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## Memorandum

Subject: Updated HH/LSM Assumptions and Results - Proposed WSIP<br>From: Daniel B. Steiner<br>Date:<br>March 20, 2008

## 1. Introduction

This memorandum summarizes assumptions for, and discusses the interpretation of, the Hetch Hetchy/Local Simulation Model (HH/LSM) results for the simulation of the Water System Improvement Program (WSIP or the proposed program). Table 1-1 and Table 1-2 summarize the program/setting characteristics and modeling assumptions, and the performance and hydrologic results, respectively, for the WSIP as they compare to the modeled existing setting (2005, with Calaveras Reservoir constrained by the California Division of Safety of Dams [DSOD] restrictions).

The hydrology under the proposed program is primarily discussed in terms of a comparison to the baseline condition presented in the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report, i.e., the simulated current (2005) operation of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) regional water system, assuming that the operation of Calaveras and Crystal Springs Reservoirs is constrained by DSOD restrictions. Primary hydrologic parameters such as projected water deliveries, reservoir storage, and stream flows are compared, and additional parameters that assist in identifying the causes of hydrologic changes are also described as needed.
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Table 1-1
Setting Characteristics and Modeling Assumptions (Part 3/3)

| Assumptions and Characteristics of Setting and/or Program | Units | Baseline | Proposed WSIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline Conditions ${ }^{1}$ - Calaveras Constrained |  |
| Tuolumne River System Operational Parameters |  |  |  |
| Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Operation |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum | TAF | 26.1-360.4 | 26.1-360.4 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1987 Stipulation Minimum Release Flows |  | Yes | Yes |
| 1987 Stipulation Supplemental Release Flows |  | No | No |
| Cherry Reservoir Operation |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum Fall/Winter Operation Storage | TAF | $1.0-273.3$ <br> 25.3 TAF winter buffer | $1.0-273.3$ <br> 25.3 TAF winter buffer |
| Eleanor Reservoir Operation |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum Fall/Winter Operation Storage | TAF | $0.0-27.1$ Required Minimum Storage | $0.0-27.1$ Reqra Minimum Stor |
| New Don Pedro Water Bank Account |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum | TAF | $0.0-570.0$ Temporary storage up to 740 TAF during Apr - Sep | $0.0-570.0$ Temp stor up to 740 TAF during Apr - Sep |
| Conveyance |  |  |  |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Maximum | MGD | 290 | 313 |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Minimum | MGD | 70 | 70 |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Flow Rate Changes |  | 11 Stepwise | 17 Stepwise |
|  |  | Surrogate minimum changes by allowing only 7 changes in a year | Allow up to 7 changes in a year (surrogate) |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Maintenance |  | Cycle one pipeline out Nov - Mar each year (average remaining capacity rotation) maximum 210 MGD | Cyclic 5-year mantenance (see note) |
| TIDIMID Operational Parameters |  |  | Note:  <br>  Cyclic 5-year maintenance, maximum <br>  <br>  <br>  and 131 MGD available all other months exc <br> and 135 MGD available  |
| Districts' Tuolumne Diversion ${ }^{17}$ |  | Varies annually based on land use and water availability Annual average 875 TAF | Set equal to baseline conditions. SFPUC effects measured by the result of reducing inflow to DP and its effect upon La Grange releases to the TR |
| Tuolumne River La Grange Flow Releases |  |  |  |
| Don Pedro, 1996 FERC <br> VAMP - considered but not modeled ${ }^{18}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ |
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Table 1-2
Summary of Modeling Results (Part 1/2)

| HH/LSM Simulation Results | Units | Baseline | Proposed WSIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline Conditions ${ }^{1}$ - Calaveras Constrained |  |
| Design Drought Production \& Disposition ${ }^{19}$ |  |  |  |
| San Joaquin Pipeline Diversion | mGD | 208.7 | 235.0 |
| Bay-Area Deliveries | mGd | 218.3 | 248.9 |
| Added Groveland \& Coastside Delivery | MGD | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| Local Reservoir Evaporation | MGD | 10.7 | 12.5 |
| Inflow from ACDD | mGd | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| Flow Recapture | mGd | 0 | 5.3 |
| Local Reservoir Stream Release | MGD | 0.6 | 5.4 |
| Desalination | mGd | 0 | 0 |
| Westside Basin | mGd | 0 | 5.6 |
| District Transfer to NDP Water Bank | MGD | , | 24.7 |
| Local Storage - Begin | mG | 53,854 | 77,310 |
| Local Storage - End | mg | 18,403 | 18,495 |
| Study Average Production \& Disposition (1921-02) ${ }^{20}$ |  |  |  |
| Tuolumne River System |  |  |  |
| Reservoirs |  |  |  |
| Hetch Hetchy |  |  |  |
| Inflow | AF | 749,605 | 749,605 |
| River | AF | 275,255 | 267,021 |
| Stream Minimum Release | AF | 65,728 | 65,593 |
| Tunnel | AF | 470,709 | 478,932 |
| Evaporation | ${ }_{\text {AF }}$ | 3,893 | 3,869 |
| Inflow | AF | 279,293 | 279,293 |
| Eleanor Gravity | AF | 289 | 289 |
| Eleanor Pump | AF | 118,251 | 118,274 |
| River | AF | 41,636 | 41,439 |
| Stream Minimum Release | AF |  |  |
| Tunnel | AF | 352,692 | 352,915 |
| Evaporation | AF | 3,505 | 3,501 239,309 |
| Reservoir | AF |  |  |
| Eleanor ${ }_{\text {Inflow }}$ | AF | 169,617 | 169,617 |
| Eleanor Gravity | AF | 289 | 289 |
| Eleanor Pump | AF | 118,251 | 118,274 |
| River | AF | 49,171 | 49,148 |
| Stream Minimum Release | ${ }_{\text {AF }}$ |  |  |
| Evaporation | AF | 1,906 | 1,906 |
| Reservoir | AF | 22,191 | 22,191 |
| Don Pedro Reservoir |  |  |  |
| Inflow | AF | 1,587,517 | 1,560,828 |
| MID Diversion | ${ }^{\text {AF }}$ | 302,054 573,164 | 302,055 573,168 |
| TID Diversion | AF | 573,164 | 573,168 |
| LaGrange Total Stream | AF | 668,876 | 644,009 |
| LaGrange Minimum Stream Release | ${ }_{\text {AF }}$ | 221,477 | 221,477 |
| Total Evaporation | AF | 43,493 | 42,604 |
| Reservoir | AF | 1,472,337 | 1,434,872 |
| Water Bank Account |  |  |  |
| Balance | ${ }_{\text {AF }}$ | 514,299 | 516,733 |
| San Joaquin Pipelines |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (MG) | MG | 80,734 | 89,429 |
| Rate (MGD) | mGD | 221 | 245 |
| Max Rate (MGD) | mGd | 290 | 313 |
| Min Rate (MGD) | MGD | 70 | 0 |
| East Bay System |  |  |  |
| Reservoirs |  |  |  |
| Calaveras |  |  |  |
| Inflow | MG | 12,368 | 12,368 |
| From ACDD | mg | 1,316 | 1,730 |
| Stream | MG | 3,660 | 4,167 1,538 |
| Stream Flow Recapture To SVWTP | MG $M \mathrm{MG}$ | 9,013 | 1,538 8,244 |
| To SVWTP To San Antonio | MG | 9 | 8,244 0 |
| Evaporation | MG | 1,023 | 1,704 |
| San Antonio ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inflow | MG | 2,468 | 2,468 |
| From Calaveras/SJPL | MG | 1,173 | 1,734 613 |
| Stream To SVWTP | MG | ${ }_{1} 991$ | ${ }^{613}$ |
| To SVWTP Evaporation | MG | 1,693 1,012 | 2,628 973 |
| Resevoir | mg | 15,323 | 14,490 |
| Alameda Creek Diversion Dam |  |  |  |
| Inflow | MG | 4,197 | 4,197 |
| To Calaveras Reservoir | mg | 1,316 | 1,730 |
| Alameda Creek Confluence |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accretion | MG | 625 | 625 |
| From ACDD | mg | 2,881 | 2,467 |
| From Calaveras Dam | MG | 3,660 | 4,167 |
| At Confluence | mg | 7,167 | 7,259 |
| Treatment Plants |  |  |  |
| SVWTP Total | MG | ${ }^{13,662}$ | 15,738 |
| From Calaveras | MG | ${ }^{9,013}$ | 8,244 2,628 |
| From San Antonio | MG | 1,693 | 2,628 |
| From SJPL | mg | 2,956 | 3,329 |
| From Recapture | MG | 0 | 1,538 |
| SWWTP Total MGD | MGD | 37 | 43 |
| SVWTP Max MGD | MGD | 120 | 158 |
| SVWTP Min MGD | MGD | 20 | 20 |
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Table 1-2
Summary of Modeling Results (Part 2/2)

| HH/LSM Simulation Results |  | Baseline | Proposed WSIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Units | Baseline Conditions ${ }^{1}$ - Calaveras Constrained |  |
| Peninsula System |  |  |  |
| Reservoirs |  |  |  |
| Crystal Springs |  |  |  |
| Inflow | MG | 3,722 | 3,722 |
| From San Andreas | MG | 0 | 0 |
| From Pilarcitos and SJPL | MG | 8,045 | 7,643 |
| Stream | MG | 773 | 325 |
| Pump to San Andreas | MG | 9,438 | 9,005 |
| Pump to Coastside | MG | 247 | 591 |
| Evaporation | MG | 1,323 | 1,490 |
| Reservoir | MG | 16,360 | 18,621 |
| San Andreas |  |  |  |
| Inflow | MG | 1,428 | 1,428 |
| From other Streams | MG | 9,954 | 9,590 |
| Stream | MG | 0 | 0 |
| To HTWTP | MG | 10,851 | 10,487 |
| Evaporation | MG | 530 | 531 |
| Pilarcitos ${ }^{\text {R }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Inflow |  | 1,297 | 1,297 |
| To San Andreas | MG | 516 | 584 |
| For Stone Diversion | MG | 262 | 280 |
| Stream other than Diversion | MG | 417 | 332 |
| Evaporation | MG | 103 | 102 |
| Reservoir | MG | 776 | 767 |
| Stone Dam |  |  |  |
| Accretion blw Pilarcitos | MG | 167 | 211 |
| Pilarcitos non-diversion Release | MG | 417 | 332 |
| Pilarcitos Release for Diversions | MG | 584 | 543 |
| Diversion to Coastside | MG | 167 | 211 |
| Diversion to Crystal Springs | MG | 142 | 180 |
| Spill past Stone | MG | 860 | 695 |
| Treatment Plants |  |  |  |
| HTWTP Total | MG | 10,851 | 10,487 |
| HTWTP Total MGD | MGD | 30 | 29 |
| HTWTP Max MGD | MGD | 149 | 106 |
| HTWTP Min MGD | MGD | 20 | 20 |
| Other Facilites |  |  |  |
| Westside Basin Net | MG | 0 | 11 |
| Desalination Input | MG | 0 | 0 |
| Additional Information |  |  |  |
| Total Local Reservoir Stream Release | MG | 5,842 | 5,437 |
| Total Local Reservoir Stream Evaporation | MG | 3,991 | 4,800 |
| Deliveries |  |  |  |
| In-City | MG | 29,589 | 26,686 |
| South Bay | MG | 43,106 | 52,906 |
| Crystal Springs | MG | 15,120 | 16,931 |
| San Andreas | MG | 5,400 | 6,604 |
| Coastside | MG | 675 | 1,082 |
| Groveland | MG | 365 | 365 |
| Total Deliveries | MG | 94,255 | 104,574 |
| Total Deliveries | MGD | 258 | 287 |
| Storage |  |  |  |
| Total Local Storage Begin | MG | 49,849 | 71,363 |
| Total Local Storage End | MG | 43,129 | 65,197 |
| Residual Difference during 82-year Simulation | MGD | 0.22 | 0.21 |
| Westside Storage Begin | MG | 0 | 23,474 |
| Westside Storage End | MG | 0 | 24,363 |
| Residual Difference during 82-year Simulation | MGD | 0.00 | -0.03 |

## Notes for Table 1-1 and Table 1-2

1. Baseline condition represents the existing conditions at the time of Notice of Preparation publication in September 2006. This is the baseline used to assess WSIP program impacts and impact significance. This setting is indicative of DSOD restrictions on Calaveras and Crystal Springs Reservoirs.
2. $N / A$
3. $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$
4. The time horizon for the setting of the scenario. The baseline condition scenario is depicted for recent conditions, while the proposed WSIP, variants, and alternatives are depicted for the future at full buildout and implementation (i.e., conditions in the year 2030).
5. HH/LSM simulation study name.
6. The customer purchase request (demand) information is based on the demand and request studies prepared by the SFPUC in coordination with the wholesale customers. This demand on the regional water system includes both the SFPUC retail customers and wholesale customers. The current (2005) average annual demand is 265 mgd and the projected 2030 average annual demand is 300 mgd , assuming the SFPUC adopts the updated wholesale customer purchase requests as part of renewing the Master Sales Agreement with these customers (due in 2009).
7. Certain scenarios include development of additional water supply from a combination of recycled water projects, groundwater projects, and conservation, utilized every year and not subject to reduction during drought.
8. The average annual demand for supplies from the combination of SFPUC local watershed, Tuolumne River, and programs not included in the regional water conservation, recycling, and groundwater programs shown.
9. Modeled results for SFPUC deliveries, with supplies added for regional water conservation, recycling, and groundwater programs. Total deliveries and supply will be less than full customer purchase requests due to rationing in some years.
10. Shows only the features that affect hydrologic results of the system operation simulations. Additional projects are included in the WSIP.
11. Illustrates the frequency and severity of water supply action or the severity of systemwide rationing. Only years when a variable water supply component is implemented or rationing occurs are shown. "DD" illustrates the shortage results for years included in the prospective drought period of the SFPUC design drought. These years contribute to establishing system operation protocols but are not included in the hydrologic assessment analyses.
12. Rationing policy cap: The SFPUC WSIP level of service goal is to maintain rationing on the regional system at no more than $20 \%$ during any one year of the drought. Some alternatives do not achieve this level of service goal. Performance is indicated for the Design Drought ("DD") sequence and for the "Historical" hydrologic sequence.
13. Water supply elements develop water in different amounts from year-to-year, and in some instances only develop water during dry years. This information is provided to illustrate a comparison between local watershed supplies, Tuolumne River supplies, and other identifiable water supplies used to meet system purchase requests. Values are stated in units of average annual quantities during the simulated historical sequence.
14. Results from HH/LSM analysis of each scenario. Values represent the average annual production of each element of supply during the design drought period.
15. Simplified calculation of system deliveries during the SFPUC design drought. The value represents the application of systemwide shortages to the demand level being met with SFPUC local watershed, Tuolumne River, and other developed supplies, and does not include supplies from regional water conservation, recycled water, or groundwater projects. Average value may be slightly misstated (up to 3 mgd ) due to metric of analysis that does not account for differences in residual storage between studies. "Nominal" Firm Yield represents the yield of each scenario after adjustment for minor residual storage differences.
16. Supplemental releases from Calaveras Reservoir for fisheries ( 1997 CDFG MOU) of up to 6,300 acre-feet per year and the Alameda Creek recapture facility project are tied to implementation of the Calaveras Dam Replacement project (SV-2). When the dam is replaced and capacity restored, the flow release and recapture will both occur. The release requirement is based on supplementing other occurring flows below Calaveras Reservoir, sometimes not requiring the full 6,300 acre-feet.
17. SFPUC actions are assumed to not change MID/TID diversions so as to isolate and possibly overstate the WSIP's effects on the Tuolumne River below La Grange Dam. The Districts' diversions are assumed to be constant among the scenarios to provide comparable results of WSIP-alone effects. The exception is for the Modified WSIP Alternative, in which the MID/TID diversion is reduced by the amount of SFPUC transfer.
18. Participation in the San Joaquin River Agreement is assumed. Although the agreement expires after 2010, it is assumed that a subsequent similar agreement or requirement of the Districts will occur. HH/LSM does not explicitly model the Districts' participation in the agreement; however, their participation if modeled would result in only minor differences in results and would not change impact conclusions.

## 19. From HH/LSM results for modeling the SFPUC design drought period.

20. From HH/LSM results for modeling the system operations for the historical hydrologic period 1921-2002. Values indicate average annual quantities during simulated historical period.
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## 2. Proposed WSIP

The SFPUC proposes to adopt and implement the WSIP to increase the reliability of the regional water system. The WSIP is a program to implement the service goals and system performance objectives established by the SFPUC for the regional water system in the areas of water quality, seismic reliability, delivery reliability, and water supply through the year 2030.

The WSIP level of service objectives for water supply are to: (1) fully meet customer purchase requests in nondrought years through planning year 2030, estimated at 300 million gallons per day (mgd) average annual delivery; and (2) provide drought-year delivery with a maximum systemwide delivery reduction (rationing) of 20 percent in any one year of a drought. These objectives correspond to a required system firm yield of 256 mgd in 2030. System firm yield is defined as the average annual water delivery that can be sustained throughout an extended drought. The current firm yield of the system is 219 mgd under the current restricted operating conditions that limit storage levels in Calaveras and Crystal Springs Reservoirs.

During nondrought years, the SFPUC would serve the increased 35 mgd in purchase requests through a combination of conservation, water recycling, groundwater supply programs, increased diversions from the Tuolumne River, and greater utilization of Bay Area watershed supplies associated with the restoration of operational storage capacity (primarily in Calaveras Reservoir). The SFPUC would implement conservation, water recycling, and groundwater supply programs in the SFPUC retail service area to achieve the equivalent of 10 mgd of supply per year, in all years. These programs would be in addition to demand management and conservation measures already accounted for in the 2030 purchase requests for the retail service area.

In most years, the SFPUC could serve the projected 2030 water purchases of 300 mgd with its existing sources of water supply; however, these sources alone have not allowed for full water deliveries during past droughts, and they would be insufficient during future droughts as purchase requests increase. The SFPUC proposes to serve this 2030 need for increased system firm yield (i.e., water supply during a drought scenario) with a combination of conservation, water recycling, and groundwater programs in the SFPUC retail service area; water transfers (29,350 acre-feet per year) from the Turlock Irrigation District (TID) and Modesto Irrigation District (MID); a groundwater conjunctive-use program, incorporating the Westside Basin Groundwater Program; and restoration of reservoir operating capacity at Crystal Springs and Calaveras Reservoirs. Systemwide rationing is limited to no more than 20 percent in any year, with a firm yield of 256 mgd throughout an extended drought.

### 2.1 Water Deliveries and Drought Response Actions

With a current systemwide purchase request of approximately 265 mgd , the regional water system cannot provide full deliveries during all anticipated drought sequences. Drought response actions (delivery shortages) are necessary at the onset of a drought to provide a viable, albeit reduced, supply throughout the duration of a drought. Because the regional water system has limited current resources, rationing of the SFPUC supply by more than 20 percent may be required during an extended drought. With the proposed program, the purchase requests would increase from 265 mgd to 300 mgd , with 10 mgd of these requests satisfied by conservation, recycling, and groundwater programs in the city of San Francisco. In the future, the system would experience a net demand of 290 mgd . The additional net demand and increase in the water supply reliability of the regional water system would be served by the water supply programs described above. Table 1-1 compares the drought response actions for the proposed program and base (Calaveras constrained) settings. Figure 2.1-1 illustrates the drought response actions for the simulated 82-year historical period (1921-2002).

In Figure 2.1-1, years with bars showing a "1" or greater level of action indicate periods when a supplemental water supply action is initiated. In the WSIP setting, the action is the use of the Westside Basin Groundwater Program to supplement SFPUC water deliveries. The water transfer from MID/TID is also occurring during these periods. Action levels greater than "1" indicate the imposition of delivery shortages (rationing) to SFPUC customers.
Figure 2.1-1
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## Drought Response Actions - WSIP and Base



In modeling parlance, there is no level 1 action in the base setting. Without supplemental resources, the existing system only has the delivery shortage measure available to cope with drought. This shortage measure is imposed during level 2 ( 10 percent) and level 3 ( 20 percent). These percentages of shortage are applied to both the WSIP and the base settings for these action levels. As evidenced in Figure 2.1-1, rationing would be required more frequently and with greater severity (level 2 and level 3 actions) in the base setting.

Figure 2.1-1 illustrates that, when compared to the base setting, the WSIP setting triggers the supplemental resource (Westside Basin Groundwater Program) at an early indication of drought, and during periods when in the base setting there were no supplemental resources available to the system. The utilization of the supplemental resource during these times results in the elimination or reduction, or at least a non-increase in the severity, of delivery shortage.

Although not illustrated in Figure 2.1-1, Table 1-1 shows the delivery shortages anticipated during the entire SFPUC design drought. Shortages during the design drought with the WSIP are maintained within the objective to limit the severity of shortage to no more than 20 percent. With the existing system (Calaveras and Crystal Springs Reservoirs constrained), the 20-percent-limitation (cap) objective cannot be achieved during the last 18 months of the design drought, and a 25 -percent shortage is applied. The system's yield in the base setting is 219 mgd .

The difference in water deliveries between the proposed program and the base settings is shown chronologically for the 82 -year simulation in Table 2.1-1. The differences all indicate an increase in deliveries due to an increase in the level of purchase requests, and an increase in the reliability of delivery. The annual (fiscal-year-based) increase of approximately 9.1 million gallons represents the basic increase in delivery associated with an increase in purchase requests from 265 mgd to 290 mgd . The years that show other levels of additional deliveries illustrate the increase in purchase requests and represent years when shortages are reduced in the WSIP setting compared to the base setting.

### 2.2 Diversions from Tuolumne River

The metric for illustrating the SFPUC diversions from the Tuolumne River Basin is the flow through the San Joaquin Pipeline (SJPL). Table 2.2-1 illustrates the difference in diversions to the SJPL between the proposed program and the base settings. Evident in the operation is the increase in summer diversions associated with an increase in the conveyance capacity of the SJPL. Regardless of an increase in purchase requests, the availability of increased conveyance capacity would increase diversions during the summer to retain storage in the Bay Area reservoirs, typically exercising the SJPL at its maximum capacity. The increase in purchase requests would require the utilization of the maximum capacity for a longer period into the fall. Generally, fewer diversions would occur during the late fall and early winter because of the lesser drawdown of the Bay Area reservoirs (requiring less replenishment), and because systematic maintenance within Hetch Hetchy facilities (lessening available conveyance capacity) would impair diversions in the WSIP setting. The increase in diversions during the winter and spring would

Table 2.1-1
Difference in Total System-wide Delivery (MG)

| Difference in | Syst | de D | (M) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP | Base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul\| | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| 1921 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1922 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1923 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1924 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 2,347 | 2,262 | 1,909 | 12,462 | 9,124 |
| 1925 | 1,627 | 1,198 | 894 | 695 | 869 | 1,278 | 1,584 | 1,935 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 14,269 | 17,607 |
| 1926 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,125 | 9,125 |
| 1927 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,125 |
| 1928 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1929 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1930 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,125 | 9,125 |
| 1931 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 2,009 | 1,947 | 1,710 | 11,610 | 9,125 |
| 1932 | 1,547 | 1,259 | 1,075 | 947 | 1,006 | 1,309 | 1,479 | 1,721 | 1,847 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 15,370 | 17,856 |
| 1933 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1934 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 2,347 | 2,262 | 1,909 | 12,462 | 9,124 |
| 1935 | 1,627 | 1,198 | 894 | 695 | 869 | 1,278 | 1,584 | 1,935 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 14,268 | 17,607 |
| 1936 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1937 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1938 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1939 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1940 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1941 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1942 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1943 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1944 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1945 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1946 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1947 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1948 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1949 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,125 |
| 1950 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1951 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,125 |
| 1952 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1953 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1954 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1955 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1956 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,125 |
| 1957 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1958 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1959 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1960 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 2,347 | 2,262 | 1,909 | 12,462 | 9,124 |
| 1961 | 1,627 | 1,198 | 894 | 695 | 869 | 1,278 | 1,584 | 1,935 | 2,150 | 2,009 | 1,947 | 1,710 | 17,896 | 18,749 |
| 1962 | 1,547 | 1,259 | 1,075 | 947 | 1,006 | 1,309 | 1,479 | 1,721 | 1,847 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 15,370 | 17,856 |
| 1963 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1964 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1965 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,125 |
| 1966 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1967 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1968 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1969 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1970 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1971 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1972 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1973 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,125 |
| 1974 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1975 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1976 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 2,347 | 2,262 | 1,909 | 12,462 | 9,124 |
| 1977 | 1,627 | 1,198 | 894 | 695 | 869 | 1,278 | 1,584 | 1,935 | 2,150 | 2,009 | 1,947 | 1,710 | 17,896 | 18,749 |
| 1978 | 1,547 | 1,259 | 1,075 | 947 | 1,006 | 1,309 | 1,479 | 1,721 | -263 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 13,260 | 15,746 |
| 1979 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1980 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1981 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1982 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1983 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1984 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1985 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1986 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,125 |
| 1987 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 2,347 | 2,262 | 1,909 | 12,462 | 9,124 |
| 1988 | 1,627 | 1,198 | 894 | 695 | 869 | 1,278 | 1,584 | 1,935 | 2,150 | 2,009 | 1,947 | 1,710 | 17,896 | 18,749 |
| 1989 | 1,547 | 1,259 | 1,075 | 947 | 1,006 | 1,309 | 1,479 | 1,721 | 1,847 | 2,009 | 1,947 | 1,710 | 17,856 | 17,856 |
| 1990 | 1,547 | 1,259 | 1,075 | 947 | 1,006 | 1,309 | 1,479 | 1,721 | 1,847 | 885 | 845 | 733 | 14,654 | 17,856 |
| 1991 | 646 | 509 | 403 | 337 | 381 | 503 | 586 | 709 | 775 | 2,009 | 1,947 | 1,710 | 10,513 | 7,311 |
| 1992 | 1,547 | 1,259 | 1,075 | 947 | 1,006 | 1,309 | 1,479 | 1,721 | 1,847 | 885 | 845 | 733 | 14,654 | 17,856 |
| 1993 | 646 | 509 | 403 | 337 | 381 | 503 | 586 | 709 | -1,335 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 5,917 | 5,201 |
| 1994 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 2,347 | 2,262 | 1,909 | 12,462 | 9,124 |
| 1995 | 1,627 | 1,198 | 894 | 695 | 869 | 1,278 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 12,383 | 15,721 |
| 1996 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1997 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1998 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 1999 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 2000 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 2001 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| 2002 | 810 | 611 | 461 | 374 | 437 | 609 | 727 | 907 | 1,008 | 1,145 | 1,095 | 940 | 9,124 | 9,124 |
| Avg (21-02) | 920 | 699 | 536 | 439 | 509 | 707 | 831 | 1,024 | 1,054 | 1,290 | 1,237 | 1,062 | 10,307 | 10,307 |

Table 2.2-1
Difference in Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet)

| Difference in | San | quin P | ne (Ac | eet) |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP | Base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| 1921 | 0 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,368 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 24,368 | 27,130 |
| 1922 | 952 | 1,841 | -1,902 | 952 | 0 | 0 | 7,365 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 25,627 | 25,627 |
| 1923 | 0 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,317 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 25,476 | 25,476 |
| 1924 | -951 | 0 | 1,902 | -952 | -859 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 17,864 | 17,864 |
| 1925 | 2,189 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 17,272 | 11,512 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 10,384 | 10,384 |
| 1926 | 5,043 | 5,616 | -7,088 | 5,803 | 9,452 | 15,317 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 49,826 | 49,826 |
| 1927 | 1,903 | -921 | 0 | 4,757 | 0 | 6,659 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 25,963 | 25,963 |
| 1928 | 2,949 | 0 | -2,331 | 3,805 | 4,297 | 5,708 | 4,603 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 29,834 | 29,834 |
| 1929 | 4,756 | 1,841 | 1,902 | 1,902 | 1,718 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 30,843 | 30,843 |
| 1930 | 2,189 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 9,538 | 11,512 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,650 | 2,650 |
| 1931 | 2,189 | 5,616 | -7,088 | 5,803 | 5,242 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 6,721 | 35,089 | 30,486 |
| 1932 | 8,562 | 2,762 | 5,708 | 5,708 | 0 | 15,412 | 4,880 | 6,945 | 6,721 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 63,194 | 67,797 |
| 1933 | -951 | 0 | -7,088 | 7,611 | 6,875 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 25,171 | 25,171 |
| 1934 | 2,189 | 5,616 | 5,803 | 6,659 | 6,015 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 45,006 | 45,006 |
| 1935 | 5,043 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 19,122 | 17,272 | 10,560 | 9,483 | 7,897 | 7,642 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 44,202 | 44,202 |
| 1936 | 2,189 | 4,603 | -7,088 | 7,611 | 0 | 15,317 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 35,553 | 35,553 |
| 1937 | 3,806 | 1,841 | 1,902 | 3,805 | 0 | 951 | 6,445 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 35,169 | 35,169 |
| 1938 | 1,903 | 0 | 0 | 5,708 | 0 | 0 | 5,524 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 29,554 | 29,554 |
| 1939 | -1,902 | -921 | -2,855 | 2,854 | 2,578 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 18,478 | 18,478 |
| 1940 | 2,189 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 15,317 | 7,734 | 13,319 | 8,286 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 23,951 | 23,951 |
| 1941 | -1,902 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 5,546 | 5,546 |
| 1942 | 2,379 | 0 | -1,142 | 0 | 0 | 2,663 | 5,524 | 2,854 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 21,536 | 21,536 |
| 1943 | 1,903 | -921 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 3,805 | 6,721 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 15,223 | 15,223 |
| 1944 | 1,902 | -921 | 0 | 1,902 | 7,046 | 15,317 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 38,167 | 38,167 |
| 1945 | -475 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 13,749 | 15,317 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 8,002 | 8,002 |
| 1946 | 5,043 | 1,841 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 30,365 | 30,365 |
| 1947 | 952 | 1,841 | 0 | -952 | 3,437 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 28,759 | 28,759 |
| 1948 | 2,189 | 5,616 | -7,088 | 4,756 | 2,578 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 26,775 | 26,775 |
| 1949 | 2,189 | 5,616 | 2,854 | -952 | -859 | 1,902 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 23,671 | 23,671 |
| 1950 | 3,805 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 16,459 | 16,413 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 16,088 | 16,088 |
| 1951 | 2,189 | 7,365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,562 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 31,037 | 31,037 |
| 1952 | 2,949 | 0 | 1,712 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,206 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 24,670 | 24,670 |
| 1953 | 1,902 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,317 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 29,219 | 29,219 |
| 1954 | -1,807 | 0 | 0 | 2,854 | 5,328 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 29,856 | 29,856 |
| 1955 | -951 | -19,334 | -15,222 | 16,459 | 14,866 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 14,542 | 14,542 |
| 1956 | 2,189 | 5,616 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,663 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 23,389 | 23,389 |
| 1957 | 2,949 | 0 | 1,902 | 3,805 | 7,046 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 39,183 | 39,183 |
| 1958 | 952 | 2,762 | -7,088 | 9,514 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,047 | 1,013 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 14,696 | 14,696 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,854 | 0 | 15,317 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 31,092 | 31,092 |
| 1960 | 2,189 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 10,398 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -2,199 | -2,199 |
| 1961 | 2,189 | 5,616 | -7,088 | 5,803 | 9,538 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 5,043 | 9,483 | 45,001 | 34,782 |
| 1962 | 9,799 | 10,219 | 4,757 | 3,805 | 3,437 | 18,075 | 7,642 | 7,897 | 7,642 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 79,769 | 89,988 |
| 1963 | 2,949 | 1,841 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 7,610 | 5,524 | 1,902 | 1,841 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 21,075 | 21,075 |
| 1964 | 2,189 | 0 | 0 | 7,611 | 6,875 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 35,399 | 35,399 |
| 1965 | 2,189 | -19,334 | -14,270 | 5,708 | 5,156 | 11,512 | 12,889 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 12,219 | 12,219 |
| 1966 | 2,949 | -2,762 | -1,379 | 9,704 | 8,765 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 36,001 | 36,001 |
| 1967 | 2,189 | 5,616 | -2,855 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,445 | 0 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 17,891 | 17,891 |
| 1968 | 2,189 | 0 | -7,088 | 8,562 | 7,734 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 30,121 | 30,121 |
| 1969 | 2,189 | 4,603 | -952 | 0 | 0 | 951 | 7,642 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 25,236 | 25,236 |
| 1970 | 0 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 12,367 | 11,171 | 19,122 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 16,268 | 16,268 |
| 1971 | 2,379 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 24,939 | 24,939 |
| 1972 | 2,189 | 5,616 | 0 | -952 | 3,437 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 29,014 | 29,014 |
| 1973 | 2,189 | 5,616 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,721 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 18,241 | 18,241 |
| 1974 | 1,902 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,464 | 4,603 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 33,388 | 33,388 |
| 1975 | -1,902 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 11,512 | 5,156 | 3,805 | 8,286 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 3,963 | 3,963 |
| 1976 | -1,902 | -921 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 8,813 | 8,813 |
| 1977 | 5,043 | 5,616 | 4,756 | 0 | 0 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -4,756 | -1,902 | 7,365 | 28,350 | 34,139 |
| 1978 | 7,611 | -921 | -2,854 | 5,708 | 5,156 | 10,464 | 12,152 | 5,803 | 5,616 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 55,231 | 49,442 |
| 1979 | -2,854 | 0 | 1,902 | 2,854 | 0 | 11,416 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 26,239 | 26,239 |
| 1980 | 5,043 | -19,334 | -15,222 | 13,319 | 0 | 7,610 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 7,099 | 7,099 |
| 1981 | 1,902 | 0 | -7,088 | 7,610 | 6,874 | 11,512 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 33,731 | 33,731 |
| 1982 | 2,189 | -921 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,902 | 1,841 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 11,507 | 11,507 |
| 1983 | 1,047 | -2,762 | 951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,787 | 4,757 | 4,603 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 19,879 | 19,879 |
| 1984 | 952 | -4,603 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 15,073 | 15,073 |
| 1985 | 2,189 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 10,560 | 9,538 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 1,698 | 1,698 |
| 1986 | 2,189 | 5,616 | -7,088 | 5,803 | 3,437 | 7,610 | 7,365 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 41,351 | 41,351 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 1,902 | -952 | -859 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 18,815 | 18,815 |
| 1988 | 5,043 | 5,616 | -7,088 | 10,465 | 7,734 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 9,483 | 47,859 | 40,494 |
| 1989 | 4,756 | 1,841 | 4,757 | 2,854 | 2,578 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 5,043 | 3,806 | 7,365 | 50,844 | 48,491 |
| 1990 | 6,659 | -19,334 | -15,222 | 10,560 | 9,538 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 5,043 | 4,756 | 6,444 | 20,672 | 20,643 |
| 1991 | 3,805 | -921 | -2,331 | 0 | 0 | 10,465 | 4,880 | 2,854 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 3,805 | 1,841 | 29,349 | 37,757 |
| 1992 | 0 | 4,603 | 3,805 | 952 | 2,406 | 18,075 | 6,721 | 6,945 | 6,721 | 1,047 | -1,902 | 1,841 | 51,214 | 58,063 |
| 1993 | 1,902 | -921 | -1,379 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,603 | 2,854 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 16,317 | 10,807 |
| 1994 | -2,854 | 0 | 0 | -952 | 10,312 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 25,230 | 25,230 |
| 1995 | 5,043 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 7,610 | 6,874 | 0 | 9,206 | 1,903 | 1,842 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -339 | -339 |
| 1996 | 1,902 | 0 | -2,331 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,880 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 20,870 | 20,870 |
| 1997 | 1,903 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 10,465 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 25,289 | 25,289 |
| 1998 | 2,189 | 2,762 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 951 | 11,048 | 3,901 | 3,775 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 4,880 | 26,796 | 24,034 |
| 1999 | 1,902 | -921 | 0 | 6,659 | 0 | 8,562 | 9,206 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 36,211 | 38,973 |
| 2000 | 1,902 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 15,317 | 7,734 | 16,173 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 14,734 | 14,734 |
| 2001 | 3,806 | 2,762 | -7,088 | 7,611 | 8,593 | 15,317 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 43,922 | 43,922 |
| 2002 | 952 | 0 | -1,902 | 6,659 | 6,015 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 35,205 | 35,205 |
| Avg (21-02) | 2,106 | -2,522 | -4,852 | 4,307 | 3,833 | 7,532 | 3,864 | 2,797 | 2,706 | 2,160 | 2,195 | 2,561 | 26,687 | 26,720 |

## APPENDIX O1

result from the need to replenish Bay Area reservoir storage after the maintenance period, serve increased purchase requests, and top off Bay Area reservoir storage prior to summer. The difference in SJPL diversions between the WSIP setting and the base setting is illustrated in Figure 2.2-1. The difference in average monthly diversions through the SJPL is shown by year type for the 82-year simulation period.

Table 2.2-2 illustrates the average monthly diversions through the SJPL, by year type, for the 82-year simulation period for the proposed program and the base settings. The table illustrates a trend of diverting less water from the Tuolumne River Basin in wetter years (as Bay Area reservoir watersheds provide more supply during those years) than in drier years.

Figure 2.2-1
SJPL Diversions - WSIP and Base-Calaveras Constrained


Table 2.2-2

| Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 27,584 | 16,762 | 9,692 | 11,066 | 7,304 | 10,875 | 21,647 | 26,722 | 25,859 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 245,884 | 243,146 |
| Above Normal | 26,935 | 14,568 | 8,898 | 13,901 | 8,598 | 16,352 | 24,176 | 28,608 | 27,685 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 258,095 | 258,095 |
| Normal | 26,632 | 15,087 | 9,698 | 15,299 | 11,343 | 21,935 | 28,322 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 275,285 | 275,285 |
| Below Normal | 27,567 | 16,214 | 13,000 | 21,070 | 18,065 | 25,211 | 28,817 | 29,481 | 28,530 | 29,778 | 29,521 | 27,972 | 295,227 | 295,751 |
| Dry | 26,210 | 19,881 | 16,554 | 19,818 | 16,869 | 25,717 | 28,817 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 29,094 | 28,773 | 27,154 | 297,481 | 299,662 |
| All Years | 26,992 | 16,475 | 11,553 | 16,261 | 12,458 | 20,037 | 26,359 | 28,878 | 27,946 | 29,645 | 29,529 | 28,317 | 274,450 | 274,450 |
| Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 24,854 | 19,046 | 14,449 | 7,730 | 6,015 | 7,611 | 15,398 | 23,962 | 23,189 | 27,589 | 27,589 | 26,526 | 223,960 | 222,101 |
| Above Normal | 25,015 | 18,522 | 14,830 | 9,346 | 6,015 | 8,831 | 19,117 | 25,015 | 24,208 | 27,589 | 27,589 | 26,699 | 232,776 | 232,343 |
| Normal | 24,616 | 19,046 | 14,865 | 10,691 | 6,864 | 11,060 | 25,145 | 27,054 | 26,181 | 27,589 | 27,589 | 26,699 | 247,400 | 246,589 |
| Below Normal | 25,239 | 19,334 | 18,748 | 15,927 | 11,585 | 16,789 | 26,374 | 27,085 | 26,212 | 27,421 | 27,141 | 25,562 | 267,417 | 267,585 |
| Dry | 24,676 | 19,046 | 19,087 | 15,995 | 12,621 | 18,195 | 26,411 | 27,292 | 26,411 | 27,232 | 26,757 | 23,247 | 266,970 | 269,749 |
| All Years | 24,886 | 18,997 | 16,405 | 11,955 | 8,624 | 12,505 | 22,496 | 26,081 | 25,239 | 27,485 | 27,334 | 25,756 | 247,763 | 247,729 |
| Difference in Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 2,730 | -2,285 | -4,757 | 3,336 | 1,289 | 3,264 | 6,249 | 2,759 | 2,670 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,291 | 21,924 | 21,045 |
| Above Normal | 1,920 | -3,954 | -5,932 | 4,555 | 2,583 | 7,521 | 5,058 | 3,593 | 3,477 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 25,318 | 25,752 |
| Normal | 2,016 | -3,959 | -5,167 | 4,608 | 4,479 | 10,875 | 3,177 | 2,724 | 2,636 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 27,885 | 28,696 |
| Below Normal | 2,328 | -3,120 | -5,748 | 5,143 | 6,480 | 8,422 | 2,443 | 2,396 | 2,318 | 2,357 | 2,379 | 2,410 | 27,810 | 28,166 |
| Dry | 1,534 | 834 | -2,533 | 3,823 | 4,248 | 7,521 | 2,406 | 2,486 | 2,406 | 1,862 | 2,016 | 3,907 | 30,511 | 29,913 |
| All Years | 2,106 | -2,522 | -4,852 | 4,307 | 3,833 | 7,532 | 3,864 | 2,797 | 2,706 | 2,160 | 2,195 | 2,561 | 26,687 | 26,720 |

### 2.3 Hetch Hetchy Reservoir and Releases

The additional draw of water for the additional deliveries occurring under the WSIP would generally result in an increase in draw from Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. Figure 2.3-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage and stream releases. Shown in Figure 2.3-1 are the results for the WSIP and base settings. Supplementing the Figure 2.3-1 representation of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage are Table 2.3-1, Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (WSIP); Table 2.3-2, Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (Base); and Table 2.3-3, Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (WSIP minus Base).
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Table 2.3-3 illustrates the difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage between the WSIP and base settings. Immediately after Hetch Hetchy Reservoir is filled (May or June, and then continuing through July), occasional differences in storage would occur, typically during a multi-year drought sequence or during an occasional single year when the reservoir does not fill. No reduction in yearly storage during that period would indicate that the same amount of water is being passed through the reservoir, regardless of the size of the conveyance capacity of the SJPL or the purchase requests. Water not diverted to the SJPL would return to the Tuolumne River at Kirkwood Powerhouse or Moccasin Reservoir and flow to Don Pedro Reservoir. In the late summer and early fall, storage levels would consistently be slightly different (lower) between the two settings, as additional diversions to the SJPL would retain Bay Area reservoir storage. The additional storage depletion would be somewhat ameliorated later in the fall and into winter as SJPL diversions are reduced because of lower Bay Area reservoir replenishment needs and conveyance system maintenance. The storage difference would become almost neutral in December with the WSIP setting because of the additional conveyance maintenance that would occur under the WSIP (which does not occur in the base setting). The maintenance impairs diversions to the SJPL. After December, storage in the reservoir associated with the WSIP setting again would be affected as replenishment of Bay Area reservoir storage resumes following the maintenance period and because of increased purchase requests. During drier years, there is a difference in storage between the WSIP and base settings; the WSIP setting results in a lower amount of storage in the reservoir by the end of April. Figure 2.3-2 illustrates the reservoir storage, averaged by year type, for the WSIP setting.
Figure 2.3-3 illustrates the average difference in storage, averaged by year type, for the two settings. Figure 2.3-4 illustrates the average monthly storage in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the WSIP and base settings.
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Figure 2.3-4


The difference in storage in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir attributed to the diversion effects of the WSIP would manifest in differences in releases from O'Shaughnessy Dam to the stream. A different amount of available reservoir space in the winter and spring due to the WSIP would lead to a different ability to regulate inflow, thus potentially changing the amount of water released to the stream (the amount which is above minimum release requirements). Figure 2.3-1 illustrates the stream releases from O'Shaughnessy Dam for the WSIP and base settings. Supplementing Figure 2.3-1 are Table 2.3-4 and Table 2.3-5, which show the stream releases from O'Shaughnessy Dam for the WSIP and base settings. Table 2.3-6 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the WSIP and base settings. Compared to the base setting, the WSIP setting typically results in a lesser stream release, predominantly during May or June, which reflects the months when releases to the stream above minimum release requirements are made in anticipation of the reservoir being filled. In a few exceptions to this circumstance, an increase in releases to the stream occurs. Several of these exceptions are considered anomalous within modeling, the result of only shifting releases from one month to another. The other exceptions occur due to the balancing of reservoir storage among the Hetch Hetchy system and the Bay Area reservoirs. The decrease in releases is the result of a more depleted reservoir, which is the result of greater demands between the settings.

Table 2.3-6 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the WSIP and base settings, expressed in terms of a monthly volume (acre-feet) of flow. The difference in monthly flow below O'Shaughnessy Dam indicates a potential change in releases between the WSIP and base settings, ranging from a decrease of approximately 40,000 acre-feet to an increase of approximately 14,900 acre-feet. Considering the manner in which releases are determined and made to the stream, quantifying the effect of these changes in terms of average monthly flow (in cubic feet per second [cfs]) is not always meaningful. ${ }^{1}$ Assuming that a change in release volume equates to a delay or earlier initiation of releasing 6,000 acre-feet per day, the difference in stream releases from O'Shaughnessy Dam between the WSIP and base settings would range from delayed releases of up to 7 days to an addition of up to 2 days of release. Normally, the effect of a delay in release would not affect the year's peak stream release rate during a year.

