USE OF FORCE

The San Francisco Police Department’s highest priority is safeguarding the sanctity of all human life. Officers shall demonstrate this principle in their daily interactions with the community they are sworn to serve. The Department is committed to using communication and de-escalation principles before resorting to the use of force, whenever feasible. The Law Enforcement Code of Ethics requires all sworn law enforcement officers to carry out their duties with courtesy, respect, professionalism, and to never employ unreasonable force. These are key factors in maintaining legitimacy with the community and safeguarding the public’s trust.

This order establishes policies and reporting procedures regarding the use of force, use of firearms and use of lethal force. The purpose of the policy is to guide an officer’s decisions regarding the use and application of force to ensure such applications are used only to effect arrests or lawful detentions or to bring a situation under legitimate control and to assist officers in achieving the Department’s its highest priority. No policy can predict every situation. Officers are expected to exercise sound judgment when using force options and shall adhere to the Department’s highest priority of safeguarding the sanctity of all human life.

I. POLICY

A. SANCTITY OF HUMAN LIFE. The Department is committed to the sanctity and preservation of all human life, human rights, and human dignity.

B. ESTABLISH COMMUNICATION. Communication with non-compliant subjects is most effective when officers establish rapport, use the proper voice intonation, ask questions and provide advice to defuse conflict and achieve voluntary compliance before resorting to force options.

C. DE-ESCALATION. Officers should, when feasible, employ de-escalation techniques to decrease the likelihood of the need to use force during an incident and to increase the likelihood of voluntary compliance. Officers should consider the possible reasons why a subject may be noncompliant or resisting arrest. A subject may not be capable of understanding the situation because of a medical condition; mental, physical, or hearing impairment; language barrier; drug interaction; or emotional crisis, and have no criminal intent. These situations may not make the subject any less dangerous, but understanding a subject’s situation may enable officers to calm the subject and allow officers to use de-escalation techniques while maintaining public safety and officer safety. Officers who act to de-escalate an incident, which can delay taking a subject into custody, while keeping the public and officers safe, will not be found to have neglected their duty. They will be found to have fulfilled it.
D. PROPORTIONALITY. The Department requires that officers use only the degree of force that is reasonable for the purpose of accomplishing their duties. The degree and kind of force used should be proportional to the severity of the offense committed or the threat posed to human life; however, the principle of proportionality does not require officers to refrain from using reasonable force to overcome a threat to the safety of the public or officers or to overcome resistance.

E. CRISIS INTERVENTION. This section will include language on CIT training and procedures.

F. DUTY TO INTERVENE. Officers shall intervene when they reasonably believe another officer is about to use, or is using, unreasonable force. Officers shall promptly report any use of unreasonable force and the efforts made to intervene to a supervisor.

II. DEFINITIONS:

A. FEASIBLE. Capable of being done or carried out to successfully achieve the arrest or lawful objective without increasing risk to the public or the officer.

B. IMMINENT THREAT. An impending violent act or resistance that an officer reasonably believes will occur, based on the totality of the circumstances.

C. LETHAL FORCE. Any use of force designed to and likely to cause death or serious bodily injury, including but not limited to the discharge of a firearm, the use of an impact weapon under some circumstances, the use of other techniques or equipment, and certain interventions to stop a subject’s vehicle (see DGO 5.05, Response and Pursuit Driving).

D. LEVELS OF RESISTANCE.

a. Compliant. A person contacted by an officer who acknowledges direction or lawful orders given and offers no passive/active, aggressive, or aggravated aggressive resistance.

b. Passive Resistance. The subject is not complying with an officer’s commands and is uncooperative, but is taking only minimal physical action to prevent an officer from placing the subject in custody and taking control. Examples include: standing stationary and not moving upon lawful direction, holding onto a fixed object, falling limply and refusing to use their own power to move, or locking arms to another during a protest or demonstration.

c. Active Resistance. The subject’s verbal or physical actions are intended to prevent an officer from placing the subject in custody and taking control, but are not directed at harming the officer. Examples include: walking or running away, breaking the officer’s grip.

d. Aggressive Resistance. The subject displays the intent to harm another person, themselves or the officer, and prevent the officer from placing the subject in custody and taking control. Examples include: a subject taking a fighting stance, punching, kicking, striking, attacks with weapons or other actions which present an imminent threat of physical harm to another or the officer.

e. Aggravated Aggressive Resistance. The subject’s actions are likely to result in death or serious bodily harm to another, the subject or the officer. Examples
include: the subject’s use of a firearm, brandishing of an edged or other
weapon, or extreme physical force.

E. MINIMAL AMOUNT OF FORCE NECESSARY. The lowest level of force within
the range of objectively reasonable force that is necessary to effect an arrest or achieve a
lawful objective without increasing the risk to others.

