Hi Don,

My suggestion for agenda item #5 ("Evaluation Procedures for Director of Elections") of Wednesday's BOPEC meeting is to break question II.3. "Implements the Commission's policies." into multiple sub-questions, one for each policy that the Commission is aware of and has been tracking.

For example, for the Commission's open source voting policy, it can be (from the "resolved" clause of the Commission's Nov. 18, 2015 Open Source Voting Systems Resolution):

3. Implements the Commission's policies.

a) "to support and work towards the adoption of a fully open voting system, including supporting the development, testing, and certification of such a system."

Rating (1-5)
Comments:

I think it is more useful to enumerate the policies one by one instead of "policies" in the abstract, which the Director and individual Commissioners might have different ideas of.

I'm not sure if there is another policy that would make sense to list, at least for this year's evaluation. So perhaps it makes sense to have only one this time around.

Please forward my suggestion to BOPEC for inclusion in the agenda packet.

Thank you,
--Chris

On Tue, Sep 5, 2017 at 12:20 PM, Chan, Donald (REG) <donald.chan@sfgov.org> wrote:

> Commissioners
>
>
> Final call for any suggestions (questions) for the written survey for evaluating the Director. Please submit to me by tomorrow morning..   thanks

Don Chan, Secretary

San Francisco Elections Commission

Web: http://sfgov.org/electionscommission/
Twitter: https://twitter.com/SFElectionsComm