[^0]Table 2.3-4
Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (Acre-feet)

| Hetch Hetch | rvoir | ease | eam | re-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| 1921 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 98,913 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 164,079 |
| 1922 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 52,095 | 312,197 | 28,813 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 434,836 |
| 1923 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 7,676 | 39,054 | 95,231 | 16,928 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 192,349 |
| 1924 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 39,111 |
| 1925 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 56,758 | 149,864 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 256,028 |
| 1926 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 7,624 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 64,106 |
| 1927 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 118,928 | 238,640 | 13,543 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 407,210 |
| 1928 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 181,693 | 19,601 | 7,686 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 244,949 |
| 1929 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 4,919 | 38,258 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 78,154 |
| 1930 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,152 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 8,854 | 102,907 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 149,978 |
| 1931 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,152 | 2,802 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 38,128 |
| 1932 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 7,009 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 6,149 | 114,929 | 24,366 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 183,356 |
| 1933 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 2,802 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 17,729 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 58,137 |
| 1934 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 7,009 | 3,868 | 4,919 | 6,545 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 49,005 |
| 1935 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 4,463 | 10,084 | 136,065 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 199,236 |
| 1936 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 6,356 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 38,045 | 164,181 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 261,893 |
| 1937 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 154,062 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 221,052 |
| 1938 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 58,406 | 350,036 | 112,643 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 570,305 |
| 1939 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 41,832 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 88,726 |
| 1940 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 40,199 | 145,292 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 239,959 |
| 1941 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 200,571 | 67,763 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 331,573 |
| 1942 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 105,473 | 283,373 | 86,094 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 516,671 |
| 1943 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 23,247 | 197,709 | 148,920 | 18,174 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 421,510 |
| 1944 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 24,276 | 79,627 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 144,252 |
| 1945 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 184,630 | 31,926 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 274,036 |
| 1946 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 7,009 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 12,189 | 85,083 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 162,048 |
| 1947 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 86,043 | 10,353 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 139,129 |
| 1948 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 7,009 | 7,676 | 10,084 | 65,929 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 125,157 |
| 1949 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 7,009 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 10,353 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 67,299 |
| 1950 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 6,916 | 7,009 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 80,211 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 144,148 |
| 1951 | 3,689 | 34,010 | 42,960 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 87,710 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 228,961 |
| 1952 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 209,387 | 238,065 | 106,256 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 595,185 |
| 1953 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 26,262 | 168,768 | 29,365 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 261,108 |
| 1954 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 82,272 | 27,809 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 151,025 |
| 1955 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 7,009 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 62,841 |
| 1956 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 6,395 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 12,723 | 310,301 | 94,682 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 472,972 |
| 1957 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 3,868 | 17,650 | 183,319 | 7,686 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 240,169 |
| 1958 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 178,371 | 221,860 | 55,443 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 491,773 |
| 1959 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 7,009 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 62,342 |
| 1960 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 55,661 |
| 1961 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 2,802 | 2,152 | 3,868 | 4,919 | 6,545 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 44,762 |
| 1962 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 2,460 | 1,961 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 6,149 | 188,681 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 238,413 |
| 1963 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 2,802 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 118,067 | 203,340 | 36,602 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 394,450 |
| 1964 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,074 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 60,730 |
| 1965 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 6,395 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 126,387 | 61,519 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 253,256 |
| 1966 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 7,676 | 123,555 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 176,046 |
| 1967 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 146,692 | 270,669 | 185,208 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 638,668 |
| 1968 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 49,547 | 14,833 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 104,729 |
| 1969 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 12,681 | 344,502 | 300,076 | 115,876 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 804,771 |
| 1970 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 7,009 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 105,428 | 124,926 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 279,898 |
| 1971 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 52,458 | 177,149 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 279,151 |
| 1972 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 10,254 | 57,109 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 108,886 |
| 1973 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 190,830 | 159,403 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 394,018 |
| 1974 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 201,034 | 194,704 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 445,282 |
| 1975 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,802 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 14,107 | 247,984 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 314,883 |
| 1976 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 40,033 |
| 1977 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 35,165 |
| 1978 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 6,149 | 298,570 | 132,949 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 471,645 |
| 1979 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 220,976 | 107,368 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 373,339 |
| 1980 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 133,323 | 235,879 | 148,920 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 563,407 |
| 1981 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 10,353 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 63,056 |
| 1982 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 3,689 | 26,103 | 228,913 | 254,131 | 108,434 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 652,771 |
| 1983 | 7,624 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 175,217 | 463,488 | 302,677 | 61,509 | 5,316 | 1,037,063 |
| 1984 | 3,689 | 7,378 | 7,009 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 4,463 | 113,013 | 130,916 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 312,640 |
| 1985 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 104,203 | 12,733 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 159,054 |
| 1986 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 7,624 | 17,050 | 228,842 | 263,786 | 12,678 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 557,927 |
| 1987 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 39,111 |
| 1988 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,074 | 2,083 | 4,919 | 6,545 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 42,337 |
| 1989 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 89,012 | 62,889 | 7,686 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 190,435 |
| 1990 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 39,358 |
| 1991 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 3,868 | 4,919 | 74,555 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 113,806 |
| 1992 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 41,143 |
| 1993 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 213,205 | 204,082 | 44,068 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 499,140 |
| 1994 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 39,419 |
| 1995 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 131,296 | 334,396 | 294,086 | 11,843 | 5,316 | 805,655 |
| 1996 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 3,362 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 190,622 | 169,121 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 416,416 |
| 1997 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 110,603 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 4,463 | 231,648 | 146,890 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 539,165 |
| 1998 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 64,194 | 312,909 | 217,820 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 632,232 |
| 1999 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 166,036 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 232,412 |
| 2000 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 136,496 | 97,677 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 278,860 |
| 2001 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 48,240 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 95,134 |
| 2002 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 91,804 | 64,932 | 7,686 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 198,567 |
| Avg (21-02) | 3,351 | 3,614 | 3,449 | 4,514 | 3,861 | 4,506 | 6,199 | 68,039 | 123,274 | 33,709 | 7,711 | 4,793 | 267,021 |

Table 2.3-5
Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (Acre-feet)

| Hetch Het | rvoir | ease | eam | cre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| 1921 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 104,064 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 169,230 |
| 1922 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 57,465 | 312,197 | 28,813 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 440,206 |
| 1923 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 7,676 | 55,903 | 95,231 | 16,928 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 209,198 |
| 1924 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 39,111 |
| 1925 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 67,753 | 149,864 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 267,023 |
| 1926 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 7,624 | 7,676 | 11,767 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 67,019 |
| 1927 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 144,018 | 238,640 | 13,543 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 432,300 |
| 1928 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 184,434 | 19,601 | 7,686 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 247,690 |
| 1929 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 4,919 | 52,130 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 92,026 |
| 1930 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,152 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 8,854 | 102,907 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 149,978 |
| 1931 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,152 | 2,802 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 38,128 |
| 1932 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 6,149 | 116,974 | 24,366 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 188,955 |
| 1933 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 2,802 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 28,182 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 68,590 |
| 1934 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 7,009 | 3,868 | 4,919 | 6,545 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 49,005 |
| 1935 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 10,084 | 137,701 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 196,937 |
| 1936 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 6,356 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 45,190 | 164,181 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 269,038 |
| 1937 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 12,743 | 159,632 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 229,281 |
| 1938 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 68,866 | 350,036 | 112,643 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 580,765 |
| 1939 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 7,676 | 37,787 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 88,489 |
| 1940 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 31,527 | 145,292 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 231,287 |
| 1941 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 201,819 | 67,763 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 332,821 |
| 1942 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 105,473 | 283,373 | 86,094 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 516,671 |
| 1943 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 23,247 | 197,709 | 148,920 | 18,174 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 421,510 |
| 1944 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 55,934 | 79,627 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 175,910 |
| 1945 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 172,351 | 31,926 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 261,757 |
| 1946 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 7,009 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 19,234 | 85,083 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 169,093 |
| 1947 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 110,484 | 10,353 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 163,570 |
| 1948 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 7,009 | 7,676 | 10,084 | 77,241 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 136,469 |
| 1949 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 7,009 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 10,353 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 67,299 |
| 1950 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 6,916 | 7,009 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 73,459 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 137,396 |
| 1951 | 3,689 | 41,299 | 42,960 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 95,720 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 244,260 |
| 1952 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 223,078 | 238,065 | 106,256 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 608,876 |
| 1953 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 28,311 | 168,768 | 29,365 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 263,157 |
| 1954 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 105,620 | 27,809 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 174,373 |
| 1955 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 7,009 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 62,841 |
| 1956 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 6,395 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 17,135 | 310,301 | 94,682 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 477,384 |
| 1957 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 3,868 | 50,333 | 183,319 | 7,686 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 272,852 |
| 1958 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 188,814 | 221,860 | 55,443 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 502,216 |
| 1959 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 7,009 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 62,342 |
| 1960 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 55,661 |
| 1961 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 2,802 | 2,152 | 3,868 | 4,919 | 6,545 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 44,762 |
| 1962 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 2,460 | 1,961 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 45,687 | 202,079 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 291,349 |
| 1963 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 2,802 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 133,252 | 203,340 | 36,602 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 409,635 |
| 1964 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,074 | 7,676 | 8,854 | 10,353 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 64,538 |
| 1965 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 6,395 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 111,487 | 61,519 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 238,356 |
| 1966 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 7,676 | 123,555 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 176,046 |
| 1967 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 159,921 | 270,669 | 185,208 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 651,897 |
| 1968 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 71,420 | 14,833 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 126,602 |
| 1969 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 12,681 | 344,502 | 300,076 | 115,876 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 804,771 |
| 1970 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 114,745 | 124,926 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 285,280 |
| 1971 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 71,223 | 177,149 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 297,916 |
| 1972 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 32,769 | 57,109 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 131,401 |
| 1973 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 204,754 | 159,403 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 407,942 |
| 1974 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 201,034 | 194,704 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 445,282 |
| 1975 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,802 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 10,084 | 243,813 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 306,689 |
| 1976 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 40,033 |
| 1977 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 35,165 |
| 1978 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 45,254 | 298,570 | 132,949 | 7,686 | 5,626 | 511,060 |
| 1979 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 220,976 | 107,368 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 373,339 |
| 1980 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 133,323 | 235,879 | 148,920 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 563,407 |
| 1981 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 7,676 | 15,457 | 20,663 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 79,969 |
| 1982 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 6,916 | 3,689 | 26,103 | 228,913 | 254,131 | 108,434 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 652,771 |
| 1983 | 7,624 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 180,307 | 463,488 | 302,677 | 61,509 | 5,316 | 1,042,153 |
| 1984 | 3,689 | 7,378 | 7,009 | 7,009 | 6,916 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 124,666 | 130,916 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 320,358 |
| 1985 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 99,040 | 12,733 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 153,891 |
| 1986 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 16,102 | 20,985 | 228,842 | 263,786 | 12,678 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 570,340 |
| 1987 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 39,111 |
| 1988 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,074 | 2,083 | 4,919 | 10,353 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 46,145 |
| 1989 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 122,056 | 62,889 | 7,686 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 223,479 |
| 1990 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 39,358 |
| 1991 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 2,152 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 3,868 | 4,919 | 104,230 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 143,481 |
| 1992 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 21,507 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 59,576 |
| 1993 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 8,271 | 213,205 | 204,082 | 44,068 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 499,140 |
| 1994 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 1,961 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 3,074 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 3,669 | 39,419 |
| 1995 | 2,152 | 2,083 | 2,152 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 131,296 | 334,396 | 294,086 | 11,843 | 5,316 | 805,655 |
| 1996 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 3,362 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 190,622 | 169,121 | 11,621 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 416,416 |
| 1997 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 116,811 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 4,463 | 231,648 | 146,890 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 545,373 |
| 1998 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 64,194 | 312,909 | 217,820 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 632,232 |
| 1999 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 6,916 | 7,624 | 8,271 | 18,453 | 168,986 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 243,731 |
| 2000 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,152 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 144,697 | 97,677 | 7,686 | 7,686 | 5,316 | 287,061 |
| 2001 | 3,689 | 3,570 | 3,074 | 2,460 | 2,802 | 3,074 | 3,868 | 87,834 | 6,545 | 6,764 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 134,728 |
| 2002 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 2,460 | 3,074 | 3,362 | 3,689 | 4,463 | 123,552 | 64,932 | 7,686 | 6,764 | 4,284 | 230,315 |
| Avg (21-02) | 3,351 | 3,703 | 3,449 | 4,542 | 3,904 | 4,514 | 6,294 | 74,969 | 124,312 | 33,709 | 7,711 | 4,797 | 275,255 |
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Table 2.3-6
Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (Acre-feet) WSIP minus Base
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### 2.4 Lake Lloyd and Lake Eleanor

Compared to the operation in the base setting, the operation of Lake Lloyd and Lake Eleanor are simulated to be only slightly different in the WSIP setting. Figure 2.4-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Lake Lloyd storage and stream releases. Figure 2.4-1 shows the results for the WSIP and base settings. The operation resulting for the WSIP setting is essentially the same as for the base setting, except during the prolonged drought of 1987-1992. During this drought period, there is a greater draw from Hetch Hetchy Reservoir in the WSIP setting compared to the base setting. The additional draw of water reduces the amount of water released from Hetch Hetchy Reservoir to Don Pedro Reservoir in the WSIP setting, which, to satisfy MID/TID entitlements to inflow, is met with additional releases from Lake Lloyd.

Figure 2.4-2 illustrates an almost identical operation of Lake Eleanor between the WSIP and base settings. Any difference that occurs in the Lake Eleanor operation would be caused by a small change in operation at Lake Lloyd that would affect the operation of the Cherry-Eleanor Tunnel between the two watersheds. Any difference that occurs in the simulations is associated more with modeling discretion than with any substantive likely difference in operation.

Supplementing the Figure 2.4-1 representation of Lake Lloyd stream releases is Table 2.4-1, which illustrates the differences in stream releases between the WSIP and base settings. The one notable difference in operation for the 82-year simulation occurs during the year following the rare 1987-1992 drought sequence, when the additional draw from Lake Lloyd storage described above would require replenishment. In this one occurrence, the releases to the stream above the minimum release requirement that would occur in the base setting would not occur in the WSIP setting. Table 2.4-2 illustrates average releases by year type for the WSIP and base settings, and shows almost no difference in releases between the two settings.

### 2.5 Flow below the Tuolumne River and Cherry River Confluence

The flow that occurs below the confluence of the Tuolumne River and Cherry River is considered important to recreational activity (whitewater rafting) during the May-through-September period. To estimate the effect of the WSIP on the occurrence of flow at this location, HH/LSM monthly volumetric flow results were post-processed to reflect the daily and hourly shaping potential currently exercised by Hetch Hetchy operators to satisfy water and power objectives while accommodating the desires of recreational interests. Figure 2.5-1 and Figure 2.5-2 illustrate the controlled flow below Hetch Hetchy facilities below the confluence of the Tuolumne and Cherry Rivers, averaged by year type, for the WSIP and base settings. Illustrated are the combined flow elements of: (1) stream releases from O'Shaughnessy Dam, Lake Lloyd, and Lake Eleanor; (2) the return of Canyon Tunnel diversions through Kirkwood Powerhouse that exceed the Mountain Tunnel diversion; and (3) diversions through Holm Powerhouse. For this analysis, the monthly volumes of diversion through Holm Powerhouse have been shaped into a release of 4 hours per day for 6 days a week. The other flow elements represent the average daily flow rate associated with the monthly volume of flow. Figure 2.5-1 and Figure 2.5-2 illustrate that the HH/LSM operation protocols for reservoir operation incidentally result in approximately 1,000 cfs of flow below the confluence if Holm Powerhouse releases are shaped. This opportunity occurs in both the WSIP and base settings. The flow rates illustrated in this analysis do not reflect either the occasional shaping opportunities that occur with Kirkwood Powerhouse releases or the existence of unregulated flow that enters the streams below O'Shaughnessy Dam, Lake Lloyd, and Lake Eleanor; both of these factors would increase the illustrated flow rate. The difference in flow between the two settings that could occur during the concentrated period of flow is illustrated in Figure 2.5-3.

Figure 2.4-1
Lake Lloyd Storage and Stream Release
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Figure 2.4-2
Lake Eleanor Storage and Stream Release
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Table 2.4-1

| Difference in | oyd | ase | m | feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP | Base |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,924 |
| 1928 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,042 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,042 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,357 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,357 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1946 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | -50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -50 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,733 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,733 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17,192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17,191 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Avg (21-02) | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 41 | -356 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -197 |

## APPENDIX 01

Table 2.4-2

| Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 334 | 653 | 8,224 | 6,566 | 1,362 | 1,319 | 298 | 17,483 | 62,931 | 22,325 | 953 | 922 | 123,370 |
| Above Normal | 307 | 4,282 | 1,525 | 307 | 870 | 307 | 298 | 10,285 | 26,807 | 993 | 953 | 922 | 47,857 |
| Normal | 307 | 298 | 307 | 953 | 278 | 307 | 298 | 6,734 | 9,633 | 953 | 953 | 922 | 21,943 |
| Below Normal | 307 | 298 | 307 | 307 | 278 | 307 | 485 | 2,383 | 2,551 | 953 | 953 | 922 | 10,051 |
| Dry | 307 | 298 | 307 | 307 | 278 | 307 | 298 | 307 | 298 | 953 | 953 | 922 | 5,535 |
| All Years | 312 | 1,193 | 2,104 | 1,654 | 612 | 505 | 337 | 7,412 | 20,303 | 5,131 | 953 | 922 | 41,439 |
| Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - Gr | d by Uni | ed Runc | LaGrang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 334 | 653 | 8,227 | 6,566 | 754 | 1,319 | 298 | 17,483 | 64,005 | 22,325 | 953 | 922 | 123,839 |
| Above Normal | 307 | 4,282 | 1,525 | 307 | 870 | 307 | 298 | 10,088 | 27,511 | 993 | 953 | 922 | 48,363 |
| Normal | 307 | 298 | 307 | 953 | 278 | 307 | 298 | 6,734 | 9,633 | 953 | 953 | 922 | 21,943 |
| Below Normal | 307 | 298 | 307 | 307 | 278 | 307 | 485 | 2,383 | 2,551 | 953 | 953 | 922 | 10,051 |
| Dry | 307 | 298 | 307 | 307 | 278 | 307 | 298 | 307 | 298 | 953 | 953 | 922 | 5,535 |
| All Years | 312 | 1,193 | 2,105 | 1,654 | 494 | 505 | 337 | 7,371 | 20,659 | 5,131 | 953 | 922 | 41,636 |
| Difference in Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - Gr | by Uni | ed Runc | LaGrang |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP | Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 608 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -469 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 | -704 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -506 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 41 | -356 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -197 |
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Figure 2.5-3


More detailed review of the 82-year simulation of operations indicates that in only one month of the simulation do circumstances in the WSIP setting result in the shaped flow crossing the threshold to below $1,000 \mathrm{cfs}$, compared to levels greater than $1,000 \mathrm{cfs}$ in the base setting. In both the WSIP and base settings, in some dry and critical years, circumstances could result in a shaped flow of less than 1,000 cfs; however, results indicate that the WSIP setting would rarely increase the frequency of such an occurrence.

### 2.6 Don Pedro Reservoir and La Grange Releases

A change in Don Pedro Reservoir operation is caused by changes in inflow to the reservoir. The changes in inflow to the reservoir are the result of net changes within the operation of the upstream SFPUC facilities, described previously, and other changes in SFPUC operations associated with diversions to the Holm, Kirkwood, and Moccasin Powerhouses. Figure 2.6-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Don Pedro Reservoir storage and Tuolumne River stream releases from La Grange Dam. Figure 2.6-1 presents the results for the WSIP and base settings.

Supplementing the Figure 2.6-1 representation of Don Pedro Reservoir storage are Table 2.6-1, Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (WSIP); Table 2.6-2, Don Pedro Reservoir (Base); and Table 2.6-3, Difference in Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (WSIP minus Base). The results illustrate that, throughout many years, the storage in Don Pedro Reservoir associated with the WSIP setting would differ from the storage in the base setting, and that this difference would almost always be less storage. Compared to the base setting, the differences in storage (reductions) indicate that inflow to Don Pedro Reservoir would decrease due to greater SFPUC demands and SJPL diversions in the WSIP setting. The decreases in inflow typically occur from winter through early summer. Table 2.6-4 illustrates the difference in inflow to Don Pedro Reservoir between the WSIP and base settings. Generally, the difference is an annual amount of about 27,000 acre-feet, approximating the additional delivery of the SFPUC. The season of inflow reduction is associated with the direct increase in diversions to the SJPL and the replenishment operation of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir. Figure 2.6-2 illustrates the seasonal change in Don Pedro Reservoir inflow, averaged by year type.

Figure 2.6-1
Don Pedro Reservoir Storage and Release below La Grange Dam


Table 2.6-1
Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 1,262,860 | 1,277,365 | 1,340,344 | 1,508,876 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,758,255 | 1,920,087 | 1,785,379 | 1,633,202 | 1,551,799 |
| 1922 | 1,466,449 | 1,451,643 | 1,475,936 | 1,496,100 | 1,682,686 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,965,236 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,094 | 1,790,026 | 1,700,016 |
| 1923 | 1,638,028 | 1,643,364 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,989 | 1,689,997 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,799,363 | 1,900,966 | 1,828,869 | 1,683,448 | 1,632,370 |
| 1924 | 1,563,169 | 1,547,842 | 1,533,824 | 1,515,415 | 1,506,005 | 1,417,560 | 1,338,399 | 1,262,605 | 1,162,134 | 1,052,503 | 951,855 | 904,167 |
| 1925 | 906,788 | 921,085 | 985,076 | 1,028,777 | 1,205,262 | 1,311,674 | 1,436,468 | 1,560,568 | 1,684,578 | 1,582,202 | 1,438,920 | 1,367,376 |
| 1926 | 1,304,106 | 1,296,082 | 1,296,519 | 1,290,435 | 1,361,093 | 1,400,064 | 1,518,241 | 1,532,438 | 1,430,226 | 1,287,212 | 1,162,635 | 1,099,288 |
| 1927 | 1,044,610 | 1,084,270 | 1,129,224 | 1,168,777 | 1,346,690 | 1,463,332 | 1,567,658 | 1,688,723 | 1,936,134 | 1,852,362 | 1,703,718 | 1,627,130 |
| 1928 | 1,606,224 | 1,637,560 | 1,672,026 | 1,675,150 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,701,151 | 1,877,285 | 1,835,437 | 1,667,682 | 1,522,481 | 1,445,074 |
| 1929 | 1,362,145 | 1,353,824 | 1,350,930 | 1,337,716 | 1,346,569 | 1,351,080 | 1,341,527 | 1,323,621 | 1,392,489 | 1,266,466 | 1,150,912 | 1,087,613 |
| 1930 | 1,032,080 | 1,016,460 | 1,051,972 | 1,071,954 | 1,112,838 | 1,138,506 | 1,107,377 | 1,098,218 | 1,186,818 | 1,067,154 | 960,515 | 908,251 |
| 1931 | 864,235 | 866,605 | 904,039 | 902,201 | 933,725 | 896,662 | 839,706 | 804,980 | 747,051 | 671,410 | 610,497 | 591,503 |
| 1932 | 565,821 | 560,723 | 704,485 | 844,787 | 1,084,372 | 1,221,695 | 1,205,745 | 1,259,030 | 1,378,752 | 1,327,642 | 1,189,590 | 1,113,456 |
| 1933 | 1,025,224 | 1,000,826 | 998,521 | 983,959 | 1,008,603 | 995,965 | 955,100 | 959,906 | 1,007,489 | 894,719 | 782,336 | 724,120 |
| 1934 | 667,461 | 656,295 | 676,788 | 711,356 | 777,968 | 868,739 | 854,724 | 813,053 | 786,448 | 712,923 | 652,109 | 634,358 |
| 1935 | 624,570 | 638,297 | 677,837 | 832,051 | 956,075 | 1,079,921 | 1,337,695 | 1,442,297 | 1,633,298 | 1,541,356 | 1,416,179 | 1,343,212 |
| 1936 | 1,311,194 | 1,303,236 | 1,297,699 | 1,351,659 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,808,939 | 2,003,094 | 1,900,592 | 1,747,881 | 1,665,690 |
| 1937 | 1,613,022 | 1,592,326 | 1,585,791 | 1,579,717 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,792,830 | 1,982,099 | 1,843,316 | 1,694,437 | 1,610,230 |
| 1938 | 1,536,751 | 1,528,196 | 1,689,998 | 1,689,992 | 1,689,987 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,730,000 | 2,025,000 | 1,959,369 | 1,790,073 | 1,700,032 |
| 1939 | 1,672,242 | 1,671,809 | 1,685,673 | 1,689,024 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,634,629 | 1,601,698 | 1,473,709 | 1,301,817 | 1,157,373 | 1,119,194 |
| 1940 | 1,077,628 | 1,070,702 | 1,134,704 | 1,288,559 | 1,565,488 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,808,008 | 1,950,520 | 1,780,688 | 1,627,700 | 1,539,737 |
| 1941 | 1,469,773 | 1,454,423 | 1,553,735 | 1,689,994 | 1,689,991 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,804,234 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,157 | 1,790,024 | 1,700,010 |
| 1942 | 1,641,462 | 1,634,171 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,982 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,765,000 | 2,027,000 | 1,950,170 | 1,790,025 | 1,700,004 |
| 1943 | 1,619,298 | 1,656,980 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,976 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,940,240 | 2,030,000 | 1,940,444 | 1,790,004 | 1,700,004 |
| 1944 | 1,627,652 | 1,614,506 | 1,602,762 | 1,595,713 | 1,659,696 | 1,690,000 | 1,654,802 | 1,700,608 | 1,738,836 | 1,608,117 | 1,463,726 | 1,386,992 |
| 1945 | 1,362,396 | 1,410,433 | 1,456,868 | 1,483,156 | 1,689,997 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,750,377 | 1,973,670 | 1,906,466 | 1,749,519 | 1,662,142 |
| 1946 | 1,664,336 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,996 | 1,689,984 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,726,331 | 1,786,301 | 1,618,009 | 1,459,654 | 1,373,770 |
| 1947 | 1,314,592 | 1,331,036 | 1,364,362 | 1,376,577 | 1,405,177 | 1,370,566 | 1,295,486 | 1,351,369 | 1,288,812 | 1,144,830 | 1,017,268 | 954,574 |
| 1948 | 958,700 | 959,989 | 998,610 | 997,725 | 983,836 | 1,013,678 | 1,114,286 | 1,251,048 | 1,420,232 | 1,377,867 | 1,303,272 | 1,271,554 |
| 1949 | 1,247,966 | 1,239,259 | 1,234,425 | 1,223,326 | 1,235,015 | 1,400,436 | 1,383,115 | 1,432,798 | 1,409,371 | 1,242,728 | 1,096,786 | 1,022,286 |
| 1950 | 944,784 | 935,019 | 938,337 | 962,506 | 1,119,822 | 1,253,320 | 1,285,258 | 1,291,998 | 1,375,323 | 1,221,712 | 1,078,645 | 1,020,719 |
| 1951 | 1,018,036 | 1,422,514 | 1,689,995 | 1,689,971 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,664,085 | 1,570,386 | 1,596,323 | 1,438,802 | 1,296,271 | 1,217,452 |
| 1952 | 1,176,472 | 1,184,189 | 1,305,781 | 1,533,995 | 1,689,998 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,895,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,951,049 | 1,790,051 | 1,700,027 |
| 1953 | 1,614,775 | 1,604,850 | 1,619,190 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,998 | 1,688,681 | 1,619,217 | 1,588,332 | 1,773,663 | 1,724,813 | 1,589,215 | 1,514,922 |
| 1954 | 1,449,795 | 1,449,008 | 1,452,649 | 1,459,444 | 1,505,884 | 1,611,838 | 1,643,837 | 1,773,541 | 1,769,428 | 1,605,391 | 1,456,830 | 1,379,013 |
| 1955 | 1,300,328 | 1,300,104 | 1,318,386 | 1,350,951 | 1,401,218 | 1,464,906 | 1,489,052 | 1,525,796 | 1,487,090 | 1,348,288 | 1,221,076 | 1,163,037 |
| 1956 | 1,100,763 | 1,099,427 | 1,651,474 | 1,689,947 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,804,698 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,170 | 1,790,030 | 1,700,025 |
| 1957 | 1,639,825 | 1,624,492 | 1,616,539 | 1,610,979 | 1,668,413 | 1,690,000 | 1,553,124 | 1,584,074 | 1,786,699 | 1,635,352 | 1,492,723 | 1,419,571 |
| 1958 | 1,403,575 | 1,396,361 | 1,409,069 | 1,432,024 | 1,578,593 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,910,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,170 | 1,790,046 | 1,700,029 |
| 1959 | 1,611,062 | 1,589,728 | 1,567,833 | 1,592,273 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,662,406 | 1,600,478 | 1,493,480 | 1,324,246 | 1,178,165 | 1,178,441 |
| 1960 | 1,101,196 | 1,090,401 | 1,113,627 | 1,113,311 | 1,220,539 | 1,228,588 | 1,240,002 | 1,245,830 | 1,168,185 | 1,034,178 | 923,226 | 874,650 |
| 1961 | 827,383 | 826,615 | 897,810 | 899,493 | 908,561 | 870,204 | 842,562 | 814,709 | 769,512 | 703,148 | 648,423 | 629,635 |
| 1962 | 604,125 | 599,069 | 626,802 | 630,729 | 817,825 | 938,956 | 931,539 | 835,624 | 1,048,335 | 953,860 | 814,699 | 742,797 |
| 1963 | 700,558 | 694,902 | 745,217 | 790,246 | 957,421 | 1,023,119 | 1,119,414 | 1,363,268 | 1,654,516 | 1,631,866 | 1,513,806 | 1,455,856 |
| 1964 | 1,437,657 | 1,487,272 | 1,502,934 | 1,521,014 | 1,535,522 | 1,502,343 | 1,443,836 | 1,438,577 | 1,397,068 | 1,238,632 | 1,101,362 | 1,031,330 |
| 1965 | 1,018,694 | 1,042,070 | 1,471,762 | 1,689,988 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,743,852 | 1,900,867 | 1,898,947 | 1,790,038 | 1,700,028 |
| 1966 | 1,615,736 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,998 | 1,689,996 | 1,689,998 | 1,690,000 | 1,670,732 | 1,742,447 | 1,620,676 | 1,452,534 | 1,306,169 | 1,236,095 |
| 1967 | 1,160,837 | 1,194,375 | 1,348,066 | 1,447,078 | 1,544,910 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,880,000 | 2,030,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,790,252 | 1,700,021 |
| 1968 | 1,619,820 | 1,607,624 | 1,605,760 | 1,605,959 | 1,668,870 | 1,690,000 | 1,614,396 | 1,614,311 | 1,547,133 | 1,375,977 | 1,237,984 | 1,160,815 |
| 1969 | 1,124,725 | 1,154,047 | 1,243,529 | 1,689,996 | 1,689,990 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,930,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,975,279 | 1,790,111 | 1,700,033 |
| 1970 | 1,676,114 | 1,681,553 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,952 | 1,689,996 | 1,690,000 | 1,649,691 | 1,718,076 | 1,804,962 | 1,670,839 | 1,531,070 | 1,453,473 |
| 1971 | 1,394,102 | 1,437,025 | 1,524,073 | 1,589,976 | 1,659,167 | 1,690,000 | 1,647,943 | 1,676,856 | 1,840,272 | 1,736,038 | 1,599,726 | 1,530,826 |
| 1972 | 1,469,268 | 1,477,826 | 1,521,421 | 1,571,887 | 1,625,342 | 1,603,292 | 1,501,630 | 1,475,950 | 1,480,830 | 1,319,081 | 1,185,074 | 1,119,002 |
| 1973 | 1,081,006 | 1,094,033 | 1,176,102 | 1,304,888 | 1,484,502 | 1,646,959 | 1,675,219 | 1,921,511 | 2,030,000 | 1,863,873 | 1,716,891 | 1,634,144 |
| 1974 | 1,625,114 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,998 | 1,689,983 | 1,689,998 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,964,185 | 2,030,000 | 1,943,894 | 1,790,018 | 1,700,018 |
| 1975 | 1,671,620 | 1,661,732 | 1,660,185 | 1,665,519 | 1,689,996 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,824,854 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,013 | 1,790,077 | 1,700,024 |
| 1976 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,664,706 | 1,649,459 | 1,519,032 | 1,432,156 | 1,326,070 | 1,216,796 | 1,085,092 | 998,502 | 968,734 |
| 1977 | 932,654 | 925,543 | 955,652 | 938,503 | 920,299 | 807,858 | 717,610 | 671,981 | 616,184 | 544,084 | 486,059 | 467,586 |
| 1978 | 447,583 | 445,345 | 497,628 | 642,718 | 811,604 | 1,050,470 | 1,227,230 | 1,356,274 | 1,761,000 | 1,841,159 | 1,704,419 | 1,692,926 |
| 1979 | 1,606,278 | 1,609,357 | 1,608,413 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,827,795 | 1,673,824 | 1,527,042 | 1,450,952 |
| 1980 | 1,419,903 | 1,422,622 | 1,442,656 | 1,689,977 | 1,689,987 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,890,400 | 1,960,200 | 1,950,171 | 1,790,057 | 1,700,035 |
| 1981 | 1,617,942 | 1,596,204 | 1,588,406 | 1,595,955 | 1,619,607 | 1,690,000 | 1,710,315 | 1,694,081 | 1,626,429 | 1,461,340 | 1,330,112 | 1,262,473 |
| 1982 | 1,253,640 | 1,360,563 | 1,511,306 | 1,689,997 | 1,689,988 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,876,400 | 2,002,900 | 1,954,717 | 1,790,097 | 1,700,116 |
| 1983 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,995 | 1,689,966 | 1,689,989 | 1,294,700 | 1,264,000 | 1,270,800 | 1,851,400 | 2,030,000 | 1,869,137 | 1,700,118 |
| 1984 | 1,666,919 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,992 | 1,689,972 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,614,072 | 1,682,328 | 1,778,205 | 1,646,266 | 1,496,949 | 1,414,071 |
| 1985 | 1,399,091 | 1,434,211 | 1,478,590 | 1,469,173 | 1,504,226 | 1,570,360 | 1,558,812 | 1,616,719 | 1,550,570 | 1,386,112 | 1,251,881 | 1,188,728 |
| 1986 | 1,162,153 | 1,183,366 | 1,254,950 | 1,319,946 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,888,300 | 2,001,400 | 1,917,776 | 1,770,749 | 1,700,004 |
| 1987 | 1,641,221 | 1,619,848 | 1,601,298 | 1,570,175 | 1,566,241 | 1,592,870 | 1,533,147 | 1,433,211 | 1,330,588 | 1,195,991 | 1,085,371 | 1,032,594 |
| 1988 | 1,010,460 | 1,009,573 | 1,045,756 | 1,099,567 | 1,155,125 | 1,128,364 | 1,103,870 | 1,063,204 | 1,011,973 | 943,381 | 884,236 | 862,821 |
| 1989 | 836,632 | 844,157 | 876,836 | 900,479 | 930,034 | 1,051,709 | 1,029,659 | 1,110,864 | 1,160,578 | 1,025,069 | 913,162 | 908,781 |
| 1990 | 935,547 | 934,238 | 954,290 | 956,979 | 989,562 | 968,936 | 944,775 | 965,169 | 990,902 | 924,588 | 852,141 | 815,058 |
| 1991 | 799,492 | 794,262 | 814,409 | 803,540 | 782,227 | 856,893 | 858,476 | 917,797 | 1,020,071 | 946,830 | 874,711 | 843,590 |
| 1992 | 844,030 | 841,586 | 863,738 | 868,291 | 931,612 | 990,953 | 1,044,352 | 1,043,659 | 967,160 | 874,930 | 761,589 | 698,367 |
| 1993 | 662,549 | 656,233 | 682,056 | 877,956 | 1,027,740 | 1,267,172 | 1,365,280 | 1,684,448 | 1,941,790 | 1,923,275 | 1,785,144 | 1,700,014 |
| 1994 | 1,627,176 | 1,612,969 | 1,599,152 | 1,589,196 | 1,599,258 | 1,567,682 | 1,531,904 | 1,523,687 | 1,478,913 | 1,356,482 | 1,256,718 | 1,211,217 |
| 1995 | 1,172,146 | 1,191,956 | 1,236,737 | 1,494,252 | 1,599,122 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,629,700 | 1,982,800 | 2,030,000 | 1,814,001 | 1,700,059 |
| 1996 | 1,608,079 | 1,583,093 | 1,604,410 | 1,672,573 | 1,689,990 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 2,002,400 | 2,030,000 | 1,930,383 | 1,782,384 | 1,700,010 |
| 1997 | 1,667,198 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,993 | 1,689,875 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,598,189 | 1,839,987 | 1,951,576 | 1,810,929 | 1,668,846 | 1,615,122 |
| 1998 | 1,533,848 | 1,527,463 | 1,528,868 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,989 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,714,000 | 1,987,500 | 2,030,000 | 1,790,242 | 1,700,022 |
| 1999 | 1,662,014 | 1,675,303 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,986 | 1,689,990 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,781,250 | 1,998,452 | 1,868,511 | 1,726,752 | 1,652,772 |
| 2000 | 1,566,486 | 1,554,834 | 1,539,188 | 1,615,107 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,981,851 | 2,030,000 | 1,864,407 | 1,719,364 | 1,644,682 |
| 2001 | 1,634,728 | 1,622,232 | 1,613,706 | 1,605,692 | 1,629,054 | 1,690,000 | 1,716,911 | 1,801,382 | 1,668,653 | 1,504,167 | 1,365,411 | 1,300,012 |
| 2002 | 1,238,260 | 1,249,893 | 1,323,366 | 1,378,790 | 1,430,954 | 1,481,487 | 1,466,303 | 1,583,557 | 1,606,470 | 1,443,430 | 1,305,249 | 1,232,624 |
| Avg (21-02) | 1,289,807 | 1,299,980 | 1,345,245 | 1,393,639 | 1,456,995 | 1,482,690 | 1,485,599 | 1,553,787 | 1,637,503 | 1,537,849 | 1,401,689 | 1,333,676 |

Table 2.6-2
Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)


Table 2.6-3
Difference in Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,188 | -10,433 | -12,571 | -12,516 | -12,475 |
| 1922 | -12,449 | -12,443 | -12,443 | -12,446 | -7,314 | 0 | 0 | -11,174 | 0 | -5 | 0 | 0 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19,014 | -21,065 | -23,157 | -23,056 | -22,982 |
| 1924 | -22,935 | -22,924 | -22,924 | -22,931 | -22,933 | -22,924 | -24,684 | -29,519 | -29,489 | -29,439 | -29,370 | -29,309 |
| 1925 | -29,247 | -29,231 | -29,234 | -29,249 | -29,253 | -29,251 | -32,912 | -46,419 | -48,378 | -50,350 | -50,122 | -49,951 |
| 1926 | -49,846 | -49,818 | -50,315 | -50,330 | -50,531 | -49,936 | -60,110 | -70,803 | -94,077 | -93,648 | -93,216 | -92,904 |
| 1927 | -92,711 | -92,659 | -87,618 | -87,643 | -87,650 | -87,617 | -87,534 | -114,797 | -93,866 | -95,648 | -86,302 | -72,891 |
| 1928 | -72,744 | -52,440 | -17,973 | -14,850 | 1 | 0 | -11,849 | -16,583 | -18,642 | -18,562 | -18,479 | -18,418 |
| 1929 | -18,380 | -18,369 | -18,370 | -18,376 | -18,377 | -18,370 | -18,352 | -33,941 | -48,965 | -48,740 | -48,519 | -48,356 |
| 1930 | -48,255 | -48,228 | -48,230 | -48,244 | -48,248 | -48,230 | -48,183 | -48,546 | -50,493 | -50,264 | -50,033 | -49,858 |
| 1931 | -49,748 | -49,719 | -49,721 | -49,736 | -49,739 | -49,720 | -49,669 | -49,534 | -49,357 | -49,120 | -48,891 | -48,719 |
| 1932 | -48,606 | -48,575 | -77,837 | -89,136 | -104,447 | -123,055 | -130,097 | -137,473 | -145,745 | -147,267 | -146,583 | -146,090 |
| 1933 | -145,787 | -145,705 | -145,711 | -145,755 | -145,766 | -145,711 | -150,023 | -154,107 | -167,558 | -168,970 | -168,157 | -167,562 |
| 1934 | -167,197 | -167,099 | -170,312 | -168,869 | -169,775 | -172,288 | -175,433 | -185,869 | -186,997 | -186,104 | -185,207 | -184,556 |
| 1935 | -184,158 | -184,050 | -184,058 | -201,819 | -218,063 | -212,422 | -222,877 | -230,972 | -248,071 | -249,176 | -248,066 | -247,237 |
| 1936 | -246,724 | -246,586 | -246,703 | -246,692 | 9 | 0 | 0 | -10,344 | -12,425 | -14,554 | -14,491 | -14,445 |
| 1937 | -14,415 | -14,407 | -14,417 | -14,422 | , | 0 | 0 | -9,338 | -19,419 | -21,520 | -21,425 | -21,356 |
| 1938 | -21,313 | -21,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,183 | -5 | 0 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,779 | -14,122 | -16,188 | -16,114 | -16,041 | -15,988 |
| 1940 | -15,954 | -15,945 | -23,947 | -22,233 | -28,098 | 0 | 0 | 8,878 | 3,978 | 3,960 | 3,943 | 3,931 |
| 1941 | 3,923 | 3,920 | 3,310 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,370 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 0 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,185 | 0 | -2,183 | -4 | 0 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | -33,804 | -35,808 | -37,838 | -37,667 | -37,541 |
| 1945 | -37,462 | -37,441 | -37,442 | -37,453 | , | 0 | 0 | -433 | 9,714 | 7,488 | 7,455 | 7,432 |
| 1946 | 7,417 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,228 | -12,309 | -12,256 | -12,201 | -12,159 |
| 1947 | -12,134 | -12,126 | -12,127 | -12,131 | -12,131 | -12,127 | -12,114 | -38,678 | -40,656 | -40,473 | -40,287 | -40,148 |
| 1948 | -40,063 | -40,039 | -40,041 | -40,053 | -40,055 | -44,367 | -48,897 | -53,095 | -66,246 | -68,046 | -67,664 | -67,392 |
| 1949 | -67,232 | -67,190 | -67,191 | -67,203 | -67,207 | -71,084 | -75,364 | -78,800 | -88,087 | -87,683 | -87,286 | -86,991 |
| 1950 | -86,808 | -86,758 | -90,313 | -87,678 | -102,553 | -106,396 | -106,462 | -107,067 | -102,069 | -102,984 | -102,516 | -102,171 |
| 1951 | -101,957 | -116,550 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,151 | -4,068 | -14,932 | -17,047 | -16,969 | -16,911 |
| 1952 | -16,876 | -16,868 | -16,868 | -21,355 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -20,783 | -24,843 | -26,877 | -28,945 | -28,819 | -28,724 |
| 1954 | -28,665 | -28,648 | -28,650 | -28,658 | -28,660 | -28,650 | -28,623 | -54,058 | -55,995 | -55,755 | -55,504 | -55,316 |
| 1955 | -55,200 | -55,169 | -55,172 | -55,187 | -55,192 | -55,171 | -56,972 | -60,371 | -69,233 | -68,919 | -68,597 | -68,369 |
| 1956 | -68,227 | -68,189 | -38,525 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -7,229 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -34,827 | -36,828 | -38,853 | -38,680 | -38,551 |
| 1958 | -38,471 | -38,449 | -38,450 | -38,462 | -38,465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,335 | -20,965 | -20,895 | -20,799 | -20,704 | -20,635 |
| 1960 | -20,592 | -20,580 | -20,582 | -20,587 | -24,035 | -26,731 | -27,380 | -25,335 | -23,087 | -22,983 | -22,873 | -22,791 |
| 1961 | -22,742 | -22,728 | -31,324 | -31,333 | -31,336 | -31,323 | -31,291 | -31,207 | -31,095 | -30,947 | -30,795 | -30,692 |
| 1962 | -30,627 | -30,610 | -30,610 | -30,620 | -30,621 | -30,610 | -30,579 | -129,058 | -148,817 | -150,321 | -149,603 | -149,073 |
| 1963 | -148,750 | -148,661 | -140,742 | -127,465 | -148,735 | -148,680 | -148,537 | -165,164 | -166,447 | -167,912 | -167,172 | -166,619 |
| 1964 | -166,277 | -166,186 | -166,193 | -166,240 | -154,478 | -154,422 | -156,469 | -168,455 | -190,238 | -189,371 | -188,499 | -187,867 |
| 1965 | -187,478 | -187,372 | -179,977 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,543 | 15,495 | 13,244 | 24 | 0 |
| 1966 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -8,994 | -11,156 | -13,235 | -13,175 | -13,114 | -13,069 |
| 1967 | -13,042 | -13,035 | -13,036 | -13,039 | -13,040 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | -5 | 0 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -23,586 | -25,621 | -25,505 | -25,386 | -25,302 |
| 1969 | -25,249 | -25,235 | -25,236 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,491 | -13,568 | -15,693 | -15,623 | -15,570 |
| 1971 | -15,537 | -15,529 | -15,529 | -15,534 | -15,535 | 0 | 0 | -20,599 | -22,646 | -24,730 | -24,624 | -24,542 |
| 1972 | -24,492 | -24,478 | -24,480 | -24,487 | -24,489 | -24,479 | -24,457 | -49,064 | -51,012 | -50,779 | -50,543 | -50,374 |
| 1973 | -50,270 | -50,241 | -50,243 | -50,258 | -50,261 | -43,041 | -42,381 | -58,368 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | -5,036 | 0 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 |
| 1975 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,481 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 0 |
| 1976 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 8,218 | 3,004 | -3 | -3 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -4 |
| 1978 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -84,008 | 0 | -2,183 | -2,174 | -2,477 |
| 1979 | -3,566 | -3,564 | -3,565 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,114 | -2,105 | -2,096 | -2,088 |
| 1980 | -2,085 | -2,083 | -2,083 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,116 | -10,892 | -27,623 | -27,500 | -27,374 | -27,279 |
| 1982 | -27,222 | -27,206 | -27,207 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | -9 | 0 |
| 1983 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,183 | 0 |
| 1984 | -3,065 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -196 | -14,019 | -16,087 | -18,202 | -18,121 | -18,061 |
| 1985 | -18,022 | -18,013 | -18,013 | -18,018 | -18,020 | -18,013 | -17,996 | -15,311 | -17,374 | -17,296 | -17,214 | -17,157 |
| 1986 | -17,122 | -17,112 | -25,509 | -29,178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | -2,174 | -4 |
| 1987 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -4 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -9,443 | -9,400 | -9,357 | -9,326 |
| 1988 | -9,305 | -9,300 | -9,301 | -9,303 | 4,356 | 4,354 | -1,227 | -10,934 | -46,885 | -51,655 | -51,407 | -51,222 |
| 1989 | -51,110 | -51,080 | -51,082 | -51,098 | -51,102 | -51,083 | -62,482 | -99,279 | -103,811 | -103,341 | -102,860 | -102,498 |
| 1990 | -102,277 | -102,218 | -102,223 | -102,253 | -102,261 | -102,223 | -102,121 | -119,107 | -112,974 | -112,452 | -111,911 | -111,512 |
| 1991 | -116,465 | -116,396 | -116,402 | -120,253 | -120,264 | -120,217 | -120,110 | -140,137 | -157,006 | -154,266 | -155,596 | -155,057 |
| 1992 | -154,722 | -154,632 | -154,639 | -154,686 | -154,699 | -154,641 | -137,570 | -166,565 | -166,028 | -165,300 | -164,542 | -163,984 |
| 1993 | -163,639 | -163,539 | -171,483 | -180,798 | -180,813 | -186,849 | -191,394 | -193,764 | -88,210 | -26,861 | -4,904 | -8 |
| 1994 | -7 | -8 | -8 | -7 | -7 | -8 | -8 | -20,888 | -22,931 | -22,826 | -22,720 | -22,644 |
| 1995 | -22,598 | -22,584 | -22,586 | -22,592 | -22,594 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | -3 |
| 1996 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,183 | -2,174 | -4 |
| 1997 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -12,576 | -14,731 | -16,798 | -18,908 | -18,826 | -18,764 |
| 1998 | -18,726 | -18,715 | -18,716 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,321 | -17,171 | -19,281 | -19,198 | -19,136 |
| 2000 | -19,098 | -19,087 | -19,088 | -19,093 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -10,376 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -41,731 | -43,496 | -43,303 | -43,106 | -42,959 |
| 2002 | -42,867 | -42,843 | -42,845 | -42,857 | -42,860 | -42,845 | -42,804 | -75,937 | -77,796 | -77,450 | -77,093 | -76,828 |
| Avg (21-02) | -39,746 | -39,707 | -37,753 | -34,605 | -30,552 | -29,368 | -31,048 | -41,810 | -41,627 | -41,602 | -41,115 | -40,653 |

APPENDIX O1

Table 2.6-4
Difference in Don Pedro Reservoir Inflow (Acre-feet)

| Differen | Pe | servo | low | feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP | Base |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -13,402 | -3,253 | -3,191 | -7,268 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -29,302 |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,366 | -11,189 | -4,880 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -25,623 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,117 | -19,037 | -2,117 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -25,459 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,702 | -4,834 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,536 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,692 | -13,608 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -21,606 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | -496 | 0 | -197 | 576 | -10,227 | -10,859 | -23,557 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -44,760 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 5,045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,513 | -6,321 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -30,977 |
| 1928 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -20,759 | -4,770 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,647 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,658 | -15,168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -30,826 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -487 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,605 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | -29,259 | -11,274 | -15,304 | -18,651 | -7,164 | -7,727 | -8,766 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -100,333 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,456 | -4,485 | -14,010 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -25,139 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | -3,206 | 1,494 | -892 | -2,580 | -3,320 | -10,927 | -1,802 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -21,233 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17,702 | -16,228 | 5,562 | -10,668 | -8,677 | -17,892 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -67,793 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | -106 | 82 | -329 | -16,899 | -3,635 | -10,357 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -35,550 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | -9 | -1 | -1,778 | -3,195 | -8,513 | -9,349 | -10,129 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -35,162 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | 1,711 | 0 | 0 | -38 | -7,142 | -17,015 | -4,880 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -29,552 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,786 | 1,619 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,285 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | -8,001 | 1,721 | -5,863 | -10,103 | -5,679 | 8,890 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -23,915 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | -610 | 0 | -445 | -421 | -519 | -1,372 | -2,168 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -7,723 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,541 | 0 | -2,664 | -5,524 | -2,854 | -2,762 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -21,533 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,001 | -6,721 | -2,188 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -15,216 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -33,846 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -38,152 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,317 | -203 | -434 | 10,161 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -7,981 |
| 1946 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,207 | -3,612 | -10,240 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -28,177 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -26,630 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -28,748 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,327 | -4,613 | -4,372 | -13,430 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -28,930 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | -3,923 | -4,351 | -3,634 | -9,575 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -21,477 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | -3,551 | 2,662 | -14,868 | -3,881 | -171 | -886 | 4,635 | -1,388 | 0 | 0 | -17,448 |
| 1951 | 0 | -14,654 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,152 | -925 | -10,896 | -2,189 | 0 | 0 | -31,816 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,482 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,879 | -2,117 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -24,666 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -20,792 | -4,118 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -29,216 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -25,536 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,654 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,855 | -3,549 | -9,082 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -14,486 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | -7,403 | 0 | 0 | -3,555 | -3,068 | -7,238 | -2,117 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -25,569 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -34,871 | -2,117 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -39,176 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,490 | -1,013 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -14,691 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,337 | -15,664 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -21,001 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,446 | -2,707 | -674 | 1,977 | 2,165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,685 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | -8,594 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,594 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -98,696 | -20,248 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -121,132 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 7,926 | 13,317 | -21,259 | 0 | 0 | -17,039 | -1,841 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -21,084 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,195 | -12,402 | -22,395 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -36,992 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 7,403 | -5,708 | -5,156 | -10,711 | -9,769 | 1,545 | 13,979 | -2,188 | -2,188 | 0 | -12,793 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | -1,152 | 0 | -17,169 | 0 | -8,997 | -2,188 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -31,624 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,460 | 0 | -12,427 | 0 | -2,188 | -2,188 | 0 | -22,263 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -23,616 | -2,117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -25,733 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,451 | -10,837 | -7,642 | -2,188 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -27,424 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,592 | -5,953 | -21,074 | 0 | -11,504 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -16,245 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -20,625 | -2,117 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -24,930 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -24,703 | -2,117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -26,820 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,112 | -2,117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,229 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,391 | 0 | -10,465 | -4,603 | -5,043 | -4,879 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -35,569 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,286 | 7,490 | -947 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -3,931 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 8,218 | -5,216 | -3,007 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -84,115 | -5,616 | -2,188 | 0 | -310 | -92,229 |
| 1979 | -1,095 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,218 | -2,117 | -2,188 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -23,736 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,723 | 0 | -7,610 | -4,880 | -2,188 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -9,261 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,117 | -8,792 | -16,794 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,703 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,554 | 0 | 0 | -1,902 | -1,841 | -2,188 | -2,188 | -2,117 | -21,790 |
| 1983 | -1,047 | 2,762 | -952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,542 | -4,603 | -2,188 | -2,188 | 0 | -17,758 |
| 1984 | -3,068 | 4,603 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,935 | -197 | -13,841 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -12,874 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,643 | -2,117 |  | 0 | 0 | 526 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | -8,396 | -3,661 | 12,066 | -20,128 | -11,300 | -5,042 | -4,880 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -43,529 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,457 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,457 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,660 | 0 | -5,580 | -9,724 | -36,050 | -5,001 | 0 | 0 | -42,695 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,454 | -37,007 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -53,341 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17,279 | 5,728 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,551 |
| 1991 | -5,202 | 0 | 0 | -3,816 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -20,361 | -17,370 | 2,048 | -2,045 | 0 | -46,747 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,950 | -29,350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,400 |
| 1993 | 0 | 5 | -7,936 | -9,261 | 0 | -6,104 | -4,729 | -2,854 | -25,296 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -58,363 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -20,907 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -23,025 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,327 | -9,206 | -1,903 | -1,841 | -2,188 | -2,188 | 0 | -3,999 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,690 | 0 | -4,879 | -5,042 | -4,880 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -18,679 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,207 | 0 | 0 | -12,582 | -2,188 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -25,283 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,118 | -11,049 | -3,900 | -3,775 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -24,030 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,562 | -10,982 | -12,335 | -4,900 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -38,967 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,389 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,507 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -41,781 | -1,904 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -43,685 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -33,284 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -35,402 |
| Avg (21-02) | -127 | -89 | -602 | -313 | -1,242 | -2,595 | -3,557 | -11,996 | -4,753 | -1,227 | -158 | -30 | -26,689 |
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Figure 2.6-2


Figure 2.6-1 and Table 2.6-3 illustrate that, during drought sequences, the reduction in inflow to Don Pedro Reservoir can accumulate from year to year. Compared to the base setting, the WSIP would result in lower Don Pedro Reservoir storage during some part of most years, and more predominantly during multi-year drought periods. Figure 2.6-3 illustrates the Don Pedro Reservoir storage for the WSIP setting, averaged by year type. Figure 2.6-4 illustrates the difference in reservoir storage, averaged by year type, in comparing the WSIP and base-Calaveras constrained settings. Also shown is the average difference in storage for the two settings during the 82 -year simulation.

