

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

EDWIN M. LEE MAYOR

SCOTT R. HELDFOND PRESIDENT

E. DENNIS NORMANDY VICE PRESIDENT

> DOUGLAS S. CHAN COMMISSIONER

> > KATE FAVETTI COMMISSIONER

GINA M. ROCCANOVA COMMISSIONER

JENNIFER C. JOHNSTON EXECUTIVE OFFICER Date: April 7, 2014

To: Civil Service Commission

From: Luz Morganti Juz Masganti Senior Personnel Analyst

Subject: Progress Report - Salary Setting for the City and County of

San Francisco Board of Supervisors for a Five (5) Year Cycle, Effective July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2019, in

accordance with Charter Section 2.100

Background of Salary Setting for Member, Board of Supervisors

Historically, salary setting for the Board of Supervisors was the responsibility of the City and County of San Francisco voters. However, on November 5, 2002, the voters approved an amendment to the Charter making the position of Member, Board of Supervisors full-time and delegated salary setting to the Civil Service Commission.

The Civil Service Commission (Commission) first set the salary for the Board of Supervisors on May 19, 2003 for a one-year cycle beginning July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004. At the Civil Service Commission meeting of May 17, 2004, the Commission set the salary for the Board of Supervisors at \$90,000 for a five (5) year cycle, effective July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2009. The Commission also acted to increase the salary for each fiscal year effective July 1, 2005 based on the CPI-U reported in January of each year and not to exceed 5%. In addition, the Commission directed that the salary will not decrease in the event the CPI-U falls below zero. (*Attachment A* is a historical chart of salary adjustments and reductions for the last ten (10) fiscal years)

Charter Section 2.100 - Composition and Salary

Charter Section 2.100 (Attachment B) directs the Commission to set the salary for the City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors. The Charter requires that the Commission conduct and consider a salary survey of California cities and counties with full-time City Councils and County Supervisors; transmit its salary determination to the Controller in a timely manner to ensure that funds are set aside and assure implementation; and the Commission may consider the Consumer Price Index (CPI) in its determination.

Progress Report – Salary Setting for Member, Board of Supervisors April 7, 2014 Page 2

Salary Concessions

If the City and County of San Francisco and employee organizations agree to amend the compensation provisions of existing memoranda of understanding to reduce costs, the Commission shall review and amend the Supervisors' salary as necessary to achieve comparable costs savings in the affected fiscal year or years.

Consumer Price Index

Charter Section 2.100 also states that the Commission may consider the Consumer Price Index (CPI) in its determination. The Commission has the discretion to adjust the Board of Supervisor salary based on the CPI-U that is reported in January of each year to account for upward annual movement in the CPI-U during the prior year, setting increases up to a maximum of 5%. In addition, the Commission directed that the salary will not decrease in the event the CPI-U falls below zero.

The CPI for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) includes wage earners and clerical worker households, groups such as professional, managerial, and technical workers, the self-employed, short term workers, the unemployed, and retirees and others not in the labor force. According to the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, the CPI-U is the most widely used measure of inflation. The index is used as a guide in making economic decisions and as a means for adjusting income payments. The Bureau also estimates that over two (2) million workers are covered by collective bargaining agreements which tie wages to the CPI.

When determining cost of living adjustments for Member, Board of Supervisors, the Commission considers the CPI-U for the San Francisco, Oakland and San Jose metropolitan area. The CPI-U change from December 2012 to December 2013 is a **2.6%** increase. (*Attachment C*)

Survey Data

California Government

California is divided into fifty eight (58) counties and contains four hundred eighty two (482) cities/municipalities. Of the fifty eight (58) counties, three (3) counties contain no incorporated cities: Alpine, Mariposa and Trinity.

Counties

There are forty nine (49) California counties that have full-time Board of Supervisors.

California counties serve a dual role to its residents in providing a vast array of municipal services and State services. The specific organizational structure of a county in California will vary from county to county. The state constitution provides for three (3) classes of county government: general law, charter, or consolidated city and county (which must be a charter unit). Within California, there are forty five (45) General Law counties which elect supervisors by district. The remaining twelve (12) Charter counties have the option of electing the Board of Supervisors at large or by district. Each county has five (5) Board of Supervisor members elected for four (4) year staggered terms, except for the City and County of San Francisco, which has eleven (11) Board of Supervisor Members and a Mayor.

