APRIL 4, 2007

REGULAR MEETING

The Police Commission of the City and County of San Francisco met in Room 400, City Hall, #1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, at 5:44 p.m., in a Regular Meeting.

PRESENT: Commissioner Renne, Campos, DeJesus, lee, Marshall, Sparks

ABSENT: Commissioner Veronese

PUBLIC COMMENT ON ALL MATTERS PERTAINING TO CLOSED SESSION

None

PUBLIC COMMENT

Taken out of order

Dr. Noh discussed concerns.

VOTE ON WHETHER TO HOLD CLOSED SESSION

Motion by commissioner DeJesus, second by Commissioner Marshall to hold Closed Session. Approved 6-0.

CLOSED SESSION

a. PERSONNEL EXCEPTION: Request of the Chief of Police to return Case Nos. C06-024 JCT and C04-005 JWA to the Chief's Office (Resolution No. 33-07 and 34-07)

(Commissioner Renne is excused at 6:30 p.m.)

VOTE TO ELECT WHETHER TO DISCLOSE ANY OR ALL DISCUSSION HELD IN CLOSED SESSION

Motion by Commissioner DeJesus, second by Commissioner Marshall for non disclosure. Approved 5-0.

PUBLIC HEARING: PURSUANT TO SF ADMIN CODE 19.4(b), DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE AND ADOPT FINDINGS WITH RESPECT TO THE PROPOSAL OF THE MAYOR'S OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE FOR PILOT INSTALLATION OF COMMUNITY SAFETY CAMERAS AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:

Haight & Webster Streets (3) Seventh & Market Streets (5)

Director Lenore Anderson, MOCJ Director, recommended cameras for the Haight & Webster Streets location and requested an extension for the Seventh & Market Streets location.

Commissioner Campos announced that the Commission will be voting on cameras at Haight & Webster Streets only.

Director Anderson recommends installation of three cameras at the Haight and Webster Streets location.

Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi, District 5, addressed the Commission regarding the installation of safety cameras.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Ace Washington discussed concerns regarding installations of cameras and about how other city agencies does not know what they are doing.

Jeanette Berger stated that police should be more involved in decision on installing cameras.

Greg Bolsinger, lower Haight resident, asked for more data before installing cameras.

Martin, lower Haight resident, expressed opposition to the cameras and asked for more foot patrol instead of cameras.

Mark Schlossberg, ACLU, discussed concerns regarding cameras and asked that the Commission wait until the 6-month evaluation period is over.

Allan White stated that police work can make a change and talked about how UN Plaza was cleaned up from good police work.

Motion by Commissioner Lee, second by Commissioner DeJesus to approve and adopt findings for pilot installations of community safety cameras at Haight and Webster Streets. Approved 5-0.

RESOLUTION NO. 35-07

FINDINGS AND RESOLUTION APPROVING INSTALLATION OF SAFETY CAMERAS ON HAIGHT AND WEBSTER STREETS

WHEREAS, the Community Safety Camera Ordinance (San Francisco Administrative Code, Chapter 19) provides that "San Francisco may install community safety cameras for the purpose of enhancing public security only in locations experiencing substantial crime and where the potential to deter criminal activity outweighs any concerns asserted by the affected community as determined by the Police Commission." Under the Ordinance, where the Director of the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice finds that the required circumstances are present, the Director may recommend to the Police Commission that new Safety Cameras be installed. The Ordinance provides for public notice and a hearing before the Police Commission. The Ordinance further provides that the Police Commission may approve the installation of the recommended Safety Cameras provided that the Commission finds "that the proposed location is experiencing substantial crime, the potential to deter criminal activity outweighs any concerns asserted by the affected community, and there exists significant support from the affected community for the camera."

AND WHEREAS, the Director of the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice has recommended that the City install Community Safety Cameras within the immediate vicinity of the following locations, and has submitted the required supporting documentation:

1. Haight and Webster Streets (3 cameras)

AND WHEREAS, City officials have provided public notice of the recommended new Community Safety Cameras and the Police Commission hearing, as required by the Ordinance.

AND WHEREAS, the Police Commission noticed and held a public hearing on April 4, 2007, to consider installation of Community Safety Cameras at the recommended location listed above.

