1. At various places throughout the documents, version 1 uses the terms “should” or “should, when feasible,” and version 2 used the terms “shall” or “shall, when feasible.”

   Per Department General Order 3.02, Terms and Definitions, “should” means “permissive, but recommended,” and “shall” means “mandatory.”

2. Throughout the documents, version 1 uses the term “imminent,” and version 2 used the term “immediate.”

3. At various places throughout the documents, version 1 uses the term “reasonable,” and version two uses the term “minimal.”

4. The opening paragraph in version 1 differs from the opening paragraph in version 2.

5. Section I, D. Proportionality:
   The definition of proportionality in version 1 is different than the definition of proportionality in version 2.

6. Section II, B:
   Version 1 defines the term “imminent threat,” and version 2 defines the term “immediate threat.”

7. Section III, B. 3:
   This list of other factors that may determine reasonableness in version 1 differs from the list of other factors that may determine reasonableness in version 2.

8. Section IV, C:
   In version 1, there are 2 explanatory items that delineate when an officer may use lethal force. In version 2, there are 3 explanatory items that delineate when an officer may use lethal force.

9. Section V, A:
   In version 2, the Carotid Restraint is prohibited. In version 1, the Carotid Restraint is an allowable force option and is described in Section V, G.