Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee

AGENDA

Thursday, March 29, 2012 2:00pm-4:00pm Southeast Community Facility Alex L. Pitcher Jr. Community Room 1800 Oakdale Avenue San Francisco, CA 94124

Note: Each member of the public will be allotted no more than 3 minutes to speak on each item.

- 1. Call to Order.
- 2. Opening Remarks (discussion only).
- 3. Review and Adoption of Meeting Minutes of January 26, 2012 (discussion and possible action).
- 4. Overview of San Francisco's Public Safety Realignment and Post Release Community Supervision Implementation Plan of 2011, and Draft Plan for 2012 (discussion only).
- 5. Members' comments, questions, and requests for future agenda items (discussion only).
- 6. Public comment on any item listed above, as well as items not listed on the Agenda (discussion only).
- 7. Adjournment.

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee

SUBMITTING WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT TO THE COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP (CCP)AND THE COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE (CCPEC)

Persons who are unable to attend the public meeting may submit to the CCP & CCPEC, by the time the proceedings begin, written comments regarding the subject of the meeting. These comments will be made a part of the official public record, and brought to the attention of the CCP & CCPEC. Written comments should be submitted to: Verónica Martínez, Reentry Policy Coordinator, Adult Probation Department, 880 Bryant Street, Room 200, San Francisco, CA 94102, or via email: veronica.martinez@sfgov.org

MEETING MATERIALS

Copies of agendas, minutes, and explanatory documents are available through the Adult Probation Departments's website at http://sfgov.org/adultprobation. or by calling Verónica Martínez at (415) 553-1047 during normal business hours. The material can be FAXed or mailed to you upon request.

ACCOMMODATIONS

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Verónica Martínez at veronica.martinez@sfgov.org or (415) 553-1047 at least two business days before the meeting.

TRANSLATION

Interpreters for languages other than English are available on request. Sign language interpreters are also available on request. For either accommodation, please contact Verónica Martínez at veronica.martinez@sfgov.org or (415) 553-1047 at least two business days before the meeting.

CHEMICAL SENSITIVITIES

To assist the City in its efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library, and on the City's web site at: www.sfgov.org/sunshine.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ON YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE OR TO REPORT A VIOLATION OF THE ORDINANCE, CONTACT THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE:

Administrator Sunshine Ordinance Task Force City Hall, Room 244 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102-4683. Telephone: (415) 554-7724 E-Mail: soft@sfgov.org

CELL PHONES

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Co-Chairs may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (SF Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2300, FAX (415) 581-2317, and web site http://www.sfgov.org/ethics/

A Joint Meeting of The Community Corrections Partnership & The Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee

DRAFT MINUTES

Thursday, January 26, 2012 2:00pm-4:00pm Delancey Street Foundation 600 Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94107

<u>Members in Attendance</u>: Deborah Alvarez-Rodriguez, Lenore Anderson (alternate for District Attorney George Gascón), Steve Arcelona, Undersheriff Jan Dempsey (alternate for Sheriff Ross Mirkarimi), Captain Denise Flaherty (alternate for Chief Gregory Suhr), Steve Good, Carol Kizziah (alternate for Mimi Silbert), Craig Murdock (alternate for Barbara Garcia), Louis Sarmiento (alternate for Paul Henderson), Simin Shamji (alternate for Public Defender Jeff Adachi), Chief Wendy Still (chair), Beverly Upton.

Members Absent: Steve Currie.

<u>Others Present:</u> Chief Ellen Brin, Billy Bowman, Linda Connelly, Michael Davila, Jasmine Dawson, Jessica Flintoft, Wayne Garcia, Veronica Martinez, Katherine Miller, Leah Rothstein, Karen Roye, Chief Bill Siffermann, Diana Oliva-Aroche, Denise Williams.

1. Call to Order and Welcome.

Chief Still called the meeting to order at 2:10pm. She introduced herself, and welcomed members, and the public to the meeting. Special thanks to Delancey Street for the hospitality in hosting the Partnership and its executive committee for this meeting. Those present introduced themselves.