AND WHEREAS, at the public hearing on April 4, 2007, the Police Commission received extensive public testimony regarding the recommendation to install Community Safety Cameras at the listed location. Further, the Police Commission also received extensive written comment, by letter and email, regarding the recommendations for Safety Cameras at the above listed location.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT:

RESOLVED, that based upon the documents, testimony, and written and oral public comment that the Commission has received, and in the exercise of the Commission's sound discretion and judgment, the Police Commission makes the following findings and determinations:

- Each of the locations recommended for Safety Cameras has and is experiencing substantial crime.
- For each of the locations recommended for Safety Cameras, the potential to deter criminal activity outweighs concerns asserted by the affected community.
- For each of the locations recommended for Safety Cameras, there exists significant support from the affected community for the proposed cameras.

AND FURTHER, BE IT RESOLVED, that based upon the foregoing, including the documents, testimony, and written and oral public comment that the Commission has received, and in the exercise of the Commission's sound discretion and judgment, the Commission hereby approves the installation of Community Safety Cameras within the immediate vicinity of the following location:

1. Haight and Webster Streets (3 cameras)

AND FURTHER, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Department of Telecommunication Information System (DTIS) shall disable or turn off any cameras that are located on the route of a parade, event or demonstration that is permitted under Article 4 of the San Francisco Police Code or Article 21 of the San Francisco Traffic Code, during the time that images of the parade, event or demonstration would otherwise have been captured by the cameras.

AYES: Commissioners Campos, DeJesus, Lee, Marshall, Sparks ABSENT: Commissioners Renne, Veronese

PUBLIC COMMENT

Gary Delagnes discussed concerns regarding comments made by Commissioner Campos about Sgt. Halloran.

John Nulty, Tenderloin resident, discussed concerns regarding use of the Tenderloin Station community room.

Commissioner Lee asked that public comment be respectful to the Commission.

Commissioner DeJesus agreed with Commissioner Lee and asked that the public respect the Commission as the Commission respects the public's rights to make comments.

CHIEF'S REPORT

- a. Update on significant policing efforts by Department members
- b. Presentation of First Quarter 2007 Officer-Involved Discharge Report

Chief Fong stated that the Commission have been

provided the report from Dr. Fridell and that she will be coming to give a more thorough overview about the document. The Chief read one paragraph from the executive summary:

"It's commendable that the city leaders called for this review upon learning of the high arrest disparities in San Francisco. It is also commendable that the San Francisco Police Department has been collecting vehicle stop data since 2001. That said, it is critically important that stakeholders understand that crime and census and stop data can and cannot tell us. Using these sources of dat in an attempt to determine whether or not police are inappropriately using race, ethnicity in making law enforcement decisions is a challenging endeavor at best. Researchers can tell us if a pattern exist, and if those patterns are ties to characteristics such as race, but cannot tell whether racial animus or bias are the reasons for disparate patterns. Conveyed in another way, it is not difficult to measure whether there is disparity between racial ethnic groups in terms of arrest or stops made by police; the difficulty comes in identifying the causes for disparity. Racial disparity in stops, searches, arrests could be caused by police racial bias and/or by an array of other legitimate factors. It is inappropriate to assume from these studies that findings of disparity are findings of police racial bias."

Deputy Chief Keohane presented the First Quarter 2007 Officer-Involved Discharge Report.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

OCC DIRECTOR'S REPORT

a. Review of Recent Activities

Mr. Charles Galman, for the OCC, Director Field is on vacation. Mr. Galman presented the first quarter statistical report. Mr. Galman also stated that the OCC is scheduled to move on July 1st. The OCC is currently working with DTIS to get phone numbers. Mr. Galman stated that once they get the phone numbers, they can send out notices to the various stakeholders.

Commissioner Sparks asked that a written quarterly report be given to the Commission. Mr. Galman advised that he will get it to the Commission tomorrow.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO ADOPT A
RESOLUTION URGING THE MAYOR AND THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS TO SUPPORT AND FORMALLY ENDORSE
ASSEMBLY BILL 1648, AN ACT TO AMEND SECTIONS 832.5
AND 832.7 OF THE PENAL CODE, RELATING TO PEACE
OFFICER RECORDS

PUBLIC COMMENT

John Tennant, POA Attorney, discussed concerns regarding Assembly Bill 1648.

Mark Schlossberg, ACLU, talked about the need for transparency and asked the Commission to support the legislation.

