PACKET MATERIALS

DATE November 20, 2009

Item No. <u>4 & 5</u>

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST *

Staff Memorandum from LAFCo Staff, dated November 20, 2009
Basic Program Report Attachment

Exceeds 20 pages; see file to review
 Available for review at City Hall, Room 244

Completed by: <u>Alisa Somera</u> Date: <u>November 17, 2009</u>

* This list reflects the explanatory documents provided.

San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689 Tel. 415.554.5184 Fax. 415.554.5163

- TO: San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission
- FROM: Nancy Miller, Interim Executive Officer Jason Fried, Senior Program Officer
- DATE: November 20, 2009
- SUBJECT: Items #4 and #5: <u>Report from LAFCo on CCA activities: Work Plan for</u> <u>Staff and Consultants Request for Extension of Consultant Contracts</u>. (Discussion and Action Item)

The Commission requested a Work Plan from the staff outlining next steps and the Consultants role. The RFP for the Clean Energy SF Program was issued November 5. 2009 and responses are due by December 29, 2009.

The staff will be working with SFPUC to review the responses and Jason Freid will sit on the evaluation committee. In the interim between now and when responses are due, staff anticipates working with the SFPUC to prepare the draft contract with the Energy Service Provider (ESP). We also will be requesting a joint meeting between the SF LAFCo and the SFPUC for January 2010

Attached is the outline of Tasks to be completed for implementation of the Clean Energy Program under the Draft Implementation Plan. Many of these Tasks have already been completed. The Attachment provides the status for completion of the remaining tasks. The SFPUC will prepare and submit a Final Implementation Plan to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and the attachment shows the steps set forth in the Draft Implementation Plan to complete this Plan. Based upon the RFP responses some of the tasks may be modified. The timing to prepare this plan is critical.

The SF LAFCo requested a work plan for staff as well as a discussion of consultant duties

LAFCO STAFF WORK PLAN

The Interim Executive Officer work plan includes:

Items #4 and #5: <u>Report from LAFCo on CCA activities: Work Plan for Staff and Consultants</u>. November 20, 2009 Page 2 of 4

- Working with the SFPUC to review the RFP responses;
- Reviewing and commenting on the contract with the Energy Service Provider with the SFPUC and the City Attorney office;
- Monitoring SFPUC activities and assisting in the drafting the Implementation Plan (See attached Chart);
- Monitoring Consultant Activities;
- Administering Consultants and Staff (transitioning to Senior Program Officer)
- Legal duties as assigned (preparation of contracts, preparation of legal opinions for the Commission; responding to various requests by the Commission)
- Preparation of Commission Agendas and Staff Reports. (transitioning to Senior Program Officer)

The Senior Program Officer's work plan can be broken down into five basic categories:

- Coordination with the SFPUC on planning and implementation of the CCA Program ("Clean Power SF");
- Hiring and supervision of a second staff position and other administrative functions;
- Coordination with other CCSF departments;
- Community outreach and education of Clean Power SF; and
- Coordination with other LAFCo's and CCA programs.

The Senior Program Officer is the main conduit for coordinating with the SFPUC as Clean Power SF moves forward. Coordination includes weekly meetings to track the progress and status of applicable projects. Major projects that the staff will monitor or be actively involved include participation on the scoring panel for Clean Power SF's RFP, assisting in negotiating contract terms with the selected ESP; completing the final Clean Power SF Implementation Plan; and moving Clean Power SF through the CPUC review process.

LAFCo staff is in the process of hiring a second staff position. Once the second staff position is filled, the Senior Program Officer will supervise and manage the new

Items #4 and #5: <u>Report from LAFCo on CCA activities: Work Plan for Staff and Consultants</u>. November 20, 2009 Page 3 of 4

employee's workload on a day to day basis. While CCSF's Clerks' office will continue to handle administrative activities, LAFCo staff coordination is still necessary. While the Interim Executive Officer currently handles many of these functions, the Senior Program Officer should be able to assume many of these duties over time.

