

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)

AGENDA

Friday, February 12, 2021
1200pm – 2:00pm

Join Zoom Meeting

- <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88945821326?pwd=SDRqNXVYNDZmam5QM05ZMGE0anZsQT09>
- Meeting ID: 889 4582 1326
- Passcode: 626014

Dial by your location

- +1 408 638 0968 US (San Jose)
- +1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)
- Meeting ID: 889 4582 1326
- Passcode: 626014

REMOTE MEETING VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE

Watch via Zoom: In accordance with Governor Gavin Newsom’s statewide order for all residents to “Stay at Home” – and with the numerous local and state proclamations, orders and supplemental directions – aggressive directives have been issued to slow down and reduce the spread of the COVID-19 virus.

Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) and Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC) meetings will be held through videoconferencing will allow remote public comment via the videoconference or through the (Dial by your location) number noted above. Members of the public are encouraged to participate remotely by submitting written comments electronically to victoria.westbrook@sfgov.org. These comments will be made part of the official public record in these matters and shall be brought to the attention of the members of the CCP/CCPEC. Explanatory and/or Supporting Documents, if any, will be posted at: the Community Corrections Partnership’s website at <http://sfgov.org/adultprobation>.

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)

AGENDA

Friday, February 12, 2021

1200pm – 2:00pm

Note: *Each member of the public may be allotted no more than 2 minutes to speak on each item.*

1. Call to Order and Introductions.
2. Review and Adoption of Meeting Minutes of September 16, 2020 (discussion and action).
3. CCPEC Funded Housing Program Update (discussion only).
4. Adult Probation Department Budget (discussion only).
5. Proposed amendment to the CCP Bylaws, adding the reading of a Ramaytush (pronounced rah-my-toosh) Ohlone Land Acknowledgement to the beginning of all meetings (discussion and possible action)
6. Racial Equity Updates (discussion only).
7. Realignment Report (discussion only).
8. Adjournment.

SUBMITTING WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT TO THE COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

Persons who are unable to attend the public meeting may submit to the Community Corrections Partnership, by the time the proceedings begin, written comments regarding the subject of the meeting. These comments will be made a part of the official public record, and brought to the attention of the Community Corrections Partnership. Written comments should be submitted to: Victoria Westbrook, Adult Probation Department, 880 Bryant Street, Room 200, San Francisco, CA 94102, or via email: Victoria.westbrook@sfgov.org

MEETING MATERIALS

Copies of agendas, minutes, and explanatory documents are available through the Community Corrections Partnership's website at <http://sfgov.org/adultprobation> or by calling Victoria Westbrook at (415) 930-2202 during normal business hours. The material can be faxed or mailed to you upon request.

ACCOMMODATIONS

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Victoria Westbrook at victoria.westbrook@sfgov.org or (415) 930-2202 at least two business days before the meeting.

TRANSLATION

Interpreters for languages other than English are available on request. Sign language interpreters are also available on request. For either accommodation, please contact Victoria Westbrook at victoria.westbrook@sfgov.org or (415) 930-2202 at least two business days before the meeting.

CHEMICAL SENSITIVITIES

To assist the City in its efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library, and on the City's web site at: www.sfgov.org/sunshine.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ON YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE OR TO REPORT A VIOLATION OF THE ORDINANCE, CONTACT THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE:

Administrator
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place,
San Francisco, CA 94102-4683.
Telephone: (415) 554-7724
E-Mail: sotf@sfgov.org

CELL PHONES

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Co-Chairs may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (SF Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2300, FAX (415) 581-2317, and web site <http://www.sfgov.org/ethics/>

Special Meeting of the Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)

Draft Minutes

Thursday, September 16, 2020

1pm – 2pm

Zoom Meeting

Members Present: Chief Adult Probation Officer **Karen Fletcher** (Chair-Adult Probation Department), **Chief William Scott** (San Francisco Police Department), Manohar Raju (Public Defender's Office), **Sheriff Paul Miyamoto** (Sheriff's Department), **Tara Anderson** (Chesa Boudin District's Attorney Office) **Joseph Engler** Assistant Sheriff (Sheriff's Department)

1. Agenda Item 1: Call to Order and Introductions.

This meeting was called to order by Chair, Chief Probation Officer, Karen Fletcher, at 1:03pm.

2. Agenda Item 2: Review and Adoption of Meeting Minutes of January 30th, 2020 (discussion and action).

Chief Fletcher gave members of the committee a couple of minutes to review the meeting minutes of January 30th, 2020.

Motion adopt the minutes by Sheriff Miyamoto, seconded by Chief Scott. The motion passed.

There was no public comment.

All ayes stated Aye

Motion passes. Minutes are approved

3. Agenda Item 3: Realignment Project Discussion. An Evaluation of Evaluation of AB 109 funded services in San Francisco or a production of an updated Realignment report (similar to the 2015 Three Years of Realignment in San Francisco) (discussion and action)

Chief Probation officer Karen Fletcher: Stated this body discussed the Scope of Work for a Realignment project, and two broad project ideas. The two ideas are either an evaluation of AB 109 funded services, or production of an updated Realignment report.

Informed the Committee that Tara Agnese the Director of Research for the Adult Probation Department would provide more information and clarification regarding each option. For Departments to think about how they might want to prioritize these projects and define the potential scopes of work.

Tara Agnese :Informed the Committee that we could do a 10 year update to our Realignment Report or evaluate AB 109. We currently have 4 vendors in place that could evaluate our AB 109 Funds. As far as a Realignment report we would essentially pay someone to write it, and data from various Departments. Departments should be aware of the workload that may be required for both projects. All Departments would have to agree and focus on certain Target Populations, dosages, and intended outcomes. Due to the pandemic an evaluation may not be most appropriate, especially for needing an inventory of services and a specific focus. The other overarching goal for evaluation would be how effective services are that are being provided.

Joseph Engler Assistant Sheriff: Had a question about the Scope. Are we discussing AB 109 funded treatment and housing services at Probation. Or what is the focus of your study you are suggesting.

Tara Agnese: Informed Assistant Sherriff Engler that we don't have an answer because it would be up to the Priority of each Department Head.

Joseph Engler Assistant Sheriff: Doesn't want to compromise quality and would like to define what we would like to achieve. Believes it we should have a focus.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Agreed and informed committee that what is important to Departments. Bulk of the work would fall primarily on Adult Probation and the Sheriff's Department. Given the circumstances per Tara Agnese's point about the Pandemic it wouldn't be a good idea to do an evaluation of services.

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Asked about the funds allocated for the proposed projects.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Called upon Veronica Martinez Acting CFO for the Adult Probation: In relation to the funding the Adult Probation as a part of the AB 109 allocation does have some funds allocated for technical assistance including evaluation and research projects. The amount is not fully set and determined since we have to wait and find

out more about our services and budget cuts coming from the City that impacted the Adult Probation Department.

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Acknowledged that in previous years that the Realignment Report has been tied to getting a new benefit or resources. She further indicated that with that in mind we should do a report.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Informed Tara Anderson that not necessarily a requirement to continue to receive or to receive new resources. She confirmed that APD does submit a CCP survey annually summarizing AB 109 funding allocated to and disbursed by Adult Probation. She further indicated that the summary includes information on what APD and Sheriff AB 109 budgets.

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Shared that the SFDA AB 109 budget allocation and funded activities are relatively small when compared to other departments. The funds support Attorney time and a Sentencing Planner position. She provided a brief overview of the Sentence Planner position, which transforms the way that prosecutors approach cases by developing individualized sentences that address the needs and risks of justice-involved individuals. She shared that an early evaluation of the program found promising results and that under DA Boudin the office is conducting a follow up evaluation in partnership with UC Berkeley. Mrs. Anderson then inquired about the previous risk needs responsivity work conducted by APD and if the proposed analysis would incorporate that focus. Lastly she inquired if the proposed evaluation project would only focus on Adult Probation funded services, due to the CASC portfolio of services being so robust. In closing Mrs. Anderson reinforced the interest to ensure the evaluation assess which services are being utilized and what needs more priority in AB 109 Services.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: For some clarity we had meeting with the Public Defender meeting in January the request to assessment on all Risk and Needs tools. We are committed to look internally for new tools and are excited to work with our partnership with all justice partners. Our conversation will start with the courts and we are interested in moving away from current risk assessment tool.

Sheriff Miyamoto: Inquired about whether racial and ethnic disparities would be tracked or included as a part of the analysis.

Tara Agnese (Adult Probation): We have not discussed having those items in a Scope of Work. In the work we are doing we need to be mindful of racial and ethnic disparities. It would be included on both possible projects. We have started to compare data from our Staff, Service Providers to see that if people be served are represented by the staff.

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Expressed appreciation to the Sheriff's Department for good race and ethnicity data and the three point self-report process that is used by the department.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Requested if members had any other questions around projects and timing?

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Asked if there are thoughts around timeline covered for the evaluation and service delivery based on the pandemic.

Tara Agnese (Adult Probation): Not sure can give a comprehensive answer. It would be great time to see data pre Covid-19, during Covid-19, and post Covid-19. We will miss well-being aspect of clients during the time. We need richer details on well-being items that make or made a differences.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Informed Committee that we are on target for a basic decision today. Do we want to do an updated 10 year report or an evaluation of AB 109 Funded services? Could we decide on those things so we can outline some items to discuss in January?

Chief Bill Scott: Will there be another chance for an evaluation if we don't choose to evaluate programs currently?

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: We can commit ourselves to do being to do extensive evaluation of AB 109 funded services in the future. It will be interesting to see what the State will be doing in terms of reductions. Would any member like to motion to Evaluate all AB 109 Funded or create a new realignment report similar to one in 2015.

Sheriff Miyamoto: Moved for Report similar to one we completed in 2015.

Chief Bill Scott: Seconds Sheriffs Motion

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Move forward with Realignment Report that was similar to one in 2015.

Public Comment: None

All in Favor stated Aye.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Thank you Motion Passes.

4. Agenda Item 4: AB109 Funding and Expenditures: (Discussion Only)

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Informed Committee Members that Questions were raised regarding how much AB109 Funding the various departments receive and what exactly those funds are spent on. In packet you will find the AB109 Expenditure information provided by Adult Probation, the Sheriff's Office, the Department of Public Health, the Public Defender's Office, and the District Attorney's Office. Any question about AB 109 Funding.

Chief Bill Scott: Asked why the San Francisco Police Department does not receive any funding was not around in 2015 and insight on how this happened. ?

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Informed Chief Scott that AB 109 Funds are not distributed to Police Departments were not included in AB109 Funding.

Veronica Martinez: Doesn't have any information, and we have been doing research to see how the allocations were divided. Police Department is a partner but a partner that doesn't receive funding.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Asked Chief Scott if SFPD is receiving any AB 109 funding?

Tara Anderson (District Attorney): Shared additional context indicating that because San Francisco is a dual jurisdiction, City and County, the Mayor's Budget Office plays a significant role in decisions unlike other counties in California.

5. Agenda Item 5: AB109 Funding and Expenditures: (Discussion Only)

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Reminded members that during the December 2019 CCPEC meeting, this body decided to use the Local Innovation Subaccount to expand Recovery Survival Network's (RSN) contract with Adult Probation Department in order to provide transitional housing to justice involved individuals. The motion was passed with the understanding that we would continue to clarify the program design. Please welcome Destiny Pletsch from Adult Probation will provide an update on the CW Hotel.

Destiny Pletsch (Adult Probation): Program launched in April of this year and serves 14 individuals across 7 rooms only due to Covid-19. 36 referrals to date and 16 enrollments. We are trying accept people in real time as varies occur. Dennis Windham from Men's Mentoring Movement is providing case management and going well so far.

Joseph Engler Assistant Sheriff: Asked about referrals and who is referring in to the program and who is making referrals.

Destiny Pletsch (Adult Probation): 2 staff members placed at the Sheriff Department and we have received numerous referrals from the Sheriff Department.

6. Agenda Item Adjournment:

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Motion to Adjourn

Chief Bill Scott motioned to adjourn and Tara Anderson from the District Attorney seconded. The body unanimously passed.

Chief Probation Officer Karen Fletcher: Any public comment none.

The meeting adjourned at 1:58 PM.

SUBMITTING WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT TO THE COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

Persons who are unable to attend the public meeting may submit to the Community Corrections Partnership, by the time the proceedings begin, written comments regarding the subject of the meeting. These comments will be made a part of the official public record, and brought to the attention of the Community Corrections Partnership. Written comments should be submitted to: Geoffrea Morris, Adult Probation Department, 880 Bryant Street, Room 200, San Francisco, CA 94102, or via email: geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org

MEETING MATERIALS

Copies of agendas, minutes, and explanatory documents are available through the Community Corrections Partnership's website at <http://sfgov.org/adultprobation> or by calling Geoffrea Morris at (415) 241-4241 during normal business hours. The material can be faxed or mailed to you upon request.

ACCOMMODATIONS

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Geoffrea Morris at geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org or (415) 241-4241 at least two business days before the meeting.

TRANSLATION

Interpreters for languages other than English are available on request. Sign language interpreters are also available on request. For either accommodation, please contact Geoffrea Morris at geoffrea.morris@sfgov.org or (415) 241-4241 at least two business days before the meeting.

CHEMICAL SENSITIVITIES

To assist the City in its efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library, and on the City's web site at: www.sfgov.org/sunshine.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ON YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE OR TO REPORT A VIOLATION OF THE ORDINANCE, CONTACT THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE:

Administrator
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place,
San Francisco, CA 94102-4683.
Telephone: (415) 554-7724
E-Mail: sotf@sfgov.org

CELL PHONES

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Co-Chairs may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (SF Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2300, FAX (415) 581-2317, and web site <http://www.sfgov.org/ethics/>

1 [Rules of Order - Adding Board Rule 4.7.1 - Acknowledgement of Ramaytush Ohlone
2 Community]

3 **Motion amending the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors by adding Rule 4.7.1**
4 **to require the President to read a statement acknowledging the Ramaytush Ohlone**
5 **community.**

6
7 MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby amends the Board of Supervisors
8 Rules of Order by adding Rule 4.7.1, to read as follows:

9 **4.7.1. Acknowledgement of the Ramaytush Ohlone Community.** Following the
10 Call to Order and Roll Call, the President shall read the following statement:

11
12 The San Francisco Board of Supervisors acknowledges that we are on the unceded
13 ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone who are the original inhabitants of the
14 San Francisco Peninsula. As the indigenous stewards of this land and in accordance
15 with their traditions, the Ramaytush Ohlone have never ceded, lost, nor forgotten their
16 responsibilities as the caretakers of this place, as well as for all peoples who reside in
17 their traditional territory. As guests, we recognize that we benefit from living and
18 working on their traditional homeland. We wish to pay our respects by acknowledging
19 the Ancestors, Elders, and Relatives of the Ramaytush Ohlone community and by
20 affirming their sovereign rights as First Peoples.

21
22 n:\govern\as2020\9901442\01486859.docx

23
24
25



City and County of San Francisco

Tails

Motion: M20-196

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 201271

Date Passed: December 08, 2020

Motion amending the Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors by adding Rule 4.7.1 to require the President to read a statement acknowledging the Ramaytush Ohlone community.

November 30, 2020 Rules Committee - RECOMMENDED

December 08, 2020 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Fewer, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani, Walton and Yee

File No. 201271

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on 12/8/2020 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Angela Calvillo".

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

As approved on February 12, 2021

Deleted: August 25, 2011

**By-Laws
Community Corrections Partnership
City & County of San Francisco**

Article I -- Name, Authorization and Mission

A. Name

The full name of the Partnership shall be the San Francisco Community Corrections Partnership.

B. Authorization

The Community Corrections Partnership is created by California Penal Code § 1230, as added by the California Community Corrections Performance Act of 2009 (SB678). The law authorizes each county to establish in its treasury a Community Corrections Performance Incentives Fund (CCPIF), to receive all amounts allocated to that county for purposes of implementing a community corrections program. The chief probation officer of the county must use these funds for the implementation of the community corrections program, the purpose of which is to provide supervision and rehabilitative services for adult felony offenders on probation. Specifically, the funds must be spent on evidence-based community corrections practices and programs.

C. Mission

The mission of the Partnership shall be to advise San Francisco's Adult Probation Department as it implements an evidence-based community corrections program.

Article II – Members and Duties

A. Chair

The Partnership shall be chaired by San Francisco's Chief Adult Probation Officer.

B. Members

The following agencies and organizations shall be members of the Community Corrections Partnership, and shall be represented by the agency/organization head or designee of each:

1. The superior court;
2. The board of supervisors or chief administrative officer;
3. The public defender;
4. The district attorney;

As approved on February 12, 2021

Deleted: August 25, 2011

5. The sheriff;
6. The chief of police;
7. The county department of social services;
8. The county department of mental health;
9. The county department of employment;
10. The county alcohol and substance abuse programs;
11. The county office of education.
12. a representative from a community-based organization with experience in successfully providing rehabilitative services to persons who have been convicted of a criminal offense, as designated by Chair;
13. and an individual who represents the interests of victims, as designated by Chair.

C. Duties

The Chair of the Partnership shall preside over meetings of the Partnership. The Chair, working with other members of the Partnership and staff, shall oversee the preparation and distribution of the agenda for the Community Corrections Partnership meetings. Members of the Partnership shall advise the Chair as she develops and implements an evidence-based community corrections program.

Article III – Meetings

A. Regular Meetings

The Partnership shall meet at least twice a year, and at least once jointly with the Executive Committee of the Community Corrections Partnership, as added by AB109 (2011) and AB117 (2011). Meetings shall be held at a publicly accessible location within the City and County of San Francisco.

B. Special Meetings

The Chair of the Partnership may schedule a special meeting. Special meetings will meet at a publicly accessible location within the City and County of San Francisco.

C. Notice

Agendas of all meetings will be posted on the website of the Adult Probation Department and of the Reentry Council, at the San Francisco Public Library Government Information Center, and in the lobby of the Adult Probation Department at least 72 hours prior to the meeting. Agendas and notices shall be e-mailed to each Community Corrections Partnership member and any person who files a written request for such notice with the Community Corrections Partnership.

As approved on February 12, 2021

Deleted: August 25, 2011

D. Cancellation of Meetings

Notice shall be given to the public as soon as reasonably possible if a meeting is cancelled. If possible, the cancellation notice shall be posted on the Adult Probation Department’s and Reentry Council’s websites, in the lobby of the Adult Probation Department, at the San Francisco Main Library Government Information Center, and at the location of the noticed meeting. If possible, individual notice of cancellation should be given to every individual who has indicated that he or she would like to attend the meeting.

E. Conduct of Meetings

All Community Corrections Partnership shall be conducted in compliance with all applicable laws, including but not limited to the Ralph M. Brown Act (Government Code Section 54950 et. Seq.), the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67), and the Community Corrections Partnership By-laws.

F. Quorum

A meeting may proceed if a majority of members are represented. If there is no quorum, no official action may be taken, except roll call and adjournment.

G. Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement

At the beginning of each meeting, after the Call to Order, the Chair shall read the following Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement:

“The Community Corrections Partnership of San Francisco acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula. As the indigenous stewards of this land and in accordance with their traditions, the Ramaytush Ohlone have never ceded, lost, nor forgotten their responsibilities as the caretakers of this place, as well as for all peoples who reside in their traditional territory. As guests, we recognize that we benefit from living and working on their traditional homeland. We wish to pay our respects by acknowledging the Ancestors, Elders, and Relatives of the Ramaytush Ohlone community and by affirming their sovereign rights as First Peoples.”

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 0 pt, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: A, B, C, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Tab after: 0.75" + Indent at: 0.75", Widow/Orphan control, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: 0.5", List tab + Not at 0.75"

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Article IV – Community Corrections Partnership Records

A. Minutes

| As approved on February 12, 2021

Deleted: August 25, 2011

Minutes shall be taken at every regular and special meeting, and shall comply with provisions of the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 67.16)

B. Staff

Staff of the Adult Probation Department shall serve as the Community Corrections Partnership staff contact for all requests for meeting notices and agendas, written public comment, public records requests, and requests for accommodations and translation services.

Article V – Amendment of By-Laws

The By-Laws of the Community Corrections Partnership may be amended by a vote of a majority of the members of the Community Corrections Partnership after presentation of the proposed amendments as an agenda item at a meeting of the Community Corrections Partnership.