#### **BOARD OF APPEALS, CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

Appeal of SFMTA,	,	Appeal No. <b>21-073</b>
OFWITA,	Appellant(s)	
VS.	)	
Leung Transportation Corp.,	)	
	Respondent	

#### **NOTICE OF APPEAL**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT** on August 3, 2021, the above-named appellant(s) filed an appeal with the Board of Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco from the decision or order of the SFMTA Hearing Section.

The substance or effect of the decision or order appealed from is the ISSUANCE on July 22, 2021 to Leung Transportation Corporation, of the Statement of Decision: Leung Transportation Corporation (the SFMTA Taxi Services Division has not established that Leung Transportation Corp. holds a pre-K medallion subject to the transfer restrictions of Transportation Code section 1109(d)(1)(A); therefore the Notice of Nonrenewal for Medallion # 433 is denied and the Medallion is deemed renewable under the condition that Leung Transporation Corp. complies with all renewal requirements, including an affiliation with a Color Scheme).

#### Medallion NO. 433

#### FOR HEARING ON October 20, 2021

Address of Appellant(s):	Address of Other Parties:
SFMTA, Appellant(s) Taxi Services DIvision 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103	Leung Transportation Corporation, Determination Holder(s) c/o Ken Leung, Agent for Determination Holder(s) 1026 Pinehurst Court Millbrae, CA 94030



Date Filed: August 3, 2021

#### CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF APPEALS

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT FOR APPEAL NO. 21-073

I / We, SFMTA, hereby appeal the following departmental action: Statement of Decision re Medallion # 433 (Medallion Holder: Leung Transportation Corporation) which issued by the SFMTA Hearing Section on July 22, 2021.

#### **BRIEFING SCHEDULE:**

The Appellant may, but is not required to, submit a one page (double-spaced) supplementary statement with this Preliminary Statement of Appeal. No exhibits or other submissions are allowed at this time.

Appellant's Brief is due on or before: 4:30 p.m. on **September 30, 2021**, **(no later than three Thursdays prior to the hearing date)**. The brief may be up to 12 pages in length with unlimited exhibits. It shall be double-spaced with a minimum 12-point font. An electronic copy should be emailed to: <a href="mailto:boardofappeals@sfgov.org">boardofappeals@sfgov.org</a>, <a href="mailto:julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org">julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org</a> and <a href="mailto:fatoldken@yahoo.com">fatoldken@yahoo.com</a>.

Respondent's and Other Parties' Briefs are due on or before: 4:30 p.m. on **October 14, 2021**, **(no later than one Thursday prior to hearing date)**. The brief may be up to 12 pages in length with unlimited exhibits. It shall be doubled-spaced with a minimum 12-point font. An electronic copy should be emailed to: <a href="mailto:boardofappeals@sfgov.org">boardofappeals@sfgov.org</a>, julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org and Philip.cranna@sfmta.com.

The Board's physical office is closed to the public and hard copies of the brief do NOT need to be submitted.

Only photographs and drawings may be submitted by the parties at the hearing.

Hearing Date: **Wednesday, October 20, 2021, 5:00 p.m.,** via Zoom. Information for access to the hearing will be provided before the hearing date.

All parties to this appeal must adhere to the briefing schedule above, however if the hearing date is changed, the briefing schedule MAY also be changed. Written notice will be provided of any change to the briefing schedule.

In order to have their documents sent to the Board members prior to hearing, **members of the public** should email all documents of support/opposition no later than one Thursday prior to hearing date by 4:30 p.m. to <a href="mailto:boardofappeals@sfgov.org">boardofappeals@sfgov.org</a>. Please note that names and contact information included in submittals from members of the public will become part of the public record. Submittals from members of the public may be made anonymously.

**Please note** that in addition to the parties' briefs, any materials that the Board receives relevant to this appeal, including letters of support/opposition from members of the public, are distributed to Board members prior to hearing. All such materials are available for inspection on the Board's website at www.sfgov.org/boaYou may also request a copy of the packet of materials that are provided to Board members at a cost of 10 cents per page, per S.F. Admin. Code Ch. 67.28.

Filed electronically by Philip Cranna on behalf of the SFMTA.

# SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SFMTA HEARING SECTION

SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY,	
VS.	STATEMENT OF DECISION
LEUNG TRANSPORTATION	

Respondent

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This case came on for administrative hearing pursuant to a Complaint by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (hereafter the "SFMTA" or "Taxi Services") after the Complaint was sent to respondent Leung Transportation Corporation ("Leung") on or about September 25, 2020. The SFMTA Complaint alleges that Leung had transferred over 10% of its Corporation ownership of medallion # 433. Under Transportation Code 1109(d)(1)(A), a transfer of over 10% of a Corporation's stock ownership renders a Corporate-affiliated medallion null and void. On that basis, the SFMTA's Taxi Services had notified Leung on or about September 25, 2020, that medallion # 433 is ineligible for renewal.

Leung challenged the Complaint, and a video-conference hearing was scheduled by the Hearing Section for June 8, 2021, under the provisions of Article 1100 of the SFMTA's Transportation Code ("TC"). Article 1100 governs the rights granted to taxi medallion holders in San Francisco, as well as how hearings related to those rights are administered.

On June 8, 2021, Leung (represented by Ken Leung) appeared via telephone for this hearing. SFMTA Taxi and Accessibility Services manager Philip Cranna and analyst Danny Yeung, appeared by video, along with the undersigned administrative hearing officer, and testimony and other evidence from both parties was admitted into the record into evidence.

The terms "permit", "operating permit", and "taxi permit" are interchangeable for the purpose of this Decision.

#### II. APPLICABLE LAW

Under the provisions of Article 1100 of the Transportation Code, the following statutory authority forms the relevant basis for this decision.

STATEMENT OF DECISION: LEUNG TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION

Relevant to this case are these Article 1100 provisions:

- TC §1104(d)(1), regarding Annual Filing Required for Renewal
- TC §1105(a)(3), regarding permits as privilege, not property of the driver
- TC §1105(a)(6), involving compliance with laws and regulations
- TC §1105(a)(3), regarding the duration of permits
- TC §1109(c)(1), regarding the full-time driving requirement
- TC §1109(d)(1), regarding Permits Void on transfer or sale

Also relevant to this case are these Proposition K provisions:

- Prop K §1(a), regarding ownership of taxi permit (medallion)
- Prop K §2(d), regarding permits are only issued to a natural person
- Prop K §4(b), declaring the 60-day period to renew Pre-K permits Prop K §5(a), regarding Corporation Permit sale or transfer

#### III. TESTIMONY

#### A. SFMTA Testimony and Evidence: Philip Cranna and Danny Yeung:

Philip Cranna, an Enforcement and Legal Affairs Manager for SFMTA's Taxi Services, argued the case for the Taxi Services. Mr. Cranna argued that under the facts of the case, and the applicable laws stated above, Taxi Services was entitled to not renew Medallion # 433.

Danny Yeung, an administrative analyst for SFMTA's Taxi Services, laid a foundation for the exhibits offered by Taxi Services at the hearing. The exhibits included a Permit Issuance Record (Exh. A), Leung Corporate Filing (Exh. B), Copies of Stock Register (Exh. C), Annual Sworn Statement (Exh. D), Notice of Nonrenewal (Exh. E), Declaration of Quentin Kopp (Exh. F), Salesforce Medallion Profile (Exh. G), and the Request for Hearing (Exh. H).

Mr. Yeung testified that the SFMTA records accurately reflect that the ownership of Leung had been transferred over 10 percent cumulatively throughout the years between 2007 and 2020. A detailed record of the Corporation's transfers of ownership was presented during the hearing. Leung's annual reports to the SFMTA were also offered at the time and admitted into the record. Based on this documentary evidence, Taxi Services contends that the Leung medallion should be deemed null and void, and ineligible for renewal.

#### **B.** Leung Transportation Corporation Response

Leung did not dispute the Corporation's record of ownership transfers presented by the SFMTA. Leung testified that two of the original owners have died over the years since incorporation, and thus no longer held any portion of the Corporation's stock.

Leung maintained that the Corporation's stock transfers were appropriate, given that there were no clear instructions to medallion holders indicating that a transfer of a corporation's ownership of 10% each year is prohibited.

In addition, Leung argued that the medallion should be deemed renewable by its current Corporate stock holders, because all transfers of stock were properly reported to the SFMTA over the years (and never challenged by Taxi Services).

#### IV. PROP K FRAMEWORK

Proposition K was signed by State Senator Quentin Kopp and adopted by the City and County of San Francisco on June 6, 1978. Among the principal changes to the Taxi industry, Prop K specifies:

- 1) Pre-K medallions are identified as medallion/taxi permits held by individuals who received taxi permits prior to the effective date of Proposition K—June 6, 1978.
- 2) No Permit shall be issued except to a natural person and in no case to any business, firm, partnership or corporation (Prop K, section 2 [D]).
- 3) All persons, businesses, firms, partnerships corporation or other entities who possess outstanding permits to operate a motor vehicle for fire on the effect date of this section must surrender and exchange any such permit for new permits within 60 days of the effective date of this section (Prop K, section 4 [B]).
- 4) If any permittee is a corporation, any sale or other transfer of 10 percent or more of the stock ownership or assets of the permittee, resulting from any transaction or series of transaction and computed on a cumulative basis, will be deemed to be a sale or transfer and the permit therefore shall be null and void, unless approved by the Police Commission in conformity with the requirements of these Ordinance (Prop K, section 5[A]). This last section is codified by under TC § 1109(d)(1)(A), and is Taxi Services' basis for non-renewal.

#### 1. Medallion #433 Is a Post-K Medallion

According to the Complaint, Taxi Services alleges that medallion # 433 is a "Pre-K" medallion, even though it was issued on August 28, 1978. (See Exhibit A.) In addition, Taxi Services provided evidence to demonstrate that Leung was established as a corporation on or about March 30, 1978. (See Exhibit B.).

Accordingly, although Taxi Services has alleged that the Leung Corporation was formed to divert the foreseeable impact of Prop K, Taxi Services' documentation regarding Leung's Pre-K status is problematic. On its face TC § 1109(d)(1), applies only to pre-K medallions, but according to Prop K, the adoption date for Leung's medallion makes it a Post-K medallion and not Pre-K. If Leung Corporation is actually the holder of a Post-K medallion, it is not subject to the provisions of TC § 1109 (c)(3) which only applied to Pre-K medallions. The following timeline is crucial to the gravamen of this case:

Leung Corp. Established Prop K Adopted Medallion #433 Issued

The August 28, 1978, date of issuance was more than 60 days after the adoption of Prop K on July 6, 1978. Sixty days was the time limit set forth by Prop K for the Pre-K medallion holders to renew their existing permits. In issuing the Leung medallion over the time limit, the SFPD was not in compliance with Prop K, section 4(B), and arguably enabled Leung to operate into the future without the transfer restrictions prescribed for Pre-K corporations under TC 1109(d)(1)(A).

Taxi Services' subsequent lack of enforcement likely reinforced Leung's belief that it was operating a post-K medallion that was not subject to the transfer restriction under TC 1109(d)(1)(A).

#### 2. SFMTA Hearing Section Policy

It is the policy of this Hearing Section to tread conservatively when considering the loss of revenue to medallion holders since the rise of Lyft/Uber and the ongoing Covid crisis. In this instance, Leung was under the reasonable expectation that SFMTA accepted it as a post-K registered Corporation and that as such, it was free to transfer/sell shares of stock and still retain its medallion. Moreover, Leung was led to believe that the transfer process it engaged in was legal as its annual renewals, submitted in good faith, were never rejected by the Taxi Services. Thus, nothing in Taxi Services' enforcement history was available to disabuse Leung of its belief that it could transfer its shares in the manner it did without losing its medallion.

The SFPD issued a medallion to Leung on August 28,1978, past the deadline for pre-K issuance. There is nothing in the record indicating that SFPD attempted to correct this issuance, if it was indeed incorrect. Furthermore, Taxi Services received and filed the Leung's annual renewal report annually after 2003 without objection, despite candid information from Leung since 2008 that it had begun exceeding, cumulatively ten percent a year restriction on transfers of corporate shares. As the main enforcement agency and the only reporting agency known by Leung, Taxi Services failed to notify Leung that its Corporation ownership transfer action was illegal.

#### 3. Findings

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) issued a valid operating permit to Leung, an established corporation on August 28, 1978, past the deadline to include Leung under TC 1109(d)(1)(A).

On the basis of these considerations, I find that the SFMTA has not established, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Leung is a pre-K medallion subject to the transfer restrictions of TC 1109(d)(1)(A).

#### V. DATE OF DECISION

As noted above this case was heard on June 8, 2021, and ordinarily under TC §1120(e)(1) a decision would be due 30 days after the date of the hearing. However, a two week extension was requested and approved due to the expansive research of evidence. On that basis this decision is due to be filed and published on or before July 22, 2021.

#### VI. ORDER

By reason of the Findings stated above, the Taxi Service's Notice of Nonrenewal in this matter is denied. Medallion #433 is deemed renewable under the condition that Leung complies with all other renewal requirements, including affiliating with a Color Scheme within a reasonable time from the date of this Decision.

Dated this 22<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2021

Elaine Hou

Clains Hou

Neutral Hearing Officer SFMTA Hearing Section

#### RIGHT OF REVIEW

Under the provisions of the San Francisco Transportation Code, a decision of a hearing officer is a final administrative decision. Any party or entity adversely affected by this decision may seek review of the decision by filing an Appeal in accordance with the rules provided by the San Francisco Board of Appeals.

#### ATTACHMENT:

- 1) San Francisco Administrative Code Appendix 6 ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE REGULATION OF TAXICABS AND OTHER MOTOR VEHICLES FOR HIRE (Source: <a href="http://www.taxi-library.org/prop-k.htm">http://www.taxi-library.org/prop-k.htm</a>)
- 2) Overview of the San Francisco Taxi Industry and Proposition K A SHORT REPORT PREPARED FOR THE CHARTER REFORM WORKING GROUP A POLICY BODY OF THE SAN FRANCISCO TAXI COMMISSION (Source: <a href="http://www.medallionholders.com/docs/overview-of-prop-k.pdf">http://www.medallionholders.com/docs/overview-of-prop-k.pdf</a>)

# BRIEF SUBMITTED BY THE APPELLANT(S)

#### SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF APPEALS

2

SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL

TRANSPORTATION AGENCY,

LEUNG TRANSPORTATION,

vs.

Appellant,

Respondent.

1

3

45

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

16

15

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

26

28

27

Appeal No. 21-073

Medallion Permit No. 433

#### SFMTA TAXI DIVISION'S BRIEF

Hearing Date:

Wednesday, October 20, 2021

Time:

5:00 p.m.

Place:

City Hall, Room 416 [Zoom Remote Platform]

[Exemption from File Fees per Cal. Gov. Code §§ 6103(a)-(b]

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) challenges the decision of the Hearing Officer denying the SFMTA Taxi Division's decision not to renew Medallion #433, held by Leung Transportation Co., Inc. (Leung), a permitted Pre-K Corporate Medallion Holder. The Hearing Officer's decision is contrary to the Transportation Code and should be overturned. The decision was based upon the date of the reissuance of the Medallion following Proposition K (1978) (Prop K), resulting in an erroneous outcome.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The evidence before the Board of Appeals is undisputed, and Leung did not dispute the corporate records of ownership presented by SFMTA. Leung originally received a permit for Medallion #433 prior to the effective date of Prop K. When they passed Proposition K in 1978, San Francisco voters reformed the City's taxi medallion system. Post-K medallions were issued for free to active drivers, and each driver was limited to a single medallion. Proposition K imposed a full-time driving requirement on medallion holders who were issued Medallions after Prop K's enactment. Those that held Medallions prior to Prop K were allowed to retain their Medallions, which became

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Any capitalized terms that are not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed in Article 1100 of the San Francisco Transportation Code.

known as Pre-K Medallions. Corporate Pre-K Medallion holders were also allowed to retain their Medallions, provided that the Medallion would be null and void should a should a transfer of ten percent or greater occur.<sup>2</sup>

Following the enactment of Prop K, Leung was reissued a permit on or about August 28, 1978. [EXHIBIT 1]. On or about March 30, 1978, Leung Transporation incorporated and filed with the California Secretary of State. [EXHIBIT 2]. Pursuant to the Transportation Code, Pre-K Corporate Medallion holders must file a copy of the stock register annually as part of the renewal process. §1114(d)(1). 33,000 shares were issued by the corporation. [EXHIBIT 3].

In the 2003 corporate stock filing, the shareholders were listed as below. [EXHIBIT 3]

- Man Kwong Leung (hereinafter Man) 11,000/33%
- Choi Lan Lau Leung (hereinafter Choi) 11,000/33%
- Ken Shik Leung (hereinafter Ken) 11,000/33%

This ownership arrangement continued through 2005. In the 2006 renewal, the shareholders were listed as below. [EXHIBIT 4]

-	Man Kwong Leung	9,902/30%
-	Choi Lan Lau Leung	9,902/30%
-	Ken Shik Leung	9,902/30%
-	Chris P Leung (hereinafter Chris)	1,647/5%
_	Trevor P Leung (hereinafter Trevor)	1647/5%

In the 2007 renewal, the shareholders were listed as below. [EXHIBIT 5]

-	Man Kwong Leung	8,255/25%
_	Choi Lan Lau Leung	8,255/25%
-	Ken Shik Leung	9,902/30%
-	Chris P Leung	3,294/10%
_	Trevor P Leung	3,294/10%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Proposition K, Section 5 states "[i]f any permittee is a corporation, any sale or other transfer of 10 percent or more of the stock ownership or assets of the permittee, resulting from any transaction or series of transactions and computed on a cumulative basis, will be deemed to be a sale or transfer and the permit therefore shall be null and void, unless approved by the Police Commission in conformity with the requirements of this Ordinance."

1	In the 2008 renewal, the shareholders	were listed as below. [EXHIBIT 6]
2	- Man Kwong Leung	6,606/30%
3	- Choi Lan Lau Leung	6,606/30%
	- Ken Shik Leung	9,902/30%
4	- Chris P Leung	4,943/5%
5	- Trevor P Leung	4,943/5%
6 7	In the 2009 renewal, the shareholders	were listed as below. [EXHIBIT 7]
	- Man Kwong Leung	4,957/15%
8	- Choi Lan Lau Leung	4,957/15%
9	- Ken Shik Leung	9,902/30%
10	- Chris P Leung	6,592/20%
11	- Trevor P Leung	6,592/20%
12	In the 2010 renewal, the shareholders	were listed as below. [EXHIBIT 8]
13	- Man Kwong Leung	3,308/10%
14	- Choi Lan Lau Leung	3,308/10%
15	- Ken Shik Leung	9,902/30%
16	- Chris P Leung	8,241/25%
17	- Trevor P Leung	8,241/25%
18	In the 2011 renewal, the shareholders	were listed as below. [EXHIBIT 9]
19	- Man Kwong Leung	1,659/5%
20	- Choi Lan Lau Leung	1,659/5%
21	- Ken Shik Leung	9,902/30%
22	- Chris P Leung	9,890/30%
	- Trevor P Leung	9,890/30%
23	In the 2012 renewal, the shareholders	were listed as below. [EXHIBIT 10]
24	- Man Kwong Leung	330/1%
25	- Ken Shik Leung	9,902/30%
26	- Chris P Leung	11,384/34.5%
27	- Trevor P Leung	11,384/34.5%

Choi Lau Lan Leung was no longer listed as a shareholder in 2012. This ownership structure continued through 2015. In the 2016 renewal, Man Kwong Leung was removed as a shareholder as below. [EXHIBIT 11]

-	Ken Shik Leung	10,000/30.3%
-	Chris P Leung	11,500/34.85%
_	Trevor P Leung	11,500/34.85%

This is the current listed ownership structure as filed in the 2020 renewal documents.

On or about May 20, 2020, Leung filed for renewal, which includes the current shareholder listing. [EXHIBIT 12]. After review of Leung's documents, SFMTA issued a Notice of Nonrenewal on or about September 25, 2020. [EXHIBIT 13].

#### **ARGUMENT**

Pursuant to §1105(a)(5), "Unless earlier revoked or suspended, all permits shall expire one year following their issuance or renewal, or on another date as specified by the SFMTA." As a condition of renewal, "a Permit Holder must pay the applicable Renewal Fee, meet the eligibility requirements required for new applicants listed in Section 1104, and may be required to sign a statement under penalty of perjury affirming eligibility for the permit."

The annual sworn statement is a declaration that Medallion Holders and their Medallion(s) are in compliance with the San Francisco Transportation Code.

Under Article 1100, permits are a privilege and are not the property of the Permit Holder [§1105(a)(3)]. Additionally, §1105(a)(6) requires that: "[e]very Permit Holder shall comply with... the provisions of this Article."

In 1978, voters passed Proposition K (1978) (hereinafter Prop K). Prop K was a voter passed initiative that changed the way Medallions were issued and held. Prior to the enactment of Prop K, Medallion Holders could hold more than one Medallion and they need not be an active taxi driver. Prop K was designed to put Medallions in the hands of working drivers. As part of the transition in regulation, Pre-K Medallion Holders were allowed to hold their Medallions as Pre-K Corporate Medallions, provided that the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The "statement [signed] under penalty of perjury affirming eligibility for the permit" is known colloquially as "annual sworn statement" in the San Francisco Taxi industry.

2
 3
 4

ownership of the Medallion remained the same. The purpose was to allow those Medallions to be held for a limited period of time. "Corporations have a theoretically infinite lifespan, so long as shares are traded or redeemed upon the death of individual shareholders. Section 5 [of Proposition K] offsets this unnatural lifespan by providing that a corporation 'dies' when it transfers a total of 10 percent or more of its stock or assets, just like a natural person eventually dies." (EXHIBIT 14].<sup>4</sup>

# A. <u>Leung's Medallion #433 is a Corporate Pre-K Medallion, not a Prop K Medallion</u>

The Hearing Officer based her decision upon the date on the reissued permit, August 28, 1978. She determined that #433 was actually a Prop K Medallion. However, this determination defies the express terms of Prop K and the Transportation Code because only one natural person may hold a Prop K Medallion. As the undisputed evidence shows, #433 is held by multiple people. Furthermore, Prop K Medallions are subject to the Full-Time Driving requirement and holders are required to hold an active Driver Permit (A-Card). SFMTA records do not show that any of the listed owners of Leung Transportation hold or have held an A-Card. As described by Hon. Quentin Kopp in his declaration, "[a]ll 1978 permitholders were required to surrender their old permits and obtain new permits, which would be subject to all the provisions of Proposition K." [EXHIBIT 14].

# B. Leung's Medallion is null and void due to a change in corporate ownership that exceeded 10% cumulatively

§1109(d) applies to Pre-K Corporate Medallion holders, and specifically states that "any Pre-K Corporate Medallion shall be deemed null and void and revoked if" the Medallion was sold or transferred after June 6, 1978. For purposes of this section, "a sale or transfer occurs upon a cumulative sale or transfer of either 10% or more of the stock or other ownership of the Medallion Holder, or 10% of the Permit Holder's assets since June 6, 1978…"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Exhibit 14 is a declaration signed by Hon. Quentin Kopp, a primary author of Proposition K. It is part of SFMTA's historical files and is produced to show the legislative intent of the regulations surrounding Corporate Pre-K Medallions. City Charter S.F. Charter §8A.101(b) "[o]nce adopted, Agency regulations shall thereafter supersede all previously-adopted ordinances governing motor vehicles for hire that conflict with or duplicate such regulations." The ten percent rule is codified in §1109(d).

1

Here, in the corporate documentation submitted by Leung [EXHIBIT 3-12], the filings show a transition of ownership over the years. The early shareholders Man, Choi and Ken each held 33% of the shares. In 2006, Trevor and Chris were added as shareholders, each receiving 5% at the expense of Choi and Man, respectively. Between 2006 and 2012, the shares of Man and Choi were gradually reduced, as Trevor and Chris' respective holdings gradually increased to the point that Choi was removed from the shareholders list in 2012 and Man in 2016.

In 2003, Man and Choi each held 33% of the shares of the Business Entity, respectively. By 2016, neither were listed as shareholders, and Trevor and Chris each held 34.85%. As the transfer of shares from Man and Choi were gradually shifted to Trevor and Chris, there was an ownership change of at least 66% of the shares, which exceeds the 10% limit codified in §1109(d)(1). As there was a greater than 10% ownership change, Medallion #433 is null and void.

#### CONCLUSION

Based upon the undisputed documentary evidence submitted by Leung, there was a change in ownership of 23,000 shares of the Pre-K Corporate Medallion, which is 70%. Because this transfer of ownership exceeded 10%, Medallion #433 is null and void and is not eligible for renewal.

For the foregoing reasons, the Board of Appeals should overturn the July 22, 2021 Hearing Officer decision and deem Medallion #433 null and void pursuant to §1109(d). Respectfully Submitted,

Date: <u>9.30.21</u>

Philip Cranna

Enforcement and Legal Affairs Manager

SFMTA Taxi Services

25

26

28

## **EXHIBIT LIST**

EXHIBIT 1	Permit T-433 8/28/78 <sup>1</sup>
EXHIBIT 2	Corporate Filing 5/31/07
EXHIBIT 3	Copies of Stock Register 2003-05
EXHIBIT 4	Copies of Stock Register 2006
EXHIBIT 5	Copies of Stock Register 2007
EXHIBIT 6	Copies of Stock Register 2008
EXHIBIT 7	Copies of Stock Register 2009
EXHIBIT 8	Copies of Stock Register 2010
EXHIBIT 9	Copies of Stock Register 2011
EXHIBIT 10	Copies of Stock Register 2012-15
EXHIBIT 11	Copies of Stock Register 2016-17
EXHIBIT 12	Annual Sworn Statement 5/20/20
EXHIBIT 13	Notice of Nonrenewal 9/28/20
EXHIBIT 14	Declaration of Quentin Kopp 3/18/98

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For privacy purposes, redactions have been made to Exhibits 1 and 3-12. Original copies are available to the Board of Appeals if requested.

# VEHICLE FOR HIRE PERMIT San Francisco Police Department

PERMIT NUMBER T = 433	TAXICAB	AUG 28 1978
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER
LEUNG TRANSPORTATION	-24th Ave.,S.F.CA	566-:

IN CONFORMITY WITH THE POLICE CODE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, PERMISSION IS HEREBY GRANTED TO OPERATE A VEHICLE FOR HIRE. THIS PERMIT IS NON-TRANSFERABLE AND NON-ASSIGNABLE EITHER EXPRESSLY OR BY OPERATION OF LAW.

SIGNATURE SMCN 87

AUG 1 1 1978

## State of California Secretary of State

# CERTIFICATE OF STATUS DOMESTIC CORPORATION

I, DEBRA BOWEN, Secretary of State of the State of California, hereby certify:

That on the **30th day of March**, **1978**, **LEUNG TRANSPORTATION CO.**, **INC.** became incorporated under the laws of the State of California by filing its Articles of Incorporation in this office; and

That said corporation's corporate powers, rights and privileges are not suspended on the records of this office; and

That according to the records of this office, the said corporation is authorized to exercise all its corporate powers, rights and privileges and is in good legal standing in the State of California; and

That no information is available in this office on the financial condition, business activity or practices of this corporation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I execute this certificate and affix the Great Seal of the State of California this day of May 31, 2007.



Jena Bowen

DEBRA BOWEN Secretary of State

14:57 SFTAXICOMMISSION → 16503495716

NO.473 D

### PART 4

## THIS SECTION IS TO BE COMPLETED BY CORPORATE PERMITTEE ONLY

1	NAME OF CORPORATION _	EUN6	TRANSPORT	A770N	Co. INC.
Corporate Posi	tion Name	Ма	iling Address	Res	idence Telephone
	MAN KWONGLEW	JU 34	- 24TH AVE	SF	650-430-3
President	Cila I Ant I An I Co	186	Ct 94122		· v
Vice President Secretary	KEN SHIK LEUN	J6 (	-		U
Treasurer	KEN SHOK LEU	44			UU
Other					
		9			
corneration?	m any transfers, of any nature o sheck yes, attach a complete wr			e stock or as	sets of the
	□ Yes			DANO	
Have there bee	on any changes in the membersh check yes, attach a complete wr	nip of the board of then explanation.	f directors or office	ers of the co	sporation?
	□ Yes			ZENO	
	STOCK	CHOLDERS IN	FORMATION		
On a separate ;	piece of paper, please list the fo	llowing informat	ion:		9
1.	Name, address and phone num	ber of all original	stockholders.	hue as t	BOVE
2.	Total number of shares origina	lly authorized by	the Corporation.	33,000	
3.	Total number of shares origina	lly issued by the	Corporation.	33,000	•
□ 4	Number of shares held by each	original stockho	lder and its percen	rage equival	ent of the original
5.	Name, address and phone num	ber of all stockho	lders in the corpor	nation as of t	he date of this filling.
6.	number of authorized shares. Name, address and phone num The total number of shares of number of authorized shares.	each current stock	cholder and its per	centage equi	ivalent of the current
I certify under	penalty of perjury under the la s form is true and correct.	ws of the State of	California that the	e informatio	n provided above and
	pard of Directors of the Corpora	SECRETA	Chorized to sign for	r such.	6/20/03

#### PART 4

## THIS SECTION IS TO BE COMPLETED BY CORPORATE PERMITTEES ONLY

Corporate Pos	tion Na	me ,	Mailing Address	Residence Telephone
President	MAN Ku	JONG LEUNG	- 24th gu	6to-430-
Vice President	CHO, LAN	LAU LEUNG	7 S.F. CA94122	4
Secretary	Kas 3	LEUNY		
Treasurer				
Other				
	any transfers, of any nat		reallocations of the stock or	assets of the corporation?
	□ Yes		'E	No
	any changes in the mem, attach a complete writte	The state of the s	directors or officers of the c	orporation?
	□ Yes		Ø	No
		STOCKHOLDER	S INFORMATION	
On a separate pi	ece of paper, please list the	ne following information	on:	
1.	Name, address and phone	number of all original	stockholders. Some	AS ABOVE
2. Total number of shares originally authorized by the Corporation. 33,000				
3.	Total number of shares or	riginally issued by the	Corporation. 33,00	
	Number of shares held by of authorized shares.	each original stockhol	, ,	ralent of the original number
		number of all stockho	lders in the corporation as of	
6. The total number of shares of each current stockholder and its percentage equivalent of the current number of authorized shares.				
I certify under p form is true and		ne laws of the State of C	California that the information	on provided above and attached to the
I am on the Boar	d of Directors of the Con	poration and I am author	orized to sign for such.	
Xo	Mal	Ster	linary	577/04
Signature Signature		Title		Date

Revised 03/16/04

#### PART 4

### THIS SECTION IS TO BE COMPLETED BY CORPORATE PERMITTEE ONLY

N	IAME OF CORPORATION	EUNG TRANSPORT	ATON C. Fre.
Corporate Posit	ion Name	Mailing Address	Residence Telephone
President Vice President Secretary	CHOI CAN LAW LEWNG	3 JUTZUF 4 SAIN FRANCISCOS	650 430 M4122
Treasurer Other	Ken Laugh		
corporation?	n any transfers, of any nature or a heck yes, attach a complete writte		e stock or assets of the
	□ Yes		Ľ No
	n any changes in the membership heck yes, attach a complete writte		eers of the corporation?
	□ Yes		SNo
STOCKHOLDERS INFORMATION			
On a separate p	iece of paper, please list the follo	owing information:	
1.	Name, address and phone number	r of all original stockholders.	SAMERS ABOVE
	Total number of shares originally		33,000
	Total number of shares originally		33 660
5	Number of shares held by each or number of authorized shares. Name, address and phone number	r of all stockholders in the corpor	ration as of the date of this filling.
6.	The total number of shares of eac number of authorized shares.	ch current stockholder and its per	centage equivalent of the current
attached to this	form is true and correct.		e information provided above and
I am on the Box	ard of Directors of the Corporation	on and I am authorized to sign for Statement	r such.
Signature	Title		Date

NAME OF CORPORATION LEUNG TRANSPORTATIONS CO. INC.				
NAME OF CORPORATION LEONG TRAN	SPORTATIONS	CO. INC.		
Corporate Position Name Mailing Address	4.70	Residence Telephone		
President MAN KWONG LEUNG	-24 AVE	SAN FRANCISCO		
Vice President CHOI LAN LAN LEUNG U CA 94122				
Secretary KENS LEWNG	11	650 430-		
Treasurer KEN S LOWY	Ч			
Other CHRES P. LEUNG	4	The state of the s		
TREJOR P. LEUNG	L	7		
Have there been any transfers of any nature or amount or other reallocheck Yes, attach a complete written explanation:  Yes  No	ocation of the stock or asset	ts of the corporation? If you		
Have there been any changes in the membership of the board of direct attach a complete written explanation:	ctors or officers of the corp	oration? If you check Yes,		
□ Yes □ No				
STOCKHOLDERS INF	ORMATION			
On a separate piece of paper, please list the following information:	MANI	Kewon4 13044 9902		
1. Name, address and phone number of all original stockholders  2. Total number of shares originally authorized by the Corporation 23,000 CHRIS P. LEWIL (647)  3. Total number of shares originally issued by the Corporation 23,000 TREVILL LEVIL (647)  4. Number of shares held by each original stockholder and its percentage equivalent of the original number of				
<ul> <li>authorized shares</li> <li>5. Name, address and phone number of all stockholders in the corporation as of the date of this filing</li> <li>6. The total number of shares of each current stockholder and its percentage equivalent of the current number of authorized shares</li> </ul>				
I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information provided above and attached to this form is true and correct.				
I am on the Board of Directors of the Corporation and I am authorize  Signature  Steep  Title	d to sign for such.	4(2406) Date		

THIS FORM MUST BE SUBMITTED IN PERSON TO THE TAXI COMMISSION

### THIS FORM MUST BE SUBMITTED IN PERSON TO THE TAXI COMMISSION

PART 4 Corporate Position Mailing Address Residence Telephone President Secretary Treasurer Other TREJOR P. LEUNG Have there been any transfers of any nature or amount or other reallocation of the stock or assets of the corporation? If you check Yes, attach a complete written explanation: Have there been any changes in the membership of the board of directors or officers of the corporation? If you check Yes, attach a complete written explanation: ☐ Yes On a separate piece of paper, please list the following information: Name, address and phone number of all original stockholders Total number of shares originally authorized by the Corporation 33000

Total number of shares originally issued by the Corporation 33 6000.

Number of shares held by each original stockholder and its percentage equivalent of the original number of authorized shares

5. Name, address and phone number of all stockholders in the corporation as of the date of this filing

 The total number of shares of each current stockholder and its percentage equivalent of the current number of authorized shares

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information provided above and attached to this form is true and correct.

I am on the Board of Directors of the Corporation and I am authorized to sign for such.

Signature

Title

Date 07

THIS FORM MUST BE SUBMITTED IN PERSON TO THE TAXI COMMISSION

#### THIS FORM MAY BE NOTARIZED OR SUBMITTED IN PERSON TO THE TAXI COMMISSION

PART 4 IRAMSPORTATION Corporate Position Mailing Address Name Residence Telephone 4 Secretary Treasurer 4 Other • I hereby attach a file stamped copy of Form SI200C for the previous calendar year (initial here): Have there been any transfers of any nature or amount or other reallocation of the stock or assets of the corporation **M** Yes, If you check Yes, attach a complete written explanation. No, I hereby declare that there have not been any changes or transfers of any nature which would constitute ground for revocation of my permit(s) under Municipal Police Code 1083. Have there been any changes in the membership of the board of directors or officers of the corporation? Yes, If you check Yes, attach a complete written explanation. No I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information provided above and attached to this form is true and correct. I am on the Board of Directors of the Corporation and I am authorized to sign for such. Signature STOCKHOLDERS INFORMATION On a separate piece of paper, please list the following information: 1. Name, address and phone number of all original stockholders

- Total number of shares originally issued by the Corporation 33 000
   Number of shares held by each original stockholder and its percentage equivalent of the original number of authorized shares
- 5. Name, address and phone number of all stockholders in the corporation as of the date of this filing

Total number of shares originally authorized by the Corporation 33,000

 The total number of shares of each current stockholder and its percentage equivalent of the current number of authorized shares

# THIS FORM MAY BE NOTARIZED OR SUBMITTED IN PERSON TO THE TAXI COMMISSION

PART 4

NAME OF CORPORATION LEWN G	TRANSPORTION CE	s, Ist,		
Corporate Position Name Telephone	Mailing Address	Residence		
President MAN KWONG LEUNG &	24 AVE	680-430-		
Vice President CHOI CAN LAW LEUNG	SAN FRANCISCO CA 94122	u		
Secretary KENS-LEUNG	PINEHURST (+	4		
Treasurer Kon S Full	smibere, a 94030	u		
Other CHES P. LEUNG		u		
I hereby attach a file <b>stamped</b> copy of Form SI-200C for calendar year (initial here): one from the Secretary of State. Note that it way take up to see Have there been any transfers of any nature or amount corporation?	_ (If you do not have a <b>stamped</b> copy, you six (6) weeks for delivery.)	will need to request		
Yes, If you check Yes, attach a complete writte	en explanation.			
No, I hereby declare that there have not been a constitute ground for revocation of my permit(s) under		which would		
Have there been any changes in the membership of the	e board of directors or officers of the co	orporation?		
Yes, If you check Yes, attach a complete written explanation.				
<b>≥</b> No				
I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Sattached to this form is true and correct.	State of California that the information	provided above and		
I am on the Board of Directors of the Corporation and I	am authorized to sign for such.	. 1		
Signature Title	Date			
STOCKHOLDE	ERS INFORMATION NAME LONG	towl 4957		
<ol> <li>On a separate piece of paper, please list the following in</li> <li>Name, address and phone number of all original states.</li> <li>Total number of shares originally authorized by the</li> <li>Total number of shares originally issued by the Cord.</li> <li>Number of shares held by each original stockholde authorized shares</li> </ol>		LEUNY 4957  WEUNY 4957  JEUNY 6592  LEUNY 6592  Original number of		
<ul><li>5. Name, address and phone number of all stockhold</li><li>6. The total number of shares of each current stockhold</li></ul>				

authorized shares

PRIGINAL STOCKHUDERS MAN KNONG LEUNG Offer LAN LAU LEUNG KENS. LEUNG

CHROS P. LEUNY TREVOR P. LEUNG ZAHAUE, SAN FRANCISCO, 415-731 24 ALE SANFRANCISCO, 650-430.

PINEHURST CT, MILLBRAE, CA, 65043

ORIGINAL ANTHORIZED SHARES

33,000

OLIGINAL SHARES DSSUED 33,000

NUMBER OF SHAPES & HERCIENTAGE

3308 SHAPES 10% MANKWONG LEUNG 3308 SHARES 10% CHOI CANLAU LEUNG KEN S. LEUNG 9902 SHAPES 30% 8241 SHARES CHETS P. CEUNG 25% 824 SHARES TREFOR 1. LEUNG 25%

NAMES & ADDRESSES & PHONE NUMBERS

Sures Held. Senson 4(30/2010

RECEIVED APR 30 2010 SFMTA Division of Taxis & Accessible Services

ORIGINAL STOCKHLOERS

MAN KUVING LEWING > 24 THUE, SANFRANCISCO, 415-734
CHOI LANLAW LEWING

KEN S LEWING

CHEES PLEWING

TRESTOR P LEWING

TRESTOR P LEWING

TO PROPERTY OF THE MINE PLEWING

THE STOCK HOLDERS

PINEHURST CT, MILLBRATE, CA, 650-430-

ORIGINAL AUTHORIZED SHARES

33,000

ORIGINAL SHARES ISSUED

33,000

NUMBER OF SHARES & PERCENTAGE

MAN KWONG LEWIG	1659 SHARES	5%
CHO, LAN LAN LEWIS	1659 SHARES	5%
KEN S LEUNG	9902 SHARES	30%
CHIPPS PLEUNG	9890 SHARES	30%
TRELOR PLEING	9890 SHARES	30%.

Lendern 6

A/20(200)

ORIGINAL STOCKHOLDERS

MAN KWONG LEUNC

24 HAVE, SAN FRANCISCO, 415-73 CA 94122

KENS. LEWG OHERS P. LEWG TREVOR P. LEWG

PINEHURST CT, MILLBRAF, CA 94030 650

OPIGINAL ANTHORIZED SHAPES

33,000

BRIGINAL SHAPES SISSUED 33,000

NUMBER OF SHARES & PERCENTAGE

MAN KNOW LOURZ	330 SHARES	1%
KEN S. LEWING	9,902 SHARES	30%
CHARS P. LEUNG	11,384 SHARES	34.5%
TREVOR P. LEUNG	11,384 SHARES	34.5%

Leskery 5/2/2012

RECEIVED

MAY 03 2012

SFMTA Division of Taxis 8
Accessible Services

## ORIGINAL STECKHOLDERS

MAN KWONSL LEUNG

Kon S. Lowk atters p. LEUNE TREVORP. LEVAL

24th AVE, SANFRACISCO CA 94122 415-731-

PINEHURST CT, MULBRAGE

CA 94030 650-430-

OFIGINAL ANDHORITED SHORES

33000

ORIGINAL SHARES ESSUED

33,000

RECEIVED

MAY 03

SFMTA Division of Taxis & Accessible Services

NUMBER OF SHAKES & PERCENTAGE

MAN KNIONZ LEWIZ

330 SHARES

1%

KENS LEWY

9902 SHARES

30%

CHRIS P. LEWY

11,384 SAMES

34.5%

TREVERP. COUNC ..

11,384 SHARES

34.59

5/3/13 To submit

California F1-200

Dellary 5/3/2013

Guy

MIGNAL STOCKHOLDERS

MAN KWONSL LEUNG

THEYOR P. LEVAL

24th AVE, SANFRACISCO CA 94122 415-731-

CA 94030

650-430-

ORIGINAL ANTHORITED SHARES

33,000

GRIGINAL SHARES ESSUED

33,000

RECEIVED

MAY 03 70

SEMITA Division of Taxis & Accessible Services

NUMBER OF SHOKES & PERCENTAGE

MANKWONZ LEWZ 330 SHARES 1%

Ken's Lound 9902 SHORES 30%

CHASS P. LENG 11,384 SPIRAS 34.5%

TREVERP. LAUNC. 11,384 SHORES 3459

CALIDADA STORES OF THE STORES

## LEUNG TRANSPORTATION CO, INC.

O THINAL STOCK HOLAGES

MAN KWONG LEUNG

24 HAVE, SANTRANCISCO, CA 94122 650-430

CHRISPLEURG TREWORP. LEURG

PINEHURST GT MILLBRAE, CA 94030

OffGINAL AUTHORITED SHARES
33,000
ORIGINAL SHARES TO CHES

Balling SHAMES ESSUED

MLMBER OF SHARES & PERCENTAGE

MAN KWONG LEUNG KEN S. LEUNG	330 SHARES	12
CHROS P LEUNG	9,902 SHARES	30% 34.5%
TREWRP LEUNG	11,384 SHARES	34.59

Dertheury 5/29/2015

## LEUNG TRANSPORTATION CO., FAC.

# ORIGINAL STOCKHOLDERS

KENS. LEUNG

CHPIS P. LEUNG

TREVER P. LEUNG



ORIGINAL AWATHORIZED SHARES

33,000

OFIGINAL SHARES ISSUED

33,000

## MAMBER OF SHARESS PERCENTAGE

KEN S. LEUNG

CHRIS P. LEWNY

TROVER P. LEUNG

10,000 HAMES 30-30%

11,500 SHARES 34.85%

11,500 SHARES 34.85%.

Olynos 5/3/2016

# EUNG TRANSPORTATION CO., INC.

ORIGINAL STOCKHOLDERS

KENS, LEUNG CHRIS P. LEUNG TRENOR P. LEUNG

PINEHURST G., MILLBRAE, CAGGOS. T. 650.430.

ORIGINAL AUTHORIZED SHAPES 33,000 ORIGINAL SHAPES ISSUED 33,000

NUMBER OF SHARES & PERCENTAGE

KENS, LEWAL CHRES P. LEWA TREBR P. LEWA 10,000 SHARES 30.30%.
11,500 SHARES 34.80%

11,500 SHARES 34.85%.

A/24/2017



#### 2020 Annual Sworn Statement Pre-K & Corporate

In compliance with the provisions of SFMTA Transportation Code, Division II, Article 1100 § 1114(c) and (d), the undersigned Taxi Medallion Holder(s) hereby makes a sworn statement as follows: PART 1 Do you have any other permits or licenses from other governmental bodies relating to the operation of Motor Vehicles for Hire? Yes (If Yes, you must attach copies of such permits or licenses) I (We) hereby declare that all drivers driving medallion number(s) T-433 that are mandated by the State of California to be covered by "Worker's Compensation" insurance, are so covered I (We) and all those operating under this medallion are in compliance with appropriate California and City and County of San Francisco laws pertaining to proper driver licenses, all pertinent rules adopted by the SFMTA Taxi Services, the SFMTA Transportation Codes, all applicable San Francisco Municipal Police Code sections, all California Vehicle Codes, all California Workers' Compensation Laws and Regulations, and all other pertinent local, state and federal laws applicable to the operation of a Medallion Number(s): Social Security Number (Last 4 Digits): Medallion Holder(s) Name(s): Residence Address of Medallion Holder: A P.O. Box is not permitted Mailing Address if different from above: Taxi Company Name Taxi Company Phone: Taxi Company Address: Residence Phone: ( Cellular Phone: Medallion Holder Email Address: Print legible Write the names of all taxi companies that your medallion has been associated with in the last five (5) years: I (We) hereby declare that I have not committed any violations that would constitute grounds for revocation of my permit under San Francisco Transportation Code Section 1118. I (We) further declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information provided on this form, attached explanations where applicable and any attachments hereto, are true and correct. Executed on Medallion Holder(s): Signature (Second Named Medallion Holder)

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

Print Name

CA Driver License Number

San Francisco, CA 94103

Expiration Date

SFMTA.com



NAME OF COPPORATION

1-11-

LEUNG TRANSPORTATION CO. INC.

Plane and the College of the College		
Please provide the following information for each position listed below: <u>Corporate Position</u> <u>Name</u> <u>Mailing Address</u> <u>Residence Telephone</u>		
President KEN 5. KEING		
Vice President CHRTS P. LEVING /INEH41857 G		
Secretary KEN S. LGANL MILLBRATE EA 9463		
Treasurer ASI S. LEUNG		
Other VICE-PRESIDENT - TREVER P. LEWIL		
I hereby attach a file stamped copy of Form SI-200C from the California Secretary of State for the previous calendar year (initial here): (If you do not have a stamped copy, you will need to request one from the Secretary of State. Note that it will take up to six (6) weeks for delivery.)		
I hereby attach a file <b>stamped</b> copy of Form SI-200C from the California Secretary of State for the previous calendar year (initial here): (If you do not have a <b>stamped</b> copy, you will need to request one from the Secretary of State. Note that it will take up to six (6) weeks for delivery.)  Have there been any transfers of any nature or amount or other reallocation of the stock or assets of the corporation?		
Yes, If you check Yes, attach a complete written explanation.		
No, I hereby declare that there have not been any changes or transfers of any nature which would constitute ground for revocation of my permit(s) under Transportation Code § 1109(d).		
Have there been any changes in the membership of the board of directors or officers of the corporation?		
Yes, If you check Yes, attach a complete written explanation.		
No No		
I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information provided above and attached to this form is true and correct.		
I am on the Board of Directors of the Corporation and I am authorized to sign for such.		
Signature Date 5 20 20		
STOCKHOLDERS INFORMATION		

On a separate piece of paper, please list the following information:

- 1. Name, address and phone number of all original stockholders
- 2. Total number of shares originally authorized by the Corporation
- 3. Total number of shares originally issued by the Corporation
- Number of shares held by each original stockholder and its percentage equivalent of the original number of authorized shares
- 5. Name, address and phone number of all stockholders in the corporation as of the date of this filing
- The total number of shares of each current stockholder and its percentage equivalent of the current number of authorized shares.

#### STOCKHOLDERS INFORMATION

#### **ORIGINAL STOCKHOLDERS**

KEN S. LEUNG	CT, MILLBRAE, CA 94030	650.4
CHRIS P. LEUNG	CT, MILLBRAE, CA 94030	650.
TREVOR P. LEUNG	CT, MILLBRAE, CA 94030	650.

#### **ORIGINAL AUTHOURIZED SHARES**

33,000

#### **ORIGINAL SHARES ISSUED**

33,000

#### NUMBER OF SHARES & PERCENTAGE

KEN S. LEUNG	10,000 SHARES	30.30%
CHRIS P. LEUNG	11,500 SHARES	34.85%
TREVOR P. LEUNG	10,000 SHARES	34.85%

5/20/2020 Blkm



London Breed, Mayor

**Gwyneth Borden**, Chair **Amanda Eaken**, Vice Chair **Cheryl Brinkman**, Director Steve Heminger, Director Sharon Lai, Director

Jeffrey Tumlin, Director of Transportation

## NOTICE OF NONRENEWAL OF PERMIT AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING (Transportation Code 1105, 1120)

September 28, 2020

Leung Transportation Co. Inc. 24th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94122

Re: Medallion #0433

Dear Leung Transportation Co. Inc.:

You are being sent this notice because you have not yet fulfilled the requirements to renew your permit. SFMTA staff has made repeated attempts to notify you of your compliance deficiencies via emails, phone calls and letters.

SFMTA is acutely aware of the impact of the pandemic on the taxi industry and has made several efforts to assist drivers and the industry at large, including extending the permit term beyond the end of the fiscal year. SFMTA's goal is compliance and you may have an opportunity to come into compliance.

You have 20 business days from the date of this notice to come into compliance or request a Hearing. Please be advised that Nonrenewal is a last resort. Instructions for filing an appeal are below.

NOTICE OF NONRENEWAL OF PERMIT is hereby given due to the following reason(s):

- 1109(a)(1): Your medallion is not affiliated with a color scheme as required by the Transportation Code.
- 1109(d)(1): Your Pre-K Corporate Medallion is null and void due to a transfer of at least 10% of the stock or other ownership. For the purposes of this Section, a sale or transfer occurs upon a cumulative sale or transfer of either 10% or more of the stock or other ownership of the Medallion Holder, or 10% of the Permit Holder's assets since June 6, 1978.



Pursuant to 1120(a), a Permit Holder who receives Notice of Nonrenewal may request a hearing by submitting to SFMTA a request for hearing, in writing, within 20 business days of the date that the Notice of Nonrenewal was served. If you would like to request a hearing regarding this Notice of Nonrenewal, you may submit your request in writing electronically to <a href="mailto:SFTaxi@sfmta.com">SFTaxi@sfmta.com</a> or by US Mail:

Taxis and Accessible Services Attn: Taxi Hearings 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Please be advised that failure to timely request a hearing will result in the nonrenewal of your permit and such action will be considered final and not subject to further administrative or judicial review.

Philip Cranna

Enforcement and Legal Affairs Manager

Taxis & Accessible Services

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

1	I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California. I am		
2	over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is One South Van		
3	Ness Ave., Seventh Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103	3.	
4	The foregoing documents described as a Not	ice of Nonrenewal from SAN FRANCISCO	
5	MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY,	ΓΑΧΙ SERVICES, was served on the	
6	person(s) named below by placing true copies in an envelope addressed as below or as shown on		
7	the attached service list.		
8			
9 10 11 12	Hearing Officers Henry Epstein James Doyle San Francisco, Municipal Transportation Agency One South Van Ness Avenue, Sixth Floor San Francisco, CA 94103	Medallion: 0433 Leung Transportation Co. Inc. 24th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94122	
13 14	☑ BY MAIL		
15 16 17 18	☑I sealed such envelope and placed it for collection and mailing on the above date at my business address following ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with my employer's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service. In the ordinary course of business, such correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage fully paid on the same date it is placed for collection.		
19	□ PERSONAL DELIVERY		
20 21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of true and correct.	the State of California that the foregoing is	
22	Executed on <b>September 28, 2020</b> San Francisco, Ca	alifornia.	
23	Ivonne Moreno	/s/	
24	Typed or Printed Name	Signature	
25			
26			
27			
28			

#### **DECLARATION OF QUENTIN L. KOPP**

- I, QUENTIN L. KOPP, do declare that:
- (1) I am now, and have been since December 1, 1986, a member of the California Senate representing the Eighth Senatorial District which comprises the western portion of the City and County of San Francisco and northern portion of San Mateo County. I have been a member of the State Bar of California and duly admitted to practice law in all the courts of the State since January 26, 1954.
- (2) I was elected to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco in November, 197l and served as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County from January 8, 1972 until November 30, 1986.
- (3) In late 1977 and early January of 1978, in consultation with then-Supervisor John J. Barbagelata, I drafted and redrafted an initiative ordinance regulating the issuance and operation of taxicab permits. That measure was placed on the ballot as Proposition K at the June, 1978 election, and adopted by the voters.
- (4) I am informed that a number of corporations have now sought approval from the Police Commission of the sale or transfer of more than 10 percent of their corporate stock or assets. I am further informed that the Police Commission has disapproved the transfers, and, as required by Proposition K, ordered the immediate revocation and surrender of all the permits held by the applicant corporations. I am further informed that corporate applicants have appealed those decisions to the Board of Appeal. The only appropriate result in this case is for the Board to uphold the decision of the Police Commission, disapproving the subject transfers and

ordering the immediate revocation and surrender of all the permits held by the applicant corporations.

#### Purpose and Operation of Proposition K

- (5) The primary purpose of Proposition K was to place permits in the hands of working drivers. Therefore, Proposition K provided that upon its adoption, permits would be nontransferable. Only natural persons who were willing to fulfill the measure's driving requirement could receive taxicab permits. All 1978 permitholders were required to surrender their old permits and obtain new permits, which would be subject to all the provisions of Proposition K.
- (6) Some of those holding taxicab permits in 1978 were corporations. In drafting Proposition K, Supervisor Barbagelata and I decided not to strip corporations of their permits immediately, but to allow corporations to obtain the "new" or replacement permits on the same basis as natural persons who held permits prior to the June, 1978 municipal election.
- (7) Issuing new permits to corporations on the same basis as natural persons meant preventing transferability and providing for expiration. Under Proposition K, a natural person may not sell or transfer his or her permit, and loses his or her permit when he or she dies. The permit may then be issued to another driver/applicant, in keeping with the overriding policy of Proposition K to grant permits to actual drivers. Without some special provision, corporations could evade both of those restrictions. They could effectively transfer ownership of the permit by selling the stock of the corporation that held the permit, and could hold the permits in perpetuity by continually adding new shareholders as previous shareholders died or transferred their interests.

111

#### Section 5

(8) To address these problems, Supervisor Barbagelata and I included Section 5 in Proposition K. Section 5 provides, in relevant part:

SECTION 5. Corporate Permittee. (a) If any permittee is a corporation, any sale or other transfer of 10 percent or more of the stock ownership or assets of the permittee, resulting from any transaction or series of transactions and computed on a cumulative basis, will be deemed to be a sale or transfer and the permit therefore shall be null and void, unless approved by the Police Commission in conformity with the requirements of this Ordinance. \* \* \*

The purpose of Section 5 was effectively to "grandfather" corporately-held permits, so long as the ownership of the corporation remained the same. Under Section 5, if a corporation holding taxicab permits transfers or changes ownership of a cumulative total of 10 percent or more of its stock or assets, its permits are revoked by law.

(9) Section 5 was a compromise provision intended to allow the corporations a *limited* period of time to continue operating their permits, while providing for the return of those permits to the City in the same manner as permits held by a natural person. Corporations have a theoretically infinite lifespan, so long as shares are traded or redeemed upon the death of individual shareholders. Section 5 offsets this unnatural lifespan by providing that a corporation "dies" when it transfers a total of 10 percent or more of its stock or assets, just like a natural person eventually dies. The corporation's permits are then returned to the City for transfer to another permitholder. This provision prevents corporate permitholders from tying up permits indefinitely and preventing new permitholders from entering the market. It also prevents corporations from speculating in the value of the permits they hold by selling stock in the corporation.

- (10) Proposition K does allow the Police Commission to approve certain transfers, but only those transfers the Commission determines to be "in conformity with the requirements of [Proposition K]." The primary requirements of Proposition K, for these purposes, are that permits go to drivers and that permits be nontransferable.
- (11) Thus, in 1982, the Police Commission properly allowed Yellow Cab Company to acquire real property for a new garage through a property exchange with a third party, even though the property Yellow was surrendering represented more than 10 percent of its corporate assets. Similarly, Section 5 would not bar a corporate permitholder from trading-in a significant portion of its vehicle fleet as part of the purchase of new vehicles, even if the vehicles it was surrendering represented more than 10 percent of the corporation's assets. Such transfers do not involve a change in the ownership or control of the corporation; they do not delay the corporation's eventual surrender of its taxicab permits or allow the corporation to trade on the perceived market value of the permits it holds.
- (12) Section 5 clearly bars the types of transfers that recently have been presented to the Police Commission for approval. Proposition K does not contain any exception for transfers where the transfer is between original shareholders or where the stock is repurchased by the corporation. I would never have included such exceptions if they had been proposed in 1977 or 1978. Prior to placing Proposition K on the ballot, I authored two virtually identical measures that were passed by the Board of Supervisors in 1977 but were subsequently vetoed by the Mayor. No exceptions for intra-corporate transfers or corporate stock transfers were even discussed in the several public hearings on those measures. No such exceptions were ever discussed by me with any party while drafting Proposition K in late December, 1977 and the first week of January, 1978.

- (13) Such transfers would undermine the purposes of Proposition K as surely as outright sales to third-parties. They would unnaturally prolong a corporation's possession of the permits and allow shareholders to profit from increases in the "market value" of the permits, whether one shareholder owns a greater share of the corporation's permits when he or she buys stock in an individual transaction or the shareholders as a whole own a greater share in a corporation's permits when a corporation buys back stock and the shareholders' proportional interest in the permits increases.
- (14) Section 5 already gives corporate permitholders a significant protection (and advantage) by allowing them to sell or transfer up to a cumulative total of 10 percent of their corporate shares or assets without forfeiting their permits, instead of losing their permits immediately upon any sale or transfer. Meanwhile, the corporations reap significant benefits from operation of their permits, because they are not required to pay lease fees to another permitholder. The corporate permitholders have all held these permits, and reaped these benefits, for 20 years now; no corporate permits have ever been revoked based on Section 5.

#### **Pro Rata** Revocation

- (15) I am informed that the Police Commission found that the corporate permitholders involved in this case had exceeded the 10 percent limit on sales or transfers of corporate stock, and ordered the surrender of all the permits held by the corporate applicants. I am further informed that the Board of Appeal agreed that the threshold for revocation had been reached, but ordered the surrender of the corporately-held permits on a proportional or *pro rata* basis.
- (16) Section 5 provides that once a cumulative total of 10 percent of the corporate stock or assets have been sold or transferred, "the permit therefor shall be null and void." There is no merit to the argument that the reference to the "permit" (singular), rather than "permits" (plural),

means that a corporate holding more than one permit does not lose all its permits once it has exceeded the 10 percent limit. Even where the corporation holds more than one permit, *each* and every permit will suffer equally from the same defect -- 10 percent of stock of the corporation holding the permit has now been sold or transferred. Each permit is held by the corporation as a whole; the 10 percent of the corporate stock is not associated with a specific permit. Section 5 applies to all permits held by a corporation exceeding the 10 percent limit.

(17) It is the intent of Proposition K that *all* of a corporation's permits be forfeited once the 10 percent limit of Section 5 has been reached. Again, any other result violates the primary purposes of the measure. If a corporation surrenders only some of its permits, the corporation's possession of its remaining permits continues to extend beyond the cutoff *set by the voters* and those permits continue to be kept out of the hands of working drivers. In addition, the corporation is still able to take a speculator's profit upon the sales of its stock, based upon the value that the remaining corporate permits give that stock. *Pro rata* revocation, while perhaps to some having the appearance of a compromise solution, in fact defies the voter-approved compromise already struck in Section 5 and thwarts the goals and policies of Proposition K, which, after all, is a voter-adopted law and entitled to even greater weight than other ordinances.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 18, 1998, at Sacramento, California.

QUENTÍN L. KOPP

### LEUNG TRANSPORTATION CORP DID NOT SUBMIT A BRIEF