The Senior Program Officer is a current member of the Solar Task Force, the SFPUC Clean Energy Stewards Working Group, and a Department of Energy work group tasked with improving energy efficiency.

The Senior Program Officer will oversee the community outreach and education program of Clean Power SF in conjunction with the SFPUC and the second LAFCo staff position.. Clean Power SF's community outreach and education program is still in the formative stages but discussions with local community groups have started. A comprehensive presentation of the community outreach and education program will be presented at a future meeting.

While the majority of the Senior Program Officer's work related to Clean Power SF, communication and coordination with other California LAFCo's and CCA programs is necessary. The Senior Program Officer is currently a member of the Community Choice Energy Council (CCEC), a group of California CCA programs and organizations looking to start CCA programs in their communities. The Senior Program Officer has also been coordinating with other CCAs, Public Utilities, and governmental associations in preparing an education piece that describes the impacts the PG&E ballot measure could have on California's citizens and their choices. These education activities are performed in strict compliance with the legal limits imposed on public entities related to ballot measures.

CONSULTANTS

SFLAFCO currently has under contract Navigant Consulting and Local Power Inc. Navigant prepared the Risk Assessment Report and assisted in the drafting of the RFP. Local Power provided monitoring activities, provided a peer review of the Navigant report and assisted in the drafting of the RFP. Since issuance of the RFP, no tasks have been assigned to the Consultants..

The next step of preparing the draft contract may require the services of the Consultants. The current consultants' contracts terminate at the end of November. It is anticipated that we will need consultant input during the ESP review and selection process as well as the contract drafting. SFPUC has indicated that they will be drafting the initial documents and submitting them to SF LAFCo for comment. Navigant has expertise in community choice aggregation program contract drafting as they assist Marin County in their negotiations with the Marin County selected energy service provider. Local Power has familiarity with the Clean Energy SF Program as they participated in the initial drafting of the Draft Implementation Plan.

Items #4 and #5: <u>Report from LAFCo on CCA activities: Work Plan for Staff and Consultants</u>. November 20, 2009 Page 4 of 4

BUDGET

Under our MOU with the SFPUC has available approximately \$1,100,000 for the 2009/2010 Fiscal Year for SF LAFCo Clean Energy SF activities.

Through October 31, 2009, the amount spent for Fiscal Year 2009/2010 for Clean Energy SF consultant activities totals totaled \$66,885. We have received invoices in the additional amount of \$30,860.

Navigant is agreeable to working on an as needed basis through February 28, 2010.

Local Power has offered to continue services at the monthly rate provided in their original bid of not to exceed \$12,000 per month to work as needed on the following issues:

- 1. Contract boilerplate
- 2. Allocation of risk issues
- 3. Implementation plan
- 4. CPUC and ISO issues

Bid requests are within our budget amounts for CCA activities. While the determination is up to the Commission, I would recommend that the contracts be extended for both consultants to February 28, 2010. At that time we will determine, based upon the responses, to the RFP whether further extensions are warranted. The Contract extension amount for Navigant from December to February will not exceed \$15,000. The contract amount for Local Power would be as the Commission determines. I anticipate utilizing Local Power for SFPUC monitoring activities including commenting on the RFP responses and the Implementation Plan as assigned.

ACTION REQESTED

The action before the Commission is to receive and file the staff work plans as may be modified by the Commission and to consider the extension of the contracts for Navigant and Local Power from November 30 2009 through February 28, 2010. Contract amounts for Navigant will be not to exceed \$15.000. Contract amounts for Local Power will be as directed by the Commission and have been requested at not to exceed \$30,000.

	Description of PBR Element	Planned Timing of Item
Program Basic Report	Description of F BK Element	
· · · ·	Gathering the results of the	LAFCo has gathered all completed tasks and
	various PBR tasks. (Identifies #s	will continue to assemble tasks as completed.
	3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14,	will continue to assemble tasks as completed.
2	Identify barriers to program	Possible barriers have been identified by
2	identity barriers to program	MBMC, Navagant and Local Power Reports
2	Pick Apolycic	Completed by Navigant
	Risk Analysis CCA Lessons Learned	· · · ·
		Completed by Local Power
5	Hydro Options Analysis	City Attorney has done basic annalysis. Final
		result on this issue will depend on contract with
		ESP.
6	Design Low Income Ratepayer	Will be done with ESP.
	Assistance Program	
1	Develop Overall Financing Plan	Phase I: In Process Task 1, 2 and 3 are
	and Detailed Cost Estimate	completed. Task 4 and 5 will completed by
		December 31, 2009. This is being done by
		SFPUC consultant GES.
		Phase II: Will be done with ESP
8	PG&E and City Database	Complete & Ongoing. SFPUC collects and
	Integration	analyzes customer load data from PG&E.
	Develop PG&E Interface Plan	Will be done with ESP.
10	Customer Service Center (CSC)	Will be done with ESP.
	Analysis	
11	CSC Design – Process &	Will be done with Esp and will follow item #10.
	Systems	
12	Develop Communications,	Phase I: In Process – (a) Launch and update
	Marketing, and Outreach Plan	"cleanpowersf.org", and (b) utilize market
		research to learn how to target marketing
		message most effectively.
		Phase II: Jan. 2010: Marketing and outreach
		push timed to match launch of CleanPowerSF
		-
		progam.
13	Design Portfolio	progam . Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF
13	Design Portfolio	
13	Design Portfolio	Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF
13	Design Portfolio	Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a
13	Design Portfolio	Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various
13	Design Portfolio	Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic
		Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP
	Design Portfolio Design Technical interface	Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year
		Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year completed preliminary identiciacation of
		Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year completed preliminary identiciacation of possible sites.
14	Design Technical interface	Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year completed preliminary identiciacation of possible sites. Phase II: Will be done with ESP
14	Design Technical interface Property	Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year completed preliminary identiciacation of possible sites.
14	Design Technical interface Property Acquisition/Siting/Permiting	Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year completed preliminary identiciacation of possible sites. Phase II: Will be done with ESP
14	Design Technical interface Property Acquisition/Siting/Permiting analysis	Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year completed preliminary identiciacation of possible sites. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Will be done with ESP
14	Design Technical interface Property Acquisition/Siting/Permiting analysis Identify applicable regulations	Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year completed preliminary identiciacation of possible sites. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Will be done with ESP Will be done with ESP.
14	Design Technical interface Property Acquisition/Siting/Permiting analysis Identify applicable regulations and support CPUC regulatory	 Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year completed preliminary identiciacation of possible sites. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Will be done with ESP Will be done with ESP Will be done with ESP.
14 15 16	Design Technical interface Property Acquisition/Siting/Permiting analysis Identify applicable regulations and support CPUC regulatory processes	 Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year completed preliminary identiciacation of possible sites. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Will be done with ESP.
14 15 16	Design Technical interface Property Acquisition/Siting/Permiting analysis Identify applicable regulations and support CPUC regulatory processes Develop Rate Setting Advisory	Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year completed preliminary identiciacation of possible sites. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Will be done with ESP Will be done with ESP. Ongoing. LAFCo, SFPUC staff and City Attorney active in CPUC docket related to CCA. SFPUC currently reviewing this Task. Terms
14 15 16	Design Technical interface Property Acquisition/Siting/Permiting analysis Identify applicable regulations and support CPUC regulatory processes	 Phase I: Completed – using existing CCSF policy and Draft Implementation Plan as a guide, perform technical and economic feasibility analysis (GES) to determine various costs and benefits for various portfolio designs. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Phase I: Completed – SFPUC eallier this year completed preliminary identiciacation of possible sites. Phase II: Will be done with ESP Will be done with ESP.