Meeting of the Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)

AGENDA

Thursday, December 15, 2016 10 am-12 noon San Francisco Civic Center Courthouse 400 McAllister Street, Room 617 San Francisco, CA 94102

Note: Each member of the public may be allotted no more than 3 minutes to speak on each item.

- 1. Call to Order and Introductions.
- 2. Public Comment on Any Item Listed Below as for "Discussion Only."
- 3. Review and Discussion of Agenda (discussion only)
- 4. Realignment budget: (discussion and possible action)
 - How can the CCPEC provide meaningful and collaborative input into the budget process?
 - Values based prioritization
 - Specific urgent need for reentry housing
 - Impact of Proposition 47 on reentry needs and funding
 - FY 2016-2017 reentry budget; projections for 2017-2018
 - Local Innovation Subaccount
 - Next steps
- 5. Public comment on any item listed above, as well as items not listed on the Agenda.
- 6. Adjournment.

SUBMITTING WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT TO THE COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

Persons who are unable to attend the public meeting may submit to the Community Corrections Partnership, by the time the proceedings begin, written comments regarding the subject of the meeting. These comments will be made a part of the official public record, and brought to the attention of the Community Corrections Partnership. Written comments should be submitted to: Karen Shain, Adult Probation Department, 880 Bryant Street, Room 200, San Francisco, CA 94102, or via email: karen.shain@sfgov.org

MEETING MATERIALS

Copies of agendas, minutes, and explanatory documents are available through the Community Corrections Partnership's website at http://sfgov.org/adultprobation or by calling Karen Shain at (415) 553-1047 during normal business hours. The material can be FAXed or mailed to you upon request.

ACCOMMODATIONS

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Karen Shain at karen.shain@sfgov.org or (415) 553-1047 at least two business days before the meeting.

TRANSLATION

Interpreters for languages other than English are available on request. Sign language interpreters are also available on request. For either accommodation, please contact Karen Shain at karen.shain@sfgov.org or (415) 553-1047 at least two business days before the meeting.

CHEMICAL SENSITIVITIES

To assist the City in its efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library, and on the City's web site at: www.sfgov.org/sunshine.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ON YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE OR TO REPORT A VIOLATION OF THE ORDINANCE, CONTACT THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE:

Administrator Sunshine Ordinance Task Force 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102-4683. Telephone: (415) 554-7724 E-Mail: sotf@sfgov.org

CELL PHONES

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Co-Chairs may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (SF Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2300, FAX (415) 581-2317, and web site http://www.sfgov.org/ethics/

FY 2016-17 Community Corrections Partnership Survey PART B

SECTION 4: FY 2015-16 Public Safety Realignment Funding Allocation

Section 4 contains questions related to the allocation of FY 2015-16 Public Safety Realignment dollars. There are three (3) questions in this section.

When answering these questions, consider the total funds received in FY 2015-16, which should include 2014-15 growth and 2015-16 programmatic funding.

To view your response provided in the 2015 survey, click here.

Responses are captured in the Individual County Profile section of the "2011 Public Safety Realignment Act: Fourth Annual Report on the Implementation of Community Corrections Partnership Plans."

San Francisco

24. Of the total funds received in FY 2015-16, how did the CCP budget the allocation? Input the total allocation in the cell above the table. Within the table, identify where funds were allocated to, and include if you are using any carry-over funds and/or if you are putting any funds into a reserve fund. Please correct the information provided if there is a difference showing between the stated total allocation and the calculated amount (directly below the table). Differences will automatically display in red.

Example:

	Total Allocation:	\$ 40,000,000
Where funds were allocated to		Amount
Probation Department		\$ 8,000,000
Mental Health Agency		\$ 8,000,000
Sheriff Department		\$ 4,000,000
ABC Police Department		\$ 4,000,000
Other (Social Services, Health Services, etc.)		
Please specify by agency		\$ 12,000,000
Carry-over Funds		\$ 2,000,000
Reserve Funds		\$ 2,000,000
	Total sums to:	\$ 40,000,000
Please spell out all names,	Difference from	
no acronyms.	Stated Allocation:	\$ -

Total Allocation:	\$ 36,360,000

	-				
Where funds were allocated to:		Amount			
Adult Probation		\$	11,670,000		
Sheriff		\$	12,520,000		
Sheriff Trial Courts		\$	11,670,000		
District Attorney		\$	250,000		
Public Defender		\$	250,000		
	(Total sums to)	\$	36,360,000		
	Difference from				
no acronyms. Sta	ated Allocation:	\$	-		

25. Of the total funds received in FY 2015-16, how much did the CCP allocate to public agencies for programs and services? How much did the CCP allocate to non-public agencies for programs and services? Input the total allocations in the cells above each table. Within the tables, identify where funds were allocated to. Please correct the information provided if there is a difference showing between the stated total allocation and the calculated amount (directly below the table). Differences will automatically display in red.

Example:						
	Total Allocation to public agencies:	\$	14,000,000	Total Allocation to non-public agencies:	\$	15,000,000
	ocated to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies):		Amount
ABC Drug Court		\$	5,000,000	Community-based Organizations	\$	5,000,000
ABC Diversion Program		\$	2,800,000	Faith-Based Organizations	\$	2,000,000
GPS/Electronic Monitoring		\$	4,000,000	Non-Profits	\$	4,000,000
In-custody services		\$	2,200,000	Treatment Programs	\$	2,000,000
Other (please specify)				Other (please specify)	\$	2,000,000
	/T_4_1	~	44,000,000	/T-1-1	Ļ	45 000 000
	(Total sums to)	Þ	14,000,000	(Total sums to)	\$	15,000,000
Please spell out all name no acronyms.	s, Difference from Stated Allocation:	\$	-	Please spell out all names, Difference from no acronyms. Stated Allocation:	\$	-
	Total Allocation to public agencies:	\$	2,427,166	Total Allocation to non-public agencies:	\$	3,524,300
Where funds were all	Total Allocation to public agencies:	\$	2,427,166 Amount	Total Allocation to non-public agencies: Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies):	\$	3,524,300 Amount
	located to (public agencies):	\$	Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies):		Amount
	located to (public agencies):		Amount		\$	Amount 435,964
	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders	\$	Amount 435,964 2,562
	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California	\$	Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756
	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen Charles Flinton Ph.D	\$	Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756 195,812
	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen	\$\$\$	Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756 195,812 57,787
	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen Charles Flinton Ph.D Community Works West Inc	\$ \$ \$	Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756 195,812 57,787 15,588
	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen Charles Flinton Ph.D Community Works West Inc George Mason University	\$ \$ \$ \$	Amount 435,964 2,556 195,812 57,787 15,588 1,979,022
	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen Charles Flinton Ph.D Community Works West Inc George Mason University Leaders in Community Alternatives	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756 195,812 57,787 15,588 1,979,022 3,869
	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen Charles Flinton Ph.D Community Works West Inc George Mason University Leaders in Community Alternatives Redwood Toxicology	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756 195,812 57,787 15,588 1,979,022 3,869 571,793
	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen Charles Flinton Ph.D Community Works West Inc George Mason University Leaders in Community Alternatives Redwood Toxicology Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756 195,812 57,787 15,588 1,979,022 3,869 571,793 74,099
	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen Charles Flinton Ph.D Community Works West Inc George Mason University Leaders in Community Alternatives Redwood Toxicology Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice JFA Institute	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756 195,812 57,787 15,588 1,979,022 3,869 571,793 74,099 127,133
Where funds were all San Francisco Department of Pu	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen Charles Flinton Ph.D Community Works West Inc George Mason University Leaders in Community Alternatives Redwood Toxicology Centre on Juvenile and Criminal Justice JFA Institute Phatt Chance Community Services	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756 195,812 57,787 15,588 1,979,022 3,869 571,793 74,099 127,133 54,170
	located to (public agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen Charles Flinton Ph.D Community Works West Inc George Mason University Leaders in Community Alternatives Redwood Toxicology Centre on Juvenile and Criminal Justice JFA Institute Phatt Chance Community Services Five Keys Charter School	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,524,300 Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756 195,812 57,787 15,588 1,979,022 3,869 571,793 74,099 127,133 54,170 3,745
San Francisco Department of Pu	located to (public agencies): blic Health - Mental Health	\$	Amount	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen Charles Flinton Ph.D Community Works West Inc George Mason University Leaders in Community Alternatives Redwood Toxicology Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice JFA Institute Phatt Chance Community Services Five Keys Charter School Tides (Total sums to)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756 195,812 57,787 15,588 1,979,022 3,866 571,793 74,099 127,133 54,170
	located to (public agencies): blic Health - Mental Health	\$ 	Amount 2,427,166	Where funds were allocated to (non-public agencies): America Works of California Anders and Anders Caycee Cullen Charles Flinton Ph.D Community Works West Inc George Mason University Leaders in Community Alternatives Redwood Toxicology Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice JFA Institute Phatt Chance Community Services Five Keys Charter School Tides	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Amount 435,964 2,562 2,756 195,812 57,787 15,588 1,979,022 3,869 571,793 74,099 127,133 54,170 3,745

Funds allocated to data collection and/or evaluation of AB 109 programs and services = \$229,773.

SECTION 5: FY 2016-17 Public Safety Realignment Funding Allocation

Section 5 asks two (2) questions related to the allocation of FY 2016-17 Public Safety Realignment funding.

When answering these questions consider the total funds received in <u>FY 2016-17</u>, which should include 2015-16 growth and 2016-17 programmatic funding.

27. Of the total funds received in FY 2016-17, how did the CCP budget the allocation? Please identify the total allocation you received, if you are using any carry-over funds, and/or if you are putting any funds into a reserve fund. Input the total allocation in the cell above the table. Within the table, identify where funds were allocated to, and include if you are using any carry-over funds and/or if you are putting any funds into a reserve fund. Please correct the information provided if there is a difference showing between the stated total allocation and the calculated amount (directly below the table). Differences will automatically display in red.

Example:

Examplet	-			
	Total Allocation:	\$	40,000,000	
Where funds were allocated to:	Amount			
Probation Department		\$	8,000,000	
Mental Health Agency		\$	8,000,000	
Sheriff Department		\$	4,000,000	
ABC Police Department		\$	4,000,000	
Other (Social Services, Health Services, etc.)				
Please specify by agency		\$	12,000,000	
Carry-over Funds		\$	2,000,000	
Reserve Funds		\$	2,000,000	
	(Total sums to)	\$	40,000,000	
Please spell out all names,	Difference from			
no acronyms.	Stated Allocation:	\$	-	
	clated / alooution.	Ŧ		

Total Allocation: \$ 40,514,000

Where funds were allocated to:			Amount			
Adult Probation		\$	13,950,000			
Sheriff		\$	13,750,000			
Sheriff Trial Courts		\$	12,370,000			
District Attorney		\$	222,000			
Public Defender		\$	222,000			
	(Total sums to)	\$	40,514,000			
Please spell out all names,	Difference from					
no acronyms.	Stated Allocation:	\$	-			

28. If known: of the total funds received in FY 2016-17, how much did the CCP allocate to public agencies for programs and services? How much did the CCP allocate to non-public agencies for programs and services? Input the total allocations in the cells above each table. Within the tables, identify where funds were allocated to. Please correct the information provided if there is a difference showing between the stated total allocation and the calculated amount (directly below the table). Differences will automatically display in red.

Example:							
	tion to public agencies:	\$	14,000,000	Total Allocation to	non-public agencies:	\$	15,000,000
			, ,				
Where funds were allocated to (pub	olic agencies):		Amount	Where funds were allocated to (no	n-public agencies):		Amount
ABC Drug Court		\$	5,000,000	Community-Based Organizations		\$	5,000,000
ABC Diversion Program		\$		Faith-Based Organizations		\$	2,000,000
GPS/Electronic Monitoring		\$		Non-Profits		\$	4,000,000
In-custody Services		\$	2,200,000	Treatment Programs		\$	2,000,000
Other (please specify)				Other (please specify)		\$	2,000,000
	(T 1.1,, 1)		44,000,000		(T))		45 000 000
	(Total sums to)	\$	14,000,000		(Total sums to)	\$	15,000,000
Please spell out all names, no acronyms.	Difference from Stated Allocation:	\$	-	Please spell out all names, no acronyms.	Difference from Stated Allocation:	\$	-
		¢	0 470 500			¢	4 70 4 500
	tion to public agencies:	\$	2,470,580		o non-public agencies:	\$	4,734,500
Where funds were allocated to (pub			Amount	Where funds were allocated to (no	n-public agencies):		Amount
San Francisco Department of Public Health - Me	ental Health	\$	2,470,580	America Works of California		\$	445,000
				Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice		\$	672,000
				Charles Flinton Ph.D		\$	260,000
				Community Works West, Inc		\$	50,000
				Leaders in Community Alternatives		\$	2,589,000
		<u> </u>		Redwood Toxicology		\$	3,500
		<u> </u>		Phatt Chance Community Services Inc		\$ \$	<u>190,000</u> 25.000
		<u> </u>		UCSF Psychiatric Department		ֆ Տ	25,000
						φ	500,000
	(Tatala a)	*	0 470 500		(T + t + 1 + - + - + -)	٠	4 30 4 500
Please spell out all names,	(Total sums to) Difference from	\$	2,470,580	Please spell out all names,	(Total sums to) Difference from	\$	4,734,500

NOTE: The information contained in this report will be made public by the BSCC in the annual report to the Governor's Office and the Legislature on the implementation of Community Corrections Partnership plans in print and on the BSCC website.