Table 2.6-3 illustrates that, in some years (approximately one-third of the years, i.e., the wettest of years), the storage in Don Pedro Reservoir would not be substantially different, because large inflows to the reservoir during these years would require the management of storage (release of flow above minimum stream requirements) to satisfy flood control requirements. During the other years, the reduction in storage could range from a single year's additional diversions by the SFPUC to over 245,000 acre-feet (1936) from the accumulation of several years of additional diversions by the SFPUC. For example, the greatest draw from reservoir storage occurs during the drought of 1976-1977 (during which the WSIP would not cause an incremental additional draw from storage), and the greatest difference in reservoir draw between the base and the WSIP settings occurs during the years of the 1928-1935 drought.

Figure 2.6-5 illustrates the average monthly storage in Don Pedro Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the WSIP and base settings. The difference in storage in Don Pedro Reservoir attributed to the upstream effects of the WSIP would affect releases from La Grange Dam to the stream. A difference in the amount of available reservoir space in the winter and spring due to the WSIP would lead to a difference in the ability to regulate inflow, thus potentially changing the amount of water released to the stream that is above minimum release requirements. During periods when inflow differs and Don Pedro Reservoir is at maximum storage capacity within the flood control storage limitation, a change in inflow would directly manifest as a change in releases from La Grange Dam (a change in either more or less flow). Figure 2.6-1 illustrates the stream releases from La Grange Dam for the WSIP and base settings.
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Figure 2.6-3


Figure 2.6-4


Figure 2.6-5
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Supplementing Figure 2.6-1 are Table 2.6-5 and Table 2.6-6, which illustrate the releases to the Tuolumne River from La Grange Dam for the WSIP and base settings. Table $2.6-7$ shows the difference in stream releases between the WSIP and base settings. Consistent with the periods showing changes in Don Pedro Reservoir storage, stream releases following the drawdown periods would indicate a reduction. The additional depletion of reservoir storage would manifest as a reduction in subsequent releases below La Grange Dam to replenish reservoir storage. The same information shown in Table 2.6-7 is illustrated in Table 2.6-8, arranged in descending order based on the San Joaquin River Index. The differences in releases to the Tuolumne River from La Grange Dam would occur only when there would otherwise be releases in excess of minimum Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) flow requirements, typically during wetter years. Occasional minor reductions in releases would also occur during winter, when the direct diversion of additional water by the SFPUC would lead to a commensurate reduction in inflow to Don Pedro Reservoir. If Don Pedro Reservoir is passing inflow for flood control, a similar commensurate reduction in releases would occur. Table 2.6-7 illustrates the decrease in monthly flow below La Grange Dam that would occur, up to approximately 247,000 acre-feet in one month (February 1936). This reduction is associated with the additional replenishment of Don Pedro Reservoir caused by the additional diversions of the SFPUC during the drought of 1987-1992. The effects of the SFPUC diversions accumulate in Don Pedro Reservoir throughout the drought period. Using the assumption that a change in release volume equates to a delay or acceleration of releasing 6,000 acrefeet per day means that the difference in stream releases from La Grange Dam between the WSIP and the base settings would be a delay in releases above minimum FERC flow requirements for a period longer than a month. Normally, the delay in release would not affect the peak stream release rate during a year. However, infrequently (such as in 1993, which followed a lengthy six-year drought), the WSIP's effect on stream releases could lead to an elimination of all flow in excess of FERC requirements in the year. Such a reduction in flow would not be common and would occur only because of multi-year droughts.

Comparing the WSIP and base settings, Table 2.6-9 illustrates the releases to the Tuolumne River below La Grange Dam; their differences are provided in terms of monthly volumetric flow averaged within year types.
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Table 2.6-5
Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet)


APPENDIX O1

Table 2.6-6
Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet)
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Table 2.6-7
Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet)

| Water Year | Oct | Nov- | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -13,402 | -3,254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,656 |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,134 | -7,312 | -7,365 | 0 | -16,036 | -2,183 | -5 | 0 | -38,035 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -26,907 | 0 | -8,945 | -13,156 | -49,008 |
| 1928 | 0 | -20,270 | -34,469 | -3,128 | -14,851 | 1 | -8,905 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -81,622 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -247,039 | -16,890 | -3,635 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -267,564 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,200 | -3,195 | -8,513 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,908 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | -19,590 | 0 | 0 | -39 | -7,143 | -17,014 | -4,880 | 0 | -2,173 | -5 | -50,844 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -38,195 | -5,678 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -43,873 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,311 | -446 | -422 | -519 | 0 | -3,536 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 | -3,801 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,542 | 1 | -2,664 | -5,524 | -2,854 | -2,762 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -21,533 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,001 | -6,721 | 0 | -4,299 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | -15,200 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -37,456 | -15,315 | -202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -52,973 |
| 1946 | 0 | 7,308 | 106 | 0 | 0 | -12,208 | -3,612 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,406 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | -116,554 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -116,551 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -21,357 | 0 | 0 | -15,879 | -2,117 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -41,531 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | -37,068 | -38,536 | 5 | -3,555 | -3,068 | 0 | -9,334 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -93,744 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -38,458 | 0 | -11,490 | -1,013 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -53,149 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -185,736 | -5,132 | -10,710 | -9,769 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,003 | 24 | -200,320 |
| 1966 | 0 | 1 | -1,152 | 0 | -17,169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,320 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,498 | 0 | -12,427 | 0 | -2,188 | -2,184 | -5 | -35,302 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -25,244 | -2,448 | -10,837 | -7,641 | -2,188 | -2,117 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -52,653 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,596 | -5,957 | -21,074 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -435 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,532 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,532 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,204 | -620 | 0 | -60,390 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -68,214 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,392 | 1 | -10,465 | -4,603 | 0 | -9,907 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | -35,545 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,286 | 0 | 6,521 | -2,183 | -4 | 0 | -3,952 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -89,483 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -89,483 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,565 | 0 | -16,219 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -24,090 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,641 | -1 | -7,611 | -4,879 | -2,188 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -11,344 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,215 | -11,551 | 0 | 0 | -1,903 | -1,841 | 0 | -4,358 | -2,127 | -48,995 |
| 1983 | -1,046 | 2,762 | -952 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,542 | -4,603 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,180 | -17,750 |
| 1984 | 0 | 1,539 | 0 | 0 |  | 3,936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,475 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17,113 | -20,127 | -11,300 | -5,042 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -60,629 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -130,387 | -63,291 | -21,886 | -4,889 | -220,453 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,263 | -9,206 | -1,903 | -1,842 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,177 | -26,579 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,694 | 0 | -4,880 | -5,042 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -18,663 |
| 1997 | 0 | -3 | 0 | -6,208 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,210 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,722 | 3 | -3,119 | -11,048 | -3,900 | -3,774 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -42,748 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,562 | -10,982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19,544 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19,094 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,476 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -31,570 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (21-02) | -13 | -106 | -2,557 | -3,472 | -5,298 | -3,768 | -1,849 | -1,141 | -4,793 | -1,065 | -454 | -352 | -24,868 |
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Table 2.6-8
Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet)

| Matrix Data for |  |  |  | din | Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| 1983 | -1,046 | 2,762 | -952 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,542 | -4,603 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,180 | -17,750 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -25,244 | -2,448 | -10,837 | -7,641 | -2,188 | -2,117 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -52,653 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,263 | -9,206 | -1,903 | -1,842 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,177 | -26,579 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | -19,590 | 0 | 0 | -39 | -7,143 | -17,014 | -4,880 | 0 | -2,173 | -5 | -50,844 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,722 | 3 | -3,119 | -11,048 | -3,900 | -3,774 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -42,748 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,215 | -11,551 | 0 | 0 | -1,903 | -1,841 | 0 | -4,358 | -2,127 | -48,995 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,498 | 0 | -12,427 | 0 | -2,188 | -2,184 | -5 | -35,302 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -21,357 | 0 | 0 | -15,879 | -2,117 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -41,531 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -38,458 | 0 | -11,490 | -1,013 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -53,149 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,641 | -1 | -7,611 | -4,879 | -2,188 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -11,344 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -89,483 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -89,483 |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,134 | -7,312 | -7,365 | 0 | -16,036 | -2,183 | -5 | 0 | -38,035 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | -37,068 | -38,536 | 5 | -3,555 | -3,068 | 0 | -9,334 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -93,744 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,542 | 1 | -2,664 | -5,524 | -2,854 | -2,762 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -21,533 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,311 | -446 | -422 | -519 | 0 | -3,536 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 | -3,801 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17,113 | -20,127 | -11,300 | -5,042 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -60,629 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -130,387 | -63,291 | -21,886 | -4,889 | -220,453 |
| 1997 | 0 | -3 | 0 | -6,208 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,210 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,694 | 0 | -4,880 | -5,042 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -18,663 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,001 | -6,721 | 0 | -4,299 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | -15,200 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,200 | -3,195 | -8,513 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,908 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,392 | 1 | -10,465 | -4,603 | 0 | -9,907 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | -35,545 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,286 | 0 | 6,521 | -2,183 | -4 | 0 | -3,952 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -185,736 | -5,132 | -10,710 | -9,769 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,003 | 24 | -200,320 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -247,039 | -16,890 | -3,635 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -267,564 |
| 1984 | 0 | 1,539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,475 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,565 | 0 | -16,219 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -24,090 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -37,456 | -15,315 | -202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -52,973 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,562 | -10,982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19,544 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -26,907 | 0 | -8,945 | -13,156 | -49,008 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1946 | 0 | 7,308 | 106 | 0 | 0 | -12,208 | -3,612 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,406 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,204 | -620 | 0 | -60,390 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -68,214 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19,094 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,476 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -31,570 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -38,195 | -5,678 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -43,873 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -13,402 | -3,254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,656 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,596 | -5,957 | -21,074 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -435 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | -116,554 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -116,551 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,532 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,532 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1928 | 0 | -20,270 | -34,469 | -3,128 | -14,851 | 1 | -8,905 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -81,622 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1966 | 0 | 1 | -1,152 | 0 | -17,169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,320 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.6-9

| Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by SJR Index Year Type) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WSIP } \\ \text { Sep } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wet | 23,400 | 21,274 | 46,524 | 114,653 | 173,074 | 256,125 | 198,288 | 189,097 | 194,963 | 106,979 | 51,787 | 37,222 | 1,413,386 |
| Above Normal | 17,105 | 28,309 | 69,075 | 77,774 | 95,901 | 127,962 | 95,279 | 80,555 | 20,035 | 14,739 | 14,739 | 14,263 | 655,737 |
| Below Normal | 17,484 | 14,199 | 22,701 | 17,789 | 25,120 | 41,604 | 58,393 | 55,751 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 271,190 |
| Dry | 20,655 | 15,449 | 15,964 | 15,964 | 17,937 | 27,291 | 30,572 | 29,530 | 4,349 | 4,494 | 4,494 | 4,349 | 191,046 |
| Critical | 13,260 | 11,611 | 12,560 | 11,644 | 10,648 | 11,644 | 21,061 | 20,600 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 125,127 |
| All Years | 18,815 | 18,888 | 36,241 | 57,087 | 79,135 | 114,179 | 95,290 | 88,906 | 63,139 | 36,354 | 20,200 | 15,774 | 644,009 |
| Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by SJR Index Year Type) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 23,443 | 21,159 | 48,924 | 127,347 | 176,452 | 262,303 | 202,891 | 192,904 | 207,184 | 110,618 | 52,966 | 37,877 | 1,464,068 |
| Above Normal | 17,105 | 27,789 | 75,925 | 76,419 | 114,110 | 136,500 | 97,174 | 80,683 | 25,904 | 14,739 | 15,265 | 15,037 | 696,650 |
| Below Normal | 17,484 | 15,888 | 25,669 | 18,049 | 27,788 | 42,899 | 59,135 | 55,751 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 280,813 |
| Dry | 20,655 | 15,449 | 15,964 | 15,964 | 18,842 | 27,291 | 30,572 | 29,530 | 4,349 | 4,494 | 4,494 | 4,349 | 191,951 |
| Critical | 13,260 | 11,611 | 12,560 | 11,644 | 10,648 | 11,644 | 21,061 | 20,600 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 125,127 |
| All Years | 18,828 | 18,994 | 38,798 | 60,559 | 84,433 | 117,947 | 97,139 | 90,047 | 67,933 | 37,419 | 20,654 | 16,126 | 668,876 |
| Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by SJR Index Year Type) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | -44 | 115 | -2,400 | -12,694 | -3,378 | -6,178 | -4,603 | -3,807 | -12,220 | -3,639 | -1,180 | -655 | -50,682 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 520 | -6,850 | 1,355 | -18,209 | -8,537 | -1,895 | -129 | -5,869 | 0 | -526 | -774 | -40,913 |
| Below Normal | 0 | -1,689 | -2,968 | -261 | -2,668 | -1,294 | -742 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,623 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -906 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -906 |
| Critical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | -13 | -106 | -2,557 | -3,472 | -5,298 | -3,768 | -1,849 | -1,141 | -4,793 | -1,065 | -454 | -352 | -24,868 |

### 2.7 Calaveras and San Antonio Reservoirs, Alameda Creek, and Downstream

Compared to the base setting, Calaveras Reservoir operations would substantively change in the WSIP setting. With the restoration of Calaveras Reservoir operating capacity, the reservoir would operate with a larger storage capacity. Figure 2.7-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Calaveras Reservoir storage and stream releases from Calaveras Dam. Shown in Figure 2.7-1 are the results for the WSIP and base settings.

The current operation of Calaveras Reservoir (base-Calaveras constrained setting) is modeled to be greatly constrained, to vary only within a limited storage range. Although a within-year cyclic operation occurs for the conservation of local watershed runoff, there is relatively little reservoir storage available for year-to-year carryover and multi-year drought use. In the WSIP setting, a greater within-year cyclic operation occurs, providing for a greater use of local watershed runoff. Also, during prolonged periods of drought (i.e., multiple years in duration), reservoir storage would be drawn to supplement runoff available to the regional system and other water supply resources. Figure 2.7-2 illustrates the average monthly storage in Calaveras Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the WSIP and base settings.
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Figure 2.7-1

## Calaveras Reservoir Storage and Stream Release
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Figure 2.7-2


In the WSIP setting (as compared to the base setting), there would be two categorical changes in releases to Calaveras Creek below Calaveras Dam: the addition of flows representing the flow objectives associated with the 1997 California Department of Fish and Game Memorandum of Understanding (MOU); and the reduction of stream releases during wetter-year/wetter-season flows due to the restored operational capacity of Calaveras Reservoir. Supplementing the Figure 2.7-1 representation of Calaveras Dam stream releases is Table 2.7-1, which illustrates releases for the WSIP and base settings and the difference in releases between the two.

Table 2.7-1

| Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) ${ }^{\text {(Average within Year Type - Grouped by } 5 \text { Local Reservoir Runoff) }}$ WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 429 | 246 | 998 | 4,985 | 14,425 | 9,862 | 5,085 | 255 | 386 | 417 | 425 | 415 | 37,928 |
| Above Normal | 425 | 258 | 172 | 746 | 3,196 | 2,688 | 606 | 327 | 396 | 424 | 428 | 417 | 10,082 |
| Normal | 429 | 275 | 194 | 548 | 725 | 506 | 265 | 370 | 408 | 428 | 430 | 417 | 4,995 |
| Below Normal | 428 | 275 | 246 | 672 | 876 | 596 | 345 | 389 | 411 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 5,515 |
| Dry | 429 | 292 | 281 | 778 | 1,044 | 747 | 375 | 407 | 416 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,044 |
| All Years | 428 | 269 | 374 | 1,526 | 4,004 | 2,850 | 1,314 | 350 | 403 | 426 | 428 | 417 | 12,788 |
| Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 1,741 | 9,267 | 16,622 | 9,968 | 5,024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,623 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 184 | 2,685 | 5,918 | 3,096 | 459 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,342 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 216 | 364 | 898 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,831 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 420 | 2,436 | 4,645 | 2,656 | 1,076 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,233 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 429 | 246 | -744 | -4,282 | -2,197 | -106 | 61 | 255 | 386 | 417 | 425 | 415 | -4,694 |
| Above Normal | 425 | 258 | -12 | -1,939 | -2,721 | -408 | 147 | 327 | 396 | 424 | 428 | 417 | -2,259 |
| Normal | 429 | 275 | -22 | 184 | -173 | 154 | 265 | 370 | 408 | 428 | 430 | 417 | 3,164 |
| Below Normal | 428 | 275 | 246 | 672 | 876 | 596 | 345 | 389 | 411 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 5,515 |
| Dry | 429 | 292 | 281 | 778 | 1,044 | 747 | 375 | 407 | 416 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,044 |
| All Years | 428 | 269 | -46 | -910 | -641 | 194 | 239 | 350 | 403 | 426 | 428 | 417 | 1,556 |

Compared to the base setting, diversions from Alameda Creek to Calaveras Reservoir would increase in the WSIP setting. With the current constraints on Calaveras Reservoir storage, diversions to Calaveras Creek are rejected. With the restoration of operational storage in the reservoir, the opportunity to divert water into the reservoir would increase.

To provide a context for the amount of water diverted at the Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (ACDD), Table 2.7-2 illustrates the estimated runoff (inflow) to the dam, averaged by year type. Table 2.7-3 compares diversions to Calaveras Reservoir in the WSIP and base settings. An increase in diversions during the winter season due to WSIP operation would generally occur during normal or wetter year types, as reservoir storage space would accommodate diversions. During summer in all years and during all periods in below-normal and normal years, diversions would continue as they do currently. A few exceptions occur when diversions would be reduced from that of the base setting.

Table 2.7-2
Total Inflow to ACDD (Acre-feet)

| Total Inflow to ACDD (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 7 | 156 | 2,472 | 7,382 | 8,284 | 6,064 | 3,608 | 1,035 | 227 | 42 | 18 | 12 | 29,308 |
| Above Normal | 18 | 183 | 1,817 | 4,394 | 5,619 | 3,692 | 1,976 | 542 | 139 | 23 | 11 | 7 | 18,420 |
| Normal | 7 | 41 | 1,589 | 1,840 | 2,684 | 2,029 | 939 | 332 | 87 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 9,564 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 42 | 554 | 1,069 | 1,689 | 1,271 | 395 | 246 | 64 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5,350 |
| Dry | 7 | 16 | 222 | 314 | 531 | 382 | 238 | 124 | 38 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1,880 |
| All Years | 9 | 88 | 1,327 | 2,993 | 3,759 | 2,683 | 1,425 | 454 | 111 | 17 | 8 | 5 | 12,880 |

Table 2.7-3

| Calaveras Reservoir Inflow from Upper Alameda Creek (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 7 | 128 | 1,093 | 1,113 | 302 | 337 | 649 | 861 | 227 | 42 | 18 | 12 | 4,790 |
| Above Normal | 11 | 159 | 1,226 | 1,936 | 1,883 | 563 | 1,017 | 542 | 139 | 23 | 11 | 7 | 7,518 |
| Normal | 7 | 35 | 1,004 | 1,580 | 1,888 | 1,570 | 826 | 332 | 87 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 7,345 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 42 | 536 | 1,024 | 1,587 | 1,042 | 395 | 246 | 64 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4,956 |
| Dry | 7 | 16 | 222 | 314 | 473 | 382 | 238 | 124 | 38 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1,823 |
| All Years | 8 | 77 | 818 | 1,200 | 1,239 | 780 | 627 | 421 | 111 | 17 | 8 | 5 | 5,310 |
| Calaveras Reservoir Inflow from Upper Alameda Creek (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 7 | 128 | 1,093 | 415 | 185 | 307 | 637 | 904 | 227 | 42 | 18 | 12 | 3,977 |
| Above Normal | 11 | 159 | 691 | 722 | 325 | 596 | 1,284 | 542 | 139 | 23 | 11 | 7 | 4,510 |
| Normal | 7 | 35 | 634 | 972 | 815 | 1,123 | 813 | 332 | 87 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 4,833 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 42 | 536 | 1,024 | 1,587 | 1,042 | 395 | 246 | 64 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4,956 |
| Dry | 7 | 16 | 222 | 314 | 473 | 382 | 238 | 124 | 38 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1,823 |
| All Years | 8 | 77 | 635 | 694 | 684 | 693 | 677 | 429 | 111 | 17 | 8 | 5 | 4,037 |
| Difference in Calaveras Reservoir Inflow from Upper Alameda Creek (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 697 | 117 | 30 | 12 | -43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 813 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 535 | 1,215 | 1,558 | -33 | -267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,008 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 369 | 608 | 1,074 | 447 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,511 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 183 | 506 | 555 | 86 | -50 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,272 |

Commensurate with changes in diversions from Alameda Creek to Calaveras Reservoir would be changes in flow below the ACDD. Table 2.7-4 illustrates the flow below the ACDD for the WSIP and base settings. Table $2.7-4$ shows that, opposed to diversions to Calaveras Reservoir, flow passing the ACDD would decrease in the WSIP setting. With operational capacity restored at Calaveras Reservoir, there would be more opportunity (and need) to divert Alameda Creek flows; thus, flow passing the dam would be reduced.

Table 2.7-4

| Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet)(Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 28 | 1,379 | 6,269 | 7,982 | 5,727 | 2,960 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,518 |
| Above Normal | 7 | 23 | 591 | 2,457 | 3,735 | 3,129 | 959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,903 |
| Normal | 0 | 6 | 585 | 260 | 796 | 459 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,219 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 102 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| All Years | 1 | 12 | 509 | 1,793 | 2,520 | 1,903 | 798 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,570 |
| Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 28 | 1,379 | 6,967 | 8,099 | 5,757 | 2,972 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,331 |
| Above Normal | 7 | 23 | 1,126 | 3,672 | 5,294 | 3,096 | 692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,911 |
| Normal | 0 | 6 | 954 | 868 | 1,870 | 906 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,731 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 102 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| All Years | 1 | 12 | 692 | 2,299 | 3,075 | 1,989 | 748 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,843 |
| Difference in Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 0 | -697 | -117 | -30 | -12 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -813 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -535 | -1,215 | -1,558 | 33 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,008 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -369 | -608 | -1,074 | -447 | -13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,511 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -183 | -506 | -555 | -86 | 50 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,272 |

Flow below the confluence of Alameda Creek and Calaveras Creek is affected by releases from Calaveras Dam to the stream, flow passing the ACDD, and unregulated flow below the ACDD and Calaveras Dam. Table 2.7-5 illustrates the flow below the confluence for the WSIP and base settings, and the difference in inflow between the two. The notable differences between the WSIP and the base
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settings are the addition of stream flows representing the 1997 MOU and the reduction of wetter-year/wet-season flows due to the restoration of Calaveras Reservoir storage.

Table 2.7-5

| Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | WSIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 430 | 326 | 2,721 | 12,263 | 23,595 | 16,575 | 8,647 | 605 | 417 | 429 | 429 | 417 | 66,854 |
| Above Normal | 437 | 326 | 1,007 | 3,801 | 7,708 | 6,379 | 1,876 | 430 | 418 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 23,658 |
| Normal | 429 | 304 | 1,006 | 1,077 | 1,907 | 1,293 | 536 | 430 | 417 | 429 | 430 | 417 | 8,675 |
| Below Normal | 429 | 297 | 324 | 859 | 1,214 | 1,046 | 417 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,709 |
| Dry | 429 | 298 | 307 | 813 | 1,168 | 816 | 418 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,373 |
| All Years | 431 | 310 | 1,063 | 3,728 | 7,053 | 5,185 | 2,349 | 464 | 417 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 22,276 |
| Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | e - G | by 5 | Reservo | noff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 1 | 80 | 3,465 | 17,243 | 25,909 | 16,711 | 8,598 | 307 | 30 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 72,361 |
| Above Normal | 12 | 68 | 1,554 | 6,954 | 11,987 | 6,754 | 1,462 | 103 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 28,926 |
| Normal | 1 | 29 | 1,397 | 1,501 | 3,154 | 1,586 | 284 | 60 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8,022 |
| Below Normal | 1 | 22 | 78 | 186 | 338 | 450 | 72 | 41 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,195 |
| Dry | 1 | 6 | 26 | 35 | 124 | 69 | 43 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 328 |
| All Years | 3 | 41 | 1,292 | 5,145 | 8,250 | 5,077 | 2,060 | 106 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 21,993 |
| Difference in Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 429 | 246 | -744 | -4,979 | -2,314 | -136 | 49 | 298 | 386 | 417 | 425 | 415 | -5,507 |
| Above Normal | 425 | 258 | -547 | -3,153 | -4,279 | -375 | 414 | 327 | 396 | 424 | 428 | 417 | -5,267 |
| Normal | 429 | 275 | -391 | -424 | -1,247 | -293 | 251 | 370 | 408 | 428 | 430 | 417 | 653 |
| Below Normal | 428 | 275 | 246 | 672 | 876 | 596 | 345 | 389 | 411 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 5,515 |
| Dry | 429 | 292 | 281 | 778 | 1,044 | 747 | 375 | 407 | 416 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,044 |
| All Years | 428 | 269 | -229 | -1,417 | -1,197 | 108 | 289 | 358 | 403 | 426 | 428 | 417 | 283 |

A flow recapture facility in Alameda Creek below Calaveras Reservoir is incorporated in the WSIP setting. This facility is assumed to recapture flows explicitly released from Calaveras Dam in the representation of the 1997 MOU. The effect of the recapture is a reduction in the flow that occurs below the confluence of Alameda and Calaveras Creeks, but only to the extent that releases were explicitly made from Calaveras Reservoir. Flows below this diversion have been estimated and noted as the flow above the Alameda and San Antonio Creek confluence. Table 2.7-6 illustrates the flow at this location for the WSIP and base settings. The flows identified at this location indicate flow occurring below the confluence of Alameda and Calaveras Creeks (described above), with the addition of estimated unregulated stream accretions between the Alameda-Calaveras Creek confluence and the Alameda-San Antonio Creek confluence minus the water assumed to be recaptured (diverted) by the SFPUC from the creek.

Table 2.7-6

| Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | WSIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,113 | 13,610 | 25,199 | 17,720 | 9,297 | 556 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 69,788 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,203 | 4,350 | 8,422 | 6,871 | 2,127 | 217 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 23,450 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,131 | 909 | 1,740 | 1,219 | 466 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5,706 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,321 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,129 | 3,838 | 7,188 | 5,297 | 2,396 | 207 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 20,215 |
| Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,973 | 18,714 | 27,673 | 17,977 | 9,358 | 513 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 78,502 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,922 | 7,772 | 13,068 | 7,467 | 1,861 | 217 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 32,566 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,716 | 1,881 | 3,712 | 2,007 | 479 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 10,037 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,321 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,560 | 5,733 | 9,019 | 5,624 | 2,355 | 198 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 24,650 |
| Difference in Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -860 | -5,104 | -2,474 | -258 | -61 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,714 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -719 | -3,422 | -4,646 | -596 | 266 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,117 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -585 | -972 | -1,972 | -788 | -13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,331 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -431 | -1,895 | -1,831 | -328 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,435 |

The difference in San Antonio Reservoir storage between the WSIP and base settings is the result of several factors, and is predominantly due to the restoration of the operational capacity of Calaveras Reservoir and the maintenance of Hetch Hetchy conveyance. Figure 2.7-3 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of San Antonio Reservoir storage and stream releases from the dam. Shown in Figure 2.7-3 are the results for the WSIP and base settings. In the base setting, the limited operating
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storage capacity at Calaveras Reservoir leads to a different operation at San Antonio Reservoir, one that draws relatively more stored water for system demands when the draw from Calaveras Reservoir is constrained due to limited storage. The resultant effect is that the WSIP setting would retain more storage in San Antonio Reservoir than occurs in the base setting. The exception to this outcome is during cyclic maintenance of Hetch Hetchy conveyance that would constrain Hetch Hetchy diversions every year, but most dramatically every fifth year. During these periods, additional water would be drawn from San Antonio Reservoir and the other Bay Area reservoirs to serve systemwide deliveries when limited or no water would be available from Hetch Hetchy. The coincidence of wet local Bay Area watershed hydrology, reservoir storage balancing among the Bay Area reservoirs, and maintenance would affect the severity of drawdown and the rate of replenishment of San Antonio Reservoir.

Also affecting the magnitude of draw from San Antonio Reservoir are modeling assumptions for the balancing of total Bay Area reservoir storage among the five major SFPUC reservoirs. The model balances storage among reservoirs by way of an input file by the modeler concerning the relative draw (percentage) from each reservoir under various storage conditions. These are discretionary inputs in the model, and the logic and relative percentages are meant to mimic the current practice and discretion of the system operators based on recognition of the physical conveyance constraints within the system and the ability of each reservoir to provide yield and water delivery security. The logic currently favors the retention of storage in the Peninsula reservoirs for security reasons, and thus the provision of additional water between the settings is balanced between San Antonio and Calaveras Reservoirs. Figure 2.7-4 illustrates the average monthly storage in San Antonio Reservoir for the 82 -year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the WSIP and base settings.

Figure 2.7-3
San Antonio Reservoir Storage and Stream Release
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Figure 2.7-4


There would very little change in stream releases below San Antonio Reservoir between the WSIP and base settings. With storage conditions lower at some times and higher at other times, a difference in the ability to regulate reservoir inflow and avoid stream releases is expected. Given the sometimes rigid constraints within the modeling assumptions, the model will overestimate the frequency and magnitude of stream releases from San Antonio Reservoir under any of the investigated settings. The flexibility that occurs in actual operations would likely avoid most of the releases represented by the model. The modeled stream releases from San Antonio Reservoir and difference between releases for the WSIP setting and base setting are shown in Table 2.7-7. The differences between the two settings range from increases to decreases in flow, generally with decreases in releases. This modeled circumstance reflects the different resulting storage operations between the two settings, as seen in Figure 2.7-3. As described above, the model will overestimate the frequency and magnitude of releases from San Antonio Reservoir, and the actual releases from San Antonio Reservoir in any setting and the difference between settings are expected to be minor.

Table 2.7-7

| Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | WSIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 44 | 1,208 | 3,251 | 1,558 | 658 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,870 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 442 | 1,381 | 158 | 192 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,235 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 11 | 287 | 78 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 395 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 11 | 383 | 936 | 338 | 172 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,882 |
| Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 101 | 1,322 | 3,669 | 3,288 | 1,398 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,872 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 26 | 687 | 1,909 | 1,487 | 116 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,283 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 7 | 370 | 441 | 237 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,120 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 26 | 472 | 1,206 | 996 | 309 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,041 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP | Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -57 | -114 | -418 | -1,730 | -740 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,002 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -26 | -246 | -528 | -1,329 | 77 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,048 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 5 | -82 | -363 | -231 | -52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -724 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -41 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -37 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -16 | -89 | -270 | -658 | -138 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,159 |

Flow below the confluence of Alameda and San Antonio Creeks is influenced by releases from San Antonio Reservoir and flow arriving at the location from Alameda Creek, which includes upstream impairment by SFPUC operations and facilities. Table 2.7-8 illustrates the flow below the confluence for the WSIP and base settings, and the differences in flow between the two. The differences are particularly due to the effects of restoring Calaveras Reservoir operating capacity in the WSIP setting.

Table 2.7-8

| Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,157 | 14,818 | 28,449 | 19,278 | 9,955 | 707 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 76,658 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,203 | 4,792 | 9,803 | 7,029 | 2,320 | 279 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 25,685 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,142 | 1,197 | 1,818 | 1,224 | 478 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 6,101 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 159 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,326 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,140 | 4,221 | 8,124 | 5,635 | 2,567 | 249 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 22,097 |
| Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 4,075 | 20,036 | 31,342 | 21,266 | 10,756 | 607 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 88,374 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,948 | 8,459 | 14,977 | 8,954 | 1,977 | 276 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 36,849 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,723 | 2,251 | 4,153 | 2,244 | 544 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 11,157 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 720 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,363 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,587 | 6,205 | 10,225 | 6,620 | 2,664 | 229 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 27,691 |
| Difference in Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -917 | -5,217 | -2,892 | -1,988 | -801 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,716 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -745 | -3,667 | -5,174 | -1,925 | 343 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,164 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -581 | -1,054 | -2,335 | -1,020 | -66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,056 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -41 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -37 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -447 | -1,984 | -2,101 | -986 | -97 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,595 |

### 2.8 Crystal Springs and San Andreas Reservoirs

Fundamental to the difference in storage operations between the WSIP setting and the base setting is the restoration of reservoir operation capacity in the WSIP setting, which does not occur in the base setting. Full capacity of the restored reservoir is 22,150 million gallons (approximately 67,980 acre-feet), and the current full operating capacity is 18,520 million gallons (approximately 56,840 acre-feet). The result is the operation of Crystal Springs Reservoir at a higher maximum storage in the WSIP setting. Figure 2.8-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Crystal Springs Reservoir storage and stream releases from Crystal Springs Dam. Shown in Figure 2.8-1 are the results for the WSIP and base settings.

Compared to the base setting, the WSIP setting would generally result in a shifting of the maximum storage level and the normal range of reservoir operation to a greater volume (elevation); the lower end of the monthly operating range would normally be greater in storage than in the base setting. In some years, the variation from maximum storage to minimum storage may increase in the WSIP setting. The cyclic greater draw from storage in the WSIP setting every fifth year is associated with the maintenance of the Hetch Hetchy conveyance system.

Figure 2.8-2 illustrates the average monthly storage in Crystal Springs Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the WSIP and base settings. Consistent with the discussion above, the WSIP setting would result in reservoir storage operating at a higher average and higher upper-range than the base setting. This circumstance predominantly occurs due to the restoration of the operating capacity of Crystal Springs Reservoir.

There is minimal difference in stream releases between the WSIP and the base setting (which could be either an increase or decrease in the release). The potential difference is attributed to whether the resulting storage in the reservoir is higher or lower between the two settings. Part of the difference in modeled Crystal Springs Reservoir storage is due to modeling assumptions for the proportionate management of storage among the Bay Area reservoirs, and the coincidence of constrained conveyance flow rates. In actual operations, it is anticipated that system operators would manage the reservoir system such that stream releases would be minimal under any setting and essentially no difference would occur between the WSIP and base settings.
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Figure 2.8-1
Crystal Springs Reservoir Storage and Release





Figure 2.8-2


Table 2.8-1 illustrates the stream releases for the WSIP and base settings, and the difference in modeled flows between the two settings. A greater range in Crystal Springs Reservoir operation would lead to an increased potential to regulate reservoir inflow, which would lead to less risk in needing to make stream releases. However, as described above, actual system operations will attempt to minimize releases under any setting; thus, the difference in releases between the WSIP and base setting will be minimal, if any.

Table 2.8-1

| Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,098 | 2,435 | 732 | 115 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,428 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 353 | 0 | 32 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 544 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 548 | 143 | 36 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 997 |
| Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 107 | 2,744 | 4,279 | 1,376 | 1,047 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,556 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 618 | 1,343 | 29 | 52 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,142 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 21 | 664 | 1,166 | 274 | 215 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,373 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -107 | -1,646 | -1,844 | -643 | -932 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,127 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | -507 | -990 | -29 | -20 | -52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,598 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -268 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -237 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -62 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -21 | -426 | -617 | -132 | -179 | 12 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,376 |

San Andreas Reservoir operations would generally be the same between the WSIP and base settings. Reservoir storage would follow a systematic filling and lowering each year. Figure 2.8-3 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of San Andreas Reservoir storage and stream releases from Crystal Springs Dam. Shown in Figure 2.8-3 are the results for the WSIP and base settings. There are no projected stream releases from San Andreas Reservoir in any setting. Notable in Figure 2.8-3 is the difference in storage operation every fifth year. The WSIP setting storage operation differs from that in the base settings. The differences in operation arise from the assumed difference in Hetch Hetchy conveyance maintenance in each setting. In the WSIP setting, the maintenance occurs systematically every year, and to a greater degree every fifth year, which constrains the amount of Hetch Hetchy water supplied to serve water demands in the Bay Area. As discussed previously, during these winter periods, the Bay Area reservoir system accommodates the reduction in imported supply by serving the Bay Area water deliveries with the local watersheds' runoff and storage. At San Andreas Reservoir, the serving of
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Figure 2.8-3
San Andreas Reservoir Storage and Stream Release



water demand affects the reservoir when additional required water production at Harry Tracy Water Treatment Plant (Harry Tracy WTP) associated with the WSIP or the base-Calaveras unconstrained setting exceeds the ability to maintain San Andreas Reservoir storage with pumping from Crystal Springs Reservoir. The model assumes that the conveyance capacity from Crystal Springs Reservoir is the same among all of the settings. The additional water demand of the WSIP setting and the current demand of the base-Calaveras unconstrained setting require additional production from Harry Tracy WTP to be drawn from San Andreas Reservoir. Figure 2.8-4 illustrates the average monthly storage in San Andreas Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the WSIP and base settings.

Figure 2.8-4


### 2.9 Pilarcitos Reservoir

The Coastside County Water District's (Coastside CWD) water demand and its SFPUC purchase request are projected to increase within the WSIP planning horizon of 2030. Within the context of the 2030 purchase request of 300 mgd , Coastside CWD's portion has been estimated to amount to about 3 mgd . This projected purchase request is approximately 1 mgd greater that its current purchase request. Considering the current physical constraints to deliveries from the SFPUC to Coastside CWD and the ongoing planning activities in the watershed, the precise means of serving Coastside CWD's additional purchase request (and the resultant potential changes in the operation of SFPUC facilities and their affected environs) are uncertain. ${ }^{2}$

Assuming a range of potential means to serve the additional purchase request from Coastside CWD, the following are potential hydrologic effects on SFPUC facilities and their affected environs:

- Due to limited yield from Pilarcitos Reservoir, additional diversions would be required from Crystal Springs Reservoir.
- If deliveries to Coastside CWD from Pilarcitos Reservoir increase during the winter season, these deliveries could potentially reduce storage in Pilarcitos Reservoir, thereby potentially reducing diversions to the San Mateo Creek watershed. Although the increased delivery would increase releases to Pilarcitos Creek from Pilarcitos Dam for a period of time, the increase would subsequently lead to a reduction in spills past Stone Dam.
- Additional wintertime deliveries could also potentially impair the ability to provide carryover storage into the summer season from Pilarcitos Reservoir, and subsequently lead to an acceleration of the beginning of the season when releases to Pilarcitos Creek from Pilarcitos Reservoir consist only of the passage of reservoir inflow.

[^1]
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- An increase in summertime deliveries from Pilarcitos Creek could also accelerate the beginning of the season when releases to Pilarcitos Creek from Pilarcitos Reservoir consist only of the passage of reservoir inflow.

Figure 2.9-1 illustrates the average monthly storage in Pilarcitos Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the WSIP and base settings for one possible outcome of the SFPUC providing deliveries for Coastside CWD's increase in demand. Figure 2.9-2 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Pilacitos Reservoir storage and stream releases from Pilarcitos Dam. Shown in the figures are the results for the WSIP and base settings. Assumed in the operation is an increase in purchase request by Coastside CWD, distributed on a proportionate monthly pattern during the year consistent with historical SFPUC deliveries. Also assumed is a conveyance constraint of 2 mgd to Coastside CWD from the Pilarcitos Creek source of water. When the assumed monthly purchase request of Coastside CWD exceeds this conveyance constraint, Coastside CWD's request is met with deliveries from Crystal Springs Reservoir.

The effect of the assumed Coastside CWD operation in combination with the effects of the rest of the SFPUC regional system operation results in occasional differences in the storage operation of Pilarcitos Reservoir. Overall, there would be a slightly lower average storage at Pilarcitos Reservoir. Several factors contribute to the changes. Additional water is drawn from Pilarcitos Reservoir to the San Mateo Creek watershed in reaction to additional demands being served from the SFPUC system. Pilarcitos Reservoir is at times also drawn to meet the increase in demand from Coastside CWD during months (e.g., spring months) when available conveyance capacity from Stone Dam exists. Both of these additional draws from the reservoir would deplete storage below that experienced in the base setting. Pilarcitos storage would typically replenish at the expense of reservoir spills that would have occurred at a future date, and within a year storage would end the same as in the base setting, as the reservoir would still be subsequently depleted to the minimum level at the spillway crest.

Figure 2.9-1


Stream releases from Pilarcitos Dam are also shown in Figure 2.9-2. Releases can occur for diversions at Stone Dam for Coastside CWD deliveries, conveyance to the San Mateo Creek watershed (e.g., Crystal Springs Reservoir), and reservoir spills. Pilarcitos Creek typically gains flow from unregulated tributary streams and runoff below Pilarcitos Dam. The differences in flow between the WSIP setting and base setting are shown chronologically in Table 2.9-1 and summarized by monthly averages within year types in Table 2.9-2. The positive changes in flows during the winter and spring are indicative of the additional draw of water from the reservoir to serve the increased demand of Coastside CWD during the period when conveyance capacity exists from Stone Dam. The few reductions in flow during the summer are indicative of years when additional releases earlier in a year lead to the reservoir being depleted to minimum storage earlier in the year, thus reducing the amount of water released in a later month. Reductions in flow during the winter and spring are indicative of the reservoir replenishing additionally depleted storage associated with the WSIP setting.

## APPENDIX 01

Figure 2.9-2
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Table 2.9-1
Difference in Total Stream Release from Pilarcitos Reservoir (Acre-feet)


Table 2.9-2

| Total Stream Release from Pilarcitos Reservoir (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | WSIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 57 | 11 | 188 | 837 | 2,116 | 1,563 | 19 | 70 | 152 | 175 | 183 | 176 | 5,547 |
| Above Normal | 63 | 44 | 47 | 15 | 432 | 102 | 31 | 117 | 161 | 181 | 185 | 169 | 1,546 |
| Normal | 56 | 9 | 8 | 34 | 32 | 32 | 83 | 143 | 171 | 183 | 152 | 116 | 1,018 |
| Below Normal | 52 | 28 | 9 | 39 | 23 | 61 | 126 | 146 | 164 | 149 | 96 | 47 | 940 |
| Dry | 38 | 7 | 13 | 59 | 44 | 79 | 61 | 56 | 51 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 416 |
| All Years | 53 | 20 | 53 | 193 | 522 | 360 | 64 | 107 | 141 | 140 | 124 | 102 | 1,878 |
| Total Stream Release from Pilarcitos Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 54 | 3 | 4 | 953 | 2,144 | 1,770 | 242 | 70 | 152 | 175 | 183 | 177 | 5,927 |
| Above Normal | 56 | 37 | 20 | 137 | 605 | 641 | 22 | 115 | 161 | 181 | 186 | 169 | 2,328 |
| Normal | 55 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 24 | 9 | 60 | 139 | 171 | 185 | 164 | 128 | 960 |
| Below Normal | 57 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 23 | 103 | 154 | 164 | 171 | 124 | 65 | 894 |
| Dry | 36 | 0 | 11 | 26 | 17 | 41 | 70 | 69 | 55 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 378 |
| All Years | 52 | 10 | 10 | 225 | 553 | 493 | 98 | 110 | 141 | 152 | 134 | 108 | 2,085 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Pilarcitos Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 4 | 8 | 184 | -116 | -28 | -207 | -223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -380 |
| Above Normal | 6 | 7 | 27 | -121 | -173 | -539 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -782 |
| Normal | 1 | 7 | 1 | 19 | 8 | 23 | 23 | 3 | 0 | -3 | -12 | -11 | 59 |
| Below Normal | -5 | 23 | 2 | 24 | 17 | 38 | 24 | -9 | 0 | -22 | -28 | -17 | 46 |
| Dry | 2 | 7 | 2 | 32 | 27 | 38 | -9 | -13 | -3 | -37 | -8 | 0 | 38 |
| All Years | 2 | 10 | 43 | -33 | -31 | -132 | -34 | -3 | -1 | -12 | -10 | -6 | -208 |

The effect of the WSIP on Pilarcitos Creek flows below Stone Dam is different than the effect on flows below Pilarictos Dam. Figure 2.9-3 illustrates the chronological trace of inflow to Stone Dam, which includes releases from Pilarcitos Dam to Pilarcitos Creek and unregulated flow occurring to the stream below Pilarcitos Dam, and releases (spills) from Stone Dam to Pilarcitos Creek. Shown in the figure are the results for the WSIP setting and the base setting. The flow past Stone Dam is typically minor (zero in modeling results, but may be measurable in terms of leakage and seepage past the dam), as inflow to the dam is diverted to Coastside CWD or to the San Mateo watershed. Releases past Stone Dam are typically the result of unregulated flow below Pilarcitos Dam exceeding the delivery needs of Coastside CWD at a time when the storage level at Crystal Springs Reservoir rejects the water from the Pilarcitos watershed.