City and County of San Francisco

In the Consolidation Act of 1856, San Francisco was established as a city and county, with coterminous boundaries, by the California State Legislature. Twelve (12) supervisory districts were created at that time. On November 5, 1996, the Charter was amended to provide for eleven (11) supervisory districts. San Francisco is the only consolidated city-county in California. The government structure of San Francisco is that of a charter city and is constituted of two co-equal branches. The executive branch is headed by the mayor and includes other citywide elected and appointed officials as well as the civil service. San Francisco's government structure is unique in that the mayor is also the county executive and the county Board of Supervisors acts as the city council. The eleven (11) member Board of Supervisors is the legislative branch and is headed by a president and is responsible for passing laws and budgets in addition to voter direct ballot initiatives to pass legislation.

Cities

As of July 1, 2011 there were a total of four hundred eighty two (482) incorporated cities within the State of California which are governed by general law or charter. Of the four hundred eight two (482) cities within California, only seven (7) have full-time Council Members. Three hundred sixty one (361) cities are governed by general law. General law cities are bound by the state's general law, regardless of whether the subject concerns a municipal affair. Government Code section 36501 authorizes general law cities to be governed by a city council of five members, a city clerk, a city treasurer, a police chief, a fire chief and any subordinate officers or employees as required by law. Whereas a charter city has supreme authority over "municipal affairs" and can provide for any form of government including the "mayor" and "city manager" forms.

Salary Survey of Member, Board of Supervisors & City Council Members

Salary information has been collected for the forty nine (49) counties and seven (7) cities within California that have full-time Member, Board of Supervisors and City Council Members. The data was obtained from County/City websites and/or by direct inquiry with County and/or City Human Resources Department staff, Clerks of the Board of Supervisors, or other county/city administrative staff.

Timeline

At the Commission Regular Meeting of May 19, 2014, a detailed staff report of the survey findings, salary analysis and recommendations to set the base salary for the City and County of San Francisco Member, Board of Supervisors will be presented.

CSC Meeting	Activity		
December16,	Presentation of preliminary work plan; outline of Civil Service		
2013 √	Commission responsibilities.		
April 7, 2014	Progress report.		
May 19, 2014			
	Civil Service Commission Regular Meeting; Commissioners make decision & set base salary; forward salary decision/notice of action		
	to the Controller for inclusion in the FY 2014-15 budget.		

Recommendation: Accept the Report.

Board of Supervisors History of Salary Adjustments and Reductions

Salary Adjustment & Reduction for Fiscal Year 2009-10

The Commission acted to *reduce 2.45% of the salaries* for Member, Board of Supervisors for Fiscal Year 2009-10. The 2.45% reduction was the weighted average in wage concessions made by employee organizations for FY 2009-10.

Salary Adjustment & Reduction for Fiscal Year 2010-11

Salary increase of 2.6% based on the CPI-U was processed first prior to implementation of reduction of salary. In Fiscal Year 2010-11, employee organizations and the City reached 2-year concession agreements effective July 1, 2010. For Fiscal Year 2010-11, the weighted average was 4.62%; and salaries were reduced 4.62%. The Commission acted to reduce 4.62% of the salaries for Member, Board of Supervisors for Fiscal Year 2010-11.

Salary Adjustment & Reduction for Fiscal Year 2011-12

Salary increase of 1.5% based on the CPI-U was processed first prior to implementation of reduction of salary. Fiscal Year 2011-12 was the 2nd year of agreed wage concessions. The second year weighted average was 4.16%. The Commission acted to reduce 4.16% of the salaries for Member, Board of Supervisors for Fiscal Year 2011-12.

Salary Adjustment for Fiscal Year 2012-13

The Commission acted to increase salaries by 2.9% based on the CPI-U.

Salary Adjustment for Fiscal Year 2013-14

The Commission acted to increase salaries by 2.2% based on the CPI-U.

