

Chan, Donald (REG)

From: Gerull, Linda (TIS)
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2019 12:42 PM
To: Donaldson, Roger (REG)
Cc: Jerdonek, Chris (REG); Mogi, Viva (REG); Chan, Donald (REG); Arntz, John (REG)
Subject: RE: SFEC 16 Jan 2019 invite & CGJ Recommendations Open Source Voting follow-up

Hello Roger,

Very sorry but I will not be able to make the meeting tonight. John and I have talked and his report contains the OSV updates which are: (1) Michael Kelly will not be joining the team as a project manager and we will be looking for a replacement, (2) we have submitted the COIT budget request for the project and (3) work continues on the State of Open Source Voting Projects Analysis/Report.

With regard to your CGJ questions, the following is my response:

1. Organize and maintain a web site – YES, this will be part of the OSV project and DT will build and maintain the site
2. Publishing a quarterly summary of the state of the OSV project. – Since I am providing regular status to the Elections Commission, and there are meeting minutes taken, I hope that is sufficient. Certainly the major deliverables and reports will be posted on the web site.
3. Conduct a systematic evaluation of partner interest in using the OSV system developed in SF and reach out to all Departments of Elections in all counties within California – YES, we can do this. The timing of when to invite this discussion is a question – if we do it early in the project, agencies may not believe the project is real and if we do it later we could miss someone who would help us fund. We could do multiple surveys and see what comes back. Please know that the Ste of Open Source Voting Projects Analysis is intended to identify the possible existing projects that would be a good partner for the City and we would contact and do deep discussions with these soon.
4. Establish a coalition of supportive non-profit organizations -YES, this is part of the project with even a broader definition of inviting the SF community to participate on a working group to help work on aspects of the project.

Hope this helps. Please email me if you have questions,

Regards,

Linda

Linda J. Gerull
City CIO
Executive Director | Department of Technology
City and County of San Francisco

628.652.5182 | linda.gerull@sfgov.org
@SFCityCIO | sfgov.org/dt



From: Donaldson, Roger (REG) <roger.donaldson@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 16, 2019 11:55 AM
To: Gerull, Linda (TIS) <linda.gerull@sfgov.org>
Cc: Jerdonek, Chris (REG) <chris.jerdonek@sfgov.org>; Mogi, Viva (REG) <viva.a.mogi@sfgov.org>; Chan, Donald (REG) <donald.chan@sfgov.org>
Subject: SFEC 16 Jan 2019 invite & CGJ Recommendations Open Source Voting follow-up

Hi Linda -- Happy New Year! I hope this finds you doing well. I have a couple items for you

1 -- we have our regular Elections Commission meeting tonight at 6:00 p.m. City Hall, Room 408 Open Source Voting our 3rd agenda item. I invite you or your designee to provide an update at the meeting. Agenda and Packet are here: <https://sfgov.org/electionscommission/>

2 -- In our submission to the Civil Grand Jury, we had 4 recommendations that we deferred as Further Analysis Required. I have told the CGJ I would close these items out by the end of this month. I think these are within the scope of DoT Open Source Voting Project. This may require further discussion, but if you agree that DoT will do these then I'd like to provide a final response to CGJ that they will be implemented by DoT and a timeframe. Let me know if you'd like to discuss in principle and/or details.

...CGJ Recommendations where DF Elections Commission responded as requiring further analysis and which, I believe, should be with DoT for ongoing implementation/maintenance:

R3. Recommends the Election Commission's OSVTAC should **organize and maintain a website** to serve as an informational portal on the OSV project. This should include links to (and summaries of) all reports written on the subject (including by the SoS, EC, OSVTAC, CGJ, Slalom, BoS). This resource should be completed by October, 1 2018, and be updated consistently. (F2, F3)

Response option 3 – Further Analysis Required

This recommendation will be implemented in the recommended timeframe. In the short term, the Commission will ask OSVTAC to do it.

The Elections Commission does not have adequate resources to implement this recommendation on an ongoing basis. Further analysis will be required to determine the responsibility and resourcing. The Elections Commission will provide an update for this recommendation no later than 28 December 2018.

R4. Recommends **publishing a quarterly summary of the state of the OSV project**. The report should include: an estimate of the completion date, current cost projections, and highlight emerging issues. Until a Program Manager is hired, the reports should be authored by the EC, and afterwards, the report should be authored by the program manager. Reports should commence October 1, 2018, and continue at the start of each quarter until project completion. (F2, F3)

Response option 3 – Further Analysis Required

The Elections Commission does not have adequate resources to implement this recommendation. Further analysis will be required to determine responsibility and resourcing. The Elections Commission will provide an update for this recommendation no later than 28 December 2018.

R9. Recommends that San Francisco's Elections Commission **conduct a systematic evaluation of partner interest in using the OSV system developed in SF**. This evaluation should reach out to all **Departments of Elections in all counties within California**, focusing on potential use and cost sharing. This analysis and reporting should be completed by April 1st, 2019. (F7, F9, F10, F11)

Response option 3 – Further Analysis Required

The Elections Commission does not have adequate resources to implement this recommendation. Further analysis will be required to determine responsibility and resourcing. The Elections Commission will provide an update for this recommendation no later than 28 December 2018.

R12. Recommends that the **Elections Commission establish a coalition of supportive non-profit organizations in a formal structure to support the project**. This list of collaborators and contacts should be constructed and published by January 1st, 2019. (F14, F16)

Response option 3 – Further Analysis Required

The Elections Commission does not have adequate resources to implement this recommendation. Further analysis will be required to determine responsibility and resourcing. The Elections Commission will provide an update for this recommendation no later than 28 December 2018.