Chief Fong stated that she does agree with transparency whenever possible but stated that she believes that an officer who is facing disciplinary action before the Commission should have the option of an open or a closed hearing. Chief Fong stated that her goal

from her perspective, when it comes to discipline is to have an officer address the situation that brought him/her to the Commission or Chief level, to provide training when appropriate, to also meet out discipline as appropriate, but most importantly, to have that officer return to be a valuable member of the Department and of the community. Chief Fong stated that police officers make mistakes, as everybody else in society, and that they should be given an opportunity and have options in terms of either an open or closed session. Chief Fong also stated that the dispositions of cases that have come before the Commission and the Chief are listed on the website by case numbers and dispositions as well as the types of allegations.

Commissioner Campos, speaking as the author of this resolution, stated that he does have a great deal of respect for the fact that police officers in this Department have a tremendous job to do and that he has a great del of respect for the job they actually do and that it is out of respect for that that something like this is being introduced because the more people in the city know what police officers do, there will be more trust in the department.

Commissioner Sparks stated that police officers are authorized by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, under certain circumstances, to use deadly force. Commissioner Sparks stated that that puts them under a different classification for disclosure as far as the public right to know as to how an incident unfolded and what occurred and if there was any wrongdoing in that incident.

Commissioner DeJesus stated that transparency is needed in the process and it builds trust in the community.

Motion by Commissioner Lee, second by Commissioner DeJesus.
Approved 5-0

RESOLUTION NO. 36-07

RESOLUTION URGING THE MAYOR AND THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO SUPPORT AND FORMALLY ENDORSE ASSEMBLY BILL 1648

WHEREAS, for more than a decade, it has been the policy of the San Francisco Police Commission to have transparency and openness in the handling of police officer discipline by, among other things, holding police officer disciplinary hearings in public and disclosing to the public the identity of any police officer disciplined for misconduct as well as the nature of the misconduct and the discipline imposed; and

WHEREAS, in August 2006, the California Supreme Court issued a ruling in *Copley v. Superior Court*, which severely limited the Police Commission's ability to handle police officer misconduct in a transparent and open manner. As a result of this ruling, hearings, records, and information that were previously public are now confidential and are no longer open to the public; and

WHEREAS, because handling police officer discipline in a transparent and open manner promotes good management, strengthens public trust in the San Francisco Police Department, and validates the conduct of good police officers, Assemblyman Mark Leno recently introduced AB 1648, which would amend California law to

allow civilian oversight agencies, such as the San Francisco Police Commission, to hold police officer disciplinary hearings in public and make available to the public information about any police officer who is disciplined for misconduct, including the police officer's identity and the nature of the misconduct and the discipline imposed.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the San Francisco Police Commission urges Mayor Gavin Newsom and the Board of Supervisors to support and formally endorse AB 1648 in order to protect the City and County of San Francisco's long history of transparency and openness in the handling of police officer discipline.

AYES: Commissioners Campos, DeJesus, Lee,

Marshall, Sparks

ABSENT: Commissioners Renne, Veronese

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO ADOPT REVISED DEPARTMENT GENERAL ORDER 10.02, "EQUIPMENT"

Captain Anthony Fotinos, Planning Division, presented revised Department General Order 10.02, "Equipment."

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

Motion by Commissioner Marshall, second by Commissioner Sparks.

Approved 5-0.

RESOLUTION NO. 37-07

ADOPTION OF REVISED DEPARTMENT GENERAL ORDER 10.02, "EQUIPMENT"

RESOLVED, that the Commission hereby adopts revised Department General Order 10.20, "Equipment," as stated below:

EQUIPMENT

This order specifies required and optional equipment for both uniformed and non-uniformed officers.

2. POLICY

_ GENERAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF OFFICERS

- SAFEKEEPING AND USE. Officers are responsible for the safekeeping and appropriate authorized use of their issued uniform and equipment items. Officers shall maintain uniform and equipment items in a clean and serviceable condition at their expense. Officers are also responsible for promptly reporting the loss, theft, or unserviceable condition of any issued uniform or equipment item.
- LOANING UNIFORM OR EQUIPMENT ITEMS. Unless exigent circumstances exist, officers shall not loan or supply another person with any uniform or equipment item without the permission of their commanding officer or officer-incharge.