F. PERSONAL BODY WEAPONS. An officer’s use of his/her hand, foot, knee, elbow,
shoulder, hip, arm, leg or head by means of high velocity kinetic energy transfer (impact)
to gain control of a subject.

G. REASONABLE FORCE. An objective standard of force viewed from the perspective
of a reasonable officer, without the benefit of 20/20 hindsight, and based on the totality of
the circumstances presented at the time of the incident.

H. REPORTABLE FORCE. Any use of force which is required to overcome subject
resistance to gain compliance that results in death, injury, complaint of injury in the
presence of an officer, or complaint of pain that persists beyond the use of a physical
control hold. Any use of force involving the use of personal body weapons, chemical
agents, impact weapons, extended range impact weapons, vehicle interventions,
conducted energy devices, and firearms. Any intentional pointing of a conducted energy
device and a firearm at a subject.

I. SERIOUS BODILY INJURY. A bodily injury that creates a substantial risk of death;
causes serious, permanent disfigurement; or results in a prolonged loss or impairment of
the functioning of any bodily member or organ.

J. VITAL AREAS OF THE BODY. The head, neck, face, throat, spine, groin and kidney.

III. CONSIDERATIONS GOVERNING ALL USES OF FORCE.

A. USE OF FORCE MUST BE FOR A LAWFUL PURPOSE. Officers may use
reasonable force options in the performance of their duties, in the following
circumstances:

1. To effect a lawful arrest, detention, or search.
2. To overcome resistance or to prevent escape.
3. To prevent the commission of a public offense.
4. In defense of others or in self-defense.
5. To gain compliance with a lawful order.
6. To prevent a person from injuring himself/herself. **However, an officer is
   prohibited from using lethal force against a person who presents only a
danger to himself/herself and does not pose an imminent threat of death or
serious bodily injury to another person or officer.**

B. USE OF FORCE MUST BE REASONABLE. The Fourth Amendment of the United
States Constitution requires that a police officer only use force as is “objectively
reasonable” under all of the circumstances. The standard that the court will use to
examine whether a use of force is constitutional was set forth in *Graham v. Connor*, 490
U.S. 386 (1989), and expanded by subsequent court cases. Officer shall, if feasible, use
only the minimal amount of force necessary as described below.
1. The reasonableness of a particular use of force must be judged from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene, rather than 20/20 hindsight, and without regard to the officer’s underlying intent or motivation.

2. When balanced against the type and amount of force used, the Graham factors used to determine whether an officer’s use of force is objectively reasonable are:
   a. The severity of the crime at issue
   b. Whether the suspect posed an immediate threat to the safety of the public or the officers
   c. Whether the suspect was actively resisting arrest
   d. Whether the suspect was attempting to evade arrest by flight

3. The reasonableness inquiry in not limited to the consideration of the Graham factors alone. Other factors which may determine reasonableness in a use of force incident may include:
   a. Availability of other reasonable force options
   b. Number of officers/subjects
   c. Age, size and relative strength of the officers/subjects
   d. Specialized knowledge, skills or abilities of the subjects
   e. Prior contact
   f. Injury or exhaustion of the officers
   g. Access to potential weapons
   h. Whether the subject poses an immediate threat to the safety of the public or officers, and the degree of that threat;
   i. Proximity, access to and type of weapons available to the subject;
   j. Time available to an officer to make a decision;
   k. Availability of additional officers or resources to de-escalate the situation;
   l. Environmental factors and/or other exigent circumstances;
   m. Severity of the crime(s) at issue;
   n. Whether the subject is attempting to evade arrest by flight or is actively resisting, and the degree of that resistance;
   o. Whether other tactics are available to the officer;
   p. The ability of the officer to provide a meaningful warning before using force;
   q. The officer’s tactical conduct and decisions preceding the use of force;
   r. Whether the officer is using force against an individual who appears to be having a behavioral or mental health crisis or is a person with a mental illness;
   s. Whether the subject’s escape could pose a future safety risk

Not all of the above factors may be present or relevant in a particular situation, and there may be additional factors not listed.

4. California Penal Code section 835a states that “Any officer who has reasonable cause to believe that a person to be arrested has committed a public offense may use reasonable force to effect an arrest, to prevent escape or to overcome resistance.
A peace officer who makes or attempts to make an arrest need not retreat or desist from his efforts by reason of the resistance or threatened resistance of the person being arrested; nor shall such officer be deemed an aggressor or lose his right to self-defense by use of reasonable force to effect the arrest or to prevent escape of overcome resistance.”

C. DE_ESCALATION. When force is necessary and objectively reasonable, officers should strive to use the minimum amount of force necessary in the available range of objectively reasonable force options.

