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How to quantify/characterize a breath?

Galen Davy Borelli Hutchinson: “vital capacity”

Time (cm/sec)
Volume changes over time

Volume vs. time

- Inspiratory Reserve Volume (IRV)
- Tidal Volume ($V_t$)
- Expiratory Reserve Volume (ERV)
- Vital Capacity (VC)
Forced vital capacity (FVC) maneuver

- Full inspiration and forced expiration to limit of emptying
- John Hutchinson (1811-1861)
  - VC related to height, weight
  - Previous TB infections
  - Heart failure
  - Coal miners

Volume vs. time

- Inspiratory Reserve Volume (IRV)
- Tidal Volume ($V_t$)
- Expiratory Reserve Volume (ERV)
- Vital Capacity (VC)
Volume vs. time

Volume vs. time (VT curve)
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VT curve measurements
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FV loop: Restrictive ventilatory defect

Flow (L/s)  
Volume (L)
Measure FLOW (volume per time)

- Fleisch-pneumotach
- Lilly (screen) pneumotach
- Turbine
- Pitot tube
- Hot-wire anemometer
- Ultrasound

FLOW vs. volume (Flow-volume (FV) loop)

Volume (L) vs. Time (s) vs. Flow (L/s)

- Full inspiration
- Full exhalation
- Tidal breathing
- Expiratory limb
- "Tidal" loop
- Inspiratory limb

Vital capacity (FVC) (L)
FV loop: Obstructive ventilatory defect

FV loop: additional measurements

Peak flow (PEF) (L/s)
Peak flow meter (PEF)?

- Insensitive relative to spirometry (mild or early disease)
- Dependent on patient effort
- 2x inter- and intra-subject variability \(^1\)
- Less accurate\(^2\)
- Not calibrated

---

FV loop: additional measurements

Spirometry measurements

1. **FVC (L)**
2. **FEV₁ (L)**
3. **FEV₁/FVC ratio**
   - Sensitive for obstruction
   - >0.70 is normal in adults
4. **FEF₂₅-₅₀% (L/s)**
   - More sensitive measure of small airways narrowing than FEV₁
   - Wide range of “normal” (to 50% children 8-18 yo and to 35% in older adults)
   - Less reproducible than FEV₁
   - Difficult to interpret if the VC (or FVC) is reduced or increased
5. **PEF (L/s)**
   - Highly effort-dependent (marker for effort)

Bronchodilator reversibility testing

- Post-bronchodilator FVC or FEV₁ increases by 12% and 200 ml
- Generally not helpful when lung function is normal at baseline
- Evaluation of asthma
- Sorting out COPD vs. asthma (fixed vs. reversible)
What do these numbers mean?

- **Muscular effort**
- **Elastic recoil**
- **Dynamic interaction: airways and alveoli**
- **Large airways and respiratory system**

**FV loop: Variable extrathoracic outlet obstruction**

- Flow (L/s)
- Volume (L)
COPD

- Airflow limitation that is not fully reversible
- Progressive inflammatory response to noxious substances
- Tobacco smoke
- Chronic bronchitis: 3 months productive cough for each of 2 successive years
- Emphysema: pathologic destruction of alveoli
COPD

- Currently third leading cause of death in U.S. and worldwide by 2020
- (2008) 13.1 million with COPD diagnosis, with 24 million with evidence of impaired lung function
- Why?

Spirometry and COPD

- Low FEV1/FVC strong predictor for progression\(^1\)
- Degree of obstruction correlates with pathologic changes\(^2\)
- Independent predictor of morbidity and mortality (COPD, cardiovascular disease, lung CA, all-cause mortality)\(^3\)-\(^8\)
- Utilization of healthcare resources\(^9\)

Accelerated decline in FEV$_1$ in smokers

FEV$_1$ (L)

Never smoked or not susceptible to smoke
Smoked regularly and susceptible to its effects
Disability
Death

Stopped at 25
Stopped at 50
Stopped at 75

Fletcher and Peto, et al., 1977

Clinical staging of COPD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I: Mild</th>
<th>II: Moderate</th>
<th>III: Severe</th>
<th>IV: Very Severe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic cough and sputum</td>
<td>SOB typically c exertion</td>
<td>Greater SOB, ↓ QOL, ↓ exercise, repeated exacerbations</td>
<td>CRF, RHF, severely impaired QOL, life-threatening exacerbations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV$_1$/VC &lt; 70 FEV$_2$ ≥ 80%</td>
<td>FEV$_1$/VC &lt; 70 FEV$_1$ 50%-80%</td>
<td>FEV$_1$/VC &lt; 70 FEV$_1$ 30%-50%</td>
<td>FEV$_1$/VC &lt; 70 FEV$_2$ &lt; 30% FEV$_2$ &lt; 50% c CRF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOLD, 2007
Spirometry and COPD

• Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS)

• Spirometry testing must occur 730 (~2 years) days prior to or 180 days (6 months) after the diagnosing event for COPD

• Screening all smokers?

• “Targeted case-finding”, e.g. >40 y.o. with tobacco history and symptoms

ACP, 2011

Asthma

Jarjour, et al., 2001
Asthma

- Highly-prevalent disease
- Disproportionately affects underserved
- Bulk of diagnosis and management occurs in primary care setting

Spirometry and asthma

- Adults and children > 5 in whom diagnosis of asthma is being considered
- Degree of airway obstruction (impairment and risk)
- Patients' perceptions of obstruction are inaccurate
- Clinical symptoms alone underestimate severity ~30% of the time in primary care

Stout, et al., 2006; Cowen, et al., 2007; Fuhlbrigge, et al., 2001
EPR-3: Asthma and spirometry

- At the time of initial diagnosis
- Document airflow obstruction and reversibility
- When reducing the dosage of medications
- After treatment is initiated and symptoms (and peak flows) have stabilized
- During periods of progressive or prolonged loss of control
- At least every 1 – 2 years in moderate to severe disease


---

EPR-3: Clinical severity of asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 4</th>
<th>Days With Symptoms</th>
<th>Nights With Symptoms</th>
<th>PEF or FEV₁ %</th>
<th>PEF Variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe Persistent</td>
<td>Continual</td>
<td>Frequent</td>
<td>≤60%</td>
<td>&gt;30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 3</th>
<th>Days With Symptoms</th>
<th>Nights With Symptoms</th>
<th>PEF or FEV₁ %</th>
<th>PEF Variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Persistent</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>≥5/month</td>
<td>&gt;60%–&lt;80%</td>
<td>&gt;30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 2</th>
<th>Days With Symptoms</th>
<th>Nights With Symptoms</th>
<th>PEF or FEV₁ %</th>
<th>PEF Variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild Persistent</td>
<td>3-6/week</td>
<td>3-4/month</td>
<td>≥80%</td>
<td>20-30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1</th>
<th>Days With Symptoms</th>
<th>Nights With Symptoms</th>
<th>PEF or FEV₁ %</th>
<th>PEF Variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild Intermittent</td>
<td>≤2/week</td>
<td>≤2/month</td>
<td>≥80%</td>
<td>&lt;20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary care spirometry

• Valuable test in point-of-service setting
• Indications: shortness of breath, wheeze, chronic cough, following volumes (FVC surrogate for total lung capacity), positional testing (diaphragmatic weakness)
• Formally recommended for COPD and asthma testing
  • Targeted case-finding (COPD >40 yo with tobacco hx and symptoms)
  • Diagnosis of obstructive ventilatory defect
  • Degree of impairment/severity
  • Prognosis
  • Response to therapy
• Fundamentally based on well-performed FVC maneuver (effort-dependent)
Primary care spirometry - SFDPH

- San Francisco’s “safety net system”--Community Health Network (CHN)
- Patient-centered medical home (point-of-service diagnostic testing)
- Constituency suffers from disproportionately severe asthma and COPD
- Pilot projects supported by Proposition 10 and the Medi-Cal Plan/Practice Improvement Project (PPIP) (2005)

SFDPH CHN spirometry needs assessment

- FHC, GMC, SEHC, CPHC, Curry Senior Center, Tom Waddell (46 primary providers, 5 administrators, 4 medical directors, and 6 coaches)
- Gail Herrick, Karen Cohn