The changes in flow below Stone Dam would typically occur during the rainy season between the months of January and March, in at least one month during about half of the years. Table 2.9-3 summarizes the results of the WSIP and base settings in terms of average monthly flows by year type, and the average differences in flow between the two settings.
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Figure 2.9-3

## Stone Dam Stream Release and Inflow
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Table 2.9-3

| Total Stream Release from Stone Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 324 | 1,493 | 3,176 | 2,188 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,282 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 42 | 108 | 734 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,003 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 45 | 27 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 81 | 319 | 798 | 452 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,669 |
| Total Stream Release from Stone Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 164 | 1,819 | 3,252 | 2,509 | 479 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,223 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 46 | 384 | 1,174 | 921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,525 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 49 | 30 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 51 | 440 | 917 | 680 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,182 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Stone Dam (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 160 | -326 | -77 | -322 | -377 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -941 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -4 | -277 | -440 | -801 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,522 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -4 | -3 | -62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -69 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 30 | -122 | -118 | -229 | -74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -513 |

## Memorandum

Subject: HH/LSM Assumptions and Results - CEQA Alternatives Modified WSIP<br>From: Daniel B. Steiner<br>Date: April 29, 2008

## 1. Introduction

This memorandum summarizes assumptions for, and discusses the interpretation of, the HH/LSM results for the simulation of the CEQA alternative referred to as the Modified WSIP Alternative. The Draft PEIR analyzed six CEQA alternatives: (1) No Program, (2) No Purchase Request Increase, (3) Aggressive Conservation/Water Recycling and Local Groundwater, (4) Lower Tuolumne River Diversion, (5) Year-round Desalination at Oceanside Alternative, and (6) Regional Desalination for Drought. The scenarios represent CEQA program alternatives that vary from the WSIP on key program components in a manner expected to avoid or reduce potentially significant effects of the proposed program. The Modified WSIP Alternative supplements the previously described analyses. Tables 1-1 and 1-2 summarize the components, various modeling assumptions, and performance and hydrologic results for the Modified WSIP Alternative in comparison to the modeled existing (2005) base setting (with Calaveras Reservoir constrained by DSOD restrictions) and the WSIP setting.

The hydrology that would result under this alternative is primarily discussed in terms of a comparison to the proposed program and contrasted to the baseline condition of the PEIR, namely the simulated current (2005) operation of the SFPUC regional water system assuming that the Calaveras and Crystal Springs Reservoirs operation are constrained by DSOD restrictions. Only primary hydrologic parameters such as projected water deliveries, reservoir storage, and stream flows are compared, and only those parameters that have been identified as key hydrologic factors that could lead to environmental impacts are illustrated.
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Table 1-1
Setting Characteristics and Modeling Assumptions (Part 1/3)
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Table 1-1
Setting Characteristics and Modeling Assumptions (Part 2/3)
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Table 1-1
Setting Characteristics and Modeling Assumptions (Part 3/3)

| Assumptions and Characteristics of Setting and/or Program |  | Baseline | Proposed WSIP | CEQA Alternatives ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Units | Baseline Conditions ${ }^{1}$ - Calaveras Constrained |  | Modified WSIP |
| Tuolumne River System Operational Parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Operation |  |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum Fall/Winter Operation Storage | TAF | 26.1-360.4 30 TAF winter buffer | 26.1-360.4 30 TAF winter buffer | SameasBaseline andWSIP |
| 1987 Stipulation Minimum Release Flows |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| 1987 Stipulation Supplemental Release Flows |  | No | No |  |
| Cherry Reservoir Operation |  |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum Fall/Winter Operation Storage | TAF | $\begin{gathered} 1.0-273.3 \\ \text { 25.3 TAF winter buffer } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0-273.3 \\ \text { 25.3 TAF winter buffer } \end{gathered}$ | Same as Baseline and WSIP |
| Eleanor Reservoir Operation |  |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum Fall/Winter Operation Storage | TAF | $0.0-27.1$ Required Minimum Storage | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.0-27.1 \\ \text { Reqrd Minimum Stor } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Same as Baseline and WSIP |
| New Don Pedro Water Bank Account |  |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum | TAF | $0.0-570.0$ Temporary storage up to 740 TAF during Apr - Sep | $0.0-570.0$ Temp stor up to 740 TAF during Apr - Sep | Same as Baseline and WSIP |
| Conveyance |  |  |  |  |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Maximum | MGD | 290 | 313 | Same as WSIP |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Minimum | MGD | 70 | 70 | Same as WSIP |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Flow Rate Changes |  | 11 Stepwise | 17 Stepwise | Same as WSIP |
|  |  | Surrogate minimum changes by allowing only 7 changes in a year | Allow up to 7 changes in a year (surrogate) | Same as WSIP |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Maintenance |  | Cycle one pipeline out Nov - Mar each year (average remaining capacity rotation) maximum 210 MGD | Cyclic 5-year mantenance (see note) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Same } \\ \text { as } \\ \text { WSIP } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| TID/MID Operational Parameters |  |  |  | vailable Apr - Oct all years <br> available Year 5 Nov - Dec <br> d Year 3 Dec |
| Districts' Tuolumne Diversion ${ }^{17}$ |  | Varies annually based on land use and water availability Annual average 875 TAF | Set equal to baseline conditions. SFPUC effects measured by the result of reducing inflow to DP and its effect upon La Grange releases to the TR | Same as WSIP but reduced by amount of water transfer |
| Tuolumne River La Grange Flow Releases Don Pedro, 1996 FERC VAMP - considered but not modeled ${ }^{18}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ |
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Table 1-2
Summary of Modeling Results (Part 1/2)

| HH/LSM Simulation Results |  | Baseline | Proposed WSIP | CEQA Alternatives ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Units | Baseline Conditions ${ }^{1}$ - Calaveras Constrained |  | Modified WSIP |
| Design Drought Production \& Disposition ${ }^{19}$ |  |  |  |  |
| San Joaquin Pipeline Diversion | MGD | 208.7 | 235.0 | 226.7 |
| Bay-Area Deliveries | MGD | 218.3 | 248.9 | 240.0 |
| Added Groveland \& Coastside Delivery | MGD | 2.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Local Reservoir Evaporation | MGD | 10.7 | 12.5 | 12.5 |
| Inflow from ACDD | MGD | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Flow Recapture | MGD | 0 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| Local Reservoir Stream Release | MGD | 0.6 | 5.4 | 4.6 |
| Desalination | MGD | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Westside Basin | MGD | 0 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| District Transfer to NDP Water Bank | MGD | 0 | 24.7 | 16.5 |
| Local Storage - Begin | mg | 53,854 | 77,310 | 75,440 |
| Local Storage - End | MG | 18,403 | 18,495 | 18,644 |
| Study Average Production \& Disposition (1921-02) ${ }^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Tuolumne River System |  |  |  |  |
| Reservoirs |  |  |  |  |
| Hetch Hetchy |  |  |  |  |
| River | AF | 275,255 | 267,021 | 270,577 |
| Stream Minimum Release | AF | 65,728 | 65,593 | 65,595 |
| Tunnel | AF | 470,709 | 478,932 | 475,373 |
| Evaporation | ${ }_{\text {AF }}$ | ${ }^{3,893}$ | 3,869 | 3,872 |
| Cherry |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eleanor Gravity | AF | 289 | 289 | 289 |
| Eleanor Pump | AF | 118,251 | 118,274 | 118,316 |
| River | AF | 41,636 | 41,439 | 41,364 |
| Stream Minimum Release Tunnel | AF |  |  |  |
| Tunnel Evaporation | ${ }^{\text {AF }}$ | 352,692 3,505 | 352,915 3,501 | 353,056 3,501 |
| Evaporation Reservoir | ${ }_{\text {AF }}^{\text {AF }}$ | 3,505 239,971 | 3,501 239,309 | 3,501 239,182 |
| Eleanor |  |  |  |  |
| Inflow | AF | 169,617 | 169,617 | 169,617 |
| Eleanor Gravity | AF | 289 | 289 | 289 |
| Eleanor Pump | ${ }^{\text {aF }}$ | 118,251 | 118,274 | 118,316 |
| River | AF | 49,171 | 49,148 | 49,106 |
| Stream Minimum Release | AF |  |  |  |
| Evaporation | ${ }_{\text {AF }}$ | 1,906 | 1,906 | 1,906 |
| Don Pedro Reservoir |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MID Diversion | AF | 302,054 | 302,055 | 282,455 |
| TID Diversion | AF | 573,164 | 573,168 | 573,168 |
| LaGrange Total Stream | AF | 668,876 | 644,009 | 671,982 |
| LaGrange Minimum Stream Release | AF | 221,477 | 221,477 | 221,477 |
| Total Evaporation | AF | 43,493 | 42,604 | 43,474 |
| Water Bank Account ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance | AF | 514,299 | 516,733 | 515,541 |
| San Joaquin Pipelines |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (AF) Volume (MG) | AF | $\begin{array}{r}247,763 \\ \hline 80734\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}274,450 \\ \hline 89,429\end{array}$ | 264,634 |
| Volume (MG) | MG | 80,734 | 89,429 | 86,231 |
| Rate (MGD) Max Rate (MGD) | MGD | 221 | 245 | 236 |
| Max Rate (MGD) Min Rate (MGD) | MGD | 290 | 313 | 313 |
| Min Rate (MGD) | MGD | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| East Bay System |  |  |  |  |
| ReservoirsCalaveras |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inflow | mg | 12,368 | 12,368 | 12,368 |
| From ACDD | MG | 1,316 | 1,730 | 1,163 |
| Stream Stream Flow Recapture | MG | 3,660 | 4,167 1538 | 3.768 1 1893 |
| Stream Flow Recapture | mg | 0 | 1.538 | 1,893 |
| To SWWTP To San Antonio | MG | 9,013 | 8,244 | 8.068 |
| To San Antonio | MG | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | ${ }_{1}{ }^{1710}$ |
| Evaporation Resevoir | MG | 1,023 10,969 | 1,704 28,170 | 1,710 28,324 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Inflow | MG | 2,468 | 2,468 | 2,468 |
| From Calaveras/SJPL | mg | 1,173 | 1,734 | 1,242 |
| Stream | MG | 991 | 613 | ${ }^{805}$ |
| To SWWTp | MG | 1,693 | 2,628 | 1,906 |
| Evaporation | mG | 1,012 | 973 | 1,006 |
| Resevoir    <br> Alameda Creek Diversion Dam MG 15,323 14,490 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\text {Inflow }}$ To Calaveras Reservoir | MG MG | 4,197 1,316 | 4,197 1,730 | 4,197 1,163 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accretion | MG | 625 | 625 | 625 |
| From ACDD | mg | 2,881 | 2,467 | 3,034 |
| From Calaveras Dam | mG | 3,660 | 4,167 | 3,768 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SVWTP Total From Calaveras | MG | 13,662 | 15,738 | 15,938 |
| From Calaveras | MG | 9,013 | 8,244 | 8,068 |
| From San Antonio From SJPL | mg | 1,693 | 2,628 | 1,906 |
| From SJPL From Recapture | MG | 2,956 | 3,329 | 4,070 |
| From Recapture SVWTP Total MGD | mG | 0 | 1,538 | 1,893 |
| SVWTP Total MGD SVWTP Max MGD | MGD | 37 | 43 | 44 |
| SVWTP Max MGD SVWTP Min MGD | MGD | 120 | 158 | 158 |
| SVWTP Min MGD | MGD | 20 | 20 | 20 |
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Table 1-2
Summary of Modeling Results (Part 2/2)

|  |  | Baseline |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH/LSM Simulation Results |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Notes for Table 1-1 and Table 1-2

1. Baseline condition represents the existing conditions at the time of NOP publication in September 2005. This is the baseline used to assess WSIP program impacts and impact significance. This setting is indicative of DSOD restrictions on Calaveras and Crystal Springs Reservoirs.
2. $N / A$
3. These scenarios represent CEQA alternatives that vary from the WSIP on key components in a manner expected to avoid or reduce potentially significant effects of the proposed program.
4. The time horizon for the setting of the scenario. The baseline condition scenario is depicted for recent conditions, while the proposed WSIP, variants, and alternatives are depicted for the future at full buildout and implementation (i.e., conditions in the year 2030).
5. HH/LSM simulation study name.
6. The customer purchase request (demand) information is based on the demand and request studies prepared by the SFPUC in coordination with the wholesale customers. This demand on the regional water system includes both the SFPUC retail customers and wholesale customers. The current (2005) average annual demand is 265 mgd and the projected 2030 average annual demand is 300 mgd , assuming the SFPUC adopts the updated wholesale customer purchase requests as part of renewing the Master Sales Agreement with these customers (due in 2009).
7. Certain scenarios include development of additional water supply from a combination of recycled water projects, groundwater projects, and conservation, utilized every year and not subject to reduction during drought.
8. The average annual demand for supplies from the combination of SFPUC local watershed, Tuolumne River and programs not included in the regional water conservation, recycling, and groundwater programs shown.
9. Modeled results for SFPUC deliveries, with supplies added for regional water conservation, recycling, and groundwater programs. Total deliveries and supply will be less than full customer purchase requests due to rationing in some years.
10. Shows only the features that affect hydrologic results of the system operation simulations. Additional projects are included in the WSIP, variants and alternatives.
11. Illustrates the frequency and severity of water supply action or the severity of systemwide rationing. Only years when variable water supply component is implemented or rationing occurs are shown. "DD" illustrates the shortage results for years included in the prospective drought period of the SFPUC design drought. These years contribute to establishing system operation protocols but are not included in the hydrologic assessment analyses.
12. Rationing policy cap: The SFPUC WSIP level of service goal is to maintain rationing on the regional system at no more than $20 \%$ during any one year of the drought. Some alternatives do not achieve this level of service goal. Performance is indicated for the design drought ("DD") sequence and for the "Historical" hydrologic sequence.
13. Water supply elements develop water in different amounts from year-to-year, and in some instances only develop water during dry years. This information is provided to illustrate a comparison between local watershed supplies, Tuolumne River supplies and other identifiable water supplies used to meet system purchase requests. Values are stated in units of average annual quantities during the simulated historical sequence.
14. Results from HH/LSM analysis of each scenario. Values represent the average annual production of each element of supply during the design drought period.
15. Simplified calculation of system deliveries during the SFPUC design drought. The value represents the application of systemwide shortages to the demand level being met with SFPUC local watershed, Tuolumne River, and other developed supplies and does not include supplies from regional water conservation, recycled water or groundwater projects. Average value may be slightly misstated (up to 3 mgd ) due to metric of analysis that does not account for differences in residual storage between studies. "Nominal" Firm Yield represents the yield of each scenario after adjustment for minor residual storage differences.
16. Supplemental releases from Calaveras Reservoir for fisheries (1997 CDFG MOU) of up to 6,300 acre-feet per year and the Alameda Creek recapture facility project are tied to implementation of the Calaveras Dam Replacement project (SV-2). When the dam is replaced and capacity restored, the flow release and recapture will both occur. The release requirement is based on supplementing other occurring flows below Calaveras Reservoir, sometimes not requiring the full 6,300 acre-feet.
17. SFPUC actions are assumed to not change MID/TID diversions so as to isolate and possibly overstate the WSIP's effects on the Tuolumne River below La Grange Dam. The Districts' diversions are assumed to be constant among the scenarios to provide comparable results of WSIP-alone effects. The exception is for the Modified WSIP Alternative, in which the MID/TID diversion is reduced by the amount of SFPUC transfer.
18. Participation in the San Joaquin River Agreement is assumed. Although the agreement expires after 2010, it is assumed that a subsequent similar agreement or requirement of the Districts will occur. The HH/LSM does not explicitly model the Districts' participation in the agreement; however, their participation if modeled would result in only minor differences in results and would not change impact conclusions.
19. From $\mathrm{HH} / \mathrm{LSM}$ results for modeling the SFPUC design drought period.
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## 2. CEQA Alternative - Modified WSIP

The Modified WSIP Alternative would implement all of the proposed WSIP facility improvement projects, but differs in that it would include measures to reduce or avoid impacts that are associated with implementing the WSIP. The measures being considered are:

- Demand reduction of an additional 10 mgd (all years) through recycled water, groundwater, and conservation projects within the wholesale customer service area
- Restricted reservoir levels at Crystal Springs Reservoir
- Bypass of an amount of flow to Alameda Creek at the Alameda Creek Diversion Dam
- Use of conserved water for the Turlock Irrigation District/Modesto Irrigation District (TID/MID) and/or other water agency transfer to the SFPUC
- Use of Pilarcitos Reservoir storage for maintenance of summer flows below Pilarcitos Dam

There would be an increase in customer demand, from 265 mgd in 2005 to 300 mgd in 2030. With the Modified WSIP Alternative, the increase would be met in part through additional water conservation, water recycling, and groundwater programs beyond those already assumed in the 2030 demand projections. A total of 10 mgd of the demand is assumed to be met through regional recycled water, groundwater, and conservation projects within the regional service area but outside of San Francisco. These projects are in addition to the 10 mgd of groundwater development, recycled water projects, and conservation in San Francisco included in the WSIP and also incorporated into this alternative. This alternative would result in an average annual net demand on the regional system of 280 mgd , compared to a net demand of 290 mgd for the WSIP setting and 265 mgd for the base setting. The net increase in water demand from the regional system would be served through additional Tuolumne River diversions, including a water transfer with the TID/MID similar to the proposed program, increased use of local watershed supplies from restoration of Calaveras Reservoir storage, water associated with restoration of Crystal Springs Reservoir, and implementation of the Westside Basin Groundwater Program.

The restricted operation of Crystal Springs Reservoir involves construction of the dam spillway at elevation 291.8 feet (modeled capacity of 21.15 billion gallons, the same as the proposed program), but operation of the reservoir with a normal maximum water surface elevation of 287.8 feet (modeled capacity of 20.28 billion gallons). The winter operation of the reservoir would provide a 2-billion-gallon storage buffer below the restricted elevation objective. This measure is intended to reduce or avoid inundation impacts of higher reservoir water surface elevations.

The Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (ACDD) bypass measure assumes the passage of up to 10 cfs or inflow, whichever is less, during the months of December through April. It is assumed that this flow to Alameda Creek below the diversion dam would be recaptured from Alameda Creek below the confluence with Calaveras Creek when the flow is utilized to meet 1997 CDFG MOU requirements. The measure is intended to reduce or avoid impacts of reducing winter and spring flows below the ACDD.

It is assumed that the transfer of water to the SFPUC would be developed through water conservation in the service areas of TID/MID and/or other water agency that would in effect reduce the TID/MID diversion of water from Don Pedro Reservoir. The measure is intended to reduce or avoid the impacts of reducing flows in the Tuolumne River below La Grange Dam.

The Pilarcitos Reservoir measure assumes the occasional use (extraction) of water from the reservoir pool below the invert of the spillway outlet at Pilarcitos Dam to maintain flow in Pilarcitos Creek below Pilarcitos Dam during July through September. The release would also maintain deliveries to Coastside CWD from the Pilarcitos Creek watershed during those months. The measure is intended to reduce or avoid the impacts associated with reduced releases to the creek during summer months.

### 2.1 Water Deliveries and Drought Response Actions

Compared to the WSIP setting, the regional system's sources are required to serve a net 280 mgd demand ( 300 mgd purchase request less 10 mgd of groundwater development, recycled water projects, and conservation and 10 mgd of programs outside of San Francisco) instead of a net 290 mgd demand.
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As part of the formulation of this alternative, the water transfer from TID/MID was sized to provide the same frequency and severity of water shortages (percentage-wise) for the alternative as that occurring in the WSIP setting during the design drought, although systemwide water deliveries are a net 280 mgd in the alternative setting as compared to the WSIP setting delivery of a net 290 mgd . This objective required the water transfer to be sized at 19,600 acre-feet per year compared to 29,350 acre-feet per year in the WSIP setting. Factors that change the size of the transfer include the net demand, the change in maximum storage capacity at Crystal Springs Reservoir, and reservoir evaporation. The most substantial factors are net demand and the storage at Crystal Springs Reservoir. With a water supply formulated about comparable to that provided for the WSIP setting (only proportionately smaller for a lesser demand), the implementation of rationing and the severity of rationing from the SFPUC system during drought periods would be the same. Table 1-1 illustrates the comparison of the drought response actions for the proposed program and the alternative. Figure 2.1-1 illustrates the occurrence of drought response actions for the simulated 82 -year historical period (1921-2002) for the WSIP and Modified WSIP settings.

Figure 2.1-1
Drought Response Actions - WSIP and Modified WSIP


In Figure 2.1-1, years with bars showing a "1" or greater level of action indicate periods when a supplemental water supply action is initiated. In both settings, the water supply action is the use of the Westside Basin Groundwater Program to supplement SFPUC water deliveries. Also occurring in both settings is the water transfer supplemental supply from TID/MID. An action level greater than " 1 " indicates the imposition of delivery shortages (rationing) for SFPUC customers. SFPUC customers would experience the same frequency and severity of shortages (percentage-wise) during the design drought in both settings, and the frequency of shortage in other drought periods would the same. The triggering of the Westside Basin Groundwater Program supplemental supply occurred in one less year in comparison to the proposed program.

The same form of information is shown in Figure 2.1-2 for the comparison between the alternative and the base settings. There is not a level 1 action in the base setting. Without supplemental resources, the existing system only has delivery shortage measures available to cope with drought. In the base setting, the shortage measure is imposed during level 2 (10 percent) and level 3 ( 20 percent). These percentages of shortage are applied to both the alternative and the base settings for these action levels. During this simulation period, rationing does not need to exceed 20 percent in either setting; however, in the alternative setting, the occurrence of additional water supplies lessens the frequency and severity of water delivery shortages.

Not illustrated in Figure 2.1-2 but shown in Table 1-1 are the delivery shortages anticipated during the entire SFPUC design drought. During the design drought, the base setting does not have a viable operation without exceeding the 20 percent shortage level. The base setting exceeds the 20 percent shortage level (requires 25 percent rationing) during the last 18 months of the design drought. The alternative would viably provide deliveries without exceeding the 20 percent shortage level.
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Figure 2.1-2
Drought Response Actions - Base and Modified WSIP


The difference in water deliveries between the proposed program and the alternative is shown chronologically for the 82-year simulation in Table 2.1-1. There would be less water delivered to the region by the SFPUC in all years, a result of serving a lesser net demand of 280 mgd instead of 290 mgd .

Comparing the alternative setting to the base setting, Table 2.1-2 illustrates the difference in water deliveries between the two settings. The increases in deliveries under the alternative setting occur due to the increase in net demand served by the regional system ( 280 mgd instead of 265 mgd ) and an improvement in water delivery reliability that reduces the severity of water shortages during several drought periods.

### 2.2 Diversions from the Tuolumne River

The metric for illustrating the SFPUC diversions from the Tuolumne River Basin (Tuolumne) is the flow through the San Joaquin Pipeline (SJPL). Inherent in this alternative is a net water demand that is less than the demand served by the proposed program but greater than the demand served under the base condition. Table 2.2-1 illustrates the difference in diversions to the SJPL between the proposed program and the alternative settings. In both settings, the conveyance capacity of the SJPL is increased compared to the base setting. During the summer, the SJPL would essentially operate at the same maximum rate in both the alternative and WSIP settings to minimize the drawdown of Bay Area reservoir storage. A few exceptions occur during the summer due to differences in operations for the net demand served. Overall, compared to the WSIP setting, the alternative setting would divert less water from the Tuolumne.

Table 2.2-2 illustrates the difference in diversions to the SJPL between the alternative and base settings. Evident in the operation is the increase in summer diversions associated with an increase in the conveyance capacity of the SJPL. As described above, with the increase in SJPL conveyance capacity, summer diversions would increase to retain storage in the Bay Area reservoirs. With the increase in summer diversions to the SJPL and the retention of storage in the Bay Area reservoirs, there would at times be reduced diversions during the late summer and fall as less Tuolumne water would be needed to replenish the Bay Area reservoirs. The differences in December diversions are largely the result of maintenance occurring in the alternative setting (lessening available conveyance capacity) that does not occur in the base setting. The increased diversions during the winter and spring result from the need to replenish Bay Area reservoir storage after the maintenance and then top off Bay Area reservoir storage prior to summer. There would be an overall increase in average annual diversions to the SJPL in the alternative setting associated with the increase in net demand and the improvement in water delivery reliability.

Table 2.2-3 illustrates the average monthly diversions through the SJPL by year type for the 82-year simulation for the proposed program and the alternative settings and the difference between the two settings. Table 2.2-4 shows the same information for the alternative and base settings.

Table 2.1-1
Difference in Total System-wide Delivery (MG)


Table 2.1-2
Difference in Total System-wide Delivery (MG)

| Difference | Sys | , |  |  |  |  |  |  | Modified WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| 1921 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1922 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1923 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1924 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 1,932 | 1,853 | 1,546 | 8,807 |
| 1925 | 1,305 | 946 | 686 | 512 | 676 | 1,017 | 1,284 | 1,576 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 10,613 |
| 1926 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1927 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1928 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1929 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1930 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1931 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 1,640 | 1,587 | 1,392 | 8,095 |
| 1932 | 1,253 | 1,025 | 876 | 777 | 824 | 1,067 | 1,212 | 1,408 | 1,508 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 11,943 |
| 1933 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1934 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 1,932 | 1,853 | 1,546 | 8,806 |
| 1935 | 1,305 | 946 | 686 | 512 | 676 | 1,017 | 1,284 | 1,576 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 10,613 |
| 1936 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1937 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1938 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1939 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1940 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1941 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1942 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1943 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1944 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1945 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1946 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1947 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1948 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1949 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1950 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1951 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1952 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1953 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1954 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1955 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1956 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1957 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1958 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1959 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1960 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 1,932 | 1,853 | 1,546 | 8,807 |
| 1961 | 1,305 | 946 | 686 | 512 | 676 | 1,017 | 1,284 | 1,576 | 1,760 | 1,640 | 1,587 | 1,392 | 14,381 |
| 1962 | 1,253 | 1,025 | 876 | 777 | 824 | 1,067 | 1,212 | 1,408 | 1,508 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 11,943 |
| 1963 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1964 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1965 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1966 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1967 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1968 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1969 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1970 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1971 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1972 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1973 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1974 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1975 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1976 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 1,932 | 1,853 | 1,546 | 8,807 |
| 1977 | 1,305 | 946 | 686 | 512 | 676 | 1,017 | 1,284 | 1,576 | 1,760 | 1,640 | 1,587 | 1,392 | 14,381 |
| 1978 | 1,253 | 1,025 | 876 | 777 | 824 | 1,067 | 1,212 | 1,408 | -602 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 9,832 |
| 1979 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1980 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1981 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1982 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1983 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1984 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1985 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| 1986 | 488 | 359 | 9 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,224 |
| 1987 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 1,932 | 1,853 | 1,546 | 8,806 |
| 1988 | 1,305 | 946 | 686 | 512 | 676 | 1,017 | 1,284 | 1,576 | 1,760 | 1,640 | 1,587 | 1,392 | 14,381 |
| 1989 | 1,253 | 1,025 | 876 | 777 | 824 | 1,067 | 1,212 | 1,408 | 1,508 | 1,640 | 1,587 | 1,392 | 14,569 |
| 1990 | 1,253 | 1,025 | 876 | 777 | 824 | 1,067 | 1,212 | 1,408 | 1,508 | 556 | 526 | 451 | 11,483 |
| 1991 | 385 | 302 | 226 | 185 | 213 | 289 | 346 | 430 | 478 | 1,640 | 1,587 | 1,392 | 7,473 |
| 1992 | 1,253 | 1,025 | 876 | 777 | 824 | 1,067 | 1,212 | 1,408 | 1,508 | 556 | 526 | 451 | 11,483 |
| 1993 | 385 | 302 | 226 | 185 | 213 | 289 | 346 | 430 | -1,632 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 2,736 |
| 1994 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 1,932 | 1,853 | 1,546 | 8,806 |
| 1995 | 1,305 | 946 | 686 | 512 | 676 | 1,017 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 8,727 |
| 1996 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1997 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1998 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 1999 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 2000 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 729 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 2001 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,468 |
| 2002 | 488 | 359 | 253 | 191 | 244 | 349 | 427 | 547 | 618 | 730 | 686 | 577 | 5,469 |
| Avg (21-02) | 601 | 449 | 327 | 258 | 317 | 449 | 535 | 670 | 670 | 880 | 833 | 704 | 6,693 |
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Table 2.2-1
Difference in Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet)

| iff | San |  |  | t) |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP | S WSIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| 1921 | -952 | -1,841 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,745 | -3,745 |
| 1922 | -5,708 | -2,762 | -1,903 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,897 | -15,897 |
| 1923 | -2,854 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,047 | -3,038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,939 | -6,939 |
| 1924 | -3,805 | -921 | -1,902 | -1,903 | -1,719 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,250 | -10,250 |
| 1925 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,901 | -9,452 | 0 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,197 | -19,430 | -18,233 |
| 1927 | -4,757 | -1,841 | -952 | -952 | 0 | -3,805 | -3,683 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,990 | -17,187 |
| 1928 | -1,047 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,045 | -5,524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,537 | -10,537 |
| 1929 | -1,807 | -1,841 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,648 | -3,648 |
| 1930 | -1,237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,237 | -1,237 |
| 1931 | 0 | -2,854 | 0 | -5,803 | -5,242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,880 | -18,779 | -13,899 |
| 1932 | -5,708 | -2,762 | -1,903 | -1,903 | 0 | -1,902 | -2,118 | -3,140 | -3,038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -22,474 | -27,354 |
| 1933 | 476 | 0 | 0 | -1,902 | -1,719 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,145 | -3,145 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | -2,949 | -2,854 | -2,578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,381 | -8,381 |
| 1935 | -1,237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,197 | -2,189 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,741 | -6,741 |
| 1936 | -5,043 | -4,603 | 0 | -2,854 | 0 | -2,949 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17,567 | -17,567 |
| 1937 | -3,806 | -1,841 | -1,902 | 0 | 0 | -951 | -4,604 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -13,104 | -13,104 |
| 1938 | -1,903 | 0 | 0 | -1,903 | 0 | 0 | -4,603 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,843 | -10,843 |
| 1939 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,328 | -5,709 | -5,524 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,995 | -18,995 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -952 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,873 | -1,873 |
| 1942 | -1,332 | 0 | -1,712 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,568 | -8,568 |
| 1943 | -1,903 | -1,841 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,142 | -3,867 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,187 | -11,187 |
| 1944 | -1,902 | 0 | -952 | 0 | 0 | -2,949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,803 | -5,803 |
| 1945 | -4,281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,297 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,578 | -8,578 |
| 1946 | -5,043 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,805 | -7,805 |
| 1947 | -5,708 | -4,603 | -952 | -1,903 | -1,719 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -14,885 | -14,885 |
| 1948 | -1,237 | -1,013 | 0 | -4,756 | -2,578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,584 | -9,584 |
| 1949 | -2,189 | -1,013 | -3,806 | -1,903 | -1,719 | -2,854 | -2,578 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,496 | -18,496 |
| 1950 | -1,903 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,903 | -1,903 |
| 1951 | 0 | -3,683 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,757 | -1,197 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,071 | -12,071 |
| 1952 | -2,949 | -921 | -2,663 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,524 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -14,491 | -14,491 |
| 1953 | -1,902 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,949 | 0 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,285 | -7,285 |
| 1954 | -2,949 | -921 | -952 | 0 | -860 | -1,047 | -2,578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,307 | -9,307 |
| 1955 | -3,805 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,805 | -3,805 |
| 1956 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,578 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,012 | -5,012 |
| 1957 | -1,047 | -921 | -1,902 | -1,903 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,773 | -5,773 |
| 1958 | -2,759 | -2,762 | 0 | -2,855 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,047 | -1,013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,436 | -10,436 |
| 1959 | 0 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -921 | -921 |
| 1960 | -3,140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,140 | -3,140 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,047 | -3,523 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,880 | -9,450 | -4,570 |
| 1962 | -6,945 | -5,616 | -952 | -3,805 | -1,031 | -2,854 | -2,118 | -3,996 | -3,867 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -31,184 | -36,064 |
| 1963 | 1,807 | -1,841 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,902 | -5,524 | -1,902 | -1,841 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,203 | -11,203 |
| 1964 | 0 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -921 | -921 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,708 | -5,156 | 0 | -8,286 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19,150 | -19,150 |
| 1966 | -1,047 | 0 | 0 | -1,903 | -1,719 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,669 | -4,669 |
| 1967 | 0 | -3,775 | -4,756 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,445 | -952 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,849 | -16,849 |
| 1968 | -1,237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,237 | -1,237 |
| 1969 | -3,996 | -4,603 | -1,903 | 0 | 0 | -951 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,333 | -16,333 |
| 1970 | -952 | 0 | 0 | -1,903 | -1,719 | -1,047 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,621 | -5,621 |
| 1971 | -4,281 | -1,841 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,122 | -6,122 |
| 1972 | 0 | -5,616 | -4,757 | -1,903 | -1,719 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -13,995 | -13,995 |
| 1973 | -1,237 | -3,775 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,880 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,326 | -12,326 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,659 | -4,603 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -13,696 | -13,696 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,719 | -1,142 | -5,524 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,819 | -10,819 |
| 1976 | -952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -952 | -952 |
| 1977 | 0 | -1,013 | -4,756 | -2,855 | -2,578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,902 | 1,902 | 1,841 | -5,557 | -11,202 |
| 1978 | 1,902 | 2,762 | 0 | -3,045 | -5,156 | -10,464 | -7,365 | -1,047 | -1,013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -23,426 | -17,781 |
| 1979 | 0 | -921 | -1,902 | 0 | 0 | -1,902 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,725 | -4,725 |
| 1980 | -3,996 | 0 | 0 | -2,855 | 0 | -4,947 | -2,578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -14,376 | -14,376 |
| 1981 | -1,902 | -921 | 0 | -1,902 | -1,718 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,443 | -6,443 |
| 1982 | -5,043 | -3,682 | -1,902 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,902 | -1,841 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -14,370 | -14,370 |
| 1983 |  |  | -951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,787 | -1,903 | -1,841 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,482 | -9,482 |
| 1984 |  | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -921 | -921 |
| 1985 | -2,189 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,189 | -2,189 |
| 1986 |  | 0 | 9 | -2,949 | -3,437 | -7,610 | -8,286 | -1,237 | -1,197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -24,707 | -24,707 |
| 1987 | 0 | -921 | -1,902 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,823 | -2,823 |
| 1988 |  | -1,013 |  | -4,756 | -2,578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,880 | -13,227 | -8,347 |
| 1989 | -1,902 | -1,841 | -1,903 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,664 | -2,578 | -1,237 | -1,427 | 0 | -13,552 | -15,768 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,996 | -2,854 | -1,841 | -8,691 | -2,664 |
| 1991 | 0 | -1,841 | -523 | 0 | 0 | -2,854 | -4,880 | -1,902 | -1,841 | -1,237 | 951 | 921 | -13,206 | -22,532 |
| 1992 | 1,902 | -921 | -1,903 | 0 | 0 | -1,902 | -2,118 | -3,140 | -3,038 | -1,047 | 1,902 | 1,841 | -8,424 | -10,485 |
| 1993 | 1,903 | -1,841 | -523 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,524 | -952 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,858 | -5,162 |
| 1994 | 0 | -921 | 0 | -1,903 | -1,719 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,543 | -4,543 |
| 1995 | -1,237 | 0 | 0 | -4,947 | -4,468 | 0 | -7,365 | -856 | -829 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19,702 | -19,702 |
| 1996 |  | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,039 | -3,039 |
| 1997 | -3,805 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,726 | -4,726 |
| 1998 | -3,140 | -3,683 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -951 | -6,444 | -1,047 | -1,013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,278 | -16,278 |
| 1999 | -1,902 | 0 | -952 | 0 | 0 | -1,903 | -7,365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,122 | -12,122 |
| 2000 | -1,902 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -860 | -2,854 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,616 | -5,616 |
| 2001 | -3,806 | -2,762 | 0 | -952 | 0 | -3,901 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,421 | -11,421 |
| 2002 | -3,806 | -921 | -1,903 | -1,902 | -1,718 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,250 | -10,250 |
| Avg (21-02) | -1,600 | -1,155 | -682 | -995 | -952 | -1,096 | -2,046 | -542 | -524 | -68 | 6 | -159 | -9,815 | -9,815 |

Table 2.2-2
Difference in Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet)

| Difference in | San | quin Pip | e (A | et) |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP | Base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| 1921 | -952 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,416 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 20,623 | 23,385 |
| 1922 | -4,756 | -921 | -3,805 | 952 | 0 | 0 | 1,841 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 9,730 | 9,730 |
| 1923 | -2,854 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,270 | -920 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 18,537 | 18,537 |
| 1924 | -4,756 | -921 | 0 | -2,855 | -2,578 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 7,614 | 7,614 |
| 1925 | 2,189 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 17,272 | 11,512 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 10,384 | 10,384 |
| 1926 | 5,043 | 5,616 | -7,088 | 1,902 | 0 | 15,317 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 921 | 30,396 | 31,593 |
| 1927 | -2,854 | -2,762 | -952 | 3,805 | 0 | 2,854 | -921 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 9,973 | 8,776 |
| 1928 | 1,902 | -921 | -2,331 | 3,805 | 4,297 | 2,663 | -921 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 19,297 | 19,297 |
| 1929 | 2,949 | 0 | 1,902 | 1,902 | 1,718 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 27,195 | 27,195 |
| 1930 | 952 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 9,538 | 11,512 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 1,413 | 1,413 |
| 1931 | 2,189 | 2,762 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 1,841 | 16,310 | 16,587 |
| 1932 | 2,854 | 0 | 3,805 | 3,805 | 0 | 13,510 | 2,762 | 3,805 | 3,683 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 40,720 | 40,443 |
| 1933 | -475 | 0 | -7,088 | 5,709 | 5,156 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 22,026 | 22,026 |
| 1934 | 2,189 | 5,616 | 2,854 | 3,805 | 3,437 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 36,625 | 36,625 |
| 1935 | 3,806 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 19,122 | 17,272 | 10,560 | 8,286 | 5,708 | 5,524 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 37,461 | 37,461 |
| 1936 | -2,854 | 0 | -7,088 | 4,757 | 0 | 12,368 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 17,986 | 17,986 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,805 | 0 | 0 | 1,841 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 22,065 | 22,065 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,805 | 0 | 0 | 921 | 3,806 | 3,683 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 18,711 | 18,711 |
| 1939 | -1,902 | -921 | -2,855 | 2,854 | 2,578 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 18,478 | 18,478 |
| 1940 | 2,189 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 15,317 | 2,406 | 7,610 | 2,762 | 3,806 | 3,683 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 4,956 | 4,956 |
| 1941 | -1,902 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 3,673 | 3,673 |
| 1942 | 1,047 | 0 | -2,854 | 0 | 0 | 2,663 | 0 | 2,854 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 12,968 | 12,968 |
| 1943 | 0 | -2,762 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 2,663 | 2,854 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 4,036 | 4,036 |
| 1944 | 0 | -921 | -952 | 1,902 | 7,046 | 12,368 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 32,364 | 32,364 |
| 1945 | -4,756 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 9,452 | 15,317 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -576 | -576 |
| 1946 | 0 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 22,560 | 22,560 |
| 1947 | -4,756 | -2,762 | -952 | -2,855 | 1,718 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 13,874 | 13,874 |
| 1948 | 952 | 4,603 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 17,191 | 17,191 |
| 1949 | 0 | 4,603 | -952 | -2,855 | -2,578 | -952 | -460 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 5,175 | 5,175 |
| 1950 | 1,902 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 16,459 | 16,413 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 14,185 | 14,185 |
| 1951 | 2,189 | 3,682 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,805 | 921 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 18,966 | 18,966 |
| 1952 | 0 | -921 | -951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,682 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 10,179 | 10,179 |
| 1953 | 0 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,368 | 2,118 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 21,934 | 21,934 |
| 1954 | -4,756 | -921 | -952 | 2,854 | 4,468 | 9,513 | -460 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 20,549 | 20,549 |
| 1955 | -4,756 | -19,334 | -15,222 | 16,459 | 14,866 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 10,737 | 10,737 |
| 1956 | 2,189 | 5,616 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,663 | -460 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 18,377 | 18,377 |
| 1957 | 1,902 | -921 | 0 | 1,902 | 7,046 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 33,410 | 33,410 |
| 1958 | -1,807 | 0 | -7,088 | 6,659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 4,260 | 4,260 |
| 1959 | 0 | -921 | 0 | 2,854 | 0 | 15,317 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 30,171 | 30,171 |
| 1960 | -951 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 10,398 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -5,339 | -5,339 |
| 1961 | 2,189 | 5,616 | -7,088 | 4,756 | 6,015 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 5,043 | 4,603 | 35,551 | 30,212 |
| 1962 | 2,854 | 4,603 | 3,805 | 0 | 2,406 | 15,221 | 5,524 | 3,901 | 3,775 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 48,585 | 53,924 |
| 1963 | 4,756 | 0 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 5,708 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 9,872 | 9,872 |
| 1964 | 2,189 | -921 | 0 | 7,611 | 6,875 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 34,478 | 34,478 |
| 1965 | 2,189 | -19,334 | -14,270 | 0 | 0 | 11,512 | 4,603 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -6,931 | -6,931 |
| 1966 | 1,902 | -2,762 | -1,379 | 7,801 | 7,046 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 31,332 | 31,332 |
| 1967 | 2,189 | 1,841 | -7,611 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -952 | -921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 1,042 | 1,042 |
| 1968 | 952 | 0 | -7,088 | 8,562 | 7,734 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 28,884 | 28,884 |
| 1969 | -1,807 | 0 | -2,855 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 8,903 | 8,903 |
| 1970 | -952 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 10,464 | 9,452 | 18,075 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 10,647 | 10,647 |
| 1971 | -1,902 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 18,817 | 18,817 |
| 1972 | 2,189 | 0 | -4,757 | -2,855 | 1,718 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 15,019 | 15,019 |
| 1973 | 952 | 1,841 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,841 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 5,915 | 5,915 |
| 1974 | 1,902 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,805 | 0 | 3,806 | 3,683 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 19,692 | 19,692 |
| 1975 | -1,902 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 11,512 | 3,437 | 2,663 | 2,762 | 3,806 | 3,683 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -6,856 | -6,856 |
| 1976 | -2,854 | -921 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 7,861 | 7,861 |
| 1977 | 5,043 | 4,603 | 0 | -2,855 | -2,578 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -2,854 | 0 | 9,206 | 22,793 | 22,937 |
| 1978 | 9,513 | 1,841 | -2,854 | 2,663 | 0 | 0 | 4,787 | 4,756 | 4,603 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 31,805 | 31,661 |
| 1979 | -2,854 | -921 | 0 | 2,854 | 0 | 9,514 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 21,514 | 21,514 |
| 1980 | 1,047 | -19,334 | -15,222 | 10,464 | 0 | 2,663 | 2,302 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -7,277 | -7,277 |
| 1981 | 0 | -921 | -7,088 | 5,708 | 5,156 | 11,512 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 27,288 | 27,288 |
| 1982 | -2,854 | -4,603 | -1,902 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -2,863 | -2,863 |
| 1983 | 1,047 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,854 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 10,397 | 10,397 |
| 1984 | 952 | -5,524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 14,152 | 14,152 |
| 1985 | 0 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 10,560 | 9,538 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -491 | -491 |
| 1986 | 2,189 | 5,616 | 9 | 2,854 | 0 | 0 | -921 | 3,806 | 3,683 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 23,732 | 23,732 |
| 1987 | 0 | -921 | 0 | -952 | -859 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 15,992 | 15,992 |
| 1988 | 5,043 | 4,603 | -7,088 | 5,709 | 5,156 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 4,603 | 34,632 | 32,147 |
| 1989 | 2,854 | 0 | 2,854 | 2,854 | 2,578 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,379 | 2,302 | 3,806 | 2,379 | 7,365 | 37,292 | 32,723 |
| 1990 | 6,659 | -19,334 | -15,222 | 10,560 | 9,538 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 1,047 | 1,902 | 4,603 | 11,981 | 17,979 |
| 1991 | 3,805 | -2,762 | -2,854 | 0 | 0 | 7,611 | 0 | 952 | 921 | 952 | 4,756 | 2,762 | 16,143 | 15,225 |
| 1992 | 1,902 | 3,682 | 1,902 | 952 | 2,406 | 16,173 | 4,603 | 3,805 | 3,683 | 0 | 0 | 3,682 | 42,790 | 47,578 |
| 1993 | 3,805 | -2,762 | -1,902 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -921 | 1,902 | 1,841 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 8,459 | 5,645 |
| 1994 | -2,854 | -921 | 0 | -2,855 | 8,593 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 20,687 | 20,687 |
| 1995 | 3,806 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 2,663 | 2,406 | 0 | 1,841 | 1,047 | 1,013 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -20,041 | -20,041 |
| 1996 | 1,902 | -921 | -2,331 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,762 | 5,043 | 4,880 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 17,831 | 17,831 |
| 1997 | -1,902 | -921 |  | 0 | 0 | 10,465 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 20,563 | 20,563 |
| 1998 | -951 | -921 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,604 | 2,854 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 4,880 | 10,518 | 7,756 |
| 1999 | 0 | -921 | -952 | 6,659 | 0 | 6,659 | 1,841 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 24,089 | 26,851 |
| 2000 | 0 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 15,317 | 6,874 | 13,319 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 9,118 | 9,118 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | -7,088 | 6,659 | 8,593 | 11,416 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 32,501 | 32,501 |
| 2002 | -2,854 | -921 | -3,805 | 4,757 | 4,297 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 24,955 | 24,955 |
| Avg (21-02) | 506 | -3,677 | -5,448 | 3,311 | 2,882 | 6,435 | 1,818 | 2,255 | 2,182 | 2,092 | 2,201 | 2,402 | 16,958 | 16,992 |

Table 2.2-3

| Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 26,020 | 15,548 | 8,898 | 9,550 | 6,165 | 9,318 | 16,981 | 25,806 | 24,973 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 231,631 | 229,415 |
| Above Normal | 25,166 | 13,323 | 8,462 | 13,566 | 7,931 | 14,478 | 20,888 | 27,763 | 26,867 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 246,816 | 246,458 |
| Normal | 24,159 | 13,752 | 9,222 | 14,824 | 10,956 | 20,769 | 26,901 | 29,374 | 28,426 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 266,755 | 266,450 |
| Below Normal | 25,877 | 15,007 | 12,130 | 19,833 | 16,852 | 24,472 | 28,091 | 29,140 | 28,200 | 29,632 | 29,493 | 27,956 | 286,683 | 286,598 |
| Dry | 25,723 | 19,115 | 15,715 | 18,379 | 15,516 | 25,598 | 28,685 | 29,582 | 28,627 | 28,898 | 28,832 | 26,354 | 291,023 | 294,014 |
| All Years | 25,392 | 15,320 | 10,871 | 15,266 | 11,506 | 18,940 | 24,313 | 28,336 | 27,421 | 29,576 | 29,534 | 28,158 | 264,634 | 264,634 |
| Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 27,584 | 16,762 | 9,692 | 11,066 | 7,304 | 10,875 | 21,647 | 26,722 | 25,859 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 245,884 | 243,146 |
| Above Normal | 26,935 | 14,568 | 8,898 | 13,901 | 8,598 | 16,352 | 24,176 | 28,608 | 27,685 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 258,095 | 258,095 |
| Normal | 26,632 | 15,087 | 9,698 | 15,299 | 11,343 | 21,935 | 28,322 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 275,285 | 275,285 |
| Below Normal | 27,567 | 16,214 | 13,000 | 21,070 | 18,065 | 25,211 | 28,817 | 29,481 | 28,530 | 29,778 | 29,521 | 27,972 | 295,227 | 295,751 |
| Dry | 26,210 | 19,881 | 16,554 | 19,818 | 16,869 | 25,717 | 28,817 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 29,094 | 28,773 | 27,154 | 297,481 | 299,662 |
| All Years | 26,992 | 16,475 | 11,553 | 16,261 | 12,458 | 20,037 | 26,359 | 28,878 | 27,946 | 29,645 | 29,529 | 28,317 | 274,450 | 274,450 |
| Difference in Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) Modified WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | -1,564 | -1,214 | -793 | -1,516 | -1,139 | -1,558 | -4,667 | -916 | -886 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -14,252 | -13,731 |
| Above Normal | -1,768 | -1,246 | -437 | -336 | -667 | -1,875 | -3,287 | -845 | -818 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,279 | -11,636 |
| Normal | -2,474 | -1,335 | -476 | -476 | -387 | -1,166 | -1,421 | -404 | -391 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,529 | -8,834 |
| Below Normal | -1,690 | -1,208 | -870 | -1,237 | -1,213 | -739 | -726 | -341 | -330 | -146 | -28 | -16 | -8,544 | -9,153 |
| Dry | -488 | -765 | -838 | -1,439 | -1,353 | -119 | -132 | -196 | -190 | -196 | 59 | -800 | -6,458 | -5,648 |
| All Years | -1,600 | -1,155 | -682 | -995 | -952 | -1,096 | -2,046 | -542 | -524 | -68 | 6 | -159 | -9,815 | -9,815 |

Table 2.2-4

| Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 26,020 | 15,548 | 8,898 | 9,550 | 6,165 | 9,318 | 16,981 | 25,806 | 24,973 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 231,631 | 229,415 |
| Above Normal | 25,166 | 13,323 | 8,462 | 13,566 | 7,931 | 14,478 | 20,888 | 27,763 | 26,867 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 246,816 | 246,458 |
| Normal | 24,159 | 13,752 | 9,222 | 14,824 | 10,956 | 20,769 | 26,901 | 29,374 | 28,426 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 266,755 | 266,450 |
| Below Normal | 25,877 | 15,007 | 12,130 | 19,833 | 16,852 | 24,472 | 28,091 | 29,140 | 28,200 | 29,632 | 29,493 | 27,956 | 286,683 | 286,598 |
| Dry | 25,723 | 19,115 | 15,715 | 18,379 | 15,516 | 25,598 | 28,685 | 29,582 | 28,627 | 28,898 | 28,832 | 26,354 | 291,023 | 294,014 |
| All Years | 25,392 | 15,320 | 10,871 | 15,266 | 11,506 | 18,940 | 24,313 | 28,336 | 27,421 | 29,576 | 29,534 | 28,158 | 264,634 | 264,634 |
| Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | Type - G | ed by Uni | ired Run | LaGrang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 24,854 | 19,046 | 14,449 | 7,730 | 6,015 | 7,611 | 15,398 | 23,962 | 23,189 | 27,589 | 27,589 | 26,526 | 223,960 | 222,101 |
| Above Normal | 25,015 | 18,522 | 14,830 | 9,346 | 6,015 | 8,831 | 19,117 | 25,015 | 24,208 | 27,589 | 27,589 | 26,699 | 232,776 | 232,343 |
| Normal | 24,616 | 19,046 | 14,865 | 10,691 | 6,864 | 11,060 | 25,145 | 27,054 | 26,181 | 27,589 | 27,589 | 26,699 | 247,400 | 246,589 |
| Below Normal | 25,239 | 19,334 | 18,748 | 15,927 | 11,585 | 16,789 | 26,374 | 27,085 | 26,212 | 27,421 | 27,141 | 25,562 | 267,417 | 267,585 |
| Dry | 24,676 | 19,046 | 19,087 | 15,995 | 12,621 | 18,195 | 26,411 | 27,292 | 26,411 | 27,232 | 26,757 | 23,247 | 266,970 | 269,749 |
| All Years | 24,886 | 18,997 | 16,405 | 11,955 | 8,624 | 12,505 | 22,496 | 26,081 | 25,239 | 27,485 | 27,334 | 25,756 | 247,763 | 247,729 |
| Difference in Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) Modified WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 1,166 | -3,499 | -5,107 | 1,819 | 150 | 1,706 | 1,582 | 1,844 | 1,784 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,291 | 8,115 | 7,757 |
| Above Normal | 151 | -5,199 | -6,369 | 4,220 | 1,916 | 5,646 | 1,771 | 2,748 | 2,659 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 14,040 | 14,115 |
| Normal | -458 | -5,294 | -5,643 | 4,132 | 4,092 | 9,710 | 1,755 | 2,320 | 2,245 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 19,355 | 19,862 |
| Below Normal | 638 | -4,327 | -6,618 | 3,906 | 5,267 | 7,683 | 1,717 | 2,055 | 1,988 | 2,211 | 2,351 | 2,394 | 19,266 | 19,014 |
| Dry | 1,047 | 69 | -3,372 | 2,384 | 2,895 | 7,403 | 2,273 | 2,290 | 2,216 | 1,666 | 2,076 | 3,107 | 24,053 | 24,265 |
| All Years | 506 | -3,677 | -5,448 | 3,311 | 2,882 | 6,435 | 1,818 | 2,255 | 2,182 | 2,092 | 2,201 | 2,402 | 16,958 | 16,992 |

### 2.3 Hetch Hetchy Reservoir and Releases

Compared to the WSIP setting, the alternative setting draws less water from the Tuolumne due to the lesser demand. This circumstance leads to less draw from Hetch Hetchy Reservoir in the alternative setting in all years. Figure 2.3-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage and stream releases. Shown in Figure 2.3-1 are the results for the WSIP, Modified WSIP, and base settings. Supplementing the Figure 2.3-1 representation of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage are Table 2.3-1, Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (Modified WSIP); Table 2.3-2, Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (WSIP); and Table 2.3-3, Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (Modified WSIP minus WSIP). Table 2.3-4 is provided to illustrate the difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage between the base and alternative settings.

Table 2.3-3 shows that, by the end of summer, storage in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir associated with the alternative setting would at times (about 20 percent of the years) be greater than the storage in the WSIP setting, albeit typically less than 5,000 acre-feet more in two-thirds of those years. In about one-third of the years, storage would be greater by 5,000 acre-feet or more. The relatively minor increases in storage are attributable to years when summer diversions would be the same in both settings (SJPL operating at maximum capacity) but less water would be diverted in the fall due to the lesser water demand. The larger increases in storage are associated with drought periods during which the differences in underlying demand and water delivery shortages between the WSIP and alternative settings are greater.

Figure 2.3-1

## Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage and Stream Release





Table 2.3-1
Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)

| etch Hetchy | vo | orage | (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ied WSIP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| 1921 | 272,117 | 267,600 | 245,425 | 237,477 | 184,880 | 151,635 | 155,378 | 272,083 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 326,811 | 291,828 |
| 1922 | 264,009 | 240,850 | 229,925 | 222,889 | 227,355 | 241,931 | 212,244 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 336,082 | 302,853 |
| 1923 | 276,771 | 259,318 | 265,395 | 272,108 | 277,250 | 269,581 | 244,936 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 333,186 | 304,241 |
| 1924 | 291,045 | 269,331 | 247,848 | 232,772 | 224,247 | 207,679 | 230,953 | 316,199 | 294,455 | 266,511 | 231,247 | 195,381 |
| 1925 | 164,353 | 176,388 | 189,425 | 172,356 | 183,982 | 197,973 | 217,942 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 334,210 | 301,427 |
| 1926 | 274,085 | 251,427 | 243,883 | 223,816 | 215,011 | 167,920 | 254,870 | 341,671 | 360,400 | 333,232 | 297,804 | 265,052 |
| 1927 | 240,402 | 240,834 | 241,471 | 237,633 | 265,210 | 288,103 | 348,469 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 333,718 | 301,231 |
| 1928 | 275,534 | 281,108 | 276,467 | 267,489 | 260,490 | 315,995 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 337,096 | 302,689 | 269,444 |
| 1929 | 240,564 | 217,758 | 200,750 | 183,877 | 171,934 | 170,901 | 186,458 | 350,991 | 360,400 | 348,102 | 314,426 | 281,237 |
| 1930 | 250,730 | 247,160 | 248,490 | 228,984 | 219,554 | 226,031 | 287,394 | 356,465 | 360,400 | 350,768 | 316,726 | 283,424 |
| 1931 | 252,998 | 231,531 | 217,838 | 200,068 | 188,024 | 180,141 | 221,426 | 313,697 | 310,427 | 280,515 | 245,249 | 215,920 |
| 1932 | 189,694 | 168,170 | 107,849 | 50,958 | 34,482 | 27,308 | 58,255 | 229,674 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 333,089 | 299,918 |
| 1933 | 269,682 | 248,173 | 233,407 | 214,696 | 199,250 | 168,700 | 155,172 | 190,490 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 326,593 | 293,382 |
| 1934 | 260,961 | 234,344 | 203,627 | 187,022 | 165,494 | 132,277 | 188,731 | 241,241 | 265,046 | 238,814 | 206,804 | 175,554 |
| 1935 | 146,803 | 160,526 | 173,314 | 112,695 | 76,273 | 42,113 | 101,853 | 260,501 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 331,788 | 299,322 |
| 1936 | 272,128 | 252,344 | 235,929 | 227,373 | 182,196 | 146,688 | 204,673 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 327,853 | 294,110 |
| 1937 | 266,299 | 244,805 | 224,465 | 203,469 | 160,719 | 111,931 | 113,680 | 358,909 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 327,212 | 292,471 |
| 1938 | 263,822 | 243,421 | 277,700 | 271,790 | 220,879 | 179,376 | 203,214 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 352,029 | 324,714 |
| 1939 | 312,466 | 304,668 | 294,282 | 283,636 | 277,502 | 290,985 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 332,157 | 299,492 | 270,327 |
| 1940 | 255,209 | 256,245 | 221,050 | 210,272 | 163,190 | 141,158 | 164,479 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 354,451 | 320,313 | 286,310 |
| 1941 | 260,678 | 241,118 | 232,444 | 166,634 | 122,924 | 89,103 | 82,492 | 312,086 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 341,291 | 309,048 |
| 1942 | 281,577 | 275,798 | 316,735 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 356,592 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 339,529 | 306,962 |
| 1943 | 279,067 | 279,524 | 286,435 | 310,865 | 327,956 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 334,820 | 303,090 |
| 1944 | 279,144 | 260,348 | 243,060 | 234,243 | 231,461 | 239,086 | 259,161 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 329,290 | 297,445 |
| 1945 | 272,731 | 289,623 | 306,527 | 291,374 | 261,135 | 200,352 | 208,439 | 331,150 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 334,928 | 303,168 |
| 1946 | 294,621 | 309,813 | 274,380 | 240,447 | 175,982 | 132,453 | 194,360 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 357,267 | 325,581 | 293,235 |
| 1947 | 273,292 | 269,800 | 268,501 | 261,131 | 261,603 | 271,410 | 320,357 | 360,400 | 356,592 | 332,847 | 297,991 | 265,329 |
| 1948 | 248,495 | 233,768 | 224,880 | 215,217 | 201,484 | 142,832 | 127,092 | 251,309 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 325,774 | 291,062 |
| 1949 | 259,625 | 233,526 | 214,785 | 198,641 | 175,769 | 111,718 | 158,012 | 291,712 | 356,592 | 336,040 | 301,328 | 268,173 |
| 1950 | 239,630 | 240,600 | 237,275 | 221,334 | 166,741 | 117,129 | 164,953 | 321,680 | 360,400 | 359,600 | 323,849 | 289,929 |
| 1951 | 259,038 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 273,739 | 223,537 | 195,259 | 223,591 | 349,555 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 326,780 | 293,203 |
| 1952 | 266,669 | 250,901 | 262,778 | 255,361 | 199,922 | 225,629 | 326,959 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 351,651 | 322,211 |
| 1953 | 296,329 | 275,128 | 274,206 | 293,261 | 298,723 | 298,998 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 330,136 | 297,172 |
| 1954 | 269,967 | 248,958 | 230,167 | 215,472 | 221,810 | 226,543 | 294,921 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 343,956 | 308,827 | 274,943 |
| 1955 | 248,389 | 246,440 | 253,658 | 235,826 | 222,104 | 154,790 | 126,043 | 224,815 | 360,400 | 348,498 | 313,738 | 278,863 |
| 1956 | 244,816 | 218,801 | 283,964 | 261,892 | 207,063 | 168,360 | 188,550 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 347,791 | 319,290 |
| 1957 | 296,127 | 283,218 | 264,874 | 251,129 | 261,402 | 267,702 | 298,964 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 326,823 | 292,697 |
| 1958 | 265,056 | 247,735 | 242,715 | 232,722 | 252,235 | 228,728 | 300,531 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 353,900 | 323,910 |
| 1959 | 295,427 | 273,939 | 251,438 | 244,519 | 213,789 | 161,220 | 182,310 | 235,602 | 288,072 | 259,627 | 223,044 | 208,219 |
| 1960 | 182,150 | 179,994 | 178,838 | 154,435 | 117,972 | 92,922 | 124,515 | 215,838 | 287,602 | 261,361 | 226,158 | 191,940 |
| 1961 | 159,106 | 134,295 | 115,531 | 91,188 | 79,972 | 74,855 | 121,896 | 214,131 | 259,832 | 233,750 | 203,767 | 175,689 |
| 1962 | 151,614 | 131,596 | 115,495 | 103,774 | 126,062 | 128,199 | 248,844 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 326,379 | 292,131 |
| 1963 | 263,237 | 241,315 | 228,196 | 237,544 | 296,171 | 306,227 | 337,046 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 336,396 | 305,026 |
| 1964 | 273,668 | 279,416 | 269,775 | 261,624 | 255,213 | 217,991 | 192,707 | 277,310 | 360,400 | 343,750 | 309,409 | 275,896 |
| 1965 | 241,813 | 249,120 | 317,459 | 282,122 | 231,160 | 175,820 | 182,106 | 294,713 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 333,188 |
| 1966 | 305,400 | 307,762 | 300,466 | 294,821 | 270,870 | 282,135 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 331,450 | 297,972 | 265,321 |
| 1967 | 231,906 | 220,533 | 257,784 | 274,012 | 288,947 | 330,000 | 355,978 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 335,768 |
| 1968 | 305,290 | 284,733 | 275,763 | 268,094 | 285,055 | 288,111 | 330,318 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 334,325 | 299,837 | 267,451 |
| 1969 | 246,142 | 255,844 | 254,565 | 312,954 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 349,426 | 317,777 |
| 1970 | 300,247 | 306,610 | 325,386 | 326,065 | 322,564 | 325,562 | 337,435 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 326,016 | 290,760 |
| 1971 | 262,245 | 257,685 | 273,909 | 292,784 | 307,506 | 309,059 | 336,451 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 325,764 | 292,446 |
| 1972 | 258,839 | 241,986 | 238,534 | 231,634 | 227,827 | 258,038 | 279,502 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 336,426 | 299,001 | 267,965 |
| 1973 | 239,426 | 223,220 | 230,637 | 243,487 | 254,169 | 266,817 | 317,146 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 353,990 | 322,828 | 286,127 |
| 1974 | 257,794 | 293,500 | 316,503 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 331,550 | 295,187 |
| 1975 | 266,912 | 262,126 | 266,128 | 248,443 | 254,951 | 274,815 | 221,223 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 324,162 | 290,479 |
| 1976 | 286,336 | 282,468 | 273,429 | 254,167 | 243,006 | 234,707 | 239,057 | 325,890 | 315,337 | 285,266 | 253,563 | 223,665 |
| 1977 | 194,726 | 169,242 | 146,459 | 127,236 | 111,968 | 90,372 | 97,632 | 115,367 | 156,470 | 134,474 | 104,623 | 76,248 |
| 1978 | 47,981 | 26,093 | 34,215 | 55,672 | 78,891 | 132,593 | 191,898 | 356,465 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 357,869 | 356,406 |
| 1979 | 329,957 | 311,201 | 295,960 | 304,862 | 315,745 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,097 | 320,734 | 284,314 |
| 1980 | 261,721 | 269,873 | 278,531 | 330,000 | 326,446 | 330,000 | 356,592 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 352,729 | 320,413 |
| 1981 | 292,699 | 270,564 | 257,688 | 246,138 | 249,541 | 246,043 | 256,667 | 348,346 | 357,910 | 327,697 | 290,144 | 255,269 |
| 1982 | 233,101 | 260,819 | 299,676 | 324,807 | 326,446 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 |
| 1983 | 326,065 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 359,897 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 355,970 |
| 1984 | 330,000 | 326,192 | 301,515 | 251,330 | 205,725 | 189,676 | 227,004 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 328,962 | 296,457 |
| 1985 | 270,560 | 289,092 | 297,165 | 279,546 | 266,664 | 263,877 | 351,018 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 333,535 | 296,865 | 266,723 |
| 1986 | 245,402 | 227,652 | 236,474 | 242,290 | 318,179 | 328,413 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 337,490 | 304,597 |
| 1987 | 281,194 | 259,670 | 235,533 | 215,773 | 204,621 | 194,313 | 250,463 | 346,631 | 356,070 | 324,438 | 287,928 | 252,729 |
| 1988 | 220,942 | 205,017 | 201,762 | 197,791 | 193,001 | 199,093 | 241,954 | 333,447 | 360,400 | 334,539 | 299,756 | 270,997 |
| 1989 | 243,894 | 222,400 | 206,450 | 196,014 | 195,062 | 241,083 | 347,606 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 345,211 | 312,004 | 286,902 |
| 1990 | 270,594 | 275,314 | 280,094 | 260,723 | 246,741 | 256,550 | 324,250 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 343,158 | 313,975 | 289,321 |
| 1991 | 266,124 | 247,271 | 231,814 | 212,668 | 197,662 | 206,866 | 231,107 | 352,428 | 360,400 | 355,666 | 321,999 | 294,243 |
| 1992 | 270,096 | 257,535 | 242,695 | 231,201 | 237,833 | 238,182 | 306,823 | 360,400 | 358,060 | 350,325 | 321,621 | 298,034 |
| 1993 | 277,178 | 261,430 | 254,452 | 280,385 | 295,700 | 330,000 | 356,592 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 339,684 | 305,994 |
| 1994 | 278,714 | 256,620 | 236,501 | 209,680 | 199,537 | 203,831 | 252,688 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 328,106 | 288,504 | 253,299 |
| 1995 | 227,345 | 247,933 | 264,532 | 305,772 | 330,000 | 329,098 | 356,592 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 341,235 |
| 1996 | 313,102 | 291,101 | 290,319 | 303,304 | 330,000 | 326,065 | 357,776 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 329,269 | 295,808 |
| 1997 | 270,191 | 287,926 | 306,502 | 330,000 | 300,695 | 281,114 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 334,509 | 301,549 |
| 1998 | 270,887 | 249,933 | 241,331 | 263,526 | 290,769 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 357,575 | 336,363 |
| 1999 | 314,282 | 302,448 | 286,043 | 277,753 | 239,946 | 186,761 | 172,767 | 359,716 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 328,523 | 295,405 |
| 2000 | 269,417 | 268,330 | 265,806 | 253,104 | 253,852 | 253,003 | 324,308 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 347,257 | 314,429 | 280,432 |
| 2001 | 252,172 | 231,383 | 218,839 | 198,018 | 190,076 | 217,611 | 271,582 | 360,400 | 360,186 | 330,828 | 293,698 | 259,268 |
| 2002 | 230,712 | 217,058 | 227,783 | 232,464 | 236,893 | 240,595 | 332,813 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 337,536 | 300,566 | 266,784 |
| Avg (21-02) | 258,433 | 250,236 | 247,365 | 239,541 | 231,061 | 224,148 | 259,518 | 335,006 | 351,584 | 341,888 | 314,659 | 284,123 |

Table 2.3-2
Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)


Table 2.3-3
Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)


## APPENDIX O2

Table 2.3-4
Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)

| Difference in | ch | Reser | Storage | (Acre-fe |  |  |  |  |  | Modified WSIP minus Base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| 1921 | -8,294 | -5,533 | -5,532 | -5,535 | -5,538 | -4,849 | -4,092 | -3,420 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1922 | 455 | 1,376 | 5,181 | 4,232 | 4,235 | 4,235 | 4,235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1923 | -1,448 | 1,314 | 1,314 | 1,315 | 1,316 | -12,955 | -12,955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1924 | 455 | 1,375 | 1,375 | 4,230 | 6,810 | 1,007 | -1,614 | -2,994 | -5,109 | -7,291 | -9,466 | -11,573 |
| 1925 | -13,755 | 5,579 | 25,557 | 19,771 | 2,515 | -8,996 | -7,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1926 | -9,344 | -14,961 | -7,378 | -9,285 | -9,215 | $-25,108$ | -21,829 | -18,729 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -5,291 |
| 1927 | -2,434 | 328 | 1,280 | -2,525 | -2,526 | -5,381 | -4,459 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1928 | -6,205 | -5,285 | -2,953 | -6,760 | -11,060 | -13,724 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -6,487 |
| 1929 | -9,433 | -9,434 | -11,336 | -13,245 | -14,971 | -20,775 | -22,892 | -9,409 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,488 |
| 1930 | -7,436 | 11,898 | 31,876 | 26,091 | 16,569 | 5,057 | 2,939 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -6,488 |
| 1931 | -8,673 | -11,435 | -4,348 | -4,350 | -4,352 | -10,156 | -12,273 | -14,454 | -16,560 | -18,728 | -20,891 | -22,708 |
| 1932 | -25,549 | -25,549 | -20,473 | -17,041 | -8,349 | -5,112 | -2,830 | -2,046 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1933 | -3,826 | -3,827 | 3,261 | -2,445 | -7,603 | -13,405 | -11,571 | -9,691 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1934 | -6,490 | -12,107 | -15,365 | -18,739 | -24,113 | -27,951 | -14,010 | -16,190 | -18,288 | -20,447 | -22,600 | -24,692 |
| 1935 | -28,483 | -9,149 | 10,830 | 8,685 | 7,072 | -978 | -636 | -483 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1936 | -1,448 | -1,448 | 5,685 | 894 | 895 | 786 | 664 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1937 | -4,302 | -4,302 | -4,302 | -8,110 | -7,189 | -6,035 | -5,027 | -1,491 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1938 | -4,302 | -4,302 | -6,331 | -10,139 | -10,144 | -10,145 | -8,931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1939 | -2,399 | -1,479 | 1,375 | -1,478 | -4,057 | -9,861 | 3,808 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -6,488 |
| 1940 | -8,672 | 10,662 | 29,005 | 13,704 | 12,156 | 10,199 | 8,612 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,488 |
| 1941 | -4,582 | -3,661 | -3,051 | -3,053 | -2,610 | -2,189 | -1,670 | -1,249 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1942 | -5,349 | -5,349 | -2,494 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1943 | -4,302 | -1,540 | 5,547 | 5,550 | 5,552 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1944 | -4,302 | -3,381 | -2,430 | -4,334 | -11,383 | -23,750 | -25,868 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1945 | 455 | 19,789 | 39,767 | 33,983 | 24,548 | 24,549 | 21,607 | 18,887 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1946 | -4,302 | -3,381 | -3,382 | -3,383 | -3,385 | -2,974 | -2,520 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,487 |
| 1947 | -1,728 | 1,034 | 1,985 | 4,840 | 3,125 | -7,436 | -9,553 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,487 |
| 1948 | -7,435 | -12,039 | -4,951 | -4,953 | -4,956 | -10,760 | -9,091 | -7,614 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1949 | -4,303 | -8,905 | -7,954 | -5,080 | -2,505 | -2,202 | -1,836 | -1,533 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,488 |
| 1950 | -8,387 | 10,947 | 33,308 | 16,918 | 15,382 | 12,899 | 10,469 | 8,780 | 0 | -800 | -2,988 | -5,103 |
| 1951 | -7,289 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,806 | -3,349 | -3,347 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1952 | -4,302 | -3,381 | -2,430 | -1,216 | -1,217 | -1,217 | -4,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1953 | -4,302 | -3,381 | -3,382 | -3,383 | -3,385 | -15,752 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1954 | 454 | 1,376 | 2,327 | -526 | -4,995 | -14,508 | -14,048 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -6,487 |
| 1955 | -1,728 | 17,606 | 32,828 | 16,389 | 1,532 | -4,271 | -3,598 | -3,006 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,488 |
| 1956 | -8,673 | -14,289 | -6,886 | -6,890 | -6,894 | -6,002 | -5,052 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1957 | -6,205 | -5,284 | -5,284 | -7,190 | -14,240 | -24,801 | -26,918 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1958 | -2,495 | -2,495 | 4,593 | -2,064 | -2,065 | -2,065 | -2,065 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1959 | -4,302 | -3,382 | -3,382 | -6,237 | -6,241 | -21,558 | -18,478 | -5,383 | -7,495 | -9,672 | -11,844 | -13,948 |
| 1960 | -12,988 | 6,346 | 26,325 | 20,542 | 10,165 | 4,457 | 3,400 | -493 | -2,610 | -4,794 | -6,974 | -9,084 |
| 1961 | -11,267 | -16,883 | -1,354 | -6,112 | -12,134 | -17,938 | -20,055 | -22,217 | -24,309 | -26,458 | -31,454 | -36,022 |
| 1962 | -38,855 | -43,459 | -47,263 | -47,317 | -49,784 | -65,006 | -70,529 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1963 | -9,059 | -9,059 | -1,971 | -1,972 | -1,973 | -7,681 | -7,682 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1964 | -6,490 | -5,569 | -5,570 | -13,184 | -20,065 | -25,869 | -25,869 | -16,063 | 3,808 | 1,616 | -574 | -2,692 |
| 1965 | -4,878 | 14,456 | 19,521 | 19,529 | 19,539 | 18,739 | 15,829 | 13,518 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 |
| 1966 | -4,019 | -1,258 | 751 | -7,050 | -13,021 | -12,373 | 3,808 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -6,488 |
| 1967 | -8,672 | -10,513 | -2,902 | -2,904 | -2,906 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 |
| 1968 | -3,068 | -3,068 | 4,019 | -4,541 | -12,276 | -18,080 | -20,198 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,488 |
| 1969 | -4,677 | -4,676 | -1,822 | -1,823 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1970 | -3,351 | 15,983 | 35,961 | -3,935 | -7,436 | -4,438 | -6,555 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1971 | -2,400 | 363 | 363 | 363 | 363 | -10,197 | -12,315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1972 | -6,490 | -6,490 | -1,734 | 1,119 | -598 | -6,402 | -8,519 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -6,487 |
| 1973 | -7,435 | -9,276 | -2,189 | -2,191 | -2,191 | -2,191 | -4,033 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,487 |
| 1974 | -8,388 | -8,387 | -8,388 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1975 | -2,400 | 16,935 | 36,914 | 25,423 | 22,001 | 19,337 | 19,337 | 3,935 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1976 | -1,448 | -527 | 6,560 | 6,565 | 6,568 | 765 | -1,352 | -3,540 | -5,654 | -7,836 | -10,013 | -12,119 |
| 1977 | -17,155 | -21,758 | -21,757 | -18,921 | -16,367 | -22,170 | -24,288 | -26,442 | -28,490 | -25,577 | -25,498 | -34,635 |
| 1978 | -44,111 | -45,953 | -43,099 | -45,790 | -45,825 | -45,825 | -50,612 | -3,935 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -3,994 |
| 1979 | -43 | 878 | 877 | -1,976 | -1,977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -6,488 |
| 1980 | -7,531 | 11,803 | 27,024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1981 | -4,302 | -3,382 | 3,706 | -2,000 | -7,157 | -18,669 | -18,668 | -12,054 | -2,490 | -4,676 | -6,858 | -8,970 |
| 1982 | -6,110 | -1,507 | 396 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 |
| 1984 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,738 | -11,659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1985 | -4,302 | 15,032 | 35,010 | 24,468 | 14,943 | 9,141 | 7,023 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,487 |
| 1986 | -8,672 | -14,288 | 9 | -10,059 | -10,064 | -1,587 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1987 | -4,302 | -3,382 | -3,382 | -2,432 | -1,574 | -7,377 | -9,495 | -11,677 | -4,330 | -6,513 | -8,693 | -10,803 |
| 1988 | -15,839 | -20,442 | -13,354 | -19,071 | -24,236 | -30,040 | -32,158 | -26,953 | 3,808 | 1,616 | -574 | -5,177 |
| 1989 | -8,028 | -8,028 | -10,882 | -13,743 | -16,328 | -22,131 | -12,794 | 0 | 0 | -3,805 | -6,179 | -13,540 |
| 1990 | -20,193 | -860 | 14,363 | 3,810 | -5,725 | -11,529 | -13,647 | 0 | 0 | -1,046 | -2,948 | -7,549 |
| 1991 | -11,351 | -8,589 | -5,735 | -5,738 | -5,742 | -13,353 | -13,352 | -7,972 | 0 | -951 | -5,707 | -8,465 |
| 1992 | -10,364 | -14,046 | -15,949 | -16,909 | -19,326 | -35,498 | -40,102 | 0 | -2,340 | -2,337 | -2,334 | -6,015 |
| 1993 | -9,818 | -7,056 | -5,153 | -5,156 | -5,159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1994 | -1,448 | -527 | -527 | 2,326 | -6,265 | -12,068 | -14,186 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,487 |
| 1995 | -10,289 | 9,046 | 29,024 | 26,377 | 20,001 | 3,033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 |
| 1996 | -4,020 | -3,099 | -768 | -769 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1997 | -2,399 | -1,478 | -1,479 | 0 | 0 | -10,465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1998 | -3,350 | -2,430 | 4,658 | 4,660 | 4,663 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -7,066 |
| 1999 | -7,063 | -6,143 | -5,191 | -11,853 | -11,858 | -11,859 | -10,426 | -684 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 2000 | -4,302 | 15,032 | 35,011 | 19,714 | 12,851 | -468 | -2,586 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -6,488 |
| 2001 | -6,484 | -6,484 | 603 | -6,056 | -14,652 | -26,069 | -28,186 | 0 | -214 | -2,402 | -4,587 | -6,701 |
| 2002 | -3,843 | -2,922 | 883 | -3,873 | -8,171 | -18,732 | -20,850 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,488 |
| Avg (21-02) | -7,145 | -3,435 | 2,378 | -1,115 | -3,451 | -8,198 | -7,785 | -2,292 | -1,345 | -2,242 | -4,305 | -6,672 |
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Through the fall and winter, storage in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir would be the same or higher under the alternative setting as compared to the WSIP setting. Hetch Hetchy Reservoir would fill by the end of May or June during approximately 80 percent of the years, which would prevent any difference in storage from affecting the next summer's reservoir storage. Figure 2.3-2 illustrates the difference in reservoir storage, averaged by year type, between the alternative and the WSIP settings. Also shown is the average difference in storage for the two settings during the 82-year simulation.

Table 2.3-4 illustrates the difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage between the alternative and base settings. Immediately after filling Hetch Hetchy Reservoir (May or June, and then continuing through July), there would only be occasional differences in storage at the reservoir, typically a decrease of less than 10,000 acre-feet. This is indicative of the same amount of water being passed through the reservoir regardless of the size of the conveyance capacity of the SJPL. Water not diverted to the SJPL would return to the Tuolumne River and flow to Don Pedro Reservoir. In the late summer and early fall, there would consistently be a slight difference (lower) in storage levels between the two settings, as additional diversions to the SJPL would retain Bay Area reservoir storage and serve a slightly greater demand. Some of this additional Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage depletion would be ameliorated later in the fall and into winter as SJPL diversions are reduced due to less Bay Area reservoir replenishment needs and conveyance system maintenance. Average storage is incidentally about the same in November and December for the alternative and base settings due to the assumed systemwide maintenance that would occur in the alternative setting but not in the base setting. After December, the storage gain occurring in the alternative setting would again be affected as replenishment of Bay Area reservoir storage resumes. In non-wet years, there is a difference in storage between the alternative and base settings; the alternative setting results in a lower storage in the reservoir by the end of April. Figure 2.3-3 illustrates the difference in reservoir storage, averaged by year type, between the alternative and base settings. Also shown is the average difference in storage for the two settings during the 82-year simulation. Figure 2.3-4 illustrates the average monthly storage in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the alternative and base settings.

The difference in storage in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir attributed to the diversion effects of the alternative would manifest in differences in releases from O'Shaughnessy Dam to the stream. A different amount of available reservoir space in the winter and spring due to the alternative would lead to a different ability to regulate inflow, thus potentially changing the amount of water released to the stream that is in excess of minimum release requirements. Figure 2.3-1 illustrates the stream release from O'Shaughnessy Dam for the WSIP, alternative, and base settings. Table 2.3-5 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the alternative and WSIP settings. Compared to the WSIP setting, the alternative exhibits an incrementally greater stream release, predominately during May or June, which is reflective of the months when releases to the stream are made in excess of minimum release requirements in anticipation of filling the reservoir. The few exceptions to this circumstance, during which incremental reductions in releases to the stream occur, are considered anomalous within the modeling and are simply the result of shifting releases from one month to the next. The increase in releases is the result of a less-depleted reservoir to replenish, which is the result of lesser SFPUC demands (and Tuolumne River diversions) between the settings.

Table 2.3-6 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the alternative and base settings. In this comparison, releases would be predominately less than depicted for the base setting, and these differences would typically occur during May or June. Generally, Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage would be slightly lower during non-wet years, leading to a reduction in stream releases during non-wet years if a release occurs. The few instances of stream flow increases are a result of a coincidence of several operational parameters affecting the release of water from the reservoir, including systemwide water demands, conveyance capacity and maintenance assumptions, and the watershed's hydrology.

Table 2.3-5 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the alternative and WSIP settings, expressed in terms of a monthly volume (acre-feet) of flow. Table 2.3-7 illustrates the same information and the average monthly stream releases for the alternative and WSIP settings, expressed in average monthly flow (cfs). Table 2.3-5 shows an increase in monthly flow below O'Shaughnessy Dam of up to approximately 20,000 acre-feet. Considering the manner in which releases are determined and made to the stream, it is not always meaningful to quantify the effect of these changes in terms of
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Figure 2.3-2
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Table 2.3-5
Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (Acre-feet) Modified WSIP minus WSIP


Table 2.3-6
Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (Acre-feet) Modified WSIP minus Base


Table 2.3-7

| Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 55 | 51 | 51 | 171 | 89 | 84 | 146 | 2,455 | 4,544 | 2,034 | 184 | 89 |
| Above Normal | 55 | 89 | 88 | 66 | 89 | 94 | 131 | 1,236 | 3,107 | 379 | 125 | 89 |
| Normal | 54 | 54 | 50 | 55 | 74 | 74 | 98 | 1,315 | 1,912 | 167 | 122 | 86 |
| Below Normal | 55 | 55 | 46 | 43 | 51 | 63 | 88 | 624 | 735 | 113 | 111 | 73 |
| Dry | 53 | 53 | 44 | 40 | 44 | 50 | 56 | 157 | 143 | 86 | 86 | 65 |
| All Years | 54 | 61 | 56 | 74 | 70 | 73 | 104 | 1,152 | 2,084 | 548 | 125 | 81 |
| Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - Gr | by Uni | d Runo | Grang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 55 | 51 | 51 | 167 | 89 | 84 | 144 | 2,412 | 4,550 | 2,034 | 184 | 89 |
| Above Normal | 55 | 89 | 88 | 66 | 89 | 94 | 131 | 1,192 | 3,093 | 379 | 125 | 89 |
| Normal | 54 | 54 | 50 | 55 | 74 | 74 | 98 | 1,253 | 1,890 | 167 | 122 | 86 |
| Below Normal | 55 | 55 | 46 | 43 | 51 | 63 | 91 | 550 | 709 | 113 | 111 | 73 |
| Dry | 53 | 53 | 44 | 40 | 44 | 50 | 56 | 156 | 139 | 86 | 86 | 65 |
| All Years | 54 | 61 | 56 | 73 | 70 | 73 | 104 | 1,107 | 2,072 | 548 | 125 | 81 |
| Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Modified WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 43 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 74 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

average monthly flow (cfs). ${ }^{1}$ When comparing the alternative to the WSIP setting, a change in the volume of release from O'Shaughnessy Dam to the stream would likely result in the release being delayed or initiated earlier by a matter of days. Typical springtime releases, when initiated, amount to a release of up to $3,000 \mathrm{cfs}$ (approximately 6,000 acre-feet over the span of a day). Using the assumption that a change in release volume equates to a delay or an earlier initiation of releasing 6,000 acre-feet per day means that the difference in stream release between the alternative and WSIP would be up to an added three days of release. Normally, this change in release would not affect the peak stream release rate during a year. Table 2.3-8 illustrates the average monthly stream release for the alternative and base settings, and the differences, expressed in average monthly flow (cfs). Table 2.3-6 illustrates that the difference in monthly flow below O'Shaughnessy Dam between the alternative and base settings could range from an increase of approximately 18,000 acre-feet to a decrease of approximately 39,000 acre-feet. Using the same metric as described above to estimate the delay or addition in the number days of release to the stream, the alternative could lead to an effect ranging from an increase of three days of release to a decrease of up to seven days compared to the base setting.

Table 2.3-8

| Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 55 | 51 | 51 | 171 | 89 | 84 | 146 | 2,455 | 4,544 | 2,034 | 184 | 89 |
| Above Normal | 55 | 89 | 88 | 66 | 89 | 94 | 131 | 1,236 | 3,107 | 379 | 125 | 89 |
| Normal | 54 | 54 | 50 | 55 | 74 | 74 | 98 | 1,315 | 1,912 | 167 | 122 | 86 |
| Below Normal | 55 | 55 | 46 | 43 | 51 | 63 | 88 | 624 | 735 | 113 | 111 | 73 |
| Dry | 53 | 53 | 44 | 40 | 44 | 50 | 56 | 157 | 143 | 86 | 86 | 65 |
| All Years | 54 | 61 | 56 | 74 | 70 | 73 | 104 | 1,152 | 2,084 | 548 | 125 | 81 |
| Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - G | by Un | Run | Grang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 55 | 51 | 51 | 173 | 89 | 93 | 148 | 2,510 | 4,534 | 2,034 | 184 | 90 |
| Above Normal | 55 | 96 | 88 | 66 | 93 | 86 | 131 | 1,249 | 3,092 | 379 | 125 | 89 |
| Normal | 54 | 54 | 50 | 51 | 74 | 74 | 98 | 1,443 | 1,909 | 167 | 122 | 86 |
| Below Normal | 55 | 55 | 46 | 43 | 51 | 63 | 91 | 723 | 763 | 113 | 111 | 73 |
| Dry | 53 | 53 | 44 | 40 | 44 | 50 | 60 | 199 | 168 | 86 | 86 | 65 |
| All Years | 54 | 62 | 56 | 74 | 70 | 73 | 106 | 1,219 | 2,089 | 548 | 125 | 81 |
| Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) Modified WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 0 | -9 | -2 | -55 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Above Normal | 0 | -7 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 8 | 0 | -14 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -129 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -99 | -28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -42 | -25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -2 | -68 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^2]
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### 2.4 Lake Lloyd and Lake Eleanor

Compared to the operation of the WSIP, the operation of Lake Lloyd and Lake Eleanor are simulated to be only slightly different for the alternative. Figure 2.4-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Lake Lloyd storage and stream releases. Shown in Figure 2.4-1 are the results for the WSIP, alternative, and base settings. The operation resulting from the alternative is essentially the same as the WSIP setting, including during drought. The level of delivery between the alternative and base settings is larger during the 1987-1992 drought, and water delivery reliability has been improved in the alternative setting; as a result, the drawdown of Lake Lloyd during this period looks similar to that in the WSIP setting. Although there is less water delivered during this period in the alternative setting compared to the WSIP setting, more water is delivered in the alternative setting than in the base setting. The additional draw of water reduced the amount of water released from Hetch Hetchy Reservoir to Don Pedro Reservoir in the alternative setting, which, in order to satisfy TID/MID entitlements to inflow, was met with additional releases from Lake Lloyd, similar to the WSIP setting. The additional release from Lake Lloyd associated with the alternative appears to be approximately the same as in the WSIP setting in this instance, which is partially a factor of modeling discretion in that the HH/LSM makes release decisions in the form of block amounts of releases. Additional refinement of modeling assumptions would likely produce a result that places Lake Lloyd storage during this drought period between the base setting and WSIP setting results. Otherwise, the results for Lake Lloyd storage are essentially the same between the WSIP and alternative settings.

Figure 2.4-2 illustrates the almost identical operation of Lake Eleanor for the alternative and WSIP settings. Also shown in Figure 2.4-2 is the operation for the base setting. Any difference in the Lake Eleanor operation would be caused by a small change in operation at Lake Lloyd that would affect the operation of the Cherry-Eleanor Tunnel between the two watersheds. Any difference that occurs in the simulations is more likely the result of modeling discretion as opposed to any substantive difference in operation.

Supplementing the Figure 2.4-1 representation of Lake Lloyd stream releases is Table 2.4-1, which illustrates releases for the alternative and WSIP settings, and the difference in releases between the two settings. Table 2.4-2 provides the same form of information for the alternative and base settings. With essentially no change in reservoir operations, stream releases will not be different.

### 2.5 Don Pedro Reservoir and La Grange Releases

A change in Don Pedro Reservoir operation is caused by changes in inflow to the reservoir and the releases from the reservoir. The changes in inflow to the reservoir are the result of net changes within the operation of the upstream SFPUC facilities described previously, and other changes in SFPUC operations associated with diversions to the Holm, Kirkwood, and Moccasin Powerhouses. Figure 2.5-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Don Pedro Reservoir storage and Tuolumne River stream releases from La Grange Dam. Shown in Figure 2.5-1 are the results for the WSIP, alternative, and base settings. Supplementing the Figure 2.5-1 representation of Don Pedro Reservoir storage are Table 2.5-1, Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Modified WSIP); Table 2.5-2, Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (WSIP); and Table 2.5-3, Difference in Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Modified WSIP minus WSIP). Table 2.5-4 is provided to illustrate the difference in Don Pedro Reservoir storage between the base and alternative settings.