1. When encountering a non-compliant subject or a subject armed with a weapon other than a firearm, such as an edged weapon, improvised weapon, baseball bat, brick, bottle or other object, officers should use the following de-escalation tactics, when safe and feasible under the totality of the circumstances known to the officer:

   a. Attempt to isolate and contain the subject;
   b. Create time and distance from the subject by establishing a buffer zone ("reactionary gap") and utilize cover to avoid creating an immediate threat that may require the use of force;
   c. Request additional resources, such as Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) trained officers, Crisis/Hostage Negotiation Team, Conducted Energy Devices, or Extended Range Impact Weapon;
   d. Designate an officer to establish rapport and engage in communication with the subject;
   e. Tactically re-position as often as necessary to maintain the reactionary gap, protect the public, and preserve officer safety;
   f. Continue de-escalation techniques and take as much time as reasonably necessary to resolve the incident, without having to use force, if feasible.
   g. When feasible, before deploying a particular force option, officers should evaluate the ray of objectively reasonable options to select an option anticipated to cause the least amount of injury to the subject while achieving the arrest or lawful objectives.
   h. While deploying a particular force option and when feasible, officer should continually evaluate whether the force option may be discontinued while still achieving the arrest or lawful objectives.
   i. Whether a particular use of force is the minimum amount of force necessary must be objectively judged from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene, rather than with 20/20 hindsight. The objective determination of “minimal” must account for the fact that officers are often forced to make split-second judgements, in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving.

Other options, not listed above, may be available to assist in de-escalating the situation.
Supervisors who become aware of a situation where an officer is using de-escalation techniques should monitor the radio communications and evaluate the need to respond to the scene.

2. Officers should continually assess the effectiveness of their actions and consider the desired outcome for the level of force used, including, when feasible:
   a. What efforts can the officer use to de-escalate the situation or to minimize the need for use of force?
   b. Can the officer allow the subject time to submit to arrest before using force?
   c. Is the officer using the minimum amount of force necessary to carry out lawful objectives?
   d. Is the subject physically or mentally capable of complying with the officer's commands?
   e. Does the officer have an opportunity to utilize additional resources/officers to bring the situation to a peaceful resolution?
   f. What is the severity of the subject's actions and is the risk of injury to either the subject or officer worth achieving the officer's lawful objective?
   g. What is the proximity or access of weapons to the subject?
   h. What is the time available to an officer to make a decision and what efforts has the officer made to provide additional time?
   i. What are the physical considerations for the officer, e.g. officer exhaustion or injury during a physical confrontation?
   j. Are innocent bystanders present who could be harmed if force is or is not used?
   k. Are there hostile bystanders present who are sympathetic to the subject?

C. UNLAWFUL PURPOSES. California Penal Code Section 149 provides criminal penalties for every public officer who “under color of authority, without lawful necessity, assaults or beats any person.” An assault or battery committed by an officer constitute gross and unlawful misconduct and will be criminally investigated.

D. DUTY TO RENDER FIRST AID. Officers shall render first aid when a subject is injured or claims injury caused by an officer's use of force unless first aid is declined, the scene is unsafe, or emergency medical personnel are available to render first aid. Officers shall continue to render first aid and monitor the subject until relieved by emergency medical personnel.

E. DUTY TO PROVIDE MEDICAL ASSESSMENT. Officers shall arrange for a medical assessment by emergency medical personnel when a subject is injured or complains of injury caused by a use of force, or complains of pain that persists beyond the use of a physical control hold, and the scene is safe. If the subject requires a medical evaluation, the subject shall be transported to a medical facility. If the emergency medical response is excessively delayed under the circumstances, officers shall contact a supervisor to coordinate and expedite the medical assessment or evaluation of the subject,
F. SUBJECT ARMED WITH A WEAPON – NOTIFICATION AND COMMAND. In situations where a subject is armed with a weapon, officers and supervisors shall comply with the following:

1. OFFICER’S RESPONSIBILITY. Upon being dispatched to or on-viewing a subject with a weapon, an officer shall call a supervisor as soon as feasible.

2. SUPERVISORS’ RESPONSIBILITIES. When notified that officers are dispatched to or on-view a subject armed with a weapon, a supervisor shall as soon as feasible:
   a. Notify DEM, monitor radio communications, and respond to the incident (e.g., “3X100, I’m monitoring the incident and responding.”);
   b. Notify responding officers, while en-route, absent a “Code 33” or other articulable reasons why it would be unsafe to do so, to protect life, isolate and contain the subject, maintain distance, find cover, build rapport, engage in communication, and call for appropriate resources;
   c. Upon arrival, assume command, and ensure appropriate resources are on-scene or are responding.

IV. LEVELS OF FORCE.

When force is needed, members shall assess each incident to determine which use of force option is believed to be the minimum amount of force necessary within the available range of objectively reasonable force options to bring the situation under control in a safe manner. The level of force must be proportional to the circumstances and the level of resistance encountered by the officer.

A. Low Level Force. The level of control necessary to interact with a subject who is displaying passive or active resistance. This level of force is not intended to and has a low probability of causing injury.

B. Intermediate Force. The level of force necessary to compel compliance by a subject displaying aggressive resistance. This level of force poses a foreseeable risk of significant injury or harm, but is neither likely nor intended to cause death. Case law decisions have specifically identified and established that certain force options such as OC spray, probe deployment with a conducted energy device, impact projectiles, and baton strikes are classified as intermediate force likely to result in significant injury.

C. Lethal Force. Lethal force is the degree of force likely to cause death or serious bodily injury. An officer may use lethal force upon another person only when it is objectively reasonable to:
   1. Protect him/herself or others from what is reasonably believed to be an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury; or
   2. Prevent the escape of a fleeing felon when:
a. The officer has reasonable cause to believe that the subject has committed or has attempted to commit a violent felony involving the use of threatened use of deadly force;
b. The subject poses a threat of serious physical harm to the public or the officer if the subject’s apprehension is delayed;
c. The use of lethal force is reasonably necessary to prevent escape;
d. When feasible, some warning should be given before the lethal force is used under these circumstances.

V. FORCE OPTIONS.

The force options authorized by the Department are physical controls, personal body weapons, chemical agents, impact weapons, extended range impact weapons, vehicle interventions, conducted energy devices, and firearms. These are the force options available to officers, but officers are not required to use these force options based on a continuum.

A. PHYSICAL CONTROLS/PERSONAL BODY WEAPONS. Physical controls, such as control holds, takedowns, strikes with personal body weapons, and other weaponless techniques are designed to incapacitate and subdue subjects. The use of physical control techniques and equipment against vulnerable populations – including children, elderly persons, pregnant women, people with physical and mental disabilities, people with limited English proficiency, and others – can undermine public trust and should be used as a last resort.

1. PURPOSE. When a subject offers some degree of passive or active resistance to a lawful order, in addition to de-escalation techniques and appropriate communication skills, officers may use physical controls consistent with Department training to gain compliance. A subject’s level of resistance and the threat posed by the subject are important factors in determining what type of physical controls or personal body weapons should be used.

2. USE. Officers should consider the relative size and possible physical capabilities of the subject compared to the size, physical capabilities, skills, and experience of the officer. When faced with a situation that may necessitate the use of physical controls, officers should consider requesting additional resources to the scene prior to making contact with the subject, if feasible. Different physical controls involve different levels of force and risk of injury to a subject or to an officer. Some physical controls may actually involve a greater risk of injury or pain to a subject than other force options.

3. PROHIBITED USE OF CONTROL HOLDS. Officers are prohibited from using choke holds, i.e., choking by means of pressure to the subject’s trachea or other means that prevent breathing.
4. MANDATORY MEDICAL ASSESSMENT. Any subject who has been injured, complains of an injury in the presence of officers, or complains of pain that persists beyond the use of the physical control hold shall be medically assessed by emergency medical personnel.

5. REPORTING. Use of physical controls is a reportable use of force when the subject is injured, complains of injury in the presence of officers, or complains of pain that persists beyond the use of a physical control hold. Striking a subject with a personal body weapon is a reportable use of force.

B. CHEMICAL AGENTS. Chemical agents, such as Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) Spray, are designed to cause irritation and temporarily incapacitate a subject.

1. PURPOSE. Chemical agents can be used to subdue an unarmed attacker or to overcome active resistance (unarmed or armed with a weapon other than a firearm) that is likely to result in injury to either the subject or the officer. In many instances, chemical agents can reduce or eliminate the necessity to use other force options to gain compliance, consistent with Department training.

2. WARNING. Officers shall provide a warning prior to deploying a chemical agent, if feasible:
   a. Announce a warning to the subject and other officers of the intent to deploy the chemical agent if the subject does not comply with officer commands; and
   b. Give the subject a reasonable opportunity to voluntarily comply unless it would pose a risk to the public or the officer, or permit the subject to undermine the deployment of the chemical agent.

3. MANDATORY FIRST AID. At the scene or as soon as possible, officers shall administer first aid by:
   a. Seating the subject or other person(s) exposed to a chemical agent in an upright position, and
   b. Flushing his/her eyes out with clean water and ventilate with fresh air.

4. MANDATORY MEDICAL ASSESSMENT. Any person exposed to a chemical agent shall be medically assessed by emergency medical personnel. Any exposed person shall be kept under direct visual observation until he/she has been medically assessed. If an exposed person loses consciousness or has difficulty breathing, an officer shall immediately request for emergency medical personnel, render first aid and monitor the subject until relieved by emergency medical personnel. Officers shall notify dispatch to expedite emergency medical personnel if person loses consciousness or has difficulty breathing.

5. TRANSPORTATION. Subjects in custody exposed to a chemical agent must be transported in an upright position by two officers. The passenger officer shall closely monitor the subject for any signs of distress. Hobble cords or similar types of restraints shall only be used to secure a subject’s legs together. They
shall not be used to connect the subject’s legs to his/her waist or hands or to a
fixed object.

6. BOOKING FORM. Officers shall note on the booking form that the subject has
been exposed to a chemical agent.

7. REPORTING. If an officer deploys a chemical agent on or near someone, it is a
reportable use of force.

C. IMPACT WEAPON. Department issued and authorized impact weapons include
the 26” straight wooden baton, the 36” straight wooden baton, the wooden or polymer
Yawara stick, the 21” to 29” telescopic metal baton, and the wooden bokken, and are
designed to temporarily incapacitate a subject.

1. PURPOSE. An impact weapon may be used in accordance with Department
training to administer strikes to non-vital areas of the body, which can subdue an
aggressive subject. Only Department issued or authorized impact weapons shall
be used. Officers may resort to the use of other objects as impact weapons, such
as a flashlight or police radio, if exigent circumstances exist, and officers shall
articulate in writing the reason for doing so.

2. WARNING. When using an impact weapon, an officer shall, if feasible:
   a. Announce a warning to the subject of the intent to use the impact weapon
      if the subject does not comply with officer’s commands; and
   b. Give the subject a reasonable opportunity to voluntarily comply, except
      that officers need not do so where it would pose a risk to the public or the
      officer or permit the subject to undermine the use of the impact weapon.

3. RESTRICTED USES. Unless exigent circumstances exist, officers should not:
   a. Raise an impact weapon above the head to strike a subject, or
   b. Intentionally strike vital areas, including the head, neck, face, throat,
      spine, groin or kidney. The use of an impact weapon to a vital area has a
      likelihood of causing serious bodily injury or death, and the intentional use
      of an impact weapon to these areas shall only be used in situations where
      lethal force is justified.

4. PROHIBITED USES. Officers shall not:
   a. Use the impact weapon to intimidate a subject or person, such as slapping
      the palm of their hand with an impact weapon or;
   b. Strike a handcuffed prisoner with an impact weapon. Striking a
      handcuffed prisoner is an inappropriate action and may result in
      disciplinary action and/or criminal prosecution.

5. MANDATORY MEDICAL ASSESSMENT. Any officer who strikes a subject
with an impact weapon shall ensure the subject is medically assessed.
6. REPORTING. If an officer strikes a subject with an impact weapon, it is a reportable use of force.

C. EXTENDED RANGE IMPACT WEAPON (ERIW). An Extended Range Impact Weapon (ERIW), such as a beanbag shotgun, is a weapon that fires a bean bag or other projectile designed to temporarily incapacitate a subject.

1. PURPOSE. The ERIW may be used on a subject who is armed with a weapon, other than a firearm, that could cause serious bodily injury or death. This includes, but is not limited to, edged weapons and improvised weapons such as baseball bats, bricks, bottles, or other objects. The ERIW may also be used in accordance with department training to subdue an aggressive, unarmed subject who poses an imminent threat of injury to another person or the officer.

2. USE. The ERIW shall be properly loaded and locked in the shotgun rack of the passenger compartment of the vehicle. Officers shall observe the following guidelines:
   a. An officer deploying an ERIW shall always have a lethal cover officer. When more than one officer is deploying an ERIW, tactical judgment and scene management in accordance with Department training, will dictate the appropriate number of ERIW and lethal cover officers. In most circumstances, there should be fewer lethal cover officers than the number of ERIWs deployed.
   b. The ERIW officer’s point of aim should be Zone 2 (waist and below). The ERIW officer’s point of aim may be Zone 1 (waist and above) if:
      i. Zone 2 is unavailable; or
      ii. The ERIW officer is delivering the round from 60 feet; or
      iii. Shots to Zone 2 have been ineffective, or in the officer’s judgment a shot to Zone 2 would be ineffective.

   Officers shall articulate in writing the reason for intentionally aiming the EIRW at Zone 1.

   c. The use of an ERIW to a vital area has a likelihood of causing serious bodily injury or death, and the intentional use of an ERIW to these areas shall only be used in situations where lethal force is justified.

   d. The ERIW officer shall assess the effect of the ERIW round after each shot. If subsequent ERIW rounds are needed, the officer shall aim at a different target area.

3. LIMITED USES. The ERIW should not be used in the following circumstances:
   a. The subject is at the extremes of age (elderly and children) or physically frail.
   b. The subject is in an elevated position where a fall is likely to cause serious injury or death.
   c. The subject is known to be or appears pregnant.
d. At ranges of less than 15 feet.

4. WARNING. When using the ERIW, an officer shall, if feasible:
   a. Announce to other officers the intent to use the ERIW by stating “Red Light! Less Lethal! Less Lethal!”
   b. All other officers at scene to acknowledge imminent deployment of ERIW by echoing, “Red Light! Less Lethal! Less Lethal!”
   c. Announce a warning to the subject that the ERIW will be used if the subject does not comply with officer commands;
   d. Give the subject a reasonable opportunity to voluntarily comply unless it would pose a risk to the community or the officer, or permit the subject to undermine the deployment of the ERIW.

5. MANDATORY MEDICAL ASSESSMENT. Any subject who has been struck by an ERIW round shall be medically assessed by emergency medical personnel. (See Section II.E.)

6. BOOKING FORM. Persons who have been struck by an ERIW round shall have that noted on the booking form.

7. REPORTING. Discharge of an ERT/V is a reportable use of force.

E. VEHICLE INTERVENTIONS. An officer’s use of a police vehicle as a “deflection” technique, creation of a roadblock by any means, or deployment of spike strips, or any other interventions resulting in the intentional contact with a noncompliant subject’s vehicle for the purpose of making a detention or arrest, are considered a use of force and must be reasonable under the circumstances. The Department’s policies concerning such vehicle intervention tactics are set forth in DGO 5.05, Response and Pursuit Driving.

F. CONDUCTED ENERGY DEVICE (CED). See Special Operations Bureau Order on use of CED.

G. CAROTID RESTRAINT. The carotid restraint is an allowable force option only in situations where lethal force would be justified. The carotid restraint is a control technique in which the carotid arteries on the sides of the neck are compressed, restricting blood flow to the brain, causing the subject to lose consciousness.

1. WARNING BEFORE USE. When deploying the carotid restraint, an officer shall, if feasible:
   a. Announce a warning to the subject to stop resisting; and
   b. Give the subject a reasonable opportunity to voluntarily comply, except that officers need not do so where it would pose a risk to safety or permit the subject to undermine the deployment of the carotid restraint.

2. MANDATORY MEDICAL ASSESSMENT. In all cases where the carotid restraint is used, the subject shall be medically assessed and medically evaluated.
Officers shall monitor the subject’s vital signs closely. If the subject has difficulty breathing or does not immediately regain consciousness, officers shall immediately request for emergency medical personnel, render first aid and monitor the subject until relieved by emergency medical personnel.

3. BOOKING FORM. Persons who have been the subject of a carotid restraint shall have that noted on the booking form.

4. REPORTING. Use of carotid restraint, even if unsuccessful, is a reportable use of force.

H. FIREARMS AND OTHER LETHAL FORCE. It is the policy of this Department to use lethal force only when no other reasonable options are available to protect the safety of the public and police officers. The use of firearms and lethal force is the most serious decision an officer may ever make. When safe and feasible under the totality of circumstances, officers should consider other force options before discharging a firearm or using other lethal force.

1. HANDLING, DRAWING AND POINTING FIREARMS.

   a. HANDLING FIREARMS. An officer shall handle and manipulate a firearm in accordance with Department-approved firearms training. An officer shall not manually cock the hammer of the Department-issued handgun to defeat the first shot double-action feature.

   b. AUTHORIZED USES. An officer may draw, exhibit or point a firearm in the line of duty when the officer has reasonable cause to believe it may be necessary for the safety of others or for his or her own safety. When an officer determines that the threat is over, the officer shall holster his or her firearm or shoulder the weapon in the port arms position pointed or slung in a manner consistent with Department approved firearms training. If an officer points a firearm at a person, the officer should, if feasible, advise the subject the reason why the officer(s) pointed the firearm.

   c. DRAWING OTHERWISE PROHIBITED. Except for maintenance, safekeeping, inspection by a superior officer, Department-approved training, or as otherwise authorized by this order, an officer shall not draw a Department issued firearm.

   d. POINTING A FIREARM AT A PERSON. The pointing of a firearm at a person is a seizure and requires legal justification. No officer shall point a firearm at or in the direction of a person unless there is a reasonable perception of a substantial risk that the situation will escalate to justify lethal force.

   e. REPORTING. When an officer intentionally points any firearm at a person, it shall be considered a reportable use of force. Such use of force must be reasonable under the objective facts and circumstances.
2. DISCHARGE OF FIREARMS OR USE OF OTHER LETHAL FORCE.