- B. DEPARTMENT-AUTHORIZED FIREARMS: Firearms authorized by the Department as the primary on-duty weapons for officers shall be of the type, make, model and caliber as recommended by the Department Range master and approved by the Chief of Police.
 - 1. OTHER WEAPONS. In accordance with Penal Code § 12030 P.C., and subject to the requirements of local law, the Department Range master may select weapons for use by officers of the Department from those booked with the Property Control Section that would otherwise be subject to destruction. The Range master may issue such weapons to officers working specialized assignments with written authorization from their Deputy Chief. Before carrying these weapons, officers must demonstrate proficiency in their use as required for on-duty secondary weapons (see Section F.4.).
 - 2. THEFT OR LOSS OF FIREARM. An officer shall file a written report through his/her chain of command to the Chief immediately following the loss or theft of any Department-issued or approved firearm. The report shall include a complete description of the weapon (including the serial number) and contain all the surrounding facts. The officer shall send a copy of the report to the Department Range master.
 - REPAIR OR ALTERATION OF FIREARMS. Department owned firearms shall not be repaired or altered except as authorized by the Department Range master or-designated range personnel. Any authorized secondary firearms shall not be altered without authorization of the Department Range master.
 - 4. RANGE REQUALIFICATION. Officers must show proficiency with their Department issued primary firearm in accordance with criteria and frequency as dictated by the Department Rangemaster.
 - Officers performing their re-qualification shall use their Department approved primary duty weapon. Weapons approved under any other provision of this order shall not be used during re-qualification.
- C. AMMUNITION. Ammunition used in primary on-duty firearms shall be Department-issued. Department-issued ammunition shall not be used in any other weapon, either on or off duty.

D. MAGAZINES

- 4. On-duty uniformed officers equipped with semi-automatic firearms shall carry at least three fully loaded magazines: one in the weapon and two in a Department-issued double magazine holder pouch on their equipment belt.
- 5. On-duty plainclothes officers equipped with semi-automatic firearms shall carry at least two fully loaded magazines: one in the weapon, and one in a Department-issued combination handcuff/magazine case.

E. REQUIRED EQUIPMENT

- 1. ON-DUTY UNIFORMED OFFICERS. Officers of all ranks while on duty in uniform shall be equipped with the following equipment.
 - f. Star, displayed on the outermost garment over the left breast.
 - g. Department identification card.
 - h. Brass nameplate.
 - d. Department-issued firearm, fully loaded with Departmentissued magazine and ammunition.
 - e. Two extra Department-issued magazines (or speed loaders), fully loaded with Department-issued ammunition in a Department-issued double magazine (or speed loader) pouch.
 - f. Department-issued impact weapon.
 - Department-issued handcuffs, case, and g. kev.
 - h. Call box key.

 - I. Whistle.j. Flashlight in good working condition.k. Notebook.

 - I. Citation book.
 - m. Street guide.
 - n. Department-issued radio.
 - o. Miranda warning card.
 - p. Department-issued chemical agent.
 - q. Department-issued holster.
 - u. Department-issued bullet-resistant vest.
- 2. ON-DUTY OFFICERS/CIVILIAN DRESS. Officers of all ranks, while on duty in civilian dress, shall be equipped with the following equipment:
 - a. Star.
 - b. Department identification card.
 - c. Department issued firearm fully loaded with Departmentissued ammunition.
 - d. One extra magazine for the Department-issued firearm, fully loaded with Department-issued ammunition in a Department-issued magazine pouch.
 - e. Handcuffs and key.
 - f. Call box key.
 - g. Whistle.
 - h. Miranda warning card.
 - I. Combination magazine/handcuff case.
 - j. Department-issued holster.
 - k. Department-issued chemical agent.
 - I. Department-issued impact weapon.
 - m. Department-issued radio
- 3. EXCEPTION: Commissioned Officers and Officers performing investigative or non-investigative, non-patrol, or specialized function can be exempted from the requirements previously listed in E-1 and E-2 with their commanding officer's approval. If the amended equipment includes a firearm, the officer must comply with the provisions concerning secondary firearms.

4. EXPOSING WEAPONS. Officers in civilian dress shall not knowingly expose weapons in public unless they conspicuously display their stars.

F. OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT

- 1. ON-DUTY OFFICERS. On-duty officers may be equipped with the following equipment items, which shall be purchased at the officer's expense:
 - a. Extra belt keepers.
 - b. Extra handcuffs.
 - c. A belt buckle displaying the SFPD star or bearing the words "San Francisco Police Department."
 - d. A folding knife, if sheathed in a black basket weave leather pouch on the equipment belt or kept in their pocket.
 - e. A cord-cuff restrainer "hobble," if encased in a black basket weave leather pouch on the equipment belt, for those members trained in the hobble.
- 2. DEPARTMENT-ISSUED ITEMS ONLY. With the exception of the items in F.1 above, and F.4 below, only Department-issued items are to be worn while in uniform.
- 3. BULLET-RESISTANT VESTS. All Personnel shall wear a bullet-resistant vest while working undercover operations, except as provides in Section E.3.
- 4 SECONDARY FIREARMS. An on-duty officer may carry a concealed second firearm in addition to his/her primary Department issued firearm with the approval of his or her Deputy Chief and Range master. The memorandum shall include weapon and ammunition information. The secondary firearm must either be a double action revolver or a semi-automatic firearm equipped with a first round double action trigger. Single action revolvers, single action and safe action semi-automatic firearms, and derringers of any caliber are prohibited. No reloaded ammunition shall be used.
 - . REGISTRATION. An officer who intends to carry a secondary firearm must comply with the following instructions:
 - (1) Officers must register the secondary firearm by written memorandum to their respective Deputy Chief. The memo must be approved by the Deputy Chief and then routed to the Department Range master. The memorandum must contain a statement of the officer's intention to carry the weapon along with the make, model, caliber, serial number, and barrel length.
 - (2) All concealable secondary firearms shall be inspected annually by the Department Range master or his/her designee to determine that minimum operational safety and functionality standards have been met. Secondary handguns that do not meet these minimum standards shall not be

registered for use and shall not be carried.

- b. PROFICIENCY. Before carrying the secondary weapon, the officer must satisfactorily demonstrate proficiency to the Department Range master or his/her designee and demonstrate proficiency annually during any scheduled firearm practice. When demonstrating proficiency, the officer will be required to present a copy of the most recently approved memorandum that registers the weapon with the Department Range master or his/her designee.
- c. RECORDS. The Department Range master will ensure that a written certification of proficiency is prepared and forwarded to the Personnel Division for inclusion in the officer's personnel file. A copy shall be retained at the Lake Merced Range.
- 5. JEWELRY AND ORNAMENTS (also see DGO 11.08, Grooming Standards). On-duty officers shall not wear jewelry or personal ornaments that are visible except:
 - a. A wristwatch.
 - b. A total of 2 rings that are consistent with officer safety. An engagement and wedding ring set will be considered as one ring.
 - c. A conservative tie bar or tie tack.
 - d Female officers may, in addition, wear the following:
 - 1. Hair clips or pins that match the color of the hair.
 - 2. One ear post in each ear. The post must lie flush with the ear and be plain metal, gold or silver colored. The face of the post is not to have a diameter of more than three-eighths (3/8) inch. Nothing shall hang from the post.

G. OFF-DUTY OFFICERS

- 1. OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT. Officers of all ranks while off duty may be equipped with the following:
 - a. Star.
 - b. Department identification card. c. Loaded handgun.

- d Department approved handcuffs. I. Bullet-resistant vest.
- e. Callbox key.

- f. Whistle.
- g. Miranda warning card.
- h. Chemical agent
- 2. STAR AND ID/OFF DUTY. An officer opting to carry a handgun off duty shall be equipped with his/her star and Department identification card.
- H. EQUIPMENT USED IN SECONDARY EMPLOYMENT. See DGO 11.02, Secondary Employment.

I. STAR OR BADGE

1. ASSIGNED STARS AND NUMBERS. Officers appointed to the rank of police officer (Q-2) will be issued a Departmentowned star with an identifying number. The star and number

- will remain assigned to the officer throughout his/her employment unless administratively changed.
- 2. NON-SWORN EMPLOYEES. Certain non-sworn employees may be issued a shield badge with an identifying number.
- 3. AUTHORIZATION. A member shall not possess any star or badge of the kind or design used by the Department without written authorization by the Chief of Police.
- 4. OTHER RANKS. Officers appointed to a civil service or exempt rank, other than police officer, must purchase a star with a proper identification number at their expense after obtaining written authorization from the Chief of Police.
- J. FLAT STAR. A Member who wishes to purchase a "flat star" may do so, (1) with the written approval of the Chief of Police, and (2) at their own expense. Members shall submit a memo through their chain of command requesting permission to purchase a flat star.