- Lack of clarity of testing indications (46%)
- Variable provider confidence in ability to interpret tests (45%)
- Lack of confidence in test quality (38%)

- Lack of standardized training (45%)
- Not enough patients (35%)
- Testing skills erosion (maintenance training, support, turnover) (34%)
- Too much time and effort to maintain program (30%)
Test quality

- Acceptability
- Reproducibility
Volume-time curve: acceptability

• Sharp rise
  • Indicates a good, fast start
• Termination of the maneuver after ≥ 6 seconds of exhalation
  • < 6 seconds is acceptable as long as the volume plateaus for at least 1 second

Flow-volume loop: acceptability

• Sharp rise
• Gradual and smooth downward slope
• “Sharp” peak
• No abrupt termination
Flow-volume loop: acceptability

• Sharp rise
  • Indicates a good, fast start
• Gradual downward slope of the flow
  • Indicates a full and complete exhalation

Acceptability 1

[Graph showing flow-volume loop with notes:
  - Does not flatten for one second
  - Abrupt fall off]
Early termination

- VT curves with short or absent plateaus
- FV curves show abrupt drop off at the end

Correcting early termination

- Focus on END of TEST, blowing long and hard
- Coach patient to make a sustained effort
- Make sure the patient understands to empty lungs
- Cue the patient counting with six fingers
- “1...2...3...4...5...6”
- Use incentive screen
Acceptability 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV2-75%</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glottic closure

- Appears like early termination on FV curve
- VT curve looks like it is drawn with a ruler
- Patient may report sudden tightening near larynx
Correcting glottis closure

- Instruct patient to try to relax upper airway
- Instruct patient to relax, holding their head in a slightly sniff position
- The patient should continue to blow until being told to stop

Acceptability 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>End</th>
<th>% Ref</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>% Chg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF25</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF50</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF75</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF25-75%</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correcting slow start

- Concentrate on a fast blast immediately after a full inhalation

Acceptability 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>%Ref</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
<th>%Chng</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF25-75%</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>110%</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rounded peak
Poor initial blast/submaximal effort

- FV loop will display a rounded or flat peak
- VT curve will display decreased rise/slope

Correcting poor initial blast

- Reinstruct and demonstrate
- "As hard and as fast as you can..."
- Use examples
Acceptability 5

Cough

- Cough in first second invalidates effort
- Stop and reassess (patient may be unable to continue)
- Some efforts may still be valid (if cough occurs > 1 second after start)
Acceptability 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Best</th>
<th>% Ref</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF25-75%</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extra breath

- VT curve: will see the start of a plateau and then another rapid rise
- FV loop: will see the flow start to increase again after it is first declining
Correcting extra breaths

• Instruct the patient to fully exhale in one long maneuver without stopping to pull more air in
• A nose clip may also be necessary to prevent the patient from breathing in through their nose and breathing out through their mouth

Reproducibility criteria

• After 3 acceptable maneuvers have been obtained, assess whether the following reproducibility criteria are met:
  • The 2 largest FVC values are within 0.15 L of each other
  • Or, the 2 largest FEV\textsubscript{1} values are within 0.15 L of each other
### Spirometry 360™ Grading System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spirometry 360 Grade</th>
<th>Age Seven Years or Older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (meets ATS rules)</td>
<td>3 acceptable efforts and variance ≤ 150 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (meets ATS rules)</td>
<td>2 acceptable efforts and variance ≤ 150 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (still Clinically useful)</td>
<td>2 acceptable efforts and variance ≤ 200 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC (Use with extreme Caution)</td>
<td>1 acceptable effort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP (Not Passing)</td>
<td>No acceptable efforts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SFDPH spirometry grades (prior to 2010)

- 45% “FAIL”
- 32% “UC”
- 23% “C” or better

n=132 study sample  
SEHC, SFGH 1M, Tom Waddell
SFDPH acceptability errors (prior to 2010)

- Cough
- Hesitation
- Poor initial blast
- Early termination
- Variable flows
- Glottis closure
- Extra breaths

n=132 study sample
SEHC, SFGH 1M, Tom Waddell

SFDPH CHN spirometry

- Low percentage of acceptable studies
- Low provider confidence in indications, quality, interpretations
- Difficulty maintaining testing skills
- Barriers to program sustainability
The San Francisco Community Primary Care Spirometry Program