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee Draft Minutes of January 26, 2012 March 29, 2012

Page 1

2. Overview of Community Correction Partnership and its Executive Committee created by Senate Bill 678 (Community Corrections Performance Incentives Act) and Assembly Bill 109/117 (Public Safety Realignment Act) (discussion only). Materials: Community Correction Partnership's Fact Sheet, Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee's Fact Sheets, CCP Roster of Members, and CCPEC Roster of Members.

Chief Still presented a brief overview of the Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) created by SB 678 and the Executive Committee of the Community Corrections Partnership (CCPEC) created by AB 109/117. Chief Still reviewed the membership of both bodies and expressed gratitude to all participating departments.

The CCPEC was created by AB 109/117 to develop and present a Criminal Justice Realignment Implementation Plan to the Board of Supervisors.

San Francisco was the first county to submit and approved a Plan. San Francisco's plan received recognition from other counties because it is not based on incapacitation and punishment.

3. Progress report on implementation of SB 678 and discussion on priority service needs (discussion only). *Material: SB 678 Status Report (Data Report on probationers avoiding prison).*

Chief Still presented a SB 678 Status Report on the number of probationers avoiding prison. Referring to the report Chief Still mentioned that in 2010 there was a 22% reduction of probationers being revoked and sent to prison, and then approximately another 20% reduction in 2011. In the last two years, there was over all 40.6% reductions in the number of probationers sent to prison on a revocation.

Chief Still explained that this reduction in revocations means that the City will continue receiving incentives to support Evidence-Practices in Probation Supervision.

An attendee raised the question about the Probation Accountability Court and its future. Chief Still responded that city partners do not want to permanently close the doors to this program because it is one that offers positive outcomes.

Referring to the report, Chief Still pointed out that the change in total probation population overall was broken down by quarters; the report shows a reduction there as well. Chief Still mentioned that in the past it was known that probation violators were taking up to 700 jail beds, and now that number is much lower than that –it's about 300. Chief Still shared that

Page 2

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee M Draft Minutes of January 26, 2012

March 29, 2012

Minutes refer to additional meeting materials, all of which are available online at http://sfgov.org/adultprobation, filed under Community Corrections Partnership

APD would produce more accurate and up-to-date information for each quarter now that APD has hired a Research Director in the Reentry Division.

Lenore Anderson asked why the total probation population was down. Chief Still responded that the reason is a combination of those three possibilities; all partners are working on those things making a concerted effort to bring the population down.

In closing, Chief Still thanked all the partners for their invaluable support in getting this far with SB 678.

4. Progress report on implementation of AB 109 in San Francisco (discussion only). Materials: Public Safety Realignment & Post Release Community Supervision 2011 Implementation Plan, City and County of San Francisco Public Safety Realignment &Post Community Supervision, 2011 Implementation Plan, Progress Update (Progress Update from agencies implementing this plan), SPRC Status Report, and 1170(h) Mandatory Sentencing Status Report

Chief Still went over City and County of San Francisco Public Safety Realignment &Post Community Supervision, 2011 Implementation Plan, Progress Update. She reported that the Adult Probation Department is recruiting deputy probation officers. Right now, probation officers have 4 times the recommended size for intensive supervision case loads.

Probation Officers are conducting pre-release visits in the jails and prisons and conducting needs assessments. This practice has proven to be very effective because people are reporting once they are released, and even those who are considered absconding because they do not report right away, the time frame before they report is shorter now.

Chief Still reported the department if facing challenges because the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) is not sending referrals with enough notice for Adult Probation Department (APD) to conduct the prerelease visits and all the work needed to get the systems set up for people on reentry.

Probation Officers are participating in weekly training and informational workshops and this has improved the quality of services provided and the quality of the supervision.

In other updates, Adult Probation Department is now coordinating the Reentry Council and the Justice Reinvestment Initiative; a Request For Proposal for the Community Assessment and Services Center was issued earlier this week and there will be a bidders conference on Thursday February 9th 1:00pm at City Hall, Room 305. The Center is expected to be operational by the end of June.

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee Draft Minutes of January 26, 2012 March 29, 2012

Page 3

Minutes refer to additional meeting materials, all of which are available online at http://sfgov.org/adultprobation, filed under Community Corrections Partnership

Page 4

Craig Murdock reported on behalf of the Department of Public Health, Community Behavioral Health Services. Craig thanked Chief Still for leading the partnership. Craig reports that since October 1st, since realignment was implemented, his team has received 90 referrals from probation. His team was ready to address primary services and mental health needs, as well as substance abuse services.