Members are responsible for all costs incurred in te purchase of their flat star. Other requirements are:

- 1. The flat star shall not be worn as a replacement for the official Department star.
- 2. Members will be solely responsible for repair or replacement of a flat star. Any lost or stolen flat star shall be reported by the member via incident report and SFPD memo.
- 3. Members shall own or posses only one flat star.

AYES: Commissioners Renne, Campos,

DeJesus, Lee, Marshall, Sparks

ABSENT: Commissioner Veronese

PRESENTATION OF THE QUARTERLY REPORT BY THE DEPARTMENT AND THE OFFICE OF CITIZEN COMPLAINTS REGARDING POLICY PROPOSALS (SPARKS RESOLUTION)

Captain Fotinos presented the Quarterly Report regarding Policy Proposals.

Commissioner Sparks asked about the status of General Orders 3.16 and 8.09 which is media relations and release of police reports. Captain Fotinos stated that 3.16 is with the subject matter experts for review.

Commissioner Sparks asked if there is a list of priorities that the Department is working on. Captain Fotinos stated that a priority list have not been developed but he understands that there was anticipation for some direction specifically from the Commission.

Commissioner Sparks asked about 7.01, Juvenile. Captain Fotinos stated that 7.01 has been reviewed by the command staff and that OCC is currently reviewing it. Deputy Chief Keohane stated that command staff did look at 7.01 and the review went back to the subject matter expert for final inclusion of the command staff's recommendations and it should be at the City Attorney's Office within the next two days. Ms.

Marion stated that the OCC have reviewed it and anticipates that within the next few weeks it will be presented to the Commission.

Commissioner Sparks asked about 8.12, In-Custody Deaths. Ms. Marion stated that she was just informed by the Department that there will be a new individual who will be taking over for the Department on 8.12 and that she has talked to that individual to schedule a conference to move forward.

Commissioner Sparks asked about the Best Practice Review & Policy recommendation regarding Thurgood Marshall. Deputy Chief Shinn stated he will work on it.

Commissioner Lee asked if it is in the contract that the DGOs go through the POA for meet-and-confer. Captain Fotinos stated that all general orders go to the POA. A number of them the POA sign off on without wishing to involve themselves in the meet-and-confer process. On other ones they do want to sit down and meet and confer for whatever issues they may have regarding the changes in the DGO. Ms. Stump, Deputy City Attorney, stated that it's been the Department's practice to send all the DGOs over to the POA which is a good labor practice for input from labor. Ms. Stump stated that in some cases those are formal and legally required meet-and-confers and in other cases those are more on the level of discussion.

Commissioner DeJesus asked about the canine unit. Deputy Chief Keohane stated that that was a unit ordered and it was agreed that it will be modified. Director Tidwell and Lt. Brown met with Captain McDonagh and Sgt. Banta of the Dog Unit and began drafting it and they have also sent email to the ACLU and invited him to participate.

Commissioner Sparks asked about 5.01, Use of Force. Deputy Chief Keohane stated that he will look into this DGO.

Commissioner Sparks asked about 11.07, Discrimination & Harassment. Captain Fotinos stated that between 2005 and 2006 the Department put out four department bulletins to cover what eventually will be the language of the revised DGO.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTE FOR THE MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2007

Motion by Commissioner DeJesus, second by Commissioner Lee. Approved 5-0.

COMMISSION ANNOUNCEMENTS

a. Assignment of disciplinary case no. C07-039
ALW to an individual Commissioner for the taking of evidence on a
date to be determined by the Commissioner (Resolution 38-07,
Assigned to Commissioner Renne)

Commissioner Campos thanked the Department and the Academy for inviting him and Commissioner DeJesus to talk to make presentations to recruits and speak about the Hispanic and Latino Community.

Commissioner DeJesus stated that it was a great experience for her and thanked the Chief and the Sergeants involved.

SCHEDULING OF ITEMS IDENTIFIED FOR CONSIDERATION AT <u>FUTURE COMMISSION MEETINGS</u>

Commissioner Campos would like the issue of Patrol Specials on the agenda.

Commissioner Campos talked about a resolution involved Josh Wolf and stated that even though the journalist has been released, Commissioner Campos stated that there are still issues around what happened with that journalist that the Commission needs to look at.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Commissioner DeJesus, second by Commissioner Marshall to adjourn the meeting. Approved 5-0.

Thereafter, the meeting was adjourned at 9:00

p.m.

Sergeant Joseph Reilly
Secretary
San Francisco Police Commission

1497/rct