Table 2.5-3 shows that, throughout many years, the storage in Don Pedro Reservoir associated with the alternative setting would differ from the storage in the WSIP setting, and this difference would almost always be more storage. Table 2.5-4 illustrates that Don Pedro Reservoir storage for the alternative is close to the storage depicted for the base setting; storage is either higher or lower, but is typically higher than in the base setting. Compared to the WSIP setting, the differences in storage are indicative of the increase in inflow to Don Pedro Reservoir that is due to lesser SFPUC demands and SJPL diversions in the alternative setting. The increases in storage are also due to a decrease in TID/MID canal diversions from the assumption that conserved water would be developed for the SFPUC transfer. Compared to the base setting, the alternative would result in typically less inflow to Don Pedro Reservoir during non-wet years and particularly during drought periods when more water is diverted

Figure 2.4-1
Lake Lloyd Storage and Stream Release



Figure 2.4-2
Lake Eleanor Storage and Stream Release
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Table 2.4-1

| Lake Lloyd Re | Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 5 | 11 | 134 | 107 | 25 | 21 | 5 | 284 | 1,058 | 363 | 15 | 15 |
| Above Normal | 5 | 72 | 25 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 166 | 446 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 110 | 162 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Below Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 39 | 43 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Dry | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| All Years | 5 | 20 | 34 | 27 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 120 | 340 | 83 | 15 | 15 |
| Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 5 | 11 | 134 | 107 | 25 | 21 | 5 | 284 | 1,058 | 363 | 15 | 15 |
| Above Normal | 5 | 72 | 25 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 167 | 451 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 110 | 162 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Below Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 39 | 43 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Dry | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| All Years | 5 | 20 | 34 | 27 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 121 | 341 | 83 | 15 | 15 |
| Difference in Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) Modified WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.4-2

| Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 5 | 11 | 134 | 107 | 25 | 21 | 5 | 284 | 1,058 | 363 | 15 | 15 |
| Above Normal | 5 | 72 | 25 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 166 | 446 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 110 | 162 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Below Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 39 | 43 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Dry | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| All Years | 5 | 20 | 34 | 27 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 120 | 340 | 83 | 15 | 15 |
| Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - Gr | by Un | Runo | Grang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 5 | 11 | 134 | 107 | 14 | 21 | 5 | 284 | 1,076 | 363 | 15 | 15 |
| Above Normal | 5 | 72 | 25 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 164 | 462 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 110 | 162 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Below Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 39 | 43 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Dry | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| All Years | 5 | 20 | 34 | 27 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 120 | 347 | 83 | 15 | 15 |
| Difference in Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Modified WSIP minus Base |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 2.5-1
Don Pedro Reservoir Storage and Release below La Grange Dam





Table 2.5-1
Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)


Table 2.5-2
Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 1,262,860 | 1,277,365 | 1,340,344 | 1,508,876 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,758,255 | 1,920,087 | 1,785,379 | 1,633,202 | 1,551,799 |
| 1922 | 1,466,449 | 1,451,643 | 1,475,936 | 1,496,100 | 1,682,686 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,965,236 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,094 | 1,790,026 | 1,700,016 |
| 1923 | 1,638,028 | 1,643,364 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,989 | 1,689,997 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,799,363 | 1,900,966 | 1,828,869 | 1,683,448 | 1,632,370 |
| 1924 | 1,563,169 | 1,547,842 | 1,533,824 | 1,515,415 | 1,506,005 | 1,417,560 | 1,338,399 | 1,262,605 | 1,162,134 | 1,052,503 | 951,855 | 904,167 |
| 1925 | 906,788 | 921,085 | 985,076 | 1,028,777 | 1,205,262 | 1,311,674 | 1,436,468 | 1,560,568 | 1,684,578 | 1,582,202 | 1,438,920 | 1,367,376 |
| 1926 | 1,304,106 | 1,296,082 | 1,296,519 | 1,290,435 | 1,361,093 | 1,400,064 | 1,518,241 | 1,532,438 | 1,430,226 | 1,287,212 | 1,162,635 | 1,099,288 |
| 1927 | 1,044,610 | 1,084,270 | 1,129,224 | 1,168,777 | 1,346,690 | 1,463,332 | 1,567,658 | 1,688,723 | 1,936,134 | 1,852,362 | 1,703,718 | 1,627,130 |
| 1928 | 1,606,224 | 1,637,560 | 1,672,026 | 1,675,150 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,701,151 | 1,877,285 | 1,835,437 | 1,667,682 | 1,522,481 | 1,445,074 |
| 1929 | 1,362,145 | 1,353,824 | 1,350,930 | 1,337,716 | 1,346,569 | 1,351,080 | 1,341,527 | 1,323,621 | 1,392,489 | 1,266,466 | 1,150,912 | 1,087,613 |
| 1930 | 1,032,080 | 1,016,460 | 1,051,972 | 1,071,954 | 1,112,838 | 1,138,506 | 1,107,377 | 1,098,218 | 1,186,818 | 1,067,154 | 960,515 | 908,251 |
| 1931 | 864,235 | 866,605 | 904,039 | 902,201 | 933,725 | 896,662 | 839,706 | 804,980 | 747,051 | 671,410 | 610,497 | 591,503 |
| 1932 | 565,821 | 560,723 | 704,485 | 844,787 | 1,084,372 | 1,221,695 | 1,205,745 | 1,259,030 | 1,378,752 | 1,327,642 | 1,189,590 | 1,113,456 |
| 1933 | 1,025,224 | 1,000,826 | 998,521 | 983,959 | 1,008,603 | 995,965 | 955,100 | 959,906 | 1,007,489 | 894,719 | 782,336 | 724,120 |
| 1934 | 667,461 | 656,295 | 676,788 | 711,356 | 777,968 | 868,739 | 854,724 | 813,053 | 786,448 | 712,923 | 652,109 | 634,358 |
| 1935 | 624,570 | 638,297 | 677,837 | 832,051 | 956,075 | 1,079,921 | 1,337,695 | 1,442,297 | 1,633,298 | 1,541,356 | 1,416,179 | 1,343,212 |
| 1936 | 1,311,194 | 1,303,236 | 1,297,699 | 1,351,659 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,808,939 | 2,003,094 | 1,900,592 | 1,747,881 | 1,665,690 |
| 1937 | 1,613,022 | 1,592,326 | 1,585,791 | 1,579,717 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,792,830 | 1,982,099 | 1,843,316 | 1,694,437 | 1,610,230 |
| 1938 | 1,536,751 | 1,528,196 | 1,689,998 | 1,689,992 | 1,689,987 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,730,000 | 2,025,000 | 1,959,369 | 1,790,073 | 1,700,032 |
| 1939 | 1,672,242 | 1,671,809 | 1,685,673 | 1,689,024 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,634,629 | 1,601,698 | 1,473,709 | 1,301,817 | 1,157,373 | 1,119,194 |
| 1940 | 1,077,628 | 1,070,702 | 1,134,704 | 1,288,559 | 1,565,488 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,808,008 | 1,950,520 | 1,780,688 | 1,627,700 | 1,539,737 |
| 1941 | 1,469,773 | 1,454,423 | 1,553,735 | 1,689,994 | 1,689,991 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,804,234 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,157 | 1,790,024 | 1,700,010 |
| 1942 | 1,641,462 | 1,634,171 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,982 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,765,000 | 2,027,000 | 1,950,170 | 1,790,025 | 1,700,004 |
| 1943 | 1,619,298 | 1,656,980 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,976 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,940,240 | 2,030,000 | 1,940,444 | 1,790,004 | 1,700,004 |
| 1944 | 1,627,652 | 1,614,506 | 1,602,762 | 1,595,713 | 1,659,696 | 1,690,000 | 1,654,802 | 1,700,608 | 1,738,836 | 1,608,117 | 1,463,726 | 1,386,992 |
| 1945 | 1,362,396 | 1,410,433 | 1,456,868 | 1,483,156 | 1,689,997 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,750,377 | 1,973,670 | 1,906,466 | 1,749,519 | 1,662,142 |
| 1946 | 1,664,336 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,996 | 1,689,984 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,726,331 | 1,786,301 | 1,618,009 | 1,459,654 | 1,373,770 |
| 1947 | 1,314,592 | 1,331,036 | 1,364,362 | 1,376,577 | 1,405,177 | 1,370,566 | 1,295,486 | 1,351,369 | 1,288,812 | 1,144,830 | 1,017,268 | 954,574 |
| 1948 | 958,700 | 959,989 | 998,610 | 997,725 | 983,836 | 1,013,678 | 1,114,286 | 1,251,048 | 1,420,232 | 1,377,867 | 1,303,272 | 1,271,554 |
| 1949 | 1,247,966 | 1,239,259 | 1,234,425 | 1,223,326 | 1,235,015 | 1,400,436 | 1,383,115 | 1,432,798 | 1,409,371 | 1,242,728 | 1,096,786 | 1,022,286 |
| 1950 | 944,784 | 935,019 | 938,337 | 962,506 | 1,119,822 | 1,253,320 | 1,285,258 | 1,291,998 | 1,375,323 | 1,221,712 | 1,078,645 | 1,020,719 |
| 1951 | 1,018,036 | 1,422,514 | 1,689,995 | 1,689,971 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,664,085 | 1,570,386 | 1,596,323 | 1,438,802 | 1,296,271 | 1,217,452 |
| 1952 | 1,176,472 | 1,184,189 | 1,305,781 | 1,533,995 | 1,689,998 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,895,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,951,049 | 1,790,051 | 1,700,027 |
| 1953 | 1,614,775 | 1,604,850 | 1,619,190 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,998 | 1,688,681 | 1,619,217 | 1,588,332 | 1,773,663 | 1,724,813 | 1,589,215 | 1,514,922 |
| 1954 | 1,449,795 | 1,449,008 | 1,452,649 | 1,459,444 | 1,505,884 | 1,611,838 | 1,643,837 | 1,773,541 | 1,769,428 | 1,605,391 | 1,456,830 | 1,379,013 |
| 1955 | 1,300,328 | 1,300,104 | 1,318,386 | 1,350,951 | 1,401,218 | 1,464,906 | 1,489,052 | 1,525,796 | 1,487,090 | 1,348,288 | 1,221,076 | 1,163,037 |
| 1956 | 1,100,763 | 1,099,427 | 1,651,474 | 1,689,947 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,804,698 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,170 | 1,790,030 | 1,700,025 |
| 1957 | 1,639,825 | 1,624,492 | 1,616,539 | 1,610,979 | 1,668,413 | 1,690,000 | 1,553,124 | 1,584,074 | 1,786,699 | 1,635,352 | 1,492,723 | 1,419,571 |
| 1958 | 1,403,575 | 1,396,361 | 1,409,069 | 1,432,024 | 1,578,593 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,910,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,170 | 1,790,046 | 1,700,029 |
| 1959 | 1,611,062 | 1,589,728 | 1,567,833 | 1,592,273 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,662,406 | 1,600,478 | 1,493,480 | 1,324,246 | 1,178,165 | 1,178,441 |
| 1960 | 1,101,196 | 1,090,401 | 1,113,627 | 1,113,311 | 1,220,539 | 1,228,588 | 1,240,002 | 1,245,830 | 1,168,185 | 1,034,178 | 923,226 | 874,650 |
| 1961 | 827,383 | 826,615 | 897,810 | 899,493 | 908,561 | 870,204 | 842,562 | 814,709 | 769,512 | 703,148 | 648,423 | 629,635 |
| 1962 | 604,125 | 599,069 | 626,802 | 630,729 | 817,825 | 938,956 | 931,539 | 835,624 | 1,048,335 | 953,860 | 814,699 | 742,797 |
| 1963 | 700,558 | 694,902 | 745,217 | 790,246 | 957,421 | 1,023,119 | 1,119,414 | 1,363,268 | 1,654,516 | 1,631,866 | 1,513,806 | 1,455,856 |
| 1964 | 1,437,657 | 1,487,272 | 1,502,934 | 1,521,014 | 1,535,522 | 1,502,343 | 1,443,836 | 1,438,577 | 1,397,068 | 1,238,632 | 1,101,362 | 1,031,330 |
| 1965 | 1,018,694 | 1,042,070 | 1,471,762 | 1,689,988 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,743,852 | 1,900,867 | 1,898,947 | 1,790,038 | 1,700,028 |
| 1966 | 1,615,736 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,998 | 1,689,996 | 1,689,998 | 1,690,000 | 1,670,732 | 1,742,447 | 1,620,676 | 1,452,534 | 1,306,169 | 1,236,095 |
| 1967 | 1,160,837 | 1,194,375 | 1,348,066 | 1,447,078 | 1,544,910 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,880,000 | 2,030,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,790,252 | 1,700,021 |
| 1968 | 1,619,820 | 1,607,624 | 1,605,760 | 1,605,959 | 1,668,870 | 1,690,000 | 1,614,396 | 1,614,311 | 1,547,133 | 1,375,977 | 1,237,984 | 1,160,815 |
| 1969 | 1,124,725 | 1,154,047 | 1,243,529 | 1,689,996 | 1,689,990 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,930,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,975,279 | 1,790,111 | 1,700,033 |
| 1970 | 1,676,114 | 1,681,553 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,952 | 1,689,996 | 1,690,000 | 1,649,691 | 1,718,076 | 1,804,962 | 1,670,839 | 1,531,070 | 1,453,473 |
| 1971 | 1,394,102 | 1,437,025 | 1,524,073 | 1,589,976 | 1,659,167 | 1,690,000 | 1,647,943 | 1,676,856 | 1,840,272 | 1,736,038 | 1,599,726 | 1,530,826 |
| 1972 | 1,469,268 | 1,477,826 | 1,521,421 | 1,571,887 | 1,625,342 | 1,603,292 | 1,501,630 | 1,475,950 | 1,480,830 | 1,319,081 | 1,185,074 | 1,119,002 |
| 1973 | 1,081,006 | 1,094,033 | 1,176,102 | 1,304,888 | 1,484,502 | 1,646,959 | 1,675,219 | 1,921,511 | 2,030,000 | 1,863,873 | 1,716,891 | 1,634,144 |
| 1974 | 1,625,114 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,998 | 1,689,983 | 1,689,998 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,964,185 | 2,030,000 | 1,943,894 | 1,790,018 | 1,700,018 |
| 1975 | 1,671,620 | 1,661,732 | 1,660,185 | 1,665,519 | 1,689,996 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,824,854 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,013 | 1,790,077 | 1,700,024 |
| 1976 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,664,706 | 1,649,459 | 1,519,032 | 1,432,156 | 1,326,070 | 1,216,796 | 1,085,092 | 998,502 | 968,734 |
| 1977 | 932,654 | 925,543 | 955,652 | 938,503 | 920,299 | 807,858 | 717,610 | 671,981 | 616,184 | 544,084 | 486,059 | 467,586 |
| 1978 | 447,583 | 445,345 | 497,628 | 642,718 | 811,604 | 1,050,470 | 1,227,230 | 1,356,274 | 1,761,000 | 1,841,159 | 1,704,419 | 1,692,926 |
| 1979 | 1,606,278 | 1,609,357 | 1,608,413 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,827,795 | 1,673,824 | 1,527,042 | 1,450,952 |
| 1980 | 1,419,903 | 1,422,622 | 1,442,656 | 1,689,977 | 1,689,987 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,890,400 | 1,960,200 | 1,950,171 | 1,790,057 | 1,700,035 |
| 1981 | 1,617,942 | 1,596,204 | 1,588,406 | 1,595,955 | 1,619,607 | 1,690,000 | 1,710,315 | 1,694,081 | 1,626,429 | 1,461,340 | 1,330,112 | 1,262,473 |
| 1982 | 1,253,640 | 1,360,563 | 1,511,306 | 1,689,997 | 1,689,988 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,876,400 | 2,002,900 | 1,954,717 | 1,790,097 | 1,700,116 |
| 1983 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,995 | 1,689,966 | 1,689,989 | 1,294,700 | 1,264,000 | 1,270,800 | 1,851,400 | 2,030,000 | 1,869,137 | 1,700,118 |
| 1984 | 1,666,919 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,992 | 1,689,972 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,614,072 | 1,682,328 | 1,778,205 | 1,646,266 | 1,496,949 | 1,414,071 |
| 1985 | 1,399,091 | 1,434,211 | 1,478,590 | 1,469,173 | 1,504,226 | 1,570,360 | 1,558,812 | 1,616,719 | 1,550,570 | 1,386,112 | 1,251,881 | 1,188,728 |
| 1986 | 1,162,153 | 1,183,366 | 1,254,950 | 1,319,946 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,888,300 | 2,001,400 | 1,917,776 | 1,770,749 | 1,700,004 |
| 1987 | 1,641,221 | 1,619,848 | 1,601,298 | 1,570,175 | 1,566,241 | 1,592,870 | 1,533,147 | 1,433,211 | 1,330,588 | 1,195,991 | 1,085,371 | 1,032,594 |
| 1988 | 1,010,460 | 1,009,573 | 1,045,756 | 1,099,567 | 1,155,125 | 1,128,364 | 1,103,870 | 1,063,204 | 1,011,973 | 943,381 | 884,236 | 862,821 |
| 1989 | 836,632 | 844,157 | 876,836 | 900,479 | 930,034 | 1,051,709 | 1,029,659 | 1,110,864 | 1,160,578 | 1,025,069 | 913,162 | 908,781 |
| 1990 | 935,547 | 934,238 | 954,290 | 956,979 | 989,562 | 968,936 | 944,775 | 965,169 | 990,902 | 924,588 | 852,141 | 815,058 |
| 1991 | 799,492 | 794,262 | 814,409 | 803,540 | 782,227 | 856,893 | 858,476 | 917,797 | 1,020,071 | 946,830 | 874,711 | 843,590 |
| 1992 | 844,030 | 841,586 | 863,738 | 868,291 | 931,612 | 990,953 | 1,044,352 | 1,043,659 | 967,160 | 874,930 | 761,589 | 698,367 |
| 1993 | 662,549 | 656,233 | 682,056 | 877,956 | 1,027,740 | 1,267,172 | 1,365,280 | 1,684,448 | 1,941,790 | 1,923,275 | 1,785,144 | 1,700,014 |
| 1994 | 1,627,176 | 1,612,969 | 1,599,152 | 1,589,196 | 1,599,258 | 1,567,682 | 1,531,904 | 1,523,687 | 1,478,913 | 1,356,482 | 1,256,718 | 1,211,217 |
| 1995 | 1,172,146 | 1,191,956 | 1,236,737 | 1,494,252 | 1,599,122 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,629,700 | 1,982,800 | 2,030,000 | 1,814,001 | 1,700,059 |
| 1996 | 1,608,079 | 1,583,093 | 1,604,410 | 1,672,573 | 1,689,990 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 2,002,400 | 2,030,000 | 1,930,383 | 1,782,384 | 1,700,010 |
| 1997 | 1,667,198 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,993 | 1,689,875 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,598,189 | 1,839,987 | 1,951,576 | 1,810,929 | 1,668,846 | 1,615,122 |
| 1998 | 1,533,848 | 1,527,463 | 1,528,868 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,989 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,714,000 | 1,987,500 | 2,030,000 | 1,790,242 | 1,700,022 |
| 1999 | 1,662,014 | 1,675,303 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,986 | 1,689,990 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,781,250 | 1,998,452 | 1,868,511 | 1,726,752 | 1,652,772 |
| 2000 | 1,566,486 | 1,554,834 | 1,539,188 | 1,615,107 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,981,851 | 2,030,000 | 1,864,407 | 1,719,364 | 1,644,682 |
| 2001 | 1,634,728 | 1,622,232 | 1,613,706 | 1,605,692 | 1,629,054 | 1,690,000 | 1,716,911 | 1,801,382 | 1,668,653 | 1,504,167 | 1,365,411 | 1,300,012 |
| 2002 | 1,238,260 | 1,249,893 | 1,323,366 | 1,378,790 | 1,430,954 | 1,481,487 | 1,466,303 | 1,583,557 | 1,606,470 | 1,443,430 | 1,305,249 | 1,232,624 |
| Avg (21-02) | 1,289,807 | 1,299,980 | 1,345,245 | 1,393,639 | 1,456,995 | 1,482,690 | 1,485,599 | 1,553,787 | 1,637,503 | 1,537,849 | 1,401,689 | 1,333,676 |

Table 2.5-3
Difference in Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)


Table 2.5-4
Difference in Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)

| iffe | Pedro | servoir | torage | (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  | ied WSIP | us B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| 1921 | 10,552 | 10,546 | 10,546 | 10,549 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,139 | -2,395 | -4,568 | -1,554 | 4,440 |
| 1922 | 6,030 | 6,026 | 6,027 | 6,029 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,184 | 0 | -5 | 7 | 9 |
| 1923 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,124 | -11,208 | -13,343 | -10,291 | -4,268 |
| 1924 | -2,661 | -2,660 | -2,660 | -2,661 | -2,661 | -2,660 | 764 | 5,875 | 5,784 | 5,674 | 8,573 | 14,491 |
| 1925 | 16,055 | 16,044 | 16,043 | 16,041 | 16,041 | 16,026 | 15,797 | 11,678 | 9,526 | 7,302 | 10,262 | 16,218 |
| 1926 | 17,783 | 17,773 | 17,278 | 17,283 | 17,209 | 17,778 | 17,484 | 18,161 | -2,695 | -2,684 | 322 | 6,311 |
| 1927 | 7,897 | 7,891 | 14,492 | 14,496 | 14,498 | 14,492 | 17,477 | 15,725 | 0 | -2,184 | 2 | 9 |
| 1928 | 1,609 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -899 | 2,911 | 787 | 784 | 3,774 | 9,750 |
| 1929 | 11,328 | 11,322 | 11,323 | 11,326 | 11,327 | 11,322 | 14,310 | 4,627 | -6,889 | -6,858 | -3,833 | 2,170 |
| 1930 | 3,764 | 3,761 | 3,762 | 3,762 | 3,763 | 3,762 | 6,757 | 13,480 | 11,320 | 11,270 | 14,211 | 20,151 |
| 1931 | 21,706 | 21,692 | 21,693 | 21,700 | 21,702 | 21,694 | 24,670 | 30,593 | 30,483 | 30,339 | 33,182 | 39,058 |
| 1932 | 40,576 | 40,553 | 31,674 | 24,416 | 15,716 | -1,032 | -3,076 | -1,657 | -7,367 | -9,517 | -6,480 | -468 |
| 1933 | 1,131 | 1,130 | 1,131 | 1,130 | 1,130 | 1,131 | 177 | 2,115 | -9,670 | -11,809 | -8,760 | -2,740 |
| 1934 | -1,135 | -1,135 | 1,150 | -1,171 | 755 | -1,211 | -1,530 | -6,447 | -8,222 | -8,183 | -5,150 | 857 |
| 1935 | 2,453 | 2,452 | 2,452 | -14,519 | -30,168 | -20,129 | -25,734 | -25,796 | -43,945 | -45,937 | -42,745 | -36,614 |
| 1936 | -34,940 | -34,921 | -34,969 | -34,941 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4,470 | 2,341 | 148 | 3,140 | 9,121 |
| 1937 | 10,701 | 10,695 | 10,696 | 10,699 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,568 | -8,918 | -11,064 | -8,021 | -2,006 |
| 1938 | -404 | -402 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,183 | 2 | 9 |
| 1939 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 1,607 | 976 | 0 | 0 | -12,780 | -5,138 | -7,235 | -7,202 | -4,176 | 1,827 |
| 1940 | 3,422 | 3,420 | -502 | 2,277 | 1,426 | 0 | 0 | 12,724 | 9,006 | 8,966 | 11,921 | 17,872 |
| 1941 | 19,434 | 19,422 | 18,813 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,573 | 0 | -4 | 7 | 10 |
| 1942 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| 1943 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,042 | 0 | -2,183 | 2 | 10 |
| 1944 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 1,607 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 0 | 2,998 | -19,024 | -21,076 | -23,169 | -20,072 | -14,015 |
| 1945 | -12,387 | -12,381 | -12,381 | -12,385 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,512 | 23,217 | 20,934 | 23,835 | 29,751 |
| 1946 | 31,289 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,291 | -827 | -823 | 2,173 | 8,155 |
| 1947 | 9,737 | 9,732 | 9,732 | 9,734 | 9,735 | 9,732 | 12,721 | 6,957 | 4,819 | 4,798 | 7,769 | 13,733 |
| 1948 | 15,301 | 15,293 | 15,293 | 15,297 | 15,299 | 15,293 | 14,531 | 16,879 | 7,186 | 5,052 | 8,089 | 14,092 |
| 1949 | 15,678 | 15,673 | 15,675 | 15,657 | 15,660 | 16,321 | 19,398 | 24,089 | 21,559 | 21,461 | 24,354 | 30,262 |
| 1950 | 31,798 | 31,779 | 20,780 | 29,360 | 14,494 | 11,170 | 14,470 | 19,919 | 26,493 | 24,987 | 27,865 | 33,761 |
| 1951 | 35,290 | 24,301 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,622 | 7,921 | 2,378 | 184 | 3,176 | 9,155 |
| 1952 | 10,735 | 10,728 | 10,729 | 9,517 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | 2 | 10 |
| 1953 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,607 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -14,862 | -9,783 | -10,670 | -12,808 | -9,760 | -3,737 |
| 1954 | -2,131 | -2,130 | -2,130 | -2,131 | -2,130 | -2,130 | 871 | -9,349 | -11,433 | -11,384 | -8,339 | -2,321 |
| 1955 | -718 | -718 | -718 | -718 | -718 | -717 | 216 | 3,316 | -2,408 | -2,398 | 607 | 6,594 |
| 1956 | 8,179 | 8,175 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| 1957 | 1,607 | 1,607 | 1,607 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 0 | 2,999 | -20,075 | -22,124 | -24,212 | -21,111 | -15,050 |
| 1958 | -13,421 | -13,413 | -13,413 | -13,418 | -13,419 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| 1959 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 1,607 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | -2,197 | -11,452 | -11,414 | -11,362 | -8,316 | -2,298 |
| 1960 | -695 | -694 | -695 | -694 | -695 | -789 | 1,150 | 8,837 | 8,808 | 8,767 | 11,719 | 17,666 |
| 1961 | 19,225 | 19,214 | 10,773 | 10,777 | 10,777 | 10,773 | 13,760 | 19,715 | 19,644 | 19,551 | 22,448 | 28,357 |
| 1962 | 29,897 | 29,880 | 29,882 | 29,890 | 29,893 | 29,881 | 32,850 | -35,543 | -39,188 | -41,193 | -38,008 | -31,883 |
| 1963 | -30,216 | -30,197 | -22,272 | -8,960 | -30,220 | -30,209 | -27,182 | -28,787 | -28,692 | -30,750 | -27,623 | -21,543 |
| 1964 | -19,901 | -19,890 | -19,891 | -19,896 | -8,124 | -8,120 | -7,231 | -13,185 | -35,078 | -34,920 | -31,764 | -25,668 |
| 1965 | -24,017 | -24,003 | -14,799 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,340 | 19,881 | 17,611 | 41 | 10 |
| 1966 | 1,608 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,292 | -7,644 | -9,734 | -9,690 | -6,652 | -639 |
| 1967 | 960 | 960 | 960 | 960 | 960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 1968 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 0 | 2,998 | -13,367 | -15,436 | -15,366 | -12,301 | -6,271 |
| 1969 | -4,659 | -4,656 | -4,657 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | 2 | 10 |
| 1970 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 2,999 | 254 | -1,861 | -4,036 | -1,025 | 4,968 |
| 1971 | 6,557 | 6,552 | 6,553 | 6,554 | 6,556 | 0 | 2,999 | -5,496 | -7,593 | -9,742 | -6,707 | -694 |
| 1972 | 905 | 905 | 905 | 904 | 905 | 905 | 3,902 | -804 | -2,916 | -2,903 | 104 | 6,093 |
| 1973 | 7,679 | 7,675 | 7,675 | 7,677 | 7,678 | 0 | 0 | 1,016 | 0 | 0 | 2,993 | 8,974 |
| 1974 | 10,554 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,191 | 0 | -2,184 | 2 | 10 |
| 1975 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 1,607 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,564 | 0 | -4 | 6 | 9 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,998 | 8,983 | 8,951 | 8,910 | 11,863 | 17,811 |
| 1977 | 19,371 | 19,360 | 19,360 | 19,366 | 19,367 | 19,360 | 22,339 | 28,269 | 28,172 | 28,028 | 30,876 | 36,726 |
| 1978 | 38,225 | 38,196 | 38,199 | 38,211 | 38,215 | 38,200 | 41,161 | -4,293 | 0 | -2,183 | 819 | 4,600 |
| 1979 | 5,095 | 5,092 | 5,092 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,114 | -2,105 | 897 | 6,885 |
| 1980 | 8,468 | 8,464 | 8,465 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| 1981 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 1,607 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 0 | 882 | -1,908 | -13,555 | -13,495 | -10,440 | -4,414 |
| 1982 | -2,806 | -2,805 | -2,805 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,183 | -2 | 0 |
| 1983 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,183 | 0 |
| 1984 | -1,466 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 2,802 | -5,035 | -7,133 | -9,286 | -6,251 | -241 |
| 1985 | 1,358 | 1,357 | 1,357 | 1,358 | 1,357 | 1,358 | 4,355 | 15,162 | 12,997 | 12,939 | 15,871 | 21,809 |
| 1986 | 23,362 | 23,349 | 9 | 11,298 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | 820 | 11 |
| 1987 | 1,610 | 1,608 | 1,609 | 1,609 | 1,609 | 1,608 | 4,606 | 10,587 | 1,110 | 1,104 | 4,092 | 10,068 |
| 1988 | 11,646 | 11,639 | 11,639 | 11,643 | 11,643 | 11,639 | 14,627 | 13,217 | -19,630 | -19,539 | -16,454 | -10,405 |
| 1989 | -8,784 | -8,779 | -8,779 | -8,782 | -8,783 | -8,780 | -17,222 | -26,333 | -28,541 | -28,412 | -25,289 | -19,211 |
| 1990 | -17,572 | -17,562 | -17,563 | -17,569 | -17,569 | -17,563 | -14,547 | -14,730 | -8,961 | -8,920 | -5,885 | 125 |
| 1991 | -3,473 | -3,471 | -4,843 | -12,641 | -14,145 | -14,140 | -11,143 | -8,906 | -21,810 | -21,733 | -18,658 | -12,615 |
| 1992 | -10,993 | -10,988 | -10,988 | -10,992 | -10,993 | -10,990 | 4,598 | -9,035 | -9,042 | -9,045 | -6,047 | -58 |
| 1993 | 1,526 | 1,524 | -6,413 | -14,665 | -14,667 | -19,965 | -16,145 | -12,014 | 0 | -5 | 7 | 10 |
| 1994 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 1,607 | 1,608 | 1,608 | 1,607 | 4,604 | -5,760 | -7,855 | -7,818 | -4,789 | 1,217 |
| 1995 | 2,812 | 2,812 | 2,811 | 2,812 | 6,795 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | 6 |
| 1996 | 1,605 | 1,604 | 1,604 | 1,605 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,183 | 820 | 11 |
| 1997 | 1,610 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,578 | -5,747 | -7,843 | -9,992 | -6,955 | -942 |
| 1998 | 658 | 658 | 658 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 |
| 1999 | 1,608 | 1,607 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,917 | -8,693 | -10,839 | -7,799 | -1,783 |
| 2000 | -182 | -181 | -181 | -182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,226 | 0 | 0 | 2,994 | 8,974 |
| 2001 | 10,555 | 10,548 | 10,550 | 10,553 | 10,553 | 0 | 689 | -23,644 | -25,468 | -25,355 | -22,247 | -16,182 |
| 2002 | -14,549 | -14,541 | -14,542 | -14,545 | -14,547 | -14,541 | -11,529 | -28,508 | -30,526 | -30,391 | -27,258 | -21,175 |
| Avg (21-02) | 4,307 | 3,621 | 2,642 | 2,388 | 1,759 | 1,233 | 1,715 | -177 | -3,240 | -4,096 | -1,896 | 2,500 |
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to the SJPL in the alternative setting. Less inflow leads to less reservoir storage. Figure 2.5-1 illustrates that during drought sequences, a reduction to inflow to Don Pedro Reservoir can accumulate from year to year, particularly in the comparison of the WSIP and base settings. Compared to the base setting, storage in Don Pedro Reservoir in the alternative setting would be nearly the same. Figure 2.5-2 illustrates the difference in reservoir storage averaged by year type for the alternative and WSIP settings. Also shown is the average difference in storage for the two settings during the 82-year simulation. Figure 2.5-3 illustrates the same information for the alternative and base settings.

Figure 2.5-2


Figure 2.5-3


Figure 2.5-4 illustrates the average monthly storage in Don Pedro Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the alternative and base settings.

The simulation shows that the occasional large storage depletions in Don Pedro Reservoir associated with the WSIP would be largely ameliorated by the use of conserved water for the transfer. In the alternative setting, the SJPL diverts an average of 17,000 acre-feet more than in the base setting, and the transfer is an annual average of 19,600 acre-feet for design drought yield purposes. It is assumed that the conservation of water for the transfer is also 19,600 acre-feet every year to satisfy the SFPUC's need for yield during the design drought sequence. Because the conserved water transfer (occurring each year) would be greater than the SJPL/inflow effect, Don Pedro Reservoir storage, and the La Grange release to the Tuolumne River as described below, could be slightly larger at times than in the base setting. In a few other instances, Don Pedro Reservoir storage and La Grange releases could be lower. The development
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and transfer of conserved water is not a perfect match (total elimination of effect) each year due to several factors, particularly the fact that the year-to-year and average numbers do not always coincide.

Figure 2.5-4


Sometimes a portion of the conserved water would be developed prior to an ensuing reservoir spill and could not be used to reduce an accumulating inflow deficit that occurred subsequent to the spill. Also, the additional SJPL diversion and its effect on Don Pedro Reservoir inflow would not occur at a constant year-to-year rate; sometimes more than the average effect, and sometimes less than the average effect, would occur. This circumstance could lead to a larger storage deficit in a year than the amount of water conserved in a year, and vise versa. Depending on the coincidence of the hydrologic sequence of Don Pedro Reservoir replenishment and the running accumulation of the inflow effect, the storage deficit might not be totally ameliorated during all hydrologic sequences.

The difference in storage in Don Pedro Reservoir attributed to the upstream effects of the alternative and the countering reduction in the TID/MID canal diversions would manifest in differences in releases from La Grange Dam to the stream. A different amount of available reservoir space in the winter and spring due to the alternative would lead to a different ability to regulate inflow, thus potentially changing the amount of water released to the stream that is in excess of minimum release requirements. During periods when inflow or canal diversions differ and Don Pedro Reservoir is at maximum capacity within the flood control storage limitation, a change in inflow or canal diversions directly manifests as a change in releases from La Grange Dam (a change of either more or less flow). Figure 2.5-1 illustrates the stream releases from La Grange Dam for the WSIP, alternative, and base settings.

Table 2.5-5 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the alternative and WSIP settings. Compared to the WSIP setting, the alternative exhibits an incrementally larger stream release, predominately during some months of the early winter through June period, which is reflective of the months when releases to the stream are made in excess of minimum release requirements due to flood control or in anticipation of filling the reservoir. Table 2.5-6 shows the same information for the alternative and WSIP settings, arranged by ranking the years in descending order of the San Joaquin River Index (an index indicating the wetness of the Tuolumne River Basin and the San Joaquin River Basin). The table illustrates the finding that differences in releases to the Tuolumne River from La Grange Dam occur only when there are releases in excess of minimum FERC flow requirements. This circumstance typically occurs only in above-normal and wet years, and predominately during early winter through June. During late summer of above-normal and wet years (August and September) there may also be releases in excess of minimum FERC flow requirements. These releases are associated with the drawdown of Don Pedro Reservoir during antecedent wetter years in anticipation of fall-time flood control objectives. During other year types and typically during the summer and fall, releases would be maintained at minimum FERC flow requirements regardless of the setting. Compared to the WSIP setting, the large potential reduction in flow following an extended drought period is reduced with the alternative, since the amount of water delivered by the SFPUC during these periods is somewhat less than that delivered in the WSIP setting, and the water for additional deliveries is derived from conserved water in Don Pedro Reservoir.
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As described above concerning Don Pedro Reservoir inflow, releases, and storage, compared to the base setting the alternative setting would lead to a mixed effect on La Grange releases. Table 2.5-7 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the alternative and base settings. Table 2.5-8 shows the same information ranked in descending order of the San Joaquin River Index. Overall, releases below La Grange Dam are very similar between the alternative and base settings. This circumstance is the intended result of the mitigation measure under this alternative to use conserved water to offset the Don Pedro Reservoir inflow effect of the SFPUC's additional diversion of water from the Tuolumne River. As seen in some months, such as August and September, there are occasional increases in La Grange releases. These are instances when developing conserved water every year sometimes only adds to the water that would be released in excess of FERC requirements during a drawdown of storage prior to the fall flood control level at Don Pedro. Also, some positive values occur when early-season conserved water only adds to Don Pedro spills prior to filling.

In year-to-year operations, the conserved water could be adjusted if it would merely turn into an unneeded spill. However, outside of flood events, additional flow during the summer as a result of the conserved could be welcomed. For purposes of this analysis, the conserved water is assumed to be developed each year. However, it should be noted that the additional flow that occurs due to the conserved water was not explicitly patterned for any purpose except to draw Don Pedro Reservoir down to flood control objectives.

Table 2.5-5 and Table 2.5-7 illustrate the difference in stream releases among the alternative, WSIP, and base settings, expressed in terms of a monthly volume (acre-feet) of flow. Table 2.5-9 presents the same information and the average monthly stream releases for the alternative and WSIP settings, expressed in total monthly flow (acre-feet), and Table 2.5-10 shows the same information for the alternative and base settings. For the comparison of the alternative to the WSIP setting, the difference in monthly flow below La Grange Dam could range from an increase of approximately 212,000 acre-feet to a decrease of approximately 6,000 acre-feet. Considering the manner in which releases are determined and made to the stream, it is not always meaningful to quantify the effect of these changes in terms of average monthly flow (cfs). Similar to the operation of releases below O'Shaughnessy Dam, a change in the volume of release from La Grange Dam to the stream would likely delay or accelerate the initiation of the release by a matter of days. Using the assumption that a change in release volume equates to a delay or acceleration of releasing 6,000 acre-feet per day means that the difference in stream release from La Grange Dam between the alternative and WSIP would be an additional day of delay in releases or up to almost an added month of releases. Normally, a change in release would not affect the peak stream release rate during a year. Compared to the base setting, the alternative's effect on stream flow ranges from a reduction in releases (a potential delay in release of five days) to an increase in releases (a potential additional five days of release). In either direction, the maximum difference in La Grange releases between the alternative and base settings was reduced to about 30,000 acre-feet as the result of the conserved water measure.

Table 2.5-5
Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet)

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,550 | 1,295 | 3,379 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,224 |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,163 | 7,312 | 8,524 | 0 | 16,332 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 52,322 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,645 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,956 | 0 | 9,765 | 19,149 | 63,870 |
| 1928 | 0 | 21,878 | 34,469 | 3,128 | 14,851 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74,325 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212,095 | 4,633 | 6,756 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223,484 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,974 | 2,039 | 8,664 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,677 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | 21,216 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 8,009 | 10,282 | 1,197 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,997 | 49,733 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 631 | 977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,432 | 7,881 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,313 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,508 | -2 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 7,853 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 35,350 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 3,047 | -1 | 0 | 8,524 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 28,168 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 1,606 | 0 | 0 | 4,889 | 6,867 | 0 | 8,413 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 30,766 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,070 | -1 | 4,026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,095 |
| 1946 | 0 | 23,867 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,237 | 4,040 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,144 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | 140,857 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140,854 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,875 | -1 | 3,000 | 16,030 | 1,197 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 60,092 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 37,840 | 38,536 | -5 | 0 | 5,578 | 0 | 8,413 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 99,353 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,042 | 3,001 | 15,426 | 1,013 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,997 | 53,472 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170,933 | 5,134 | 0 | 11,075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,341 | 6,007 | 200,490 |
| 1966 | 0 | 1,607 | 523 | 0 | 16,091 | -6,452 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,769 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,553 | 3,000 | 19,378 | 921 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 48,843 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,586 | 624 | 10,837 | 7,879 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,997 | 54,916 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 | 2,855 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,462 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,086 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,086 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,881 | 3,620 | 0 | 60,484 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78,985 |
| 1974 | 0 | 10,551 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,659 | 7,603 | 0 | 8,413 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,997 | 42,216 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 | 0 | 8,524 | 0 | 11,264 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,996 | 30,385 |
| 1976 | 1,610 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,610 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76,662 | 0 | 0 | 1,901 | 78,563 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,659 | -1 | 4,729 | 3,000 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,387 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,403 | -3 | 4,947 | 5,577 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 42,915 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,409 | 11,947 | 0 | 3,000 | 7,903 | 1,841 | 0 | 2,994 | 6,006 | 58,100 |
| 1983 | 1,600 | 0 | 952 | 1 |  | 0 | 3,001 | 12,688 | 1,841 | 0 | 3,000 | 6,000 | 29,083 |
| 1984 | 0 | 2,518 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,519 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 40,478 | 11,649 | 13,634 | 7,236 | 1,197 | 0 | 0 | 8,974 | 83,177 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95,016 | 61,107 | 24,874 | 10,886 | 191,883 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,024 | 13,398 | 6,857 | 829 | 0 | 3,000 | 5,991 | 63,099 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,529 | 0 | 5,118 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,974 | 22,621 |
| 1997 | 0 | 1,612 | 0 | 4,729 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,340 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,380 | -3 | 7,782 | 9,445 | 7,046 | 1,012 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,997 | 53,652 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 1,902 | 10,708 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,217 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,913 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 11,583 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,496 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,551 | 2,311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,862 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (21-02) | 39 | 757 | 2,974 | 4,042 | 5,250 | 2,762 | 2,453 | 1,620 | 4,274 | 745 | 1,133 | 1,925 | 27,973 |

Table 2.5-6
Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet)

| Matrix Data for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| 1983 | 1,600 | 0 | 952 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3,001 | 12,688 | 1,841 | 0 | 3,000 | 6,000 | 29,083 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,024 | 13,398 | 6,857 | 829 | 0 | 3,000 | 5,991 | 63,099 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,586 | 624 | 10,837 | 7,879 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,997 | 54,916 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,409 | 11,947 | 0 | 3,000 | 7,903 | 1,841 | 0 | 2,994 | 6,006 | 58,100 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | 21,216 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 8,009 | 10,282 | 1,197 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,997 | 49,733 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,380 | -3 | 7,782 | 9,445 | 7,046 | 1,012 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,997 | 53,652 |
| 1997 | 0 | 1,612 | 0 | 4,729 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,340 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 37,840 | 38,536 | -5 | 0 | 5,578 | 0 | 8,413 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 99,353 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,553 | 3,000 | 19,378 | 921 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 48,843 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,403 | -3 | 4,947 | 5,577 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 42,915 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 40,478 | 11,649 | 13,634 | 7,236 | 1,197 | 0 | 0 | 8,974 | 83,177 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,875 | -1 | 3,000 | 16,030 | 1,197 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 60,092 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76,662 | 0 | 0 | 1,901 | 78,563 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170,933 | 5,134 | 0 | 11,075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,341 | 6,007 | 200,490 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,042 | 3,001 | 15,426 | 1,013 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,997 | 53,472 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95,016 | 61,107 | 24,874 | 10,886 | 191,883 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,508 | -2 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 7,853 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 35,350 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | 140,857 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140,854 |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,163 | 7,312 | 8,524 | 0 | 16,332 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 52,322 |
| 1984 | 0 | 2,518 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,519 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 1,606 | 0 | 0 | 4,889 | 6,867 | 0 | 8,413 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 30,766 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 3,047 | -1 | 0 | 8,524 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,994 | 5,997 | 28,168 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,529 | 0 | 5,118 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,974 | 22,621 |
| 1974 | 0 | 10,551 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,659 | 7,603 | 0 | 8,413 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,997 | 42,216 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,432 | 7,881 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,313 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212,095 | 4,633 | 6,756 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223,484 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 1,902 | 10,708 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,217 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,070 | -1 | 4,026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,095 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,956 | 0 | 9,765 | 19,149 | 63,870 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 | 0 | 8,524 | 0 | 11,264 | 0 | 2,993 | 5,996 | 30,385 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,881 | 3,620 | 0 | 60,484 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78,985 |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,550 | 1,295 | 3,379 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,224 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,974 | 2,039 | 8,664 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,677 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 | 2,855 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,462 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,913 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 11,583 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,496 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,659 | -1 | 4,729 | 3,000 | 6,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,387 |
| 1946 | 0 | 23,867 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,237 | 4,040 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,144 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,038 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,645 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,086 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,086 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 |
| 1928 | 0 | 21,878 | 34,469 | 3,128 | 14,851 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74,325 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1966 | 0 | 1,607 | 523 | 0 | 16,091 | -6,452 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,769 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,551 | 2,311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,862 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,607 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 631 | 977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,608 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1976 | 1,610 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,610 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.5-7
Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet)


Table 2.5-8
Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet)


Table 2.5-9

| Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 23,001 | 21,563 | 56,842 | 151,415 | 186,738 | 273,821 | 217,239 | 244,709 | 227,921 | 142,651 | 69,115 | 50,878 | 1,665,894 |
| Above Normal | 18,683 | 31,026 | 67,978 | 74,978 | 128,547 | 166,616 | 131,514 | 79,097 | 84,366 | 27,869 | 21,031 | 21,212 | 852,917 |
| Normal | 18,264 | 17,579 | 35,872 | 51,349 | 74,834 | 104,445 | 85,081 | 78,304 | 20,306 | 9,992 | 9,992 | 9,670 | 515,686 |
| Below Normal | 17,105 | 13,863 | 19,925 | 15,874 | 17,549 | 21,794 | 34,964 | 33,554 | 4,025 | 4,160 | 4,160 | 4,025 | 190,997 |
| Dry | 17,340 | 13,842 | 14,866 | 13,990 | 15,673 | 20,873 | 21,732 | 21,240 | 3,347 | 3,459 | 3,459 | 3,347 | 153,168 |
| All Years | 18,855 | 19,645 | 39,215 | 61,129 | 84,385 | 116,941 | 97,743 | 90,526 | 67,413 | 37,099 | 21,333 | 17,699 | 671,982 |
| Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 22,901 | 21,463 | 53,092 | 132,916 | 181,173 | 266,954 | 211,640 | 237,532 | 215,975 | 138,831 | 65,042 | 45,019 | 1,592,538 |
| Above Normal | 18,683 | 30,258 | 59,409 | 73,887 | 113,696 | 163,096 | 126,954 | 78,391 | 79,235 | 27,869 | 19,400 | 17,441 | 808,318 |
| Normal | 18,264 | 14,720 | 33,517 | 50,334 | 70,441 | 101,554 | 83,097 | 77,929 | 15,802 | 9,992 | 9,992 | 9,670 | 495,309 |
| Below Normal | 17,105 | 13,768 | 19,894 | 15,874 | 16,603 | 21,364 | 34,828 | 33,554 | 4,025 | 4,160 | 4,160 | 4,025 | 189,359 |
| Dry | 17,240 | 13,842 | 14,866 | 13,950 | 15,511 | 20,672 | 21,732 | 21,240 | 3,347 | 3,459 | 3,459 | 3,347 | 152,665 |
| All Years | 18,815 | 18,888 | 36,241 | 57,087 | 79,135 | 114,179 | 95,290 | 88,906 | 63,139 | 36,354 | 20,200 | 15,774 | 644,009 |
| Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | Type - Gr | ed by Un | ired Runof | at LaGrang |  |  |  |  |  |  | d WSIP | s WSIP |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 100 | 101 | 3,751 | 18,499 | 5,565 | 6,867 | 5,600 | 7,178 | 11,946 | 3,819 | 4,072 | 5,859 | 73,357 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 769 | 8,569 | 1,091 | 14,851 | 3,519 | 4,561 | 706 | 5,131 | 0 | 1,631 | 3,771 | 44,599 |
| Normal | 0 | 2,859 | 2,355 | 1,016 | 4,393 | 2,892 | 1,984 | 375 | 4,504 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,377 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 95 | 31 | 0 | 947 | 430 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,638 |
| Dry | 101 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 162 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 503 |
| All Years | 39 | 757 | 2,974 | 4,042 | 5,250 | 2,762 | 2,453 | 1,620 | 4,274 | 745 | 1,133 | 1,925 | 27,973 |

Table 2.5-10

| Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 23,001 | 21,563 | 56,842 | 151,415 | 186,738 | 273,821 | 217,239 | 244,709 | 227,921 | 142,651 | 69,115 | 50,878 | 1,665,894 |
| Above Normal | 18,683 | 31,026 | 67,978 | 74,978 | 128,547 | 166,616 | 131,514 | 79,097 | 84,366 | 27,869 | 21,031 | 21,212 | 852,917 |
| Normal | 18,264 | 17,579 | 35,872 | 51,349 | 74,834 | 104,445 | 85,081 | 78,304 | 20,306 | 9,992 | 9,992 | 9,670 | 515,686 |
| Below Normal | 17,105 | 13,863 | 19,925 | 15,874 | 17,549 | 21,794 | 34,964 | 33,554 | 4,025 | 4,160 | 4,160 | 4,025 | 190,997 |
| Dry | 17,340 | 13,842 | 14,866 | 13,990 | 15,673 | 20,873 | 21,732 | 21,240 | 3,347 | 3,459 | 3,459 | 3,347 | 153,168 |
| All Years | 18,855 | 19,645 | 39,215 | 61,129 | 84,385 | 116,941 | 97,743 | 90,526 | 67,413 | 37,099 | 21,333 | 17,699 | 671,982 |
| Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | Type - Gr | ed by Uni | ired Runo | at LaGrang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 22,967 | 21,290 | 56,692 | 151,293 | 184,772 | 274,592 | 215,643 | 242,749 | 232,124 | 143,744 | 66,539 | 45,865 | 1,658,271 |
| Above Normal | 18,683 | 30,167 | 66,265 | 74,511 | 130,859 | 168,855 | 130,389 | 78,856 | 82,871 | 28,383 | 20,182 | 18,343 | 848,363 |
| Normal | 18,264 | 15,530 | 35,664 | 49,090 | 73,947 | 107,106 | 84,918 | 78,066 | 20,356 | 9,992 | 9,992 | 9,670 | 512,593 |
| Below Normal | 17,105 | 13,768 | 19,962 | 15,874 | 18,305 | 21,364 | 34,828 | 33,554 | 4,025 | 4,160 | 4,160 | 4,025 | 191,130 |
| Dry | 17,240 | 13,842 | 14,866 | 13,950 | 15,511 | 20,672 | 21,732 | 21,240 | 3,347 | 3,459 | 3,459 | 3,347 | 152,665 |
| All Years | 18,828 | 18,994 | 38,798 | 60,559 | 84,433 | 117,947 | 97,139 | 90,047 | 67,933 | 37,419 | 20,654 | 16,126 | 668,876 |
| Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | Type - Gr | ed by Uni | ired Run | at LaGrang |  |  |  |  |  |  | d WSIP | us Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 35 | 273 | 150 | 122 | 1,966 | -772 | 1,596 | 1,961 | -4,203 | -1,094 | 2,576 | 5,013 | 7,624 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 859 | 1,713 | 467 | -2,312 | -2,239 | 1,126 | 241 | 1,496 | -514 | 848 | 2,869 | 4,554 |
| Normal | 0 | 2,049 | 208 | 2,260 | 887 | -2,660 | 163 | 238 | -50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,093 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 95 | -37 | 0 | -756 | 430 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -132 |
| Dry | 101 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 162 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 503 |
| All Years | 26 | 651 | 417 | 569 | -48 | -1,005 | 605 | 479 | -520 | -320 | 678 | 1,573 | 3,106 |

### 2.6 Calaveras and San Antonio Reservoirs, Alameda Creek, and Downstream

Compared to the WSIP setting, the operation of Calaveras Reservoir in the alternative setting is almost identical. Figure 2.6-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Calaveras Reservoir storage and stream releases from Calaveras Dam. Shown in Figure 2.6-1 are the results for the WSIP, alternative, and base settings. In recognition of the different levels of systemwide deliveries served in each setting, the near identical operation of Calaveras Reservoir resulting from the two settings is an indication that Calaveras Reservoir operations are mostly influenced by the principles that manage local watershed production. The slight differences in reservoir operation are the result of modeling assumptions that balance reservoir storage among SFPUC reservoirs and the selection of the monthly SJPL conveyance rate. It is anticipated that the difference in Calaveras Reservoir operation during actual operations would be minimal, if any difference occurred at all. The difference in storage between the alternative and WSIP settings and the base setting is due to the restoration of the operational capacity of Calaveras Reservoir. Under both the alternative and WSIP settings, the full capacity of Calaveras Reservoir would be available, and a greater range in storage operation would occur. Figure 2.6-2 illustrates the average monthly storage in Calaveras Reservoir for the 82 -year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the alternative and base settings.

Figure 2.6-1

## Calaveras Reservoir Storage and Stream Release
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Figure 2.6-2


There would be almost identical spills from Calaveras Reservoir for the alternative and WSIP settings. Both the alternative and WSIP settings have fishery releases (1997 CDFG MOU) that are not included in the base setting. Table 2.6-1 illustrates the difference in releases to Calaveras Creek between the alternative and WSIP settings. The difference in flow (for the reach below Calaveras Reservoir to the confluence with Alameda Creek) during December through April is due to the flow bypass measure at ACDD that is associated with the Modified WSIP setting. The reductions in flow in this reach of stream are an indication that bypass flow is being provided at the diversion dam and is subsequently used to contribute to the 1997 MOU flow requirement at the confluence. The bypass flow does not exist in the WSIP setting, and additional releases would be required from Calaveras Reservoir to meet the 1997 MOU flow requirement. Supplementing the Figure 2.6-1 representation of Calaveras Dam stream releases and Table 2.6-1 is Table 2.6-2, which illustrates releases for the alternative and WSIP settings, and the difference in releases between the two. Table 2.6-3 provides the same form of information for the alternative and base settings. The notable difference in releases between the alternative and base settings is the addition of the required flows to satisfy the 1997 MOU and the reduction of stream releases during wetter-year, wetter-season flows due to the restoration of Calaveras Reservoir operational capacity.

The bypass flow measure at the ACDD is modeled as a release at the diversion dam of 10 cfs or inflow to the diversion dam, whichever is less, for the months December through April. Table 2.6-4 illustrates the flow past the ACDD for the alternative setting, which includes the bypass measure. Table 2.6-5 illustrates the flow for the WSIP setting, and Table 2.6-6 illustrates the difference in flow below the ACDD between the alternative and WSIP settings. As seen in Table 2.6-4, flow past the diversion dam occurs regularly during the December through April period, its magnitude either as an explicit bypass of up to 10 cfs (approximately 600 acre-feet per month), or more during rain-runoff events when either Calaveras Reservoir is not receiving water from Alameda Creek or the runoff at the diversion dam exceeds the diversion tunnel capacity. Table 2.6-6 illustrates the difference in flow below the diversion dam between the two settings. The positive values (up to 10 cfs ) indicate the measure's passage of flow that would otherwise not occur in the WSIP setting. The few exceptions of reduced flow indicate periods when the alternative setting would divert more water to Calaveras Reservoir from the diversion dam; however, review of the remaining flow below the diversion dam (Table 2.6-4) shows that it would still be in excess of the minimum bypass flow.

Table 2.6-7 illustrates the difference in flow below the ACDD between the alternative and base settings. The seasonal increase in flow past the diversion dam in the alternative setting is again apparent. The reductions in flow below the diversion dam are due to the additional diversions to Calaveras Reservoir resulting from the restoration of reservoir operating capacity. Table 2.6-8 and Table 2.6-9 illustrate the flow past the ACDD, comparing the alternative, WSIP, and base settings by year type and the average of all years.
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Table 2.6-1
Difference in Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet)
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Table 2.6-2

| Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Modified WSIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 429 | 246 | 941 | 4,855 | 14,418 | 9,708 | 4,977 | 255 | 386 | 417 | 425 | 415 | 37,472 |
| Above Normal | 425 | 258 | 42 | 543 | 2,970 | 2,524 | 446 | 327 | 396 | 424 | 428 | 417 | 9,199 |
| Normal | 429 | 275 | 93 | 168 | 286 | 69 | 6 | 370 | 408 | 428 | 430 | 417 | 3,377 |
| Below Normal | 428 | 275 | 95 | 194 | 366 | 108 | 51 | 389 | 411 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 3,594 |
| Dry | 429 | 292 | 151 | 485 | 746 | 402 | 215 | 407 | 416 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 4,819 |
| All Years | 428 | 269 | 260 | 1,228 | 3,706 | 2,532 | 1,117 | 350 | 403 | 426 | 428 | 417 | 11,563 |
| Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - G | by 5 | servoi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 429 | 246 | 998 | 4,985 | 14,425 | 9,862 | 5,085 | 255 | 386 | 417 | 425 | 415 | 37,928 |
| Above Normal | 425 | 258 | 172 | 746 | 3,196 | 2,688 | 606 | 327 | 396 | 424 | 428 | 417 | 10,082 |
| Normal | 429 | 275 | 194 | 548 | 725 | 506 | 265 | 370 | 408 | 428 | 430 | 417 | 4,995 |
| Below Normal | 428 | 275 | 246 | 672 | 876 | 596 | 345 | 389 | 411 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 5,515 |
| Dry | 429 | 292 | 281 | 778 | 1,044 | 747 | 375 | 407 | 416 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,044 |
| All Years | 428 | 269 | 374 | 1,526 | 4,004 | 2,850 | 1,314 | 350 | 403 | 426 | 428 | 417 | 12,788 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> Modified WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -46 | -130 | -7 | -155 | -109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -446 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -130 | -203 | -227 | -164 | -160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -883 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -102 | -381 | -439 | -438 | -259 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,618 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | -150 | -478 | -510 | -488 | -294 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,921 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | -130 | -293 | -298 | -344 | -159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,225 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -112 | -298 | -298 | -318 | -197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,223 |

Table 2.6-3

| Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Modified WSIP |  | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep |  |
| Wet | 429 | 246 | 941 | 4,855 | 14,418 | 9,708 | 4,977 | 255 | 386 | 417 | 425 | 415 | 37,472 |
| Above Normal | 425 | 258 | 42 | 543 | 2,970 | 2,524 | 446 | 327 | 396 | 424 | 428 | 417 | 9,199 |
| Normal | 429 | 275 | 93 | 168 | 286 | 69 | 6 | 370 | 408 | 428 | 430 | 417 | 3,377 |
| Below Normal | 428 | 275 | 95 | 194 | 366 | 108 | 51 | 389 | 411 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 3,594 |
| Dry | 429 | 292 | 151 | 485 | 746 | 402 | 215 | 407 | 416 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 4,819 |
| All Years | 428 | 269 | 260 | 1,228 | 3,706 | 2,532 | 1,117 | 350 | 403 | 426 | 428 | 417 | 11,563 |
| Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - Gr | by 5 | eservoi | off) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 1,741 | 9,267 | 16,622 | 9,968 | 5,024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,623 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 184 | 2,685 | 5,918 | 3,096 | 459 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,342 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 216 | 364 | 898 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,831 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 420 | 2,436 | 4,645 | 2,656 | 1,076 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,233 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 429 | 246 | -808 | -4,412 | -2,203 | -260 | -48 | 255 | 386 | 417 | 425 | 415 | -5,159 |
| Above Normal | 425 | 258 | -142 | -2,141 | -2,948 | -572 | -13 | 327 | 396 | 424 | 428 | 417 | -3,142 |
| Normal | 429 | 275 | -123 | -196 | -613 | -284 | 6 | 370 | 408 | 428 | 430 | 417 | 1,545 |
| Below Normal | 428 | 275 | 95 | 194 | 366 | 108 | 51 | 389 | 411 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 3,594 |
| Dry | 429 | 292 | 151 | 485 | 746 | 402 | 215 | 407 | 416 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 4,819 |
| All Years | 428 | 269 | -162 | -1,208 | -939 | -124 | 42 | 350 | 403 | 426 | 428 | 417 | 329 |

Table 2.6-4
Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet)


Table 2.6-5
Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet)


APPENDIX O2

Table 2.6-6
Difference in Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) Modified WSIP minus WSIP


Table 2.6-7
Difference in Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) Modified WSIP minus Base


Table 2.6-8

| Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 28 | 1,859 | 6,509 | 8,086 | 5,866 | 3,258 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,779 |
| Above Normal | 7 | 23 | 1,013 | 2,755 | 4,074 | 3,398 | 1,318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,589 |
| Normal | 0 | 6 | 655 | 735 | 1,314 | 1,017 | 618 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,345 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 332 | 547 | 614 | 790 | 387 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,669 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 191 | 293 | 355 | 344 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,385 |
| All Years | 1 | 12 | 807 | 2,155 | 2,875 | 2,278 | 1,149 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,311 |
| Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - Gr | by 5 | Reservo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VSIP |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 28 | 1,379 | 6,269 | 7,982 | 5,727 | 2,960 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,518 |
| Above Normal | 7 | 23 | 591 | 2,457 | 3,735 | 3,129 | 959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,903 |
| Normal | 0 | 6 | 585 | 260 | 796 | 459 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,219 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 102 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| All Years | 1 | 12 | 509 | 1,793 | 2,520 | 1,903 | 798 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,570 |
| Difference in Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 471 | 239 | 104 | 139 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,252 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 422 | 298 | 339 | 269 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,686 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 70 | 475 | 518 | 558 | 506 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,125 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 315 | 501 | 512 | 560 | 387 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,275 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 191 | 293 | 298 | 344 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,328 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 296 | 362 | 356 | 375 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,739 |

Table 2.6-9

| Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 28 | 1,859 | 6,509 | 8,086 | 5,866 | 3,258 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,779 |
| Above Normal | 7 | 23 | 1,013 | 2,755 | 4,074 | 3,398 | 1,318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,589 |
| Normal | 0 | 6 | 655 | 735 | 1,314 | 1,017 | 618 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,345 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 332 | 547 | 614 | 790 | 387 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,669 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 191 | 293 | 355 | 344 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,385 |
| All Years | 1 | 12 | 807 | 2,155 | 2,875 | 2,278 | 1,149 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,311 |
| Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 28 | 1,379 | 6,967 | 8,099 | 5,757 | 2,972 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,331 |
| Above Normal | 7 | 23 | 1,126 | 3,672 | 5,294 | 3,096 | 692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,911 |
| Normal | 0 | 6 | 954 | 868 | 1,870 | 906 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,731 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 102 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| All Years | 1 | 12 | 692 | 2,299 | 3,075 | 1,989 | 748 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,843 |
| Difference in Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> Modified WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 471 | -458 | -13 | 109 | 287 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 438 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -112 | -917 | -1,220 | 301 | 626 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,322 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -300 | -133 | -556 | 111 | 492 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -386 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 315 | 501 | 512 | 560 | 387 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,275 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 191 | 293 | 298 | 344 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,328 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 113 | -144 | -200 | 289 | 401 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 467 |

Comparing the alternative and WSIP settings, differences in releases from Calaveras Dam to the stream and differences in spills and bypass flows at the ACDD result in differences in flow below the Alameda Creek and Calaveras Creek confluence between the settings. Table 2.6-10 illustrates the flow below the confluence for the alternative and WSIP settings. The flow would be generally the same, with slightly additional flow occurring during December and April due to the bypass flows. Fishery releases for the 1997 MOU are assumed in both of the settings. Table 2.6-11 provides the same form of information for the alternative and base settings. The notable differences between the alternative and base settings (comparable to the differences between the WSIP and base settings) are the addition of required stream flows for the 1997 MOU and the reduction of wetter-year, wet-season flows due to the restoration of Calaveras Reservoir storage.

Table 2.6-10

| Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Modified WSIP |  | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep |  |
| Wet | 430 | 326 | 3,145 | 12,372 | 23,692 | 16,559 | 8,836 | 605 | 417 | 429 | 429 | 417 | 67,658 |
| Above Normal | 437 | 326 | 1,299 | 3,896 | 7,820 | 6,484 | 2,075 | 430 | 418 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 24,461 |
| Normal | 429 | 304 | 974 | 1,171 | 1,985 | 1,413 | 782 | 430 | 417 | 429 | 430 | 417 | 9,182 |
| Below Normal | 429 | 297 | 488 | 882 | 1,215 | 1,118 | 510 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 7,063 |
| Dry | 429 | 298 | 368 | 813 | 1,168 | 816 | 460 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,475 |
| All Years | 431 | 310 | 1,246 | 3,792 | 7,111 | 5,242 | 2,502 | 464 | 417 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 22,792 |
| Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 430 | 326 | 2,721 | 12,263 | 23,595 | 16,575 | 8,647 | 605 | 417 | 429 | 429 | 417 | 66,854 |
| Above Normal | 437 | 326 | 1,007 | 3,801 | 7,708 | 6,379 | 1,876 | 430 | 418 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 23,658 |
| Normal | 429 | 304 | 1,006 | 1,077 | 1,907 | 1,293 | 536 | 430 | 417 | 429 | 430 | 417 | 8,675 |
| Below Normal | 429 | 297 | 324 | 859 | 1,214 | 1,046 | 417 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,709 |
| Dry | 429 | 298 | 307 | 813 | 1,168 | 816 | 418 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,373 |
| All Years | 431 | 310 | 1,063 | 3,728 | 7,053 | 5,185 | 2,349 | 464 | 417 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 22,276 |
| Difference in Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP | NSIP |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 424 | 109 | 97 | -16 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 805 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 293 | 95 | 112 | 105 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 803 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -32 | 94 | 79 | 120 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 507 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 164 | 23 | 1 | 72 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 183 | 64 | 58 | 57 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 516 |

Table 2.6-11

| Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Modified WSIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 430 | 326 | 3,145 | 12,372 | 23,692 | 16,559 | 8,836 | 605 | 417 | 429 | 429 | 417 | 67,658 |
| Above Normal | 437 | 326 | 1,299 | 3,896 | 7,820 | 6,484 | 2,075 | 430 | 418 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 24,461 |
| Normal | 429 | 304 | 974 | 1,171 | 1,985 | 1,413 | 782 | 430 | 417 | 429 | 430 | 417 | 9,182 |
| Below Normal | 429 | 297 | 488 | 882 | 1,215 | 1,118 | 510 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 7,063 |
| Dry | 429 | 298 | 368 | 813 | 1,168 | 816 | 460 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,475 |
| All Years | 431 | 310 | 1,246 | 3,792 | 7,111 | 5,242 | 2,502 | 464 | 417 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 22,792 |
| Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 1 | 80 | 3,465 | 17,243 | 25,909 | 16,711 | 8,598 | 307 | 30 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 72,361 |
| Above Normal | 12 | 68 | 1,554 | 6,954 | 11,987 | 6,754 | 1,462 | 103 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 28,926 |
| Normal | 1 | 29 | 1,397 | 1,501 | 3,154 | 1,586 | 284 | 60 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8,022 |
| Below Normal | 1 | 22 | 78 | 186 | 338 | 450 | 72 | 41 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,195 |
| Dry | 1 | 6 | 26 | 35 | 124 | 69 | 43 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 328 |
| All Years | 3 | 41 | 1,292 | 5,145 | 8,250 | 5,077 | 2,060 | 106 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 21,993 |
| Difference in Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) Modified WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 429 | 246 | -337 | -4,871 | -2,216 | -152 | 239 | 298 | 386 | 417 | 425 | 415 | -4,721 |
| Above Normal | 425 | 258 | -254 | -3,058 | -4,168 | -270 | 612 | 327 | 396 | 424 | 428 | 417 | -4,465 |
| Normal | 429 | 275 | -423 | -330 | -1,168 | -173 | 498 | 370 | 408 | 428 | 430 | 417 | 1,160 |
| Below Normal | 428 | 275 | 410 | 695 | 877 | 668 | 438 | 389 | 411 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 5,869 |
| Dry | 429 | 292 | 342 | 778 | 1,044 | 747 | 417 | 407 | 416 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,147 |
| All Years | 428 | 269 | -49 | -1,353 | -1,139 | 165 | 443 | 358 | 403 | 426 | 428 | 417 | 796 |

A flow recapture facility in Alameda Creek below Calaveras Reservoir is incorporated in the alternative and WSIP settings. This facility is assumed to recapture flows explicitly released for the 1997 MOU. The effect of the recapture would be a reduction in the flow below the confluence of Alameda and Calaveras Creeks, but only to the extent that releases were explicitly made for the 1997 MOU. Flows below this diversion have been estimated and noted as the flow above the Alameda Creek and San Antonio Creek confluence. Table 2.6-12 illustrates the flow at this location for the alternative and WSIP settings. The flows identified at this location are indicative of flow occurring below the confluence of Alameda and Calaveras Creeks (described above) with the addition of estimated stream accretions between the Alameda and Calaveras Creek confluence and the Alameda and San Antonio Creek confluence, less the water assumed to be recaptured (diverted) by the SFPUC from the creek. The flow changes at this location for the comparison of the WSIP and alternative settings are considered insubstantial and may not occur. The differences during the December through April period of wetter years indicate that too much of the spills/releases past the diversion dam were counted as 1997 MOU releases and were subsequently recaptured. The modeled accounting tends to overstate the amount of water allowed to be recaptured. A more precise accounting method would tend to minimize the differences between the alternative and WSIP settings. Table 2.6-13 provides the same form of information for the alternative and base settings.

Table 2.6-12

| Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Modified WSIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,088 | 13,218 | 24,845 | 17,207 | 9,016 | 556 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 68,224 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,201 | 4,082 | 8,259 | 6,568 | 1,897 | 217 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 22,482 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 880 | 869 | 1,730 | 1,192 | 432 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5,344 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,321 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,075 | 3,698 | 7,083 | 5,129 | 2,286 | 207 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 19,638 |
| Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet)(Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,113 | 13,610 | 25,199 | 17,720 | 9,297 | 556 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 69,788 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,203 | 4,350 | 8,422 | 6,871 | 2,127 | 217 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 23,450 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,131 | 909 | 1,740 | 1,219 | 466 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5,706 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,321 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,129 | 3,838 | 7,188 | 5,297 | 2,396 | 207 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 20,215 |
| Difference in Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> Modified WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -24 | -392 | -354 | -513 | -281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,564 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -2 | -268 | -163 | -303 | -230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -967 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -251 | -40 | -10 | -26 | -34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -361 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -54 | -140 | -105 | -168 | -109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -576 |

Table 2.6-13

| Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Modified WSIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,088 | 13,218 | 24,845 | 17,207 | 9,016 | 556 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 68,224 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,201 | 4,082 | 8,259 | 6,568 | 1,897 | 217 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 22,482 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 880 | 869 | 1,730 | 1,192 | 432 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5,344 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,321 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,075 | 3,698 | 7,083 | 5,129 | 2,286 | 207 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 19,638 |
| Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,973 | 18,714 | 27,673 | 17,977 | 9,358 | 513 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 78,502 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,922 | 7,772 | 13,068 | 7,467 | 1,861 | 217 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 32,566 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,716 | 1,881 | 3,712 | 2,007 | 479 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 10,037 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,321 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,560 | 5,733 | 9,019 | 5,624 | 2,355 | 198 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 24,650 |
| Difference in Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -885 | -5,496 | -2,828 | -771 | -341 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,278 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -722 | -3,690 | -4,809 | -899 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,084 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -837 | -1,012 | -1,982 | -815 | -47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,693 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -485 | -2,035 | -1,936 | -496 | -68 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,012 |

Compared to the WSIP setting, the alternative's San Antonio Reservoir operation would draw less from storage on an annual basis, particularly during cyclic maintenance. Figure 2.6-3 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of San Antonio Reservoir storage and stream releases from San Antonio Dam. Shown in Figure 2.6-3 are the results for the WSIP, alternative, and base settings. The difference in San Antonio Reservoir storage between the alternative and WSIP settings is mostly caused by the lesser demand of the alternative. Considering that Calaveras Reservoir storage is essentially the same between the settings, the difference in San Antonio Reservoir storage is indicative of the operational strategy to affect storage in San Antonio Reservoir more than storage in the other SFPUC Bay Area reservoirs. San Antonio Reservoir would retain more storage in the alternative setting compared to the WSIP setting.

The difference in storage between the alternative and WSIP settings and the base setting is due to the restoration of the operational capacity of Calaveras Reservoir. In the base setting, the limited operating storage capacity at Calaveras Reservoir leads to a different operation at San Antonio Reservoir, one that retains relatively more stored water for system demands when the draw from Calaveras Reservoir is constrained due to limited storage. There is also a notable difference in storage operation between the alternative and WSIP settings and the base setting due to assumed maintenance. Assumed systematic maintenance of Hetch Hetchy conveyance facilities constrains diversions to the Bay Area from Hetch Hetchy every year, and particularly during every fifth year, in the WSIP and alternative settings.

Figure 2.6-3
San Antonio Reservoir Storage and Stream Release
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The reduction in diversions from Hetch Hetchy during these periods is accommodated in the system by drawing additional water from the Bay Area reservoirs. The proportionate share of this operation is evident in the tracing of San Antonio Reservoir storage for the alternative and WSIP settings. Figure 2.6-4 illustrates the average monthly storage in San Antonio Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the alternative and base settings. Compared to the base setting, the alternative would draw less storage from San Antonio Reservoir during many years, typically retaining a fuller reservoir, but would draw more storage during the every-fifth-year maintenance cycle.

Figure 2.6-4


There is very little anticipated change in stream releases below San Antonio Reservoir between the alternative and WSIP settings. Table 2.6-14 illustrates the modeled releases to San Antonio Creek from San Antonio Reservoir for the two settings and the differences for the average release during a year type. With a fuller reservoir operation at times, as seen in Figure 2.6-3, it is expected that there would be a decrease in the ability to regulate reservoir inflow and avoid stream releases. Given the sometimes rigid constraints within the modeling assumptions, the model will overestimate the frequency and magnitude of stream releases from San Antonio Reservoir under any of the investigated settings. The flexibility that occurs in actual operations would likely avoid most of the releases represented by the model. The modeled stream releases from San Antonio Reservoir and the difference between releases for the alternative and base settings are shown in Table 2.6-15. The differences between the two settings reflect a general decrease in modeled releases in the alternative setting. This modeled circumstance reflects the different resulting storage operation between the two settings, as seen in Figure 2.6-3. In most instances, the alternative setting storage at San Antonio Reservoir during a period would be equal to or lower than that projected for the base setting during the same period. This circumstance could lead to an occasionally greater modeled release for the base setting, which is reflected in the results. As described above, the model will overestimate the frequency and magnitude of releases from San Antonio Reservoir, and the actual releases from the reservoir in any setting and the difference between settings are expected to be minor.

Flow below the confluence of Alameda and San Antonio Creeks is influenced by releases from San Antonio Creek and flow arriving at the location from Alameda Creek, which includes upstream impairment by SFPUC operations and facilities. Table 2.6-16 illustrates the flow below the confluence for the alternative and WSIP settings, and the differences in flow between the two. The differences in flow between the alternative and WSIP settings at this location are the net sum of the differences identified for flow reaching the location from Alameda Creek and from San Antonio Creek. The difference in flow from upstream in Alameda Creek was previously identified as insubstantial. Along with the conclusion that flow differences in San Antonio Creek would not be substantial, modeled differences below the confluence are also considered insubstantial.
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Table 2.6-14

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 106 | 1,534 | 3,265 | 2,891 | 960 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,823 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 487 | 1,593 | 748 | 193 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,043 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 368 | 62 | 61 | 99 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 594 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 21 | 472 | 980 | 731 | 249 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,471 |
| Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet)(Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 44 | 1,208 | 3,251 | 1,558 | 658 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,870 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 442 | 1,381 | 158 | 192 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,235 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 11 | 287 | 78 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 395 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 11 | 383 | 936 | 338 | 172 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,882 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 62 | 326 | 14 | 1,333 | 302 | -85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,953 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 212 | 590 | 1 | -40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 808 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -11 | 81 | -16 | 56 | 86 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 10 | 89 | 44 | 393 | 78 | -24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 589 |

Table 2.6-15

| Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 106 | 1,534 | 3,265 | 2,891 | 960 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,823 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 487 | 1,593 | 748 | 193 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,043 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 368 | 62 | 61 | 99 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 594 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 21 | 472 | 980 | 731 | 249 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,471 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 101 | 1,322 | 3,669 | 3,288 | 1,398 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,872 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 26 | 687 | 1,909 | 1,487 | 116 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,283 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 7 | 370 | 441 | 237 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,120 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 26 | 472 | 1,206 | 996 | 309 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,041 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 5 | 213 | -404 | -398 | -438 | -28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,049 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -26 | -200 | -316 | -739 | 78 | -36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,240 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -7 | -1 | -379 | -176 | 34 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -525 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -41 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -29 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -6 | 0 | -227 | -265 | -60 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -570 |

Table 2.6-16

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,194 | 14,753 | 28,110 | 20,097 | 9,977 | 622 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 77,046 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,201 | 4,569 | 9,852 | 7,316 | 2,090 | 239 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 25,526 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 880 | 1,237 | 1,792 | 1,253 | 531 | 131 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5,939 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 167 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,334 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,095 | 4,170 | 8,063 | 5,860 | 2,536 | 225 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 22,109 |
| Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,157 | 14,818 | 28,449 | 19,278 | 9,955 | 707 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 76,658 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,203 | 4,792 | 9,803 | 7,029 | 2,320 | 279 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 25,685 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,142 | 1,197 | 1,818 | 1,224 | 478 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 6,101 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 159 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,326 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,140 | 4,221 | 8,124 | 5,635 | 2,567 | 249 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 22,097 |
| Difference in Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> Modified WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 37 | -65 | -339 | 819 | 22 | -85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -2 | -223 | 49 | 287 | -230 | -40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -159 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -263 | 41 | -26 | 29 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -162 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -44 | -51 | -61 | 225 | -31 | -24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |

Table 2.6-17 illustrates the same information for the alternative and base settings. Table 2.6-17 illustrates the larger differences in flow that would occur between the alternative and base settings. Those differences are particularly due to the effects of the restoration of Calaveras Reservoir operating capacity.

Table 2.6-17

| Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Modified WSIP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,194 | 14,753 | 28,110 | 20,097 | 9,977 | 622 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 77,046 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,201 | 4,569 | 9,852 | 7,316 | 2,090 | 239 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 25,526 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 880 | 1,237 | 1,792 | 1,253 | 531 | 131 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5,939 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 167 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,334 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,095 | 4,170 | 8,063 | 5,860 | 2,536 | 225 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 22,109 |
| Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 4,075 | 20,036 | 31,342 | 21,266 | 10,756 | 607 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 88,374 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,948 | 8,459 | 14,977 | 8,954 | 1,977 | 276 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 36,849 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,723 | 2,251 | 4,153 | 2,244 | 544 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 11,157 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 720 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,363 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,587 | 6,205 | 10,225 | 6,620 | 2,664 | 229 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 27,691 |
| Difference in Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -880 | -5,283 | -3,232 | -1,169 | -779 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,327 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -747 | -3,890 | -5,125 | -1,638 | 113 | -36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,323 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -843 | -1,014 | -2,361 | -991 | -13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,218 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -41 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -29 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -491 | -2,035 | -2,162 | -761 | -128 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,582 |

### 2.7 Crystal Springs and San Andreas Reservoirs

There are differences in Crystal Springs Reservoir operations between the WSIP setting and the alternative and base settings. Figure 2.7-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Crystal Springs Reservoir storage and stream releases from Crystal Springs Dam. Shown in Figure 2.7-1 are the results for the WSIP, alternative, and base settings. Fundamental to the difference in storage operations between the WSIP and alternative settings and the base setting is the restoration of reservoir operation capacity, which does not occur in the base setting. The result is the operation of Crystal Springs Reservoir at a lower maximum storage in the base setting. The alternative setting differs from the WSIP setting in that the restored capacity of the Crystal Springs Reservoir is not fully used in the alternative setting. The Crystal Springs Reservoir restricted storage measure affects the maximum storage attained in the reservoir. Rather than having the full reservoir capacity of 22.15 billion gallons to regulate and store water, the reservoir is operationally constrained to a maximum of 20.28 billion gallons.

The operation of Crystal Springs Reservoir storage is generally consistent for the alternative and WSIP settings, except in the alternative setting the reservoir is not filled to the same level of storage. The annual drawdown of the reservoir occurs to about the same level. The alternative setting would provide less carryover storage at Crystal Springs Reservoir into periods of drought and would thereby cause additional draw from other resources to serve the same delivery. The magnitude of the draw of storage from Crystal Springs Reservoir is partially dependent on the discretionary assumptions of the model that proportions the use of storage among the Bay Area system reservoirs. In actual operations, some of these differences may not occur, as system operations and prevailing hydraulic and hydrologic conditions may result in a different apportionment of effect among the reservoirs. However, the operational strategy prefers the retention of storage in the Peninsula reservoirs, similar to the strategy used by the model. Figure 2.7-2 illustrates the average monthly storage in Crystal Springs Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the alternative and WSIP settings.

Figure 2.7-1
Crystal Springs Reservoir Storage and Release
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Figure 2.7-2


Figure 2.7-3 illustrates the average monthly storage in Crystal Springs Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the alternative and base settings. The alternative setting would result in reservoir storage operating at a slightly higher average level during all months, and the range of operating storage would typically be smaller in the alternative setting, except during the system maintenance cycle.

Figure 2.7-3


Table 2.7-1 illustrates the modeled alternative and WSIP stream releases from Crystal Springs Reservoir and the differences between the two settings. Modeling results indicate that an increase in the occasional release could occur. The potential difference is attributed to a narrower operating range of reservoir storage in the alternative setting. This narrower range in storage would lead to a greater potential for stream releases. In actual operations, it is anticipated that system operators would manage the reservoir system whereby stream releases would be minimal under any setting, and the effect would be essentially no difference between the alternative and WSIP settings. Similarly, Table 2.7-2 illustrates the stream releases for the alternative and base settings, and the difference in modeled flows between the two settings. A lesser drawdown in Crystal Springs Reservoir storage associated with the alternative setting would lead to a decreased potential to regulate reservoir inflow, which would lead to additional risk in needing to make stream releases. However, as described above, actual system operations attempt to minimize releases under any setting, and thus the difference in releases between the alternative and base setting is minimal, if any.

Table 2.7-1

| Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by SJR Index Year Type) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Modified WSIP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 242 | 1,880 | 2,967 | 515 | 445 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,185 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 473 | 0 | 56 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 634 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Critical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 71 | 550 | 967 | 151 | 150 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,959 |
| Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by SJR Index Year Type) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VSIP |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 811 | 1,849 | 488 | 99 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,303 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Critical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 548 | 143 | 36 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 997 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by SJR Index Year Type) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Modified WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 242 | 1,069 | 1,118 | 27 | 346 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,882 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 438 | 0 | 56 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 585 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | -13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Critical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 71 | 313 | 418 | 8 | 114 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 962 |

Table 2.7-2

| Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Modified WSIP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 363 | 2,583 | 4,335 | 772 | 624 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,743 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 582 | 0 | 62 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,071 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 71 | 550 | 967 | 151 | 150 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,959 |
| Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | $\text { e }-\mathrm{Gr}$ | $\text { by } 5$ | servoir | off) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 107 | 2,744 | 4,279 | 1,376 | 1,047 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,556 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 618 | 1,343 | 29 | 52 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,142 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 21 | 664 | 1,166 | 274 | 215 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,373 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 256 | -161 | 56 | -603 | -423 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -812 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | -396 | -761 | -29 | 10 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,071 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -268 | 0 | 47 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -150 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | -62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -54 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 50 | -113 | -199 | -124 | -65 | 50 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -414 |

Reservoir storage at San Andreas Reservoir would follow a systematic filling and lowering each year; however, there would be slight differences in drawdown between the alternative and WSIP settings, primarily due to the coincidence of the effects of different systemwide maintenance and water demands within each setting. Figure 2.7-4 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of San Andreas Reservoir storage and stream releases from San Andreas Dam. Shown in Figure 2.7-4 are the results for the WSIP, alternative, and base settings. There are no projected stream releases from San Andreas Reservoir in any setting. Compared to the base setting, as Figure 2.7-4 illustrates, there would be a difference in storage operation every fifth year for the WSIP and alternative settings. These differences would be the result of Hetch Hetchy conveyance maintenance, which is assumed to occur systematically in the alternative and WSIP settings. The maintenance constrains the amount of Hetch Hetchy water supplied to serve water demands in the Bay Area. As previously discussed, during these winter periods the Bay Area reservoir system would accommodate the reduction in imported supply by serving the Bay Area water deliveries with the local watersheds' runoff and storage. At San Andreas Reservoir, serving this water demand would affect the reservoir when additional required water production at Harry Tracy WTP associated with WSIP or the alternative exceeded the ability to maintain San Andreas Reservoir storage with pumping from Crystal Springs Reservoir. In the modeling, the conveyance capacity from Crystal Springs Reservoir is assumed to be the same among all of the settings. The additional water demand of the WSIP and alternative require additional production from Harry Tracy WTP to be drawn from San Andreas Reservoir.
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Figure 2.7-4

## San Andreas Reservoir Storage and Stream Release






Figure 2.7-5 illustrates the average monthly storage in San Andreas Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the alternative and base settings.

Figure 2.7-5


### 2.8 Pilarcitos Reservoir

Coastside County Water District's (Coastside CWD) water demand and its SFPUC purchase request are projected to increase within the WSIP planning horizon of year 2030. Within the context of the 2030 purchase request of 300 mgd , Coastside CWD's portion is estimated to amount to about 3 mgd . This projected purchase request is approximately 1 mgd greater that its current purchase request. Considering the current physical constraints on deliveries from the SFPUC to Coastside CWD and the ongoing planning activities in the watershed, the precise means of serving Coastside CWD's additional purchase request, and the resultant potential changes in the operation of SFPUC facilities and their affected environs, are uncertain. ${ }^{2}$

Assuming a range of potential means to serve the additional purchase request from Coastside CWD, the following are potential hydrologic effects on SFPUC facilities and their affected environs:

- Due to limited yield from Pilarcitos Reservoir, additional diversions would be required from Crystal Springs Reservoir.
- If deliveries to Coastside CWD from Pilarcitos Reservoir increase during the fall/winter/spring seasons, these deliveries could potentially reduce storage in Pilarcitos Reservoir, thereby potentially reducing diversions to the San Mateo Creek watershed. Although the increased delivery would increase releases to Pilarcitos Creek from Pilarcitos Dam for a period of time, the increase would subsequently lead to a reduction in spills past Stone Dam.
- Additional fall/spring/winter deliveries could also potentially impair the ability to provide carryover storage into the summer season from Pilarcitos Reservoir, and subsequently lead to an acceleration of the beginning of the season when releases to Pilarcitos Creek from Pilarcitos Reservoir consist only of the passage of reservoir inflow.
- An increase in summertime deliveries from Pilarcitos Creek could also accelerate the beginning of the season when releases to Pilarcitos Creek from Pilarcitos Reservoir consist only of the passage of reservoir inflow.

In the WSIP setting, Coastside CWD is assumed to increase its SFPUC demand from 1.8 mgd (average annual purchase request) to 3 mgd . It is also assumed that the month-to-month shape of Coastside CWD's future purchase request to the SFPUC system would follow the existing monthly shape. Currently,

[^3]
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Coastside CWD can only receive a maximum of 2 mgd from the Pilarcitos Creek system due to the capacity of the connection to the Stone Tunnel, and it reaches its maximum delivery rate during the summer in the base setting. It is assumed that Coastside CWD would increase its delivery from Stone Dam following the shape of its increase in demand during the months when it currently does not reach the 2 mgd capacity (e.g., fall/winter/spring). By taking delivery of additional Pilarcitos Creek water in the fall/winter/spring, there are times when Pilarcitos Reservoir would not fill during the ensuing winter and thus the additional delivery would affect the carryover of reservoir storage into the summer. The effect is that the reservoir could empty to the spillway invert earlier in the summer than in the base setting. The effect would then cause the creek below Pilarcitos Reservoir to experience only reservoir inflow as compared to a controlled release (larger) out of the reservoir. A way to avoid or reduce this effect would be to provide extraction (pumping) of reservoir storage during the summer to maintain controlled releases to the creek in excess of reservoir inflow. The measure is modeled by allowing the Coastside CWD delivery to be met from Pilarcitos Reservoir storage even if the spillway crest has been reached, inferring pumping out water below the spillway invert.

The summer flow reduction in the WSIP setting (compared to the base setting) occurs in about 25 percent of the years, during one or more of the months of July through September. There are a few exceptions of years when the effect occurs in months prior to July. The effect typically manifests as one additional month of flow reduction in a year, amounting to about 150 to 190 acre-feet. The worst event was a reduction in two months of a year (1947), amounting to about 300 acre-feet.

The July through September flow reduction effect could be ameliorated by allowing water to be extracted from the reservoir below the spillway invert to meet the Coastside CWD delivery request during the summer. The model allows Pilarcitos Reservoir to operate at a lower minimum storage for the months of July through September. Figure 2.8-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Pilarcitos Reservoir storage and stream releases from Pilarcitos Dam. Shown in Figure 2.8-1 are the results for the WSIP, alternative, and base settings. The alternative setting includes an allowance to draw up to 300 acre-feet from Pilarcitos Reservoir below the spillway invert to maintain July through September flows in Pilarcitos Creek.

The effect of the assumed Coastside CWD operation in combination with the effects of the overall SFPUC regional system operations would be occasional differences in the storage operation of Pilarcitos Reservoir. Overall, there would be a slightly lower average storage at Pilarcitos Reservoir. Figure 2.8-2 illustrates the average monthly storage in Pilarcitos Reservoir for the 82 -year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the WSIP and base settings.

Figure 2.8-1 illustrates the result of allowing the reservoir to go below the spillway invert during July through September in the alternative setting. The 300-acre-foot value is representative of the largest effect of the WSIP in a year (1947) for the July through September period. The hydrograph illustrates that the measure is not needed every year, and the full 300 acre-feet of the measure is rarely used. In effect, the measure assures controlled flow during the July through September period, even if the base did not have controlled flow. Several factors contribute to other changes in Pilarcitos Reservoir storage. At times, additional water is drawn from Pilarcitos Reservoir to the San Mateo Creek watershed in reaction to additional demands being served from the SFPUC system. Pilarcitos Reservoir is at times also drawn to meet the increase in demand from Coastside CWD during months (e.g., spring months) when available conveyance capacity from Stone Dam exists. Both of these additional draws from the reservoir would deplete storage below that experienced in the base setting. Pilarcitos storage would typically replenish at the expense of future reservoir spills, or within a year storage would end the same and the reservoir would still reach the minimum level at the spillway invert.

Figure 2.8-1
Pilarcitos Reservoir Storage and Stream Release
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Figure 2.8-2


Stream releases from Pilarcitos Dam are also shown in Figure 2.8-1. Releases can occur for diversions at Stone Dam for Coastside CWD deliveries, conveyance to the San Mateo Creek watershed (e.g., Crystal Springs Reservoir), and reservoir spills. Pilarcitos Creek typically gains flow from unregulated tributary streams and runoff below Pilarcitos Dam. The differences in flow between the alternative and WSIP settings are shown chronologically in Table 2.8-1. The differences in flow between the alternative and base settings are shown chronologically in Table 2.8-2. The results shown in these two tables illustrate that the alternative's flow measure would ameliorate all summer (July through September) flow reductions associated with the WSIP, and at times would provide flow in excess of the flow occurring in the base setting.

Table 2.8-3 summarizes monthly average flow within year types for the comparison of the alternative and WSIP settings. Table 2.8-4 provides the same information for the alternative and base settings. When compared to the base setting, the alternative setting would result in positive changes in flows during the winter and spring, which are indicative of the additional draw of water from the reservoir to serve the increased demand of Coastside CWD during the period when conveyance capacity to Coastside CWD exists from Stone Dam. In this same comparison, the few reductions in flow during the early summer are indicative of years when additional releases earlier in a year would lead to the reservoir being depleted to minimum storage earlier in the year, thus reducing the amount of water released in a later month. During the summer, the increased releases are indicative of the alternative's flow measure. Reductions in flow during the winter and spring are indicative of the reservoir replenishing additionally depleted storage associated with the alternative setting.

The effect of the WSIP on Pilarcitos Creek flows below Stone Dam differs from the effect on flows below Pilarcitos Dam. Figure 2.8-3 illustrates the chronological trace of inflow to Stone Dam, which includes releases from Pilarcitos Dam to Pilarcitos Creek and unregulated flow to the stream below Pilarcitos Dam, and releases (spills) from Stone Dam to Pilarcitos Creek. Shown in the figure are the results for the alternative, WSIP, and base settings. The flow past Stone Dam in all the settings is typically minor (zero in modeling results, but may be measurable in terms of leakage and seepage past the dam), as inflow to the dam is diverted to Coastside CWD or to the San Mateo watershed. Releases past Stone Dam typically occur when unregulated flow below Pilarcitos Dam exceeds the delivery needs of Coastside CWD at a time when the storage level at Crystal Springs Reservoir rejects the water from the Pilarcitos watershed. During times when inflow to Stone Dam is reduced due to reduced spills from Pilarcitos Reservoir, there are still substantial spills from Stone Dam to Pilarcitos Creek from the unregulated flow below Pilarcitos Dam.

In comparison to the base setting, the changes in flow below Stone Dam in the alternative setting would typically occur during the rainy season between the months of January and March, in at least one month during about half of the years. Tables 2.8-5 and 2.8-6 summarize the results of the alternative, WSIP, and base settings in terms of average monthly flows by year type, and the average differences in flow among the settings

Table 2.8-1
Difference in Total Stream Release from Pilarcitos Reservoir (Acre-feet)


Table 2.8-2
Difference in Total Stream Release from Pilarcitos Reservoir (Acre-feet)
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Table 2.8-3


Table 2.8-4
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Figure 2.8-3
Stone Dam Stream Release and Inflow
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Table 2.8-5

| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Modified WSIP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 312 | 1,514 | 3,162 | 2,011 | 475 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,474 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 42 | 205 | 985 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,509 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 45 | 33 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 78 | 344 | 841 | 450 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,806 |
| Total Stream Release from Stone Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - G | by 5 | servo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 324 | 1,493 | 3,176 | 2,188 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,282 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 42 | 108 | 734 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,003 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 45 | 27 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 81 | 319 | 798 | 452 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,669 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Stone Dam (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> Modified WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -11 | 21 | -14 | -176 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 250 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 505 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | -35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -29 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -2 | 25 | 42 | -2 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 |

Table 2.8-6
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## Memorandum

| Subject: | HH/LSM Assumptions and Results - WSIP Variants |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 2018 WSIP |

From: Daniel B. Steiner
Date: May 6, 2008

## 1. Introduction

This memorandum summarizes assumptions for and describes the interpretation of HH/LSM results for the simulation of the WSIP variant referenced as the "2018 WSIP." The PEIR analyzed three WSIP variants described as: WSIP Variant 1 - All Tuolumne; WSIP Variant 2 - Regional Desalination for Drought; and WSIP Variant 3-10\% Rationing. The major difference between the variants and the proposed program (WSIP) was either in the proposed source(s) of water supply or in the drought-year rationing level of service (LOS). The 2018 WSIP variant supplements the previously described analyses. Tables 1-1 and 1-2 summarize the components, various modeling assumptions, and performance and hydrologic results for the 2018 WSIP variant in comparison to the modeled existing (2005) base setting (with Calaveras Reservoir constrained by DSOD restrictions) and the WSIP setting.

The hydrology that would result under this variant is primarily discussed in terms of a comparison to the WSIP and contrasted to the baseline condition of the PEIR, namely the simulated current (2005) operation of the SFPUC regional water system assuming that the Calaveras and Crystal Springs Reservoirs operation are constrained by DSOD restrictions. Only primary hydrologic parameters such as projected water deliveries, reservoir storage, and stream flows are compared, and only those parameters that have been identified as key hydrologic factors that lead to environmental impacts are illustrated.
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Table 1-1
Setting Characteristics and Modeling Assumptions (Part 1/3)
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Table 1-1
Setting Characteristics and Modeling Assumptions (Part 2/3)
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Table 1-1
Setting Characteristics and Modeling Assumptions (Part 3/3)

| Assumptions and Characteristics of Setting and/or Program | Units | Baseline | Proposed WSIP | WSIP Variants ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline Conditions ${ }^{1}$ - Calaveras Constrained |  | 2018 WSIP |
| Tuolumne River System Operational Parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Operation |  |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum Fall/Winter Operation Storage | TAF | 26.1-360.4 <br> 30 TAF winter buffer | 26.1-360.4 <br> 30 TAF winter buffer | Same as WSIP |
| 1987 Stipulation Minimum Release Flows |  | Yes | Yes | Same as WSIP |
| 1987 Stipulation Supplemental Release Flows |  | No | No | Same as WSIP |
| Cherry Reservoir Operation |  |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum Fall/Winter Operation Storage | TAF | $1.0-273.3$ <br> 25.3 TAF winter buffer | $1.0-273.3$ <br> 25.3 TAF winter buffer | Same as WSIP |
| Eleanor Reservoir Operation |  |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum Fall/Winter Operation Storage | TAF | $0.0-27.1$ Required Minimum Storage | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.0-27.1 \\ \text { Reqrd Minimum Stor } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Same as WSIP |
| New Don Pedro Water Bank Account |  |  |  |  |
| Storage - Minimum/Maximum | TAF | $0.0-570.0$ Temporary storage up to 740 TAF during Apr - Sep | $0.0-570.0$ Temp stor up to 740 TAF during Apr - Sep | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Same } \\ & \text { as } \\ & \text { WSIP } \end{aligned}$ |
| Conveyance |  |  |  |  |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Maximum | MGD | 290 | 313 | Same as WSIP |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Minimum | MGD | 70 | 70 | Same as WSIP |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Flow Rate Changes |  | 11 Stepwise | 17 Stepwise | Same as WSIP |
|  |  | Surrogate minimum changes by allowing only 7 changes in a year | Allow up to 7 changes in a year (surrogate) | Same as WSIP |
| San Joaquin Pipelines Maintenance |  | Cycle one pipeline out Nov - Mar each year (average remaining capacity rotation) maximum 210 MGD | Cyclic 5-year mantenance (see note) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Same } \\ & \text { as } \\ & \text { WSIP } \end{aligned}$ |
| TID/MID Operational Parameters |  |  | Cyclic 5-year maintenance, maximum 271 MGD available all other months ex and 135.5 MGD available | le Apr - Oct all years lable Year 5 Nov - Dec r 3 Dec |
| Districts' Tuolumne Diversion ${ }^{17}$ |  | Varies annually based on land use and water availability <br> Annual average 875 TAF | Set equal to baseline conditions. SFPUC effects measured by the result of reducing inflow to DP and its effect upon La Grange releases to the $T R$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Same } \\ \text { as } \\ \text { WSIP } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Tuolumne River La Grange Flow Releases Don Pedro, 1996 FERC VAMP - considered but not modeled ${ }^{18}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ |
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Table 1-2
Summary of Modeling Results (Part 1/2)

| HH/LSM Simulation Results | Units | Baseline | Proposed WSIP | WSIP Variants ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline Conditions ${ }^{1}$ - Calaveras Constrained |  | 2018 WSIP |
| Design Drought Production \& Disposition ${ }^{19}$ |  |  |  |  |
| San Joaquin Pipeline Diversion | mgd | 208.7 | 235.0 | 212.2 |
| Bay-Area Deliveries | MGD | 218.3 | 248.9 | 226.8 |
| Added Groveland \& Coastside Delivery | mgd | 2.6 | 3.6 | 2.6 |
| Local Reservoir Evaporation | MGD | 10.7 | 12.5 | 12.7 |
| Inflow from ACDD | MGD | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Flow Recapture | mgd | 0 | 5.3 | 5.2 |
| Local Reservoir Stream Release | MGD | 0.6 | 5.4 | 5.5 |
| Desalination | MGD | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Westside Basin | MGD | 0 | 5.6 | 6.4 |
| District Transfer to NDP Water Bank | MGD | 0 | 24.7 | 1.9 |
| Local Storage - Begin | Mg | 53,854 | 77,310 | 77,310 |
| Local Storage - End | MG | 18,403 | 18,495 | 18,797 |
| Study Average Production \& Disposition (1921-02) ${ }^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Tuolumne River System |  |  |  |  |
| Reservoirs |  |  |  |  |
| Hetch Hetchy |  |  |  |  |
| Inflow | AF | 749,605 | 749,605 | 749,605 |
| River | AF | 275,255 | 267,021 | 276,837 |
| Stream Minimum Release | AF | 65,728 | 65,593 | 65,828 |
| Tunnel | AF | 470,709 | 478,932 | 469,171 |
| Evaporation | AF | 3,893 | 3,869 | 3,875 |
| Reservoir | AF | 281,938 | 275,235 | 285,919 |
| Cherry |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Inflow }}$ | AF | 279,293 | 279,293 | 279,293 |
| Eleanor Gravity | AF | 289 | 289 | 289 |
| Eleanor Pump | AF | 118,251 | 118,274 | 118,337 |
| River | AF | 41,636 | 41,439 | 41,360 |
| Stream Minimum Release | AF |  |  |  |
| Tunnel | AF | 352,692 | 352,915 | 353,059 |
| Evaporation | AF | 3,505 | 3,501 | ${ }^{3,500}$ |
| Reservoir | AF | 239,971 | 239,309 | 239,015 |
| Eleanor |  |  |  |  |
| Inflow | ${ }^{\text {AF }}$ | 169,617 | 169,617 | 169,617 |
| Eleanor Gravity | AF | 289 | 289 | 289 |
| Eleanor Pump | AF | 118,251 | 118,274 | 118,337 |
| River | ${ }_{\text {AF }}$ | 49,171 | 49,148 | 49,085 |
| Stream Minimum Release | AF |  |  |  |
| Evaporation | AF | 1,906 | 1,906 | 1,906 |
| Reservoir | AF | 22,191 | 22,191 | 22,191 |
| Don Pedro Reservoir |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Inflow }}$ | ${ }^{\text {AF }}$ | 1,587,517 | ${ }_{1}^{1,560,828}$ | 1,585,611 |
| MID Diversion | AF | 302,054 | 302,055 | 302,055 |
| TID Diversion | AF | 573,164 | 573,168 | 573,168 |
| LaGrange Total Stream | AF | 668,876 | 644,009 | 667,363 |
| LaGrange Minimum Stream Release | AF | 221,477 | 221,477 | 221,477 |
| Total Evaporation | AF | 43,493 | 42,604 | 43,366 |
| Reservoir | AF | 1,472,337 | 1,434,872 | 1,466,669 |
| Water Bank Account |  |  |  |  |
| Balance Transfer | AF | 514,299 | 516,733 | 513,882 |
| San Joaquin Pipelines |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (AF) | AF | 247,763 | 274,450 | 249,723 |
| Volume (MG) | mg | 80,734 | 89,429 | 81,372 |
| Rate (MGD) | MGD | 221 | 245 | 223 |
| Max Rate (MGD) | MGD | 290 | 313 | 313 |
| Min Rate (MGD) | MGD | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| East Bay System |  |  |  |  |
| Reservoirs |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Inflow | MG | 12,368 | 12,368 | 12,368 |
| From ACDD | MG | 1,316 | 1,730 | 1,715 |
| Stream Stream Flow Recapture | MG | 3,660 | 4,167 | 4,224 |
| Stream Flow Recapture | MG | 0 | 1,538 | 1,539 |
| ${ }_{\text {To SVWTP }}$ | MG | 9,013 | 8,244 | 8,163 |
| To San Antonio | MG | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Evaporation | mg | 1,023 | 1,704 | 1,712 |
| San Antonio |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\text {Inflow }}$ From Calaveras/SJPL | MG | 2,468 | 2,468 | 2,468 |
| $\underbrace{\text { Stream }}_{\text {From Calaveras/SJPL }}$ | MG | 1,173 | 1,734 | 1,326 |
| Stream To SvWTP | MG | 991 | ${ }^{613}$ | 962 |
| ${ }_{\text {To }} \begin{aligned} & \text { To SVWTP } \\ & \text { Evaporation }\end{aligned}$ | MG | 1,693 <br> 1,012 <br> 1023 | 2,628 973 | 1,813 1,026 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inflow | mg | 4,197 | 4,197 | 4,197 |
| To Calaveras Reservoir | MG | 1,316 | 1,730 | 1,715 |
| Alameda Creek Confluence |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accretion From ACDD | MG | ${ }_{2685}^{628}$ | 625 2,467 | 625 2.482 |
| From Calaveras Dam | MG | 3,660 | 4,167 | 4,224 |
| At Confluence | mg | 7,167 | 7,259 | 7,331 |
| Treatment Plants |  |  |  |  |
| SVWTP Total | MG | 13,662 | 15,738 | 15,720 |
| From Calaveras | MG | 9,013 | 8,244 | 8,163 |
| From San Antonio | MG | 1,693 | 2.628 | 1,813 |
| From SJPL | mg | 2,956 | 3,329 | 4,205 |
| From Recapture | MG | 0 | 1,538 | 1,539 |
| SWWTP Total MGD | MGD | 37 | 43 | 43 |
| SVWTP Max MGD | MGD | 120 | 158 | 158 |
| SVWTP Min MGD | MGD | 20 | 20 | 20 |
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Table 1-2
Summary of Modeling Results (Part 2/2)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{HH/LSM Simulation Results} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Units} \& Baseline \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Proposed WSIP} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{WSIP Variants ${ }^{3}$

2018 WSIP} <br>
\hline \& \& Baseline Conditions ${ }^{1}$ - Calaveras Constrained \& \& <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Peninsula System} <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Reservoirs} <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Crystal Springs} <br>
\hline Inflow \& MG \& 3,722 \& 3,722 \& 3,722 <br>
\hline From San Andreas \& MG \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline From Pilarcitos and SJPL \& MG \& 8,045 \& 7,643 \& 8,093 <br>
\hline Stream \& MG \& 773 \& 325 \& 569 <br>
\hline Pump to San Andreas \& Mg \& 9,438 \& 9,005 \& 9,426 <br>
\hline Pump to Coastside \& MG \& 247 \& 591 \& 255 <br>
\hline Evaporation \& MG \& 1,323 \& 1,490 \& 1,565 <br>
\hline Reservoir \& MG \& 16,360 \& 18,621 \& 19,663 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{San Andreas} <br>
\hline Inflow \& MG \& 1,428 \& 1,428 \& 1,428 <br>
\hline From other Streams \& MG \& 9,954 \& 9,590 \& 9,990 <br>
\hline Stream \& MG \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline To HTWTP \& MG \& 10,851 \& 10,487 \& 10,887 <br>
\hline Evaporation \& Mg \& 530 \& 531 \& 531 <br>
\hline Reservoir \& MG \& 5,892 \& 5,882 \& 5,893 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Pilarcitos} <br>
\hline Inflow \& \& 1,297 \& 1,297 \& 1,297 <br>
\hline To San Andreas \& MG \& 516 \& 584 \& 564 <br>
\hline For Stone Diversion \& MG \& 262 \& 280 \& 262 <br>
\hline Stream other than Diversion \& MG \& 417 \& 332 \& 369 <br>
\hline Evaporation \& Mg \& 103 \& 102 \& 103 <br>
\hline Reservoir \& MG \& 776 \& 767 \& 775 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Stone Dam} <br>
\hline Accretion blw Pilarcitos \& MG \& 167 \& 211 \& 168 <br>
\hline Pilarcitos non-diversion Release \& MG \& 417 \& 332 \& 369 <br>
\hline Pilarcitos Release for Diversions \& MG \& 584 \& 543 \& 537 <br>
\hline Diversion to Coastside \& MG \& 167 \& 211 \& 168 <br>
\hline Diversion to Crystal Springs \& MG \& 142 \& 180 \& 156 <br>
\hline Spill past Stone \& MG \& 860 \& 695 \& 751 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Treatment Plants} <br>
\hline HTWTP Total \& MG \& 10,851 \& 10,487 \& 10,887 <br>
\hline HTWTP Total MGD \& MGD \& 30 \& 29 \& 30 <br>
\hline HTWTP Max MGD \& MGD \& 149 \& 106 \& 107 <br>
\hline HTWTP Min MGD \& MGD \& 20 \& 20 \& 20 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Other Facilites} <br>
\hline Westside Basin Net \& MG \& 0 \& 11 \& 11 <br>
\hline Desalination Input \& MG \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Additional Information} <br>
\hline Total Local Reservoir Stream Release \& MG \& 5,842 \& 5,437 \& 6,124 <br>
\hline Total Local Reservoir Stream Evaporation \& MG \& 3,991 \& 4,800 \& 4,936 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Deliveries} <br>
\hline In-City \& MG \& 29,589 \& 26,686 \& 27,487 <br>
\hline South Bay \& Mg \& 43,106 \& 52,906 \& 45,267 <br>
\hline Crystal Springs \& MG \& 15,120 \& 16,931 \& 15,895 <br>
\hline San Andreas \& MG \& 5,400 \& 6,604 \& 5,861 <br>
\hline Coastside \& Mg \& 675 \& 1,082 \& 1,082 <br>
\hline Groveland \& MG \& 365 \& 365 \& 365 <br>
\hline Total Deliveries \& MG \& 94,255 \& 104,574 \& 95,957 <br>
\hline Total Deliveries \& MGD \& 258 \& 287 \& 263 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Storage} <br>
\hline Total Local Storage Begin \& MG \& 49,849 \& 71,363 \& 71,873 <br>
\hline Total Local Storage End \& MG \& 43,129 \& 65,197 \& 69,957 <br>
\hline Residual Difference during 82-year Simulation \& MGD \& 0.22 \& 0.21 \& 0.06 <br>
\hline Westside Storage Begin \& MG \& 0 \& 23,474 \& 23,474 <br>
\hline Westside Storage End \& MG \& 0 \& 24,363 \& 24,363 <br>
\hline Residual Difference during 82-year Simulation \& MGD \& 0.00 \& -0.03 \& -0.03 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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## Notes for Table 1-1 and Table 1-2

1. Baseline condition represents the existing conditions at the time of NOP publication in September 2005. This is the baseline used to assess WSIP program impacts and impact significance. This setting is indicative of DSOD restrictions on Calaveras and Crystal Springs Reservoirs.
2. $N / A$
3. These scenarios represent CEQA alternatives that vary from the WSIP on key components in a manner expected to avoid or reduce potentially significant effects of the proposed program.
4. The time horizon for the setting of the scenario. The baseline condition scenario is depicted for recent conditions, while the proposed WSIP, variants, and alternatives are depicted for the future at full buildout and implementation (i.e., conditions in the year 2030). The 2018 WSIP variant assesses conditions at the time that full current contract buildout occurs.
5. HH/LSM simulation study name.
6. The customer purchase request (demand) information is based on the demand and request studies prepared by the SFPUC in coordination with the wholesale customers. This demand on the regional water system includes both the SFPUC retail customers and wholesale customers. The current (2005) average annual demand is 265 mgd and the projected 2030 average annual demand is 300 mgd, assuming the SFPUC adopts the updated wholesale customer purchase requests as part of renewing the Master Sales Agreement with these customers (due in 2009).
7. Certain scenarios include development of additional water supply from a combination of recycled water projects, groundwater projects, and conservation, utilized every year and not subject to reduction during drought.
8. The average annual demand for supplies from the combination of SFPUC local watershed, Tuolumne River, and programs not included in the regional water conservation, recycling, and groundwater programs shown.
9. Modeled results for SFPUC deliveries, with supplies added for regional water conservation, recycling, and groundwater programs. Total deliveries and supply will be less than full customer purchase requests due to rationing in some years.
10. Shows only the features that affect hydrologic results of the system operation simulations. Additional projects are included in the WSIP, variants and alternatives.
11. Illustrates the frequency and severity of water supply action or the severity of systemwide rationing. Only years when variable water supply component is implemented or rationing occurs are shown. "DD" illustrates the shortage results for years included in the prospective drought period of the SFPUC design drought. These years contribute to establishing system operation protocols but are not included in the hydrologic assessment analyses.
12. Rationing policy cap: The SFPUC WSIP level of service goal is to maintain rationing on the regional system at no more than $20 \%$ during any one year of the drought. Some alternatives do not achieve this level of service goal. Performance is indicated for the Design Drought ("DD") sequence and for the "Historical" hydrologic sequence.
13. Water supply elements develop water in different amounts from year-to-year, and in some instances only develop water during dry years. This information is provided to illustrate a comparison between local watershed supplies, Tuolumne River supplies and other identifiable water supplies used to meet system purchase requests. Values are stated in units of average annual quantities during the simulated historical sequence.
14. Results from HH/LSM analysis of each scenario. Values represent the average annual production of each element of supply during the design drought period.
15. Simplified calculation of system deliveries during the SFPUC design drought. The value represents the application of system-wide shortages to the demand level being met with SFPUC local watershed, Tuolumne River, and other developed supplies and does not include supplies from regional water conservation, recycled water or groundwater projects. Average value may be slightly misstated (up to 3 mgd ) due to metric of analysis that does not account for differences in residual storage between studies. "Nominal" Firm Yield represents the yield of each scenario after adjustment for minor residual storage differences.
16. Supplemental releases from Calaveras Reservoir for fisheries (1997 CDFG MOU) of up to 6,300 acre-feet per year and the Alameda Creek recapture facility project are tied to implementation of the Calaveras Dam Replacement project (SV-2). When the dam is replaced and capacity restored, the flow release and recapture will both occur. The release requirement is based on supplementing other occurring flows below Calaveras Reservoir, sometimes not requiring the full 6,300 acre-feet.
17. SFPUC actions are assumed to not change TID/MID diversions so as to isolate and possibly overstate the WSIP's effects on the Tuolumne River below La Grange Dam. The Districts' diversions are assumed to be constant among the scenarios to provide comparable results of the WSIP-alone effects. The exception is for the Modified WSIP Alternative, in which the TID/MID diversion is reduced by the amount of SFPUC transfer.
18. Participation in the San Joaquin River Agreement is assumed. Although the agreement expires after 2010, it is assumed that a subsequent similar agreement or requirement of the Districts will occur. The HH/LSM does not explicitly model the Districts' participation in the agreement; however, their participation if modeled would result in only minor differences in results and would not change impact conclusions.
19. From $\mathrm{HH} / \mathrm{LSM}$ results for modeling the SFPUC design drought period.
20. From $\mathrm{HH} / \mathrm{LSM}$ results for modeling the system operations for the historical hydrologic period 1921-2002. Values indicate average annual quantities during simulated historical period.
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## 2. WSIP Variant - 2018 WSIP

The 2018 WSIP variant would in effect be a combination of the proposed WSIP and the water purchase request of the CEQA No Purchase Request Increase Alternative applicable for the period through the year 2018. This variant would limit the SFPUC wholesale customers' interim future purchases to the terms of the existing Master Water Sales Agreement through 2018. Under that agreement, the wholesale customers may purchase up to 184 mgd on an average annual basis, subject to reductions in the event of a drought, water shortage, earthquake, other natural disaster, or rehabilitation and maintenance of the system. Under the variant, the customer purchase requests through 2018 would not exceed 184 mgd for the wholesale customers. It is assumed that the total customer purchase requests to be served by the regional system through 2018 would be 275 mgd , consisting of 184 mgd for the wholesale customers and 91 mgd for the retail customers. The increased water demand would be offset with 10 mgd from recycled water, groundwater, and conservation projects in San Francisco. Although the net demand through 2018 on the regional water system would be the same as the current demand ( 265 mgd ), the improvement in delivery reliability requires development of additional system yield. The additional deliveries would be served through additional Tuolumne River diversions and increased use of local watershed supplies from restoration of Calaveras Reservoir and Crystal Springs Reservoir. Supplemental supplies would include implementation of the Westside Basin Groundwater Program and a water transfer with TID/MID.

In the context of the WSIP planning horizon for the year 2030, this analysis provides insight into the hydrologic effects of the program at an interim point in time (2018), or it provides a depiction of the WSIP if a delivery limitation is continued through 2030. Should the deliveries of the regional system be allowed to increase after 2018, the analysis described for the WSIP depicts the hydrologic effects associated with increased deliveries. The following description focuses on the time at which the variant's net demand of 265 mgd would occur.

### 2.1 Water Deliveries and Drought Response Actions

Compared to the WSIP setting for 2030, the regional system's resources are required to serve a net 265 mgd demand ( 275 mgd purchase request less 10 mgd of recycled water, groundwater, and conservation projects) instead of a net 290 mgd demand. As part of the formulation of this variant, the water transfer from TID/MID was sized to provide the same frequency and severity of water shortages (percentage-wise) for the variant as that occurring in the WSIP setting during the design drought, although systemwide water deliveries would be a net 265 mgd in the variant setting as compared to the WSIP setting delivery of a net 290 mgd . This objective required the water transfer to be sized at 2,300 acre-feet per year. With a water supply formulated about comparable to that provided for the WSIP setting (only proportionately smaller for a lesser demand), the implementation of rationing and the severity of rationing from the SFPUC system during drought periods would be the same. Table 1-1 compares the drought response actions for the proposed program and the variant. Figure 2.1-1 illustrates the occurrence of drought response actions for the simulated 82-year historical period (1921-2002).

Figure 2.1-1
Drought Response Actions - WSIP and 2018 WSIP
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In Figure 2.1-1, years with bars showing a "1" or greater level of action indicate periods when a supplemental water supply action is initiated. In both settings, the water supply action is the use of the Westside Groundwater Basin Program to supplement SFPUC water deliveries. Also occurring in both settings is the water transfer supplemental supply from TID/MID every year. Action levels greater than "1" indicate the imposition of delivery shortages (rationing) to SFPUC customers. SFPUC customers would experience the same frequency and severity of shortages (percentage-wise). The triggering of the Westside Basin Groundwater Program supplemental supply would occur more frequently in the WSIP setting, typically as a precautionary response to potential prolonged drought or to retain local area storage. With the lesser demand of the variant, a less frequent precautionary response would be needed.

The same form of information is shown in Figure 2.1-2 for the comparison between the variant and the base (existing) settings. There is not a level 1 action in the base setting. Without supplemental resources, the existing system only has delivery shortage measures available to cope with drought. In the base setting, the shortage measure is imposed during level 2 (10 percent) and level 3 ( 20 percent). These percentages of shortage are applied to both the variant and the base settings for these action levels, and they are applied to the same level of net water demand ( 265 mgd ). During this simulation period, rationing would not need to exceed 20 percent in either setting; however, in the variant setting the occurrence of additional water supplies lessens the frequency and severity of water delivery shortages.

Figure 2.1-2
Drought Response Actions - Base and 2018 WSIP


Not illustrated in Figure 2.1-2 but shown in Table 1-1 are the delivery shortages anticipated during the entire SFPUC design drought. During the design drought, the base setting does not have a viable operation without exceeding a 20 percent shortage level. The base setting exceeds the 20 percent shortage level (requires 25 percent rationing) during the last 18 months of the design drought. The variant would viably provide deliveries without exceeding a 20 percent shortage level.

The difference in water deliveries between the proposed program and the variant is shown chronologically for the 82-year simulation in Table 2.1-1. There would be less water delivered to the region by the SFPUC in all years, a result of serving a lesser purchase request ( 275 mgd instead of 300 mgd , and a lesser net demand of 265 mgd instead of 290 mgd ).

Comparing the variant setting to the base setting, Table 2.1-2 illustrates the difference in water deliveries between the two settings. The increases in deliveries under the variant setting occur due to an improvement in water delivery reliability, which reduces the severity of water shortages. The shifting in the pattern of deliveries (most evident during years when there is no increase in total annual delivery) is indicative of the anticipated seasonal effect of recycled water, groundwater, and conservation projects within the pattern of the projected future, albeit limited, purchase request. The 82-year average increase in deliveries amounts to approximately 3.5 mgd .

Table 2.1-1
Difference in Total System-wide Delivery (MG)
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Table 2.1-2
Difference in Total System-wide Delivery (MG) 2018 WSIP minus Base
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### 2.2 Diversions from the Tuolumne River

The metric for illustrating the SFPUC diversions from the Tuolumne River Basin (Tuolumne) is the flow through the San Joaquin Pipeline (SJPL). Inherent in the variant is a net water demand that is essentially equal to that under the base setting, which is less than the demand served by the proposed program. Table 2.2-1 illustrates the difference in diversions to the SJPL between the proposed program and the variant settings. In both settings, the conveyance capacity of the SJPL is increased compared to the base setting. During the summer, the SJPL would essentially operate at the same maximum rate in both the variant and WSIP settings to minimize drawdown of Bay Area reservoir storage. A few exceptions occur during the summer of drought periods when the variant would serve a lesser demand than the WSIP. Overall, compared to the WSIP setting, the variant setting would divert less water from the Tuolumne.

Table 2.2-2 illustrates the difference in diversions to the SJPL between the variant and base settings. Evident in the operation is the increase in summer diversions associated with an increase in the conveyance capacity of the SJPL. As described above, with the increase in SJPL conveyance capacity, summer diversions would increase to retain storage in the Bay Area reservoirs. With the demand of the variant being approximately the same as the base setting, the increase in summer diversions to the SJPL would result in reduced diversions during the late summer and fall. The differences in December diversions are largely the result of maintenance in the variant setting (lessening available conveyance capacity) that would not occur in the base setting. The increased diversions during the winter and spring result from the need to replenish Bay Area reservoir storage after the maintenance, and then the operation of topping off Bay Area reservoir storage prior to summer. There would be an overall increase in average annual diversions to the SJPL in the variant setting associated with the improvement in water delivery reliability. The 82-year average annual increase in diversions from the Tuolumne amounts to approximately 1,900 acre-feet per year ( 1.7 mgd ).

Table 2.2-3 illustrates the average monthly diversions through the SJPL by year type for the 82-year simulation for the proposed program and the variant settings and the difference between the two settings. Table 2.3-4 shows the same information for the variant and base settings.

Table 2.2-1
Difference in Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet)


Table 2.2-2
Difference in Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet)

| Difference in | San | quin Pip | e (A | et) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 WSIP | s Base |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul\| | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| 1921 | -3,806 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,562 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | 10,035 | 10,035 |
| 1922 | -8,562 | -4,603 | -4,947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,603 | 2,854 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | -6,277 | -6,277 |
| 1923 | -7,611 | -4,603 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,416 | 921 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | 1,771 | 3,612 |
| 1924 | -8,562 | -2,762 | -952 | -2,855 | -2,578 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 0 | -1,103 | -5,706 |
| 1925 | -4,756 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 14,608 | 11,512 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 775 | -1,343 |
| 1926 | 0 | 2,762 | -7,088 | 0 | 0 | 15,317 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | 17,835 | 24,556 |
| 1927 | -5,708 | -4,604 | -952 | 3,805 | 0 | 4,757 | 1,841 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | 787 | 787 |
| 1928 | -3,806 | -4,603 | -2,854 | 1,902 | 2,578 | 2,663 | 1,841 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | -631 | -631 |
| 1929 | -3,806 | -2,762 | -952 | 0 | 0 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 0 | 9,086 | 4,483 |
| 1930 | -4,756 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 9,538 | 11,512 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 921 | -5,492 | -6,413 |
| 1931 | -2,854 | -921 | -7,088 | -952 | -859 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | -475 | -2,762 | -1,494 | 4,853 |
| 1932 | 0 | -921 | 951 | 3,805 | 0 | 12,558 | 4,880 | 2,949 | 2,854 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | 28,692 | 26,028 |
| 1933 | -4,756 | -2,762 | -7,611 | 4,757 | 4,297 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 12,649 | 7,769 |
| 1934 | -2,854 | 1,841 | -2,855 | -952 | -860 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -1,749 | 9,177 | 13,044 |
| 1935 | -2,854 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 18,075 | 14,608 | 10,560 | 6,445 | 3,901 | 3,775 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -920 | 18,655 | 17,826 |
| 1936 | -8,562 | -2,762 | -7,088 | 3,805 | 0 | 9,514 | 2,118 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | 514 | 2,356 |
| 1937 | -7,611 | -2,762 | -952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,762 | 2,379 | 2,302 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | -2,266 | -2,266 |
| 1938 | -5,708 | -4,603 | -2,854 | 2,663 | 0 | 0 | 2,762 | 1,903 | 1,842 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | -2,379 | -2,379 |
| 1939 | -7,611 | -2,762 | -4,757 | 952 | 860 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 921 | 4,209 | 526 |
| 1940 | -4,756 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 15,317 | 0 | 5,708 | 5,524 | 2,379 | 2,302 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | -11,223 | -7,540 |
| 1941 | -7,611 | -2,762 | -1,142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,902 | -1,841 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 0 | -10,880 | -13,642 |
| 1942 | -4,756 | -2,762 | -3,805 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,603 | 0 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -920 | -3,262 | -2,342 |
| 1943 | -4,756 | -4,603 | -7,611 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,524 | -475 | -460 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | -10,765 | -8,923 |
| 1944 | -5,709 | -2,762 | -2,855 | 1,902 | 5,328 | 12,368 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | 14,472 | 16,313 |
| 1945 | -8,562 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 5,156 | 15,317 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | -13,558 | -15,399 |
| 1946 | -5,708 | -4,603 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,611 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | 5,341 | 5,341 |
| 1947 | -8,562 | -5,524 | -2,855 | -4,757 | 0 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 0 | -335 | -3,097 |
| 1948 | -2,854 | 0 | -7,611 | -952 | -859 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | 1,568 | 4,330 |
| 1949 | -5,708 | 0 | -952 | -4,757 | -4,296 | -2,854 | 2,118 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | -12,960 | -12,960 |
| 1950 | -3,806 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 16,459 | 15,468 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 7,532 | 2,652 |
| 1951 | -1,807 | -4,604 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,118 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | -2,645 | 4,076 |
| 1952 | -3,806 | -4,603 | -951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,444 | -951 | -920 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | -3,171 | -5,012 |
| 1953 | -5,709 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,514 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -5,524 | 6,322 | 9,084 |
| 1954 | -8,562 | -4,603 | -952 | 0 | 4,468 | 7,611 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -5,524 | 3,241 | 3,241 |
| 1955 | -8,562 | -19,334 | -15,222 | 16,459 | 12,202 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 4,267 | -3,375 |
| 1956 | -2,854 | 1,841 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 951 | 2,118 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -5,524 | 2,783 | 10,425 |
| 1957 | -3,806 | -4,603 | -952 | 0 | 5,328 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -1,749 | 15,581 | 11,806 |
| 1958 | -8,562 | -2,762 | -7,611 | 4,757 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,902 | -1,841 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -1,749 | -15,292 | -15,292 |
| 1959 | -5,708 | -2,762 | -952 | 952 | 0 | 14,270 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -1,749 | 14,854 | 14,854 |
| 1960 | -5,708 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 5,803 | 9,453 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -11,041 | -14,908 |
| 1961 | -2,854 | 0 | -7,088 | -952 | 3,437 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 0 | 0 | 6,960 | 11,267 |
| 1962 | -952 | 3,682 | 1,902 | -952 | 859 | 16,173 | 7,642 | 2,854 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | 35,586 | 36,159 |
| 1963 | -952 | -2,762 | -7,611 | 0 | 0 | 3,805 | 2,762 | -952 | -921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -135 | -5,015 |
| 1964 | -5,708 | -4,603 | -952 | 4,757 | 4,297 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 16,515 | 16,515 |
| 1965 | -1,807 | -19,334 | -14,270 | 0 | 0 | 11,512 | 4,603 | 0 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | -19,521 | -12,800 |
| 1966 | -3,806 | -5,524 | -1,902 | 4,947 | 4,468 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -460 | 14,329 | 10,186 |
| 1967 | -4,756 | -2,762 | -9,514 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,683 | -2,855 | -2,762 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 921 | -13,667 | -15,048 |
| 1968 | -5,708 | -2,762 | -7,088 | 5,708 | 5,156 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -460 | 11,452 | 12,833 |
| 1969 | -7,610 | -2,762 | -4,757 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,524 | 0 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | -9,830 | -5,687 |
| 1970 | -3,806 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 7,610 | 6,874 | 16,173 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | -4,421 | -6,262 |
| 1971 | -5,708 | -7,365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 0 | 8,290 | 5,528 |
| 1972 | -5,708 | -2,762 | -5,709 | -4,757 | 0 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 921 | -1,409 | -2,330 |
| 1973 | -2,854 | -921 | -7,611 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,603 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | -5,135 | 389 |
| 1974 | -5,709 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,805 | 2,762 | 1,903 | 1,842 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | 1,616 | 1,616 |
| 1975 | -5,708 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 11,512 | 859 | 2,663 | 7,365 | 1,903 | 1,842 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | -19,102 | -19,102 |
| 1976 | -5,708 | -4,603 | -7,611 | -952 | -859 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 921 | -2,206 | -7,730 |
| 1977 | -1,902 | 1,841 | -2,855 | -2,855 | -2,578 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 1,047 | 4,603 | 11,718 | 9,178 |
| 1978 | 3,805 | -921 | -2,331 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,708 | 4,756 | 4,603 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | 17,236 | 23,459 |
| 1979 | -8,562 | -2,762 | -952 | 952 | 0 | 8,562 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -920 | 7,121 | 5,279 |
| 1980 | -5,708 | -19,334 | -15,222 | 5,708 | 0 | 951 | 4,880 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | -27,077 | -23,394 |
| 1981 | -3,806 | -4,603 | -7,611 | 5,708 | 5,156 | 11,512 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | 12,556 | 12,556 |
| 1982 | -8,562 | -5,524 | -3,805 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -952 | -921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -920 | -16,306 | -19,989 |
| 1983 | -4,756 | -5,524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,946 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 921 | -162 | -2,003 |
| 1984 | -5,708 | -7,365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -460 | 3,073 | 4,454 |
| 1985 | -4,756 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 10,560 | 8,593 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 2,118 | -6,192 | -8,770 |
| 1986 | -2,854 | 1,841 | -7,088 | -952 | 0 | 0 | 1,841 | 2,854 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -4,603 | -1,821 | 4,900 |
| 1987 | -5,708 | -2,762 | -952 | -4,757 | -4,296 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 0 | -1,869 | -6,472 |
| 1988 | -1,902 | 1,841 | -7,088 | 2,854 | 2,578 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | -951 | 0 | 11,749 | 14,889 |
| 1989 | 0 | -921 | 2,854 | 0 | 0 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 5,043 | 2,762 | 1,047 | 0 | 1,841 | 20,547 | 18,897 |
| 1990 | 1,902 | -19,334 | -15,222 | 10,560 | 8,593 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 0 | -2,854 | 1,841 | -2,286 | 1,615 |
| 1991 | 1,902 | -4,603 | -2,854 | -952 | -860 | 7,611 | 3,683 | 0 | 0 | -475 | 1,902 | -2,762 | 2,592 | 2,914 |
| 1992 | -2,855 | 3,682 | 1,902 | 952 | 859 | 18,075 | 6,721 | 1,902 | 1,841 | 0 | -4,756 | 0 | 28,323 | 31,744 |
| 1993 | 0 | -2,762 | -1,379 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,841 | 0 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -1,749 | 329 | -7,056 |
| 1994 | -8,562 | -2,762 | -952 | -2,855 | 8,593 | 5,803 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -1,749 | 8,319 | 8,319 |
| 1995 | -1,902 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,603 | 0 | 0 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | -34,996 | -33,983 |
| 1996 | -3,806 | -2,762 | -2,854 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,880 | 2,854 | 2,762 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -1,749 | 3,703 | 2,690 |
| 1997 | -5,708 | -4,604 |  | 0 | 0 | 7,611 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 0 | 8,102 | 6,353 |
| 1998 | -7,610 | -2,762 | -7,611 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,604 | 952 | 921 | 2,189 | 2,189 | 0 | -7,128 | -7,128 |
| 1999 | -3,806 | -2,762 | -2,855 | 4,757 | 0 | 4,757 | 6,444 | -951 | -920 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -1,749 | 7,293 | 9,042 |
| 2000 | -3,806 | -19,334 | -19,979 | 15,317 | 2,406 | 13,319 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -1,749 | -3,023 | -3,023 |
| 2001 | -5,708 | -2,762 | -7,611 | 3,805 | 6,875 | 11,416 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,762 | 14,056 | 15,069 |
| 2002 | -8,562 | -2,762 | -6,659 | 3,805 | 3,437 | 10,560 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,118 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -460 | 10,162 | 7,860 |
| Avg (21-02) | -4,638 | -5,883 | -6,178 | 2,233 | 1,938 | 5,955 | 2,897 | 1,635 | 1,556 | 2,089 | 1,901 | -1,546 | 1,960 | 1,932 |

Table 2.2-3

| Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 20,579 | 13,177 | 8,363 | 8,491 | 6,015 | 8,925 | 18,753 | 24,515 | 23,724 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 24,179 | 216,278 | 215,562 |
| Above Normal | 20,096 | 11,265 | 7,899 | 13,280 | 7,228 | 13,493 | 23,006 | 26,291 | 25,443 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 24,425 | 231,981 | 231,792 |
| Normal | 19,265 | 11,911 | 8,741 | 13,872 | 10,032 | 19,812 | 27,712 | 29,064 | 28,126 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 24,352 | 252,444 | 251,317 |
| Below Normal | 20,874 | 12,781 | 11,615 | 18,434 | 15,371 | 24,361 | 28,622 | 29,241 | 28,172 | 29,386 | 29,185 | 25,080 | 273,122 | 272,085 |
| Dry | 20,395 | 16,572 | 14,580 | 16,655 | 14,071 | 25,651 | 28,817 | 29,463 | 28,512 | 29,148 | 27,625 | 22,948 | 274,435 | 277,265 |
| All Years | 20,248 | 13,114 | 10,228 | 14,188 | 10,562 | 18,460 | 25,393 | 27,716 | 26,796 | 29,574 | 29,235 | 24,210 | 249,723 | 249,661 |
| Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 27,584 | 16,762 | 9,692 | 11,066 | 7,304 | 10,875 | 21,647 | 26,722 | 25,859 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 245,884 | 243,146 |
| Above Normal | 26,935 | 14,568 | 8,898 | 13,901 | 8,598 | 16,352 | 24,176 | 28,608 | 27,685 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 258,095 | 258,095 |
| Normal | 26,632 | 15,087 | 9,698 | 15,299 | 11,343 | 21,935 | 28,322 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 275,285 | 275,285 |
| Below Normal | 27,567 | 16,214 | 13,000 | 21,070 | 18,065 | 25,211 | 28,817 | 29,481 | 28,530 | 29,778 | 29,521 | 27,972 | 295,227 | 295,751 |
| Dry | 26,210 | 19,881 | 16,554 | 19,818 | 16,869 | 25,717 | 28,817 | 29,778 | 28,817 | 29,094 | 28,773 | 27,154 | 297,481 | 299,662 |
| All Years | 26,992 | 16,475 | 11,553 | 16,261 | 12,458 | 20,037 | 26,359 | 28,878 | 27,946 | 29,645 | 29,529 | 28,317 | 274,450 | 274,450 |
| Difference in Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) 2018 WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | -7,005 | -3,585 | -1,329 | -2,575 | -1,289 | -1,950 | -2,894 | -2,206 | -2,135 | 0 | 0 | -4,638 | -29,605 | -27,585 |
| Above Normal | -6,839 | -3,303 | -999 | -621 | -1,370 | -2,859 | -1,170 | -2,317 | -2,242 | 0 | 0 | -4,392 | -26,113 | -26,303 |
| Normal | -7,367 | -3,176 | -957 | -1,427 | -1,311 | -2,123 | -610 | -714 | -691 | 0 | 0 | -4,466 | -22,841 | -23,968 |
| Below Normal | -6,693 | -3,433 | -1,385 | -2,636 | -2,694 | -851 | -195 | -241 | -357 | -392 | -336 | -2,892 | -22,105 | -23,666 |
| Dry | -5,816 | -3,309 | -1,974 | -3,163 | -2,798 | -65 | 0 | -315 | -305 | 53 | -1,148 | -4,206 | -23,046 | -22,397 |
| All Years | -6,745 | -3,361 | -1,326 | -2,073 | -1,896 | -1,577 | -967 | -1,162 | -1,150 | -71 | -294 | -4,107 | -24,727 | -24,788 |

Table 2.3-4

| Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 20,579 | 13,177 | 8,363 | 8,491 | 6,015 | 8,925 | 18,753 | 24,515 | 23,724 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 24,179 | 216,278 | 215,562 |
| Above Normal | 20,096 | 11,265 | 7,899 | 13,280 | 7,228 | 13,493 | 23,006 | 26,291 | 25,443 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 24,425 | 231,981 | 231,792 |
| Normal | 19,265 | 11,911 | 8,741 | 13,872 | 10,032 | 19,812 | 27,712 | 29,064 | 28,126 | 29,778 | 29,778 | 24,352 | 252,444 | 251,317 |
| Below Normal | 20,874 | 12,781 | 11,615 | 18,434 | 15,371 | 24,361 | 28,622 | 29,241 | 28,172 | 29,386 | 29,185 | 25,080 | 273,122 | 272,085 |
| Dry | 20,395 | 16,572 | 14,580 | 16,655 | 14,071 | 25,651 | 28,817 | 29,463 | 28,512 | 29,148 | 27,625 | 22,948 | 274,435 | 277,265 |
| All Years | 20,248 | 13,114 | 10,228 | 14,188 | 10,562 | 18,460 | 25,393 | 27,716 | 26,796 | 29,574 | 29,235 | 24,210 | 249,723 | 249,661 |
| Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | Type - G | ed by Uni | ired Run | LaGrang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | 24,854 | 19,046 | 14,449 | 7,730 | 6,015 | 7,611 | 15,398 | 23,962 | 23,189 | 27,589 | 27,589 | 26,526 | 223,960 | 222,101 |
| Above Normal | 25,015 | 18,522 | 14,830 | 9,346 | 6,015 | 8,831 | 19,117 | 25,015 | 24,208 | 27,589 | 27,589 | 26,699 | 232,776 | 232,343 |
| Normal | 24,616 | 19,046 | 14,865 | 10,691 | 6,864 | 11,060 | 25,145 | 27,054 | 26,181 | 27,589 | 27,589 | 26,699 | 247,400 | 246,589 |
| Below Normal | 25,239 | 19,334 | 18,748 | 15,927 | 11,585 | 16,789 | 26,374 | 27,085 | 26,212 | 27,421 | 27,141 | 25,562 | 267,417 | 267,585 |
| Dry | 24,676 | 19,046 | 19,087 | 15,995 | 12,621 | 18,195 | 26,411 | 27,292 | 26,411 | 27,232 | 26,757 | 23,247 | 266,970 | 269,749 |
| All Years | 24,886 | 18,997 | 16,405 | 11,955 | 8,624 | 12,505 | 22,496 | 26,081 | 25,239 | 27,485 | 27,334 | 25,756 | 247,763 | 247,729 |
| Difference in Total San Joaquin Pipeline (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) 2018 WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total | FY Total |
| Wet | -4,275 | -5,869 | -6,086 | 761 | 0 | 1,314 | 3,355 | 553 | 535 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,348 | -7,681 | -6,540 |
| Above Normal | -4,919 | -7,257 | -6,931 | 3,934 | 1,213 | 4,662 | 3,889 | 1,276 | 1,235 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,274 | -795 | -551 |
| Normal | -5,352 | -7,135 | -6,125 | 3,181 | 3,169 | 8,752 | 2,567 | 2,011 | 1,945 | 2,189 | 2,189 | -2,348 | 5,044 | 4,728 |
| Below Normal | -4,365 | -6,553 | -7,133 | 2,507 | 3,786 | 7,572 | 2,248 | 2,155 | 1,961 | 1,965 | 2,043 | -482 | 5,705 | 4,500 |
| Dry | -4,281 | -2,474 | -4,508 | 660 | 1,450 | 7,456 | 2,406 | 2,171 | 2,101 | 1,915 | 869 | -299 | 7,465 | 7,516 |
| All Years | -4,638 | -5,883 | -6,178 | 2,233 | 1,938 | 5,955 | 2,897 | 1,635 | 1,556 | 2,089 | 1,901 | -1,546 | 1,960 | 1,932 |

### 2.3 Hetch Hetchy Reservoir and Releases

Compared to the WSIP setting, the variant setting would draw less water from the Tuolumne due to the lesser demand. This circumstance would lead to less draw from Hetch Hetchy Reservoir in the variant setting in most years. Figure 2.3-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage and stream releases. Shown in Figure 2.3-1 are the results for the WSIP, variant (2018 WSIP), and base settings. Supplementing the Figure 2.3-1 representation of Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage are Table 2.3-1, Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (2018 WSIP); Table 2.3-2, Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (WSIP); and Table 2.3-3, Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (2018 WSIP minus WSIP). Table 2.3-4 is provided to illustrate the difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage between the base and variant settings.

Table 2.3-3 shows that, by the end of summer, storage in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir associated with the variant setting would be greater than the storage in the WSIP setting in about 20 percent of the years, ranging from a minor increase to over 31,000 acre-feet in a year. The relatively minor increases in storage are attributable to years when summer diversions would be the same in both settings (SJPL operating at maximum capacity) but less water would be diverted in the fall due to the lesser water demand. The larger increases in storage are associated with drought periods, during which the differences in underlying demand and water delivery shortages between the WSIP and variant settings are greater.

Figure 2.3-1
Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage and Stream Release





Table 2.3-1
Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 282,609 | 278,092 | 255,917 | 247,975 | 195,384 | 160,826 | 163,128 | 278,579 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 326,811 | 296,708 |
| 1922 | 272,692 | 253,215 | 243,432 | 237,356 | 241,830 | 256,406 | 226,719 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 336,082 | 307,732 |
| 1923 | 286,405 | 270,794 | 276,871 | 283,590 | 288,738 | 283,923 | 259,278 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 333,186 | 310,962 |
| 1924 | 301,568 | 281,696 | 261,164 | 246,096 | 237,579 | 221,011 | 237,619 | 319,528 | 297,781 | 269,833 | 234,563 | 200,811 |
| 1925 | 176,725 | 188,760 | 201,798 | 184,735 | 199,033 | 213,024 | 231,155 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 334,210 | 301,427 |
| 1926 | 279,127 | 259,324 | 251,245 | 233,085 | 224,213 | 177,698 | 263,211 | 349,832 | 360,400 | 333,232 | 297,804 | 270,576 |
| 1927 | 248,777 | 251,050 | 251,687 | 247,855 | 275,438 | 296,429 | 354,032 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 333,718 | 307,952 |
| 1928 | 287,960 | 297,217 | 293,099 | 286,032 | 280,760 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 337,096 | 302,689 | 276,165 |
| 1929 | 254,035 | 233,992 | 219,838 | 204,878 | 194,666 | 193,633 | 209,190 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 348,102 | 314,426 | 283,355 |
| 1930 | 258,555 | 254,985 | 256,315 | 236,814 | 227,387 | 233,865 | 295,228 | 356,465 | 360,400 | 350,768 | 316,726 | 284,621 |
| 1931 | 259,237 | 241,452 | 227,760 | 210,946 | 199,767 | 191,885 | 233,170 | 325,434 | 322,155 | 292,228 | 259,610 | 234,867 |
| 1932 | 211,484 | 190,881 | 126,425 | 66,408 | 45,476 | 34,111 | 62,131 | 232,474 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 333,089 | 04,798 |
| 1933 | 278,840 | 260,094 | 245,851 | 228,098 | 213,520 | 182,969 | 167,504 | 200,819 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 326,593 | 293,382 |
| 1934 | 266,003 | 243,161 | 216,797 | 204,346 | 186,085 | 150,440 | 198,562 | 251,067 | 274,860 | 248,611 | 216,584 | 189,190 |
| 1935 | 167,090 | 180,813 | 193,600 | 130,416 | 91,354 | 53,449 | 109,363 | 266,254 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 331,788 | 302,361 |
| 1936 | 280,873 | 263,851 | 247,502 | 239,843 | 194,673 | 157,609 | 213,898 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 327,853 | 298,989 |
| 1937 | 278,787 | 260,055 | 240,667 | 223,486 | 179,093 | 127,495 | 126,725 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 327,212 | 297,350 |
| 1938 | 274,407 | 258,610 | 297,772 | 293,013 | 242,112 | 200,609 | 221,893 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 352,029 | 329,594 |
| 1939 | 323,052 | 317,095 | 308,612 | 299,875 | 295,466 | 308,950 | 356,592 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 332,157 | 299,492 | 271,524 |
| 1940 | 263,350 | 264,386 | 227,268 | 216,494 | 168,677 | 145,755 | 168,357 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 354,451 | 320,313 | 291,190 |
| 1941 | 271,263 | 253,545 | 249,892 | 184,092 | 138,160 | 101,885 | 92,264 | 319,429 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 341,291 | 311,165 |
| 1942 | 289,497 | 286,480 | 328,368 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 356,592 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 339,529 | 310,000 |
| 1943 | 286,861 | 289,159 | 296,594 | 321,028 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 334,820 | 307,969 |
| 1944 | 289,730 | 272,775 | 257,390 | 248,581 | 247,526 | 255,151 | 275,226 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 329,290 | 304,166 |
| 1945 | 283,254 | 300,146 | 317,051 | 301,901 | 275,964 | 215,180 | 221,432 | 342,932 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 334,928 | 308,047 |
| 1946 | 305,207 | 324,081 | 288,649 | 254,722 | 190,265 | 144,978 | 204,939 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 357,267 | 325,581 | 298,114 |
| 1947 | 281,975 | 281,245 | 281,849 | 276,388 | 278,587 | 288,395 | 337,342 | 360,400 | 356,592 | 332,847 | 297,991 | 267,446 |
| 1948 | 254,417 | 244,294 | 235,928 | 226,952 | 214,085 | 155,433 | 137,737 | 260,228 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 325,774 | 295,942 |
| 1949 | 270,211 | 248,715 | 229,974 | 215,741 | 194,598 | 128,217 | 171,843 | 303,299 | 360,400 | 339,844 | 305,128 | 276,849 |
| 1950 | 254,009 | 254,979 | 249,960 | 232,946 | 178,360 | 126,993 | 173,245 | 328,608 | 360,400 | 359,600 | 323,849 | 289,929 |
| 1951 | 263,034 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 273,739 | 223,537 | 199,065 | 226,940 | 352,902 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 326,780 | 299,924 |
| 1952 | 277,192 | 265,107 | 276,984 | 262,472 | 207,037 | 232,744 | 331,312 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 351,651 | 327,090 |
| 1953 | 306,915 | 287,555 | 286,634 | 305,694 | 311,161 | 314,290 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 330,136 | 304,813 |
| 1954 | 281,411 | 264,084 | 245,293 | 233,461 | 239,810 | 246,445 | 312,245 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 343,956 | 308,827 | 282,584 |
| 1955 | 259,833 | 257,884 | 265,101 | 247,276 | 236,225 | 168,911 | 137,956 | 234,795 | 356,592 | 344,694 | 309,939 | 275,067 |
| 1956 | 246,064 | 223,824 | 286,092 | 264,022 | 209,194 | 170,223 | 190,121 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 347,791 | 326,931 |
| 1957 | 309,473 | 300,247 | 282,855 | 271,022 | 283,025 | 289,326 | 320,587 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 326,823 | 296,564 |
| 1958 | 275,676 | 261,117 | 256,620 | 248,538 | 268,060 | 244,553 | 316,356 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 353,900 | 327,777 |
| 1959 | 305,000 | 285,354 | 263,804 | 258,795 | 228,073 | 176,551 | 195,296 | 236,053 | 288,523 | 260,077 | 223,494 | 212,535 |
| 1960 | 191,220 | 189,064 | 187,908 | 163,510 | 126,394 | 100,465 | 130,282 | 218,504 | 290,266 | 264,021 | 228,814 | 194,592 |
| 1961 | 166,799 | 147,604 | 122,185 | 103,560 | 94,937 | 89,820 | 136,861 | 229,076 | 274,760 | 248,652 | 223,685 | 200,188 |
| 1962 | 179,904 | 160,807 | 146,608 | 135,879 | 159,757 | 160,943 | 279,470 | 356,465 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 326,379 | 297,010 |
| 1963 | 273,823 | 254,662 | 242,067 | 251,423 | 310,058 | 322,016 | 350,074 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 336,396 | 305,026 |
| 1964 | 281,564 | 290,995 | 282,306 | 277,015 | 273,190 | 235,968 | 210,684 | 286,406 | 360,400 | 343,750 | 309,409 | 275,896 |
| 1965 | 245,809 | 253,115 | 321,455 | 286,120 | 235,159 | 179,819 | 185,636 | 297,805 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 339,909 |
| 1966 | 317,826 | 322,951 | 308,583 | 305,796 | 283,349 | 288,162 | 356,592 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 331,450 | 297,972 | 267,899 |
| 1967 | 241,427 | 234,658 | 273,811 | 290,049 | 304,992 | 330,000 | 352,295 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 336,965 |
| 1968 | 313,146 | 295,351 | 286,381 | 281,571 | 301,117 | 304,173 | 346,380 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 334,325 | 299,837 | 270,029 |
| 1969 | 254,522 | 266,986 | 267,609 | 326,006 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 349,426 | 324,498 |
| 1970 | 309,819 | 316,182 | 330,000 | 326,065 | 325,142 | 330,000 | 341,873 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 326,016 | 295,639 |
| 1971 | 270,928 | 270,971 | 287,195 | 306,077 | 320,804 | 322,357 | 349,749 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 325,764 | 294,564 |
| 1972 | 268,852 | 254,761 | 252,261 | 247,271 | 245,191 | 275,403 | 296,867 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 336,426 | 299,001 | 269,162 |
| 1973 | 244,428 | 230,983 | 238,924 | 251,779 | 262,465 | 275,113 | 322,681 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 353,990 | 322,828 | 292,848 |
| 1974 | 272,123 | 310,590 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 331,550 | 301,908 |
| 1975 | 277,436 | 272,650 | 276,651 | 258,972 | 268,065 | 287,929 | 234,337 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 324,162 | 297,200 |
| 1976 | 295,909 | 295,723 | 287,208 | 268,903 | 258,610 | 250,311 | 254,661 | 341,485 | 330,919 | 300,830 | 269,106 | 240,387 |
| 1977 | 218,384 | 195,661 | 175,732 | 156,532 | 141,297 | 119,701 | 126,961 | 144,655 | 185,682 | 158,583 | 127,611 | 103,776 |
| 1978 | 81,190 | 62,065 | 69,663 | 93,807 | 117,052 | 170,753 | 229,138 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 357,869 | 360,400 |
| 1979 | 330,000 | 313,085 | 298,796 | 309,602 | 320,487 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,097 | 320,734 | 287,352 |
| 1980 | 271,512 | 279,664 | 288,323 | 326,065 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 356,592 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 352,729 | 327,134 |
| 1981 | 303,223 | 284,771 | 272,418 | 260,876 | 264,288 | 260,790 | 271,414 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 330,185 | 292,628 | 264,472 |
| 1982 | 248,006 | 276,645 | 317,405 | 330,000 | 326,446 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 |
| 1983 | 326,065 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 356,951 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 357,167 |
| 1984 | 330,000 | 326,192 | 301,515 | 251,330 | 205,725 | 189,676 | 227,004 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 328,962 | 299,035 |
| 1985 | 277,894 | 296,425 | 304,499 | 286,883 | 274,950 | 272,162 | 359,303 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 333,535 | 