a. PERMISSIBLE CIRCUMSTANCES. Except as limited by Sections H.2.d. and H.2.e., an officer may discharge a firearm or use other lethal force in any of the following circumstances:

i. In self-defense when the officer has reasonable cause to believe that he or she is in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury; or

ii. In defense of another person when the officer has reasonable cause to believe that the person is in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury. However, an officer may not discharge a firearm at, or use lethal force against, a person who presents a danger only to him or herself, and there is no reasonable cause to believe that the person poses an imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or any other person; or

iii. To apprehend a person when both of the following circumstances exist:
   - The officer has reasonable cause to believe that the person has committed or has attempted to commit a violent felony involving the use or threatened use of lethal force; AND
   - The officer has reasonable cause to believe that a substantial risk exists that the person will cause death or serious bodily injury to officers or others if the person's apprehension is delayed; or

iv. To kill an animal posing an imminent threat.

The above circumstances (2.a, i-iv) apply to each discharge of a firearm or application of lethal force. Officers should constantly reassess the situation, as feasible, to determine whether the subject continues to pose an active threat.

b. VERBAL WARNING. If feasible, and if doing so would not increase the danger to the officer or others, an officer shall give a verbal warning to submit to the authority of the officer before discharging a firearm or using other lethal force.

c. REASONABLE CARE FOR THE PUBLIC. To the extent feasible, an officer shall take reasonable care when discharging his or her firearm so as not to jeopardize the safety of the public or officers.

d. PROHIBITED CIRCUMSTANCE. Officers shall not discharge their firearm:
   i. As a warning; or
   ii. At a person who presents a danger only to him or herself.

e. MOVING VEHICLES. An officer shall not discharge a firearm at the operator or occupant of a moving vehicle unless the operator or occupant poses an imminent
threat of death or serious bodily injury to the public or an officer by means other than the vehicle. Officers shall not discharge a firearm from his or her moving vehicle.

f. REPORTING.

i. DISCHARGE OF FIREARMS. Except for firearm discharges at an approved range or during lawful recreational activity, an officer who discharges a firearm, either on or off duty, shall report the discharge as required under DGO 8.11, Investigation of Officer Involved Shootings and Discharges. This includes an intentional or unintentional discharge, either within or outside the City and County of San Francisco.

ii. OTHER LETHAL FORCE. An officer who applies other force that results in death shall report the force to the officer’s supervisor, and it shall be investigated as required under DGO 8.12, In Custody Deaths. An officer who applies other lethal force that results in serious bodily injury shall report the force to the officer’s supervisor. The supervisor shall, regardless whether possible misconduct occurred, immediately report the force to their superior officer and their commanding officer, who shall determine which unit shall be responsible for further investigation. An officer who applies other lethal force that does not result in serious bodily injury shall report the force.

VI. USE OF FORCE REPORTING

A. REPORTABLE USES OF FORCE. Officers shall report any use of force involving physical controls when the subject is injured, complains of injury in the presence of officers, or complains of pain that persists beyond the use of a physical control hold. Officers shall also report any use of force involving the use of personal body weapons, chemical agents, impact weapons, ERIWs, vehicle interventions, CEDs, and firearms. Additionally, officers shall report the intentional pointing of CEDs and firearms at a subject.

1. NOTIFICATION OF USE OF FORCE. An officer shall notify his/her supervisor immediately or as soon as practical of any reportable use of force. A supervisor shall be notified if an officer receives an allegation of excessive force.

2. EVALUATION OF USE OF FORCE. Supervisors shall conduct a use of force evaluation in all cases involving a reportable use of force.

3. EXCESSIVE USE OF FORCE. Every allegation of excessive force shall be subject to the reporting and investigative requirements of this General Order and applicable disciplinary policies.
B. PROCEDURES

1. OFFICER’S RESPONSIBILITY. Any reportable use of force shall be documented in detail in an incident report. Descriptions shall be in clear, precise and plain language and shall be as specific as possible.

   a. When the officer using force is preparing the incident report, the officer shall include the following information:
      i. The subject’s action necessitating the use of force, including the threat presented by the subject;
      ii. Efforts to de-escalate prior to the use of force;
      iii. Any warning given and if not, why not;
      iv. The type of force used;
      v. Injury sustained by the subject;
      vi. Injury sustained by the officer or another person;
      vii. Information regarding medical assessment or evaluation, including whether the subject refused;
      viii. The supervisor’s name, rank, star number and the time notified.

   b. In the event that the officer using force is not the officer preparing the incident report, all officer using the force shall:
      i. Ensure that he/she is clearly identified in the incident report; and
      ii. Prepare a supplemental report or a statement form with the above information.

In the event that an officer cannot document his/her use of force due to exceptional circumstances, another officer shall document this use of force in an incident report, supplemental incident report or statement form at the direction of a supervisor.