ATTENTION: This is only Part B of the Survey. Please complete Part A in Microsoft Word which consists of three (3) narrative sections.

SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS:

In a single email, please attach both the completed Part A (Word) and completed Part B (Excel) documents, including any optional photos and/or quotes, and email to:

Helene Zentner, Field Representative Board of State and Community Corrections 916-322-8631 or Helene.Zentner@bscc.ca.gov

Thank you.

Local Innovation Subaccounts

Key Points

- The Local Innovation Subaccounts are **just now coming online**, as they are contingent upon growth attributed to FY2015-2016.
- The Board of Supervisors approves the usage of these funds. The statute restricts usage to activities allowable under any of the four subaccounts which fund it, namely: Trial Court Security, Community Corrections, **District Attorney** & Public Defender, and Juvenile Justice.
- **Counties have not made much movement** many have introduced the Subaccount at CCP meetings, but little beyond that.
- San Francisco is estimated to have \$252,064 allocated to its Subaccount this year (actual appears lower). However, the Subaccount has not come up at any CCP meetings in the past 18-months.

Detailed Overview

The Local Innovation Subaccount is a feature of the 2011 Public Safety Realignment (AB109) and exists only at the local level. It was created to promote local innovation and county-decision making with respect to specified law enforcement activities impacted by AB109.¹

Funding: The Local Innovation Subaccount is funded by 10% of four existing AB109-related growth special accounts (Trial Court Security, Community Corrections, District Attorney & Public Defender, and Juvenile Justice). Each county, not the state, is responsible for calculating and transferring the 10% of funds received in each growth account to the Local Innovation Subaccount (Government Code, section 30029.07).

Growth can only be calculated after the close of the **realignment fiscal year closes** (which is August 15 of each year). It is the position of the California Department of Finance that counties will be allowed to fund these accounts with growth attributed to the **2015-2016 revenues**, which will be **allocated in Fall 2016** (2016-2017 fiscal year).

Establishment of Subaccount: The Local Innovation Subaccount can be **established at any time**, so long as it exists prior to the first funding of the account in 2016-2017.

Expenditures: Each county's **Board of Supervisors determines allowable usage of these funds;** they are not subject to the customary Community Corrections Partnership Process. The subaccount can be used to fund any activity that is otherwise allowable for any of the underlying accounts that support it.²

County Level Action

San Francisco is estimated to have \$252,064 allocated to its Subaccount in FY2016-2017.³ The FY 2015-2016 local innovation Subaccount is \$126,712 according to an October 24, 2016 communication from Maggie Hon at the Controller's Office.

¹ Letter from Diane M. Cummins, Special Advisor to the Governor, to California State Association of Counties, dated February 14, 2014.

² Government Code Section 30025(f)(15): "... [T] he moneys in the Local Innovation Subaccount shall be used to fund local needs. The board of supervisors of a county or city and county shall have the authority to spend money deposited in the Local Innovation Subaccount as it would any funds in the Juvenile Justice Subaccount, the District Attorney and Public Defender Subaccount, the Community Corrections Subaccount, or the Trial Court Security Subaccount.

³ Subaccount estimates by county here: <u>http://www.counties.org/sites/main/files/file-attachments/2015-16 growth subaccount estimates - lis - april 1 2016.pdf</u>

The **Yolo County** Board of Supervisions approved the creation of the Local Innovation Subaccount on May 31, 2016. County departments and CBOs will be able to submit "grant-like" applications for the use of funds annually. The Board noted it will prioritize projects in this cycle that provide additional or enhanced mental health services. The application process will occur over the summer each year so that the chosen project may be brought to the Board with the Adopted Budget in September. The estimated Yolo Subaccount is \$69,885.

El Dorado County passed a motion in March to table the discussion of the Local Innovation Subaccount until "further research is done to understand the intent of the Subaccount." No further updates available.

Other counties, including **Kern, Shasta, Santa Barbara and Monterey** used CCP meetings in the past year to notify members about the Subaccount, though no action was taken.