All the clients referred to DPH from probation go through a single portal of entry, this is the Behavioral Health Access Center (BHAC) located at 1380 Howard Street, where very qualified social workers with experience in forensic services and treatment screen clients and determined what level of service they require. They use standard assessments tools used in clinical screening.

Surprisingly, these workers have noticed is that a very high number of people coming out of prison, the Post Release Community Supervision population, are in very bad health. CBHS has mostly dealt with primary care needs: untreated diabetes, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, untreated wounds, hepatitis, especially hepatitis C, obesity, problems with mobility. Craig explained that his team did not expect to see as many number of cases with these primary care types of needs.

A challenge identified by Craig is that CDCR is not sharing the health information with his team. However, thanks to the funds through AB 109, DPH has been able to provide treatment and serve those who need medical and mental health assistance.

Craig added that his department is recruiting 4 full time Care Coordinators, 2 of them will work at the BHAC and 2 will be stationed at the CASC once is opened.

Chief Still reiterates to Craig how appreciative APD is of the Public Health Department for being a great partner. She stated that they accomplished in three month what usually takes up to a year.

Lenore Anderson asked Craig if other counties were seeing the same types of services needed by clients. Craig responded that he has heard similar situations from Alameda and Santa Clara Counties, but not so much from other counties.

Chief Still mentioned that there is a series of webinars from Stanford University with good information about Public Health.

Craig added that while communication with CDCR has improved, it still varies from client to client, and could be better but.

Jessica Flintoft added that San Francisco is fortunate to have the Transitions Clinic, which serves specifically to people with chronic diseases who have recently been released from

Minutes refer to additional meeting materials, all of which are available online at http://sfgov.org/adultprobation, filed under Community Corrections Partnership

prison. San Francisco is the only jurisdiction to have this approach to health care for people transitioning from prison.

Lenore Anderson reported that the District Attorney's Office created and hired a new position: the Alternative Sentencing Planner. Luis Aroche was hired to fill this position, he starts on February 6th. He will be working to recommend alternative sentencing options to prosecutors, while ensuring accountability and without compromising pubic safety. If this model works effectively, the District Attorney's office will recruit two or three more planners in the future.

Further the DA's office has been working on trainings and workshop on best practices to hold offenders accountable while reducing recidivism.

Lenore also reported that the DA's office is working closely with the Public Defender Office, the Courts, and the BAR Association to expand the use of the Early Resolution Calendar (ERC) and expedite narcotic cases.

Lenore shared that with the Rosenberg Foundation support, the DA's Office hosted a regional realignment summit, with probation departments, sheriff's departments and district attorney's office from other counties to discuss issues related to realignment.

In terms of 1170(h) sentences, Lenore explained that a good number of the sentences have been split sentences with about one year of jail time.

Finally, Lenore brought to the members' attention, legislation on a Sentencing Commission, introduced by supervisors Weiner, Cohen and Farrell. This legislation passed and it will work at looking closely at sentencing practices to ensure recidivism reduction as well as accountability. The commission calls for all the departments members of the CCPEC.

Steve Arcelona reported that the Human Services Agency administers a program to serve up to 20 individuals at any given time with rental subsidies for up to 6 months. HSA personnel conducts an assessment (which includes income analysis and services needed to improve income) and determine eligibility for the different housing options. The goal of this program is to ensure stable housing after the subsidy. Steve explained that historically, 60% of those receiving subside for 6-12 months usually achieves permanent housing. The current 20 slots are reserved to serve the AB 109 population.

Simin Shamji reported that the Public Defender Office created a realignment team, an attorney and a social worker –who creates alternative sentencing plans. This team offers legal services for people who had been previously on parole but now are on Post Release Community Supervision.

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee Draft Minutes of January 26, 2012 Page 5

Minutes refer to additional meeting materials, all of which are available online at http://sfgov.org/adultprobation, filed under Community Corrections Partnership

Simin informed that for the last four months the focus of the department has been in learning and understanding what the law says about what to do with all these new cases. The Public Defender's Office has been working closely with the judges to recommend the appropriate course of actions, according to the circumstances. Simin complemented Chief Still for the good process the partnership went through to get everything streamlined and to make sure that people impacted by realignment had legal representations.