2. SUPERVISOR’S RESPONSIBILITY. When notified of the use of force, the supervisor shall conduct a supervisory evaluation to determine whether the force used appears reasonable and within the provisions of this order. The supervisor shall:

   a. Immediately respond to the scene unless a response is impractical, poses a danger, or where officers’ continued presence creates a risk. When more than one supervisor responds, the responsibility shall fall on the senior supervisor;
   b. Ensure the scene is secure and observe injured subjects or officers;
   c. Ensure that witnesses (including officers) are identified and interviewed, and that this information is included in the incident report. The number of witnesses may preclude identification and interview of all witnesses, however supervisors shall ensure identification to the best of their ability;
   d. Ensure photographs of injuries are taken and all other evidence is booked;
   e. Remain available to review the officer's incident report, supplemental incident report and written statement at the direction of the superior officer. A supervisor
shall not approve an incident report or written statement involving a use of force that does not comply with the requirements as set forth in II.A above;
f. If applicable, ensure the supervisor's reason for not responding to the scene is included in the incident report.
g. Complete and submit the Supervisory Use of Force Evaluation form, indicating whether the force used appears reasonable, by the end of watch;
h. Complete the Use of Force Log (SFPD 128) and attach one copy of the incident report by the end of watch.

If a supervisor determines that a member’s use of force is unreasonable or that an officer has applied force that results in serious bodily injury or death, the supervisor shall notify his/her superior officer.

3. SUPERIOR OFFICER’S RESPONSIBILITY. When a superior officer is notified of unreasonable force or force that results in serious bodily injury or death, the superior officer shall:

a. Respond to the scene and assume command, as practical;
b. Notify the commanding officer and ensure all other notifications are made consistent with DGO 1.06, Duties of Superior Officers;
c. Make the required notification to the Office of Citizen Complaints if a citizen complaint is made;
d. Determine which unit(s) will be responsible for the on-going investigation(s);
e. Prepare a report containing preliminary findings, conclusions and/or recommendations, if appropriate.

C. OTHER REQUIREMENTS.

1. USE OF FORCE LOG. The following units shall maintain a Use of Force Log:
   a. District Stations
   b. Airport Bureau
   c. Department Operations Center

2. RECORDING PROCEDURES. Supervisors shall document a reportable use of force for all officers – including those officers assigned to specialized units – in the Use of Force Log at the District Station where the use of force occurred, except as noted below:
   a. Any use of force occurring outside the city limits, except at the San Francisco International Airport, shall be recorded in the Department Operations Center’s Use of Force Log.
   b. Any use of force occurring at the San Francisco International Airport shall be recorded in the Airport Bureau’s Use of Force Log.

3. DOCUMENT ROUTING.
   a. Commanding officers shall forward the original completed Supervisor’s Use of Force Evaluation Form(s) to the Commanding Officer of Risk Management and
one copy to the Commanding Officer of the Training Division and another to the officer’s Bureau Deputy Chief no later than the end of the watch.

b. On the 1st and 15th of each month, commanding officers shall sign the Use of Force Log and send it, along with one copy of the incident report, to their respective Bureau Deputy Chief and one copy of the Use of Force Log with copies of the incident reports to the Commanding Officer of the Training Division.

4. TRAINING DIVISION RESPONSIBILITIES. The Commanding Officer of the Training Division will maintain controls that assure all Use of Force Logs and Supervisor Evaluations are received, and shall perform a non-punitive review to ascertain the number, types, proper application and effectiveness of uses of force. The information developed shall be used to identify training needs. The Commanding Officer of the Training Division shall report bi-monthly to the Chief of Police on the use of force by Department members that includes comprehensive use of force statistics consistent with current federal, state and local laws on use of force reporting.

5. DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS. The Department will collect and analyze its use of force data through the Use of Force Log to enable electronic collection of the data. The Use of Force statistics and analysis will include, but is not limited to:
   a. The type of force
   b. The types and degree of injury to suspect and officer
   c. Date and time
   d. Location of the incident
   e. Officer’s unit
   f. District station where the use of force occurred
   g. Officer’s assignment
   h. Number of officers using force in the incident
   i. Officer’s activity when force was used (ex. Handcuffing, search warrant, pursuit)
   j. Subject’s activity requiring the officer to use force
   k. Officer’s demographics (age, gender, race/ethnicity, rank, number of years with SFPD, number of years as a police officer)
   l. Suspect demographics including race/ethnicity, age, gender, gender identity, primary language and other factors such as mental illness, cognitive impairment, developmental disability, drug and alcohol use/addiction and homeless.

The Department will post on a monthly basis on its website comprehensive use of force statistics and analysis and provide a written use of force report to the Police Commission annually.
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