George Su, MD

San Francisco Asthma Network Forum
San Francisco, CA
June 7, 2013

SFDPH CHN spirometry needs assessment

- Lack of clarity of testing indications
- Variable provider confidence in ability to interpret tests
- Lack of confidence in test quality
- Lack of standardized training
- Not enough patients
- Testing skills erosion (maintenance training, support, turnover)
- Too much time and effort to maintain program
Program Provisions

1. Careful selection of partner clinics
2. Superior training program
3. Centralized support (SFGH Pulmonary and RCS)
4. Quality assurance
5. Formal interpretation services
6. Posting of test results to the DPH electronic medical record (EMR)

Community spirometry center

- Committed leadership and coaching staff
- Dedicated time for training
  - 10 hours for clinical and technical training
  - 7.5 hours for Spirometry 360™ training
- Computer, printer, and internet (DPH Network)
- Secure storage space
- 10 spirometry tests per month per coach for training
Site Recruitment

- 1M Chest Clinic
- FHC Ward 92
- FHC Ward 85
- SAFMC
- CPHC
- SEHC

Training

SPIROMETRY

360

iMTR
interactive Medical Training Resources
University of Washington

NATIONAL ASTHMA CONTROL INITIATIVE
Networked test delivery

Partner clinic  →  SFGH  →  Division of Pulmonary SFGH

Spirometry 360™ Grading System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spirometry 360 Grade</th>
<th>Age Seven Years or Older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (meets ATS rules)</td>
<td>3 acceptable efforts and variance ≤ 150 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (meets ATS rules)</td>
<td>2 acceptable efforts and variance ≤ 150 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (still Clinically useful)</td>
<td>2 acceptable efforts and variance ≤ 200 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC (Use with extreme Caution)</td>
<td>1 acceptable effort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP (Not Passing)</td>
<td>No acceptable efforts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SFDPH spirometry (pre- & post- comparison)

- 23% “C” or better
- 45% “FAIL”
- 32% “UC”

n=132 study sample
SEHC, SFGH 1M, Tom Waddell

- 16% “FAIL”
- 25% “UC”
- 59% “C” or better

n=985 study sample
SEHC, CPHC, SFGH FHC, SFGH 1M

SFGH PFT Laboratory Wait Times

- Increase lab capacity
- San Francisco Community Primary Care Spirometry Program

Average wait times (weeks)
Patients/day

n=132 study sample
SEHC, SFGH 1M, Tom Waddell

n=985 study sample
SEHC, CPHC, SFGH FHC, SFGH 1M
Maintenance training

- Spirometry 360™ “refresher courses”
- Recertification (PFT laboratory SFGH)
- Ongoing evaluation of curves (overreading)
SF Community Primary Care Spirometry

- Novel partnerships
- Primary-specialty (pulmonary and RCS)
- Spirometry 360™
- SFDPH CHN IT
- Novel quality assurance program
- Integrated delivery system
- Better POS care
- Increased delivery system efficiencies
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“Tele-spirometry”
George Su, MD
San Francisco Asthma Network Forum
San Francisco, CA
June 7, 2013
SFDPH spirometry (pre- & post- comparison)

- 45% “FAIL”
- 32% “UC”
- 23% “C” or better

n=132 study sample
SEHC, SFGH 1M, Tom Waddell

- 16% “FAIL”
- 25% “UC”
- 59% “C” or better

n=985 study sample
SEHC, CPHC, SFGH FHC, SFGH 1M

Poor quality testing

- Incorrectly diagnosed
- Incorrectly “ruled out”
- Inappropriate pulmonary function testing laboratory referral
- Patients forced to return for repeat testing
Live spirometry support

Patient

VT curve FV loop (live)

“Tele-spirometry” coach
Tele-spirometry

- Standard telehealth technologies
- Leverages specialist effort and time
- Active or “fly-on-the-wall” support
- Decrease rates of low quality testing and need for repeat studies
- Enhance feedback and coach training
- Single site pilot (CPHC)
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