The San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission (SF LAFCo) met in regular session on Friday, January 18, 2019, with Chair Sandra Lee Fewer presiding.

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Chair Fewer called the meeting to order at 11:34 a.m. On the call of the roll, Chair Fewer, Vice Chair Pollock, and Commissioner Ronen were noted present. There was a quorum.

2. Approval of the SF LAFCo Minutes from the November 30, 2018, Special Meeting

Chair Fewer inquired whether any Commissioner had any corrections to the minutes of the November 30, 2018, Special Meeting of the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission. There were no corrections.

Public Comment: None.

Commissioner Ronen, seconded by Commissioner Pollock, moved to approve the minutes of the November 30, Special Meeting of the San Francisco Local Agency Formation Commission. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: 3 - Fewer, Pollock, Ronen

Action: Minutes Approved

Commissioner Singh was noted present at 11:37 a.m.
3. Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) Activities Report

Michael Hyams, Director of CleanPowerSF (San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC)), provided a power point presentation with an update of the CleanPowerSF Program and answered questions raised throughout the discussions.

A. Local Build Out Presentation

Director Hyams presented on the progress of local investment with a balanced program design that allows delivery across competing objectives while providing financial stability. “Local” was defined to incorporate nine bay area counties for renewable supply-side resources. Two long-term contracts with new renewable facilities for energy were executed that will power more than 130,000 San Francisco households and create around 600 clean energy jobs. The CleanPowerSF Program has also caused a significant reduction of the City’s electricity-related greenhouse gas emissions footprint. Behind-the-meter projects are supported and they will continue to promote private rooftop solar investment through GoSolarSF. Director Hyams further provided the plan for local building going forward and the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) that evaluates scenarios to provide customers with the lowest cost at which a utility can deliver reliable energy and meet its policy objectives, including the 2018 IRP analysis goals, the 2018 IRP cases analyzed, annual program sales and energy portfolio content from 2016-2030, and new resources analyzed.

Barbara Hale, Assistant General Manager-Power (SFPUC), provided additional information and answered questions raised throughout the discussions.

Public Comment: Eric Brooks (Californians for Energy Choice); provided suggestions on building out a renewable energy plan. Jed Holtzman (350 Bay Area); thanked staff and the Commission for their work and interest, and encouraged them to continue.

No action was taken.

4. Update on Emerging Mobility Services Labor Study

Bryan Goebel, Executive Officer (SF LAFCo), provided an update on the request for proposals and outreach that he has been working on, including an update of the labor study timeline.

A. Presentation on Literature Review Preliminary Findings

Lea Troeh, Intern (SF LAFCo), provided a power point presentation on the Emerging Mobility Labor Study, including regulations, portable benefits, and public and private partnerships. Regulation is uneven across the field, the government must mandate operating information and data from all regulated entities, and there should be one regulatory body in a region or state that oversees all mobility operators. Benefits are owned by the workers and the company makes a fixed-rate benefit contribution that is based on the hours worked. Some public agencies are creating direct partnerships with
private transit/emerging mobility companies, but what works for some jurisdictions may not work for others.

Public Comment: Eric Brooks (Green Party/Our City San Francisco); suggested the City reconsider allowing these transportation network companies to exist in the City and the need to take back control over transportation.

No action was taken.

5. Discussion on the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2019-2020

Executive Officer Goebel provided an update on the projected expenditures through the end of FY2019-2020, which is expected to have a surplus, and answered questions raised throughout the discussions.

Public Comment: Eric Brooks (Green Party/Our City San Francisco); urged the Commission ensure the expected funds are received.

No action was taken.

6. Executive Officer’s Report

A. LAFCo/San Francisco Public Utilities Commission Joint Meeting

Executive Officer Goebel provided a brief update on the proposed March 15, 2019, SF LAFCo and SF PUC Joint Meeting, which the SF PUC Clerk is polling their members to see if they will have a quorum available.

Executive Officer Goebel indicated there have not been any major updates to any state legislation, and introduced the new Intern, Winston Parsons. The Commission indicated they may be refocusing their interests in light of the recent news that PG&E will be filing for bankruptcy and will further discuss this going forward.

Public Comment: Eric Brooks (Californians for Energy Choice/Green Party/Our City San Francisco); encouraged the Commission to pursue the municipalization of energy resources.

No action was taken.

7. Public Comment

None.

8. Future Agenda Items

Commissioner Pollock directed the Executive Officer to discuss the workplan and budget, and how it dovetails with PG&E.

Commissioner Singh suggested a future item be placed to further discuss public banking.
Public Comment: Eric Brooks (Californians for Energy Choice/Green Party/Our City San Francisco); suggested the Commission discuss with SF PUC the municipalization efforts and provided information on state legislation being proposed.

No action was taken.

9. Adjournment

There being no further business, the Local Agency Formation Commission adjourned at the hour of 1:36 p.m.

N.B. The Minutes of this meeting set forth all actions taken by the Local Agency Formation Commission on the matters stated, but not necessarily in the chronological sequence in which the matters were taken up.