Additionally, Simin mentioned conversations the Public Defender has had about expanding the criteria of the Collaborative Courts to allow more individuals to participate.

Finally, Simin talked about the strength of collaboration. Since all partners are working closely together they are starting to see great outcomes not only for AB 109 population but also for others individual that are not part of this population. Chief Still added that part of this success had to do with all the partners' motivation to follow due process.

Undersheriff Jan Dempsey reported that the Sheriff's Department has at the moment more individuals on parole violation in County Jail. This has brought a great deal of stress to the county jail, especially Jail Psychiatric Services.

Undersheriff Dempsey explained that about 75% of all the inmates in jail on felony charges are under 1170(h), and if convicted they will remain in county jail. Prior to October 1st, all those convicted inmates would have been sent to prison giving a break to the jail.

Undersheriff Dempsey mentioned that the jails are receiving a good number of split sentences, some of which are somewhat high (some release dates go into 2013). Overall though, the jails had projected this number to be higher but that has not been the case.

Overall, Undersheriff Dempsey concluded that the jail population has increased 8% since October 1, 2011, and this increase has been mainly on parole violators. In the past, parole violators brought revenue to the jails and that's not longer the case.

Jessica Flintoft reported that the Office of Economic and Workforce Development has modified a contract with Asian Neighborhood Design, to provide workforce development services to the PRCS and 1170(h) populations. The grant modification was for \$30,000 and there will be providing workshops and a 14 week program that will start February 26, 2012.

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee Draft Minutes of January 26, 2012 March 29, 2012

Page 6

Page 7

5. Discussion of the San Francisco 2012 Public Safety Realignment Plan (discussion only) Material: San Francisco 2012 Public Safety Realignment Plan Process Timeline

Chief Still reviewed the timeline of the San Francisco Public Safety Realignment, 2012 Implementation Plan. The CCPEC will oversee the development of the plan. Important dates to consider are March 29th when the plan will be released for public comments and then May 24 when the CCPEC will approve a final version of the plan to be presented to the Board of Supervisor, which will be between the months of June and July.

Chief Still explained that the plan will be considered approved by the Board of Supervisors, unless is rejected by a vote of 4/5th, in which case the plan would go back to the CCPEC. Last year's process went very smoothly and satisfactorily, and the expectation is the same for this time around

Steve Arcelona asked for clarification on what the 4/5th means, since San Francisco's Board of Supervisors has 11 members and not 5 as most other counties do.

Chief Still presented a status report on the PRCS population. The male and female breakdown remains consistent across the time and across the different areas of the criminal justice system: 93% vs. 7% respectively. The Adult Probation Department is exploring/planning the implementation of a gender responsive program with a grant from the Zellerbarch Family Foundation. This will enhance the services that are already being provided through the Women's Reentry Center. The Gender Responsive Guidelines developed by Stephanie Covington for the CDCR will be considered for this program.

Chief still urged Chief Siffermann not to forget the girls in the Juvenile Probation Department (JPD). Chief Siffermann explained that JPD does have the girls in mind and they are using a specialized assessment tool from Cook County in Illinois.

Chief Still reviewed more statistics from the PRCS status report noting that the actual number of people released each month under PRCS is much higher than the number projected by CDCR. This trend is not declining; Chief Still was expecting the actual numbers to be closer to CDCR's projections by now. The initial discrepancy (between actual vs. projections) was expected because of all the difficulties in the initial implementation stages of any project of this magnitude. Since that is not the case, the situation is worrisome and it will be ground for debate during funding discussions.

Chief Still presented the 1170(h) Status Report and explained that more information will be added to the reports that will be generated on a weekly basis.

Minutes refer to additional meeting materials, all of which are available online at http://sfgov.org/adultprobation, filed under Community Corrections Partnership

Ultimately, Chief Still explained that the department is glad to be working with this population because APD's intent is not to violate clients, but to let them go through the process and let them get back on track with their lives. Chief Still indicated her interest in inviting parole to come to the table and show the statistics, CDCR reports a lot of parolee at large, and probation is not seeing that; so she wants to talk about this and other issues.

Chief Still gave the floor to Chief Siffermann to talk about different issues concerning the juvenile population.

Chief Siffermann explained that the Juvenile Justice Division (DJJ) of CDCR provides supervision to the most serious juvenile offenders from each county. For a moment the governor was seriously proposing to stop providing custody for these youths. The Chief Probation Officers of California (CPOC) explained the great impact this action would have on the counties. In San Francisco, for example, there are only 6 kids in this category, that would have cost something like \$500,000 and San Francisco would have probably been able to come up with that money from the General Fund. But for other counties such as LA, this would have sent the county to bankruptcy.

CPOC was able to stop this mandate and the word out is that CDCR will not receive any new serious juvenile offenders starting January of 2013. Some are advocating to get that benchmark to 2015.

Chief Still shared her desire to sure that as the city moves forward with a realignment plan for 2012, that it is gender responsive and trauma informed. Second, she wanted to announce that APD received another grant for workforce development. Chief Still thanked Karen Roye for her support, and also Goodwill and Walden House for the workforce readiness components of the programs.

Chief Still invited everyone present to provide any announcements.

Debbie Alvarez-Rodriguez of Goodwill mentioned that as of December of last year Goodwill had exceeded their annual target for services. However, the gain of employment programs is not translated into actual job retention. Goodwill will be creating 200 jobs in the next couple of months.

Diana Oliva-Aroche of DCYF mentioned that several agencies were awarded 70 grants to do violence prevention work. The grant awards were a partnership of DPH, JPD and DCYF. In addition, DCYF is in the process of developing its Children's Service Allocation Plan, and they welcome comments on it.

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee Draft Minutes of January 26, 2012 March 29, 2012

Page 8

Steve Arcelona of HSA talked about AB 12 which will provide ongoing foster care for young people until they are 21 years old. This benefit started this year.

Karen Roye of Child Support Services reported that the federal program for child support will remain with administrative oversight from the State. However, the service delivery system is changing to a heavily enforcement model, a very punitive model. The fear is that their programs will not be able to bring resources to the family who need the services and as a result they will disengage. Karen will be working with the Sheriff and with the Family Violence Council to address this issue.

Karen continued mentioning the work they are doing with the Family Violence Council and Goodwill to ensure that parents are living up to their obligation safely. She recognized the Police Department and Chief Siffermann for their support.

Linda Connelly wanted to congratulate San Francisco for the Alternative Sentencing Planner position. It is exciting to have San Francisco always being the model and pioneer in this area.

Chief Still appreciated Captain Flaherty of the Police Department, San Francisco is fortunate to have a police department that understands that safety is not all about locking people up. Captain Flaherty expressed that communication and collaboration with city departments, and community at large are fundamental for Chief Suhr and the Police Department.

Wayne Garcia of Walden House expressed he has seen a decrease in the prison population in different facilities across the State, which leads him to believe that AB109 is working. Walden House is concerned about the DJJ issues brought up by Chief Siffermann, and they are following the situation closely.

Steve Good of Five Keys Charter School expressed he was thrilled to be part of the partnership and looks forward to continue working on these issues.

Beverly Upton of the San Francisco Domestic Violence Consortium was very happy to be part of the meeting and she looks forward to bringing more information to the table about elder abuse, child abuse and domestic violence for future meetings.

Finally, Chief Still shared that the CASC RFP has been released.

Chief Siffermann asked what the ideal or optimal location for the Center would be. Chief Still shared that the optimal is not set but it should be in a neutral territory, for the population coming out of Courts.

March 29, 2012

Page 9

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee Draft Minutes of January 26, 2012

6. Members' comments, questions, and requests for future agenda items (discussion only).

Seeing none, Chief Still invited public comment.

7. Public comment on any item listed above, as well as items not listed on the Agenda.

Seeing none, Chief Still adjourned the meeting.

8. Adjournment.

The meeting adjourned at 3:10pm.

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee Draft Minutes of January 26, 2012 March 29, 2012

Page 10

Realignment Funding for FY11/12: Overview of Identified Sources and Proposed Uses (Originally Shared at August 18, 2011 Meeting) Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee

Overview

The Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee last met on August 18, 2011 to approve the 2011 Realignment Implementation Plan and review identified and proposed uses for the FY11/12 State Realignment funding. At the time the State estimated that San Francisco would assume responsibility for approximately 700 additional people at any point in time across all agencies by the fourth year of realignment. The San Francisco Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee anticipated that the actual population will be greater than these projections prepared by the State. Specifically, the State estimated that, at any point in time, San Francisco would be responsible for an additional 421 people on Post-Release Supervision (PRS), 114 inmates serving less than 3 years, 50 inmates serving more than 3 years, and 61 inmates who are returned to custody for a parole or PRS violation.

The statewide funding allotments for realignment were based on a formula that assumed \$25,000 per offender for 6 months of local incarceration, and prorated level of funding per incarcerated parole violator for 60 days of local incarceration. Statewide funding allotments also included a per offender allotment of \$2,275 per person for rehabilitative services. For San Francisco, this would amount to \$1,469,650 for rehabilitative services from State AB109 funding. The Board of Supervisors enacted a local ordinance that would result in the allocation of \$1,823,289 for rehabilitative services, or 32% of San Francisco's State AB109 allocation for FY 11/12 (9 months).

Identified Sources for Realignment Funding in FY11/12 (First nine months of realignment implementation, October 1st, 2011-June 30th, 2012)

The State developed and utilized a funding formula to establish county allocations for October 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012 that considered three factors: the realignment population estimates above, the proportion of 18-64 year olds to the total population, and the SB678 distribution formula. Based on this formula, San Francisco was projected to receive a total of \$5,787,176 from the State for the 9-month period that remains in FY11/12. Further, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors allocated \$4,800,000 of local funding (General Fund) in FY11/12 for realignment activities.

Identified Source	Required Use	Amount
State AB109	Post-release Community Supervision (PCS) or Local Incarceration	\$5,049,838
State AB109	District Attorney and Public Defender costs related to PCS revocation cases	\$181,013
State AB109	Training and Retention (one-time costs)	\$356,325
State AB109	Community Corrections Partnership planning (one-time costs)	\$200,000
State AB109	Subtotal	\$5,787,176
SF General Fund	Sheriff's Department: anticipated increase in use of electronic monitoring.	\$800,000
SF General Fund	Sheriff's Department: staffing for anticipated increase in jail operations (2 additional dorms)	\$4,000,000
SF General Fund	Subtotal	\$4,800,000
All Identified Sources	Total	\$10,587,176

Proposed Uses for State AB109 Funding in FY11/12 (First nine months of realignment implementation, October 1st, 2011-June 30th, 2012)

Locally, it was proposed that the State AB109 funding be allocated for the following uses for the first 9 months of realignment implementation.

Total Allocation	Portion to Direct Services
\$4,242,724	\$860,789
\$190,507	\$0
\$132,500	\$132,500
\$650,000	\$650,000
\$30,000	\$30,000
\$190,507	\$0
\$350,938	up to \$150,000 as possible**
5,787,176	\$1,823,289 (32% of total allocation)
	\$4,242,724 \$190,507 \$132,500 \$650,000 \$30,000 \$190,507 \$350,938

* Funds to these departments are being administered through Adult Probation Department.

** unlikely due to need to fund operational costs of additional dorms

Realignment Funding in FY12/13

The Governor's proposed state budget does not contain any significant changes to Realignment Funding for FY12/13. The Governor's Revised Budget to be released Mid-May 2012 is expected to address Public Safety Realignment Funding for this fiscal year. The Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee will provide updates as information becomes available.

Review of Draft Implementation Strategies *Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee*

The Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee would like to get public comments and input on how to improve the Draft Implementation Strategies of the City and County of San Francisco Post-Release Community Supervision and Public Safety Realignment, 2012 Implementation Plan Draft.

Implementation Strategies

Adult Probation Department

Key Areas for Community Input:

1. Is there anything that you would like to see different in the strategies recommended by the Adult Probation Department?

2. Are there ideas for changes that could improve these strategies?

District Attorney's Office

Key Areas for Community Input: 1. Is there anything that you would like to see different in the strategies recommended by the District Attorney's Office?

2. Are there ideas for changes that could improve these strategies?

Public Defender's Office

Key Areas for Community Input: 1. Is there anything that you would like to see different in the strategies recommended by the Public Defender's Office?

2. Are there ideas for changes that could improve these strategies?

Department of Public Health – Community Behavioral Health Services

Key Areas for Community Input: 1. Is there anything that you would like to see different in the strategies recommended by the Department of Public Health?

2. Are there ideas for changes that could improve these strategies?

Sheriff's Department

Key Areas for Community Input: 1. Is there anything that you would like to see different in the strategies recommended by the Sheriff's Department?

2. Are there ideas for changes that could improve these strategies?

Superior Court – Parole and Post-Release Community Supervision Violations

Key Areas for Community Input: 1. Is there anything that you would like to see different in the strategies recommended by the Superior Court?

2. Are there ideas for changes that could improve these strategies?

Have additional input or comments?

Please provide comments and suggestions directly to Verónica Martínez, Reentry Policy Coordinator, Adult Probation Department, to veronica.martinez@sfgov.org or via postal mail to Adult Probation Department, Hall of Justice, 880 Bryant Street, 2nd floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. All comments and suggestions are due by no later than 5:00 p.m. Friday, April 27, 2012. The Executive Committee of the Community Corrections Partnership will consider the final 2012 Implementation Plan at its May 24 meeting to be held at 2pm (location: TBD) For more information, please contact Verónica Martínez at (415) 553-1047. An electronic copy of the City and County of San Francisco Post-Release Community Supervision and Public Safety Realignment, 2012 Implementation Plan Draft is available at http://sfgov.org/adultprobation

Reentry Division San Francisco Adult Probation Department Participate and Get Involved!

California Community Corrections Incentive Act (SB678) was enacted in 2009 to create an incentive fund for counties to reduce the number of felony probationers sent to state prison, in favor of implementing and maintaining evidence based practices in adult felony probation community supervision. On October 1, 2011, the California legislature enacted the Criminal Justice Realignment Act (AB 109). This Act shifts custody for "low level offenders" from prison to county jail, transfers their supervision from State Parole to the County, and necessitates a comprehensive plan to effectively implement these significant changes without compromising public safety.

There are different opportunities to participate:

City and County of San Francisco Public Safety Realignment & Post-Release Community Supervision 2012 Implementation Plan, Draft for Public Comment

The Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC) is requesting public comments for the 2012 Realignment Implementation Plan. The final version of the plan will be considered by the CCPEC at its May 24th meeting. Once approved by CCPEC, the plan will be submitted for consideration and approval by the Board of Supervisors.

CCPEC will receive public comments until Friday April 27th, 2012 at 5pm.

To submit your comments for the 2012 Realignment Implementation Plan, please contact:

Veronica Martinez, Reentry Policy Coordinator, Reentry Division, SFAPD at 415-553-1047 or veronica.martinez@sfgov.org or postal mail: Adult Probation Department, Hall of Justice 880 Bryant Street, 2nd floor, San Francisco CA 94103

Requests for Proposals (RFP)

With funds from SB 678: California Community Corrections Incentive Act and other federal funds, the SFADP plans to issue a two-fold purpose RFP for reentry services to 1) create a prequalified list of reentry service providers for future opportunities, and 2) identify, from this prequalified list, a subset of services and providers to be funded directly through this RFP. **SFAPD anticipates releasing this RFP in early April.**

For additional Reentry Services RFP Information, please contact:

Lauren Bell, Reentry Services Manager, Reentry Division, SFAPD at 415-553-4919 or lauren.bell@sfgov.org

Reentry Council News Digest

In tandem with the Community Corrections Partnership, the Reentry Council of the City and County of San Francisco works to advance initiatives and strategies which help current and formerly incarcerated individuals successfully and permanently exit the criminal justice system. Reentry Council staff manages a distribution list of interested stakeholders, and sends out routine updates on Community Corrections Partnership, Reentry Council and related activities and news, including funding announcements.

For more information about the Reentry Council, the Community Corrections Partnership and its Executive Committee, or to be added to the Reentry Council distribution list, please contact: Verónica Martónez, Reentry Policy Coordinator, Reentry Division, SFAPD at 415-553-1047 or veronica.martinez@sfgov.org or postal mail: Adult Probation Department, Hall of Justice 880 Bryant Street, 2nd floor, San Francisco, CA 94103