing	2	
Average Length of Flash Incarceration	9 days	

⁵ Total warrants issued for all PRCS clients to date, including recalled warrants.

PRCS Clients and Warrants Issued



Reasons for 3455a PRCS Violations





Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS) Status Report


San Francisco Adult Probation Department

As of April 30, 2015

Actual Number Released to PRCS Compared to CDCR Projections

	Actual Packets Received to Date by Month of Release	Actual Cumulative Total	CDCR Projection ⁴	CDCR Projected Cumulative Total	Cumulative Actual as % of Cumulative Projection	Average Number of Days Packets Received Prior to Release Date
April 2012	36	311	26	262	119%	48
May 2012	28	339	18	280	121%	47
June 2012	32	371	15	295	126%	38
July 2012	27	398	22	317	126%	58
August 2012	19	417	16	333	125%	58
September 2012	27	444	18	351	126%	84
October 2012	25	469	13	364	129%	1682
November 2012	14	483	15	379	127%	51
December 2012	21	504	9	388	130%	44
January 2013	18	522	14	402	130%	2320
February 2013	13	535	10	412	130%	39
March 2013	15	550	11	423	130%	62
April 2013	15	565	9	432	131%	29
May 2013	15	580	7	439	132%	17
June 2013	14	594	13	452	131%	53
July 2013	13	607	9	461	132%	47
August 2013	14	621	12	473	131%	20
September 2013	22	643	5	478	135%	25
October 2013	18	661	12	490	135%	53
November 2013	8	669	12	502	133%	78
December 2013	9	678	12	514	132%	33
January 2014	16	694	12	526	132%	33
February 2014	12	706	12	538	131%	7
March 2014	12	718	12	550	131%	16
April 2014	12	730	12	562	130%	21
May 2014	10	740	12	574	129%	34
June 2014	12	752	12	586	128%	36
July 2014	20	772	12	598	129%	44
August 2014	11	783	12	610	128%	37
September 2014	4	787	12	622	127%	40
October 2014	12	799	12	634	126%	52
November 2014	7	806	12	646	125%	42
December 2014	12	818	12	658	124%	38
January 2015	9	827	12	670	123%	34
February 2015	6	833	12	682	122%	51
March 2015	8	841	12	694	121%	3
April 2015	10	851	12	706	121%	54

⁴ Per CDCR's revised projections, as of November 2012.





Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS) Status Report

San Francisco Adult Probation Department

As of April 30, 2015


Current and Expected PRCS Clients, by Releasing CDCR Facility

Does not include Court Walkovers and clients transferring in from another county

(87 clients, 29% of current and expected clients)

CDCR Facility	Location	Approx. Miles from SF	PRCS Clients Released from Facility:	
			Number & %	
California State Prison, San Quentin	San Quentin	25	83	28%
Folsom State Prison	Represa	110	11	4%
California Correctional Center	Susanville	285	10	3%
California Medical Facility	Vacaville	55	7	2%
Correctional Training Facility	Soledad	130	7	2%
California Institution for Men	Chino	415	6	2%
California Men's Colony	San Luis Obispo	235	6	2%
California State Prison, Solano	Vacaville	55	6	2%
Avenal State Prison	Avenal	200	5	2%
Valley State Prison for Women	Chowchilla	155	5	2%
CA Substance Abuse Treatment Facility	Corcoran	240	4	1%
Deuel Vocational Institution	Tracy	70	4	1%
High Desert State Prison	Susanville	270	4	1%
Mule Creek State Prison, Lone	Lone	120	4	1%
California Correctional Institution	Tehachapi	330	3	1%
California Institution for Women	Corona	420	3	1%
California State Prison, Sacramento	Represa	110	3	1%
Central California Women's Facility	Chowchilla	155	3	1%
Salinas Valley State Prison	Soledad	130	3	1%
Sierra Conservation Center	Jamestown	125	3	1%
North Kern State Prison	Delano	265	2	<1%
Pelican Bay State Prison	Crescent City	360	2	<1%
California State Prison, Corcoran	Corcoran	240	1	<1%
Calipatria State Prison	Calipatria	571	1	<1%
Contract Bed Unit	Unknown	Unknown	1	<1%
Centinela State Prison	Imperial	600	0	<1%
Kern Valley State Prison	Delano	265	0	<1%

Average Number of Days in CDCR Custody,
by Month of Release to PRCS





1170(h) Mandatory Supervision Sentencing Status Report

San Francisco Adult Probation Department

As of May 1, 2015

The Public Safety Realignment Act (AB 109) provided new sentencing guidelines for those convicted non-violent, non-serious, non-sex offender felonies. These crimes are now sentenced under PC1170(h) to terms dictated by the relevant sentencing triad. Terms will be served in County Jail only (under PC1170(h)(5)(a)), or split between County Jail and Mandatory Supervision (MS) (under PC1170(h)(5)(b)), which is the responsibility of the SF Adult Probation Department.

	Number	Percent of Total
Total Number Sentenced to Mandatory Supervision (MS) under 1170(H)(5)(b)¹	423	
Total Number Completed MS	216	51% of total sentenced
Successful Completions	45	21% of completions
Unsuccessful Completions	82	38% of completions
Prop 47 Terminations	12	6% of completions
Other Completions (transfers, expired)	77	36% of completions
Current Holds		
Number with Current ICE Holds	0	0%
Number with Current Federal/Other Holds	0	0%
Number Currently on Parole	0	0%
Gender		
Male	362	86%
Female	61	14%
Age		
Average Age	38	
Men	38	
Women	33	
18 - 24	57	13%
25 - 39	190	45%
40 - 54	138	33%
55 - 69	38	9%
70 and over	0	0%
Race/Ethnicity		
White	122	29%
Black	230	54%
Hispanic	33	8%
Asian	19	4%
Other	5	1%
Unknown	14	3%
Risk and Needs Assessments of Active MS Clients, as of 4/30/15		
Number of Assessments Completed	137	97% of active clients
Number Assessed as High Risk	118	84% of active clients
Number Assessed as Medium Risk	11	8% of active clients
Number Assessed as Low Risk	8	6% of active clients
Total Currently Being Supervised on MS or on Bench Warrant Status	194	



1170(h) Mandatory Supervision Sentencing Status Report

San Francisco Adult Probation Department

As of May 1, 2015

Current Residence by District

District 1	1	1%
District 2	2	1%
District 3	2	1%
District 4	3	2%
District 5	5	3%
District 6	29	16%
District 7	1	1%
District 8	4	2%
District 9	1	1%
District 10	31	17%
District 11	13	7%
Homeless	60	33%
Unknown / Awaiting Address Verification	24	13%
Out of County	4	2%

1170(H)(5)(b) Sentence Lengths (in months)²

	Average	Median	Low	High
Length of Total Sentence Ordered (Jail + MS)	39	36	12	108
Length of Jail Portion of Sentence Ordered	13	12	0	65
Jail Time Served after Credits (ave if > 0)	4		0	32
Length of MS Portion of Sentence Ordered	26	24	1	79
MS Sentence Served after Credits (ave if > 0)	25	24	1	79

¹ An individual may receive an 1170(h)(5)(b) sentence while on OR and not remanded and not in custody, and therefore the Sheriff's Department does not receive and record the sentence. This results in variations between the numbers of 1170(h)(5)(b) sentences reported by APD and the Sheriff. Sentencing Status Report on 1170(h)(5)(a) County Jail only sentences is forthcoming.

² Sentences served include 4019 credits for jail time served pre- and post-sentencing (2 days of credit for every day in custody). Any credits in excess of jail sentence ordered, with 4019 credits, are subtracted from the Mandatory Supervision sentence ordered.