Fiscal	CPI-U	Annual Salary
Year	Change/Reductions	
July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005	Set 5-Year Base**	\$90,000
July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006	1.2% Increase	\$91,080
July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007	2.0% Increase	\$92,902
July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008	3.2% Increase	\$95,875
July 1, 2008 – June 30, 2009	3.3% Increase	\$98,660
July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010	Set 5-Year Base**	base - \$98,660
	0% Increase	
MOU concessions per	2.45% Reduction	\$96,243
Charter*		
July 1, 2010 – June 30, 2011	2.6% Increase	\$101,225
MOU concessions per	4.62% Reduction	\$96,549
Charter*		
July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012	1.5% Increase	\$102,743
MOU concessions per	4.16% Reduction	\$98,469
Charter*		
July 1, 2012 – June 30, 2013	2.9% Increase	\$105,723
July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2014	2.2% Increase	\$108,049

City and County of San Francisco Charter

SEC. 2.100. COMPOSITION AND SALARY

The Board of Supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected by district.

The office of Board of Supervisors member is a full time position. The Civil Service Commission shall set the Supervisors' salary once every five years. Before the Commission determines the Supervisors' salary, it shall conduct and consider a salary survey of other full time California City Councils and County Boards of Supervisors and it may consider the Consumer Price Index (CPI).

The Civil Service Commission shall timely transmit its determination of the Supervisors' salary to the Controller, so that funds can be set aside for that purpose. The Controller shall include the Civil Service Commission's determination in appropriate budget documents to insure implementation. This determination may not be changed except by the Civil Service Commission.

The Civil Service Commission shall establish dates for an appropriate five-year cycle for making the determinations required by this Section, in order to efficiently coordinate with City budget processes and related procedures. In order to institute this five-year cycle the initial determination may be for less than a five-year period, as determined by the Civil Service Commission.

If the City and employee organizations agree to amend the compensation provisions of existing memoranda of understanding to reduce costs, the Civil Service Commission shall review and amend the Supervisors' salary as necessary to achieve comparable cost savings in the affected fiscal year or years.

The provisions of this Section shall apply, notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter. (Amended November 1996; June 1998; November 2002)

188.8 AVERAGE 151.6 155,1 160,4 165,5 172.5 180.2 193.0 198.4 198.8 202,7 209.2 216.048 222.767 227.469 239,650 224.395 233,390 245,023 152.1 156.3 161,9 166.9 182.6 203.9 210.6 227.944 174.2 191,1 199,5 223,804 1.7 193.7 225,484 196.1 234,698 217.367 SEMIANNUAL 153.9 170,8 198.2 201.5 207.9 221,730 158.9 188.7 192.3 226.994 232,082 64.2 177.7 214,736 223,305 238.099 162.6 190,6 193,2 195,3 199.5 203.4 156.0 174.5 210.4 239,533 167.4 184.1 218,528 224,239 234.327 245.711 218,485 227,658 149.8 152.4 156.9 162.6 2005 to Feb. 2006. 156.9 200,3 211.0 217,040 225.824 228,107 242.834 175.2 184.3 205.9 226.051 235.331 246.617 Table of over-the-year percent increases. An entry for Feb. 2006 indicates the percentage Increase from Feb. 2.6 2.6 3.4 149.4 152.3 156.3 161,6 Consumer Price Index, Alf Items, 1982-84=100 for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND-SAN JOSE 191.0 193.5 155.6 161.2 166,6 173.5 196.3 203.0 216,240 181.7 210.7 188.7 227.854 241.170 225,411 234,608 246.072 225.801 151,5 155,9 3.0 148.9 160.6 Western Information Office, 90 7th St., Suite 14-100, San Francisco, CA 94103 Information Staff (415) 625-2270 / Fax (415) 625-2351 3,63 65.5 190.9 196.3 199.0 201.2 228.110 151.7 155,2 160.0 179.1 193.2 209.1 225,692 239.806 245.835 216,123 225.181 233,646 148.3 151.3 159,8 155.1 148.0 153.9 193.0 197.3 198.3 202.5 208.9 222,074 223,854 159.6 164.6 172.2 178.7 189.1 234,121 215,842 227.697 238,985 244,675 1.2 148.2 151.1 152.9 MARCH 159.2 236,880 150.5 153.2 57.9 169.4 191.3 222.166 163.2 176.5 187.9 197.7 198.1 201.2 207.1 213,688 226,145 229,981 219,612 242.677 JAN 147.5 150.3 152.9 157.0 1,9 01/15/14 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2008 2009 1999 2000 2002 2003 2004 2002 2006 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 1995 1996 1997 1898 1888 2000 2001 2007 2010 1997 1998 2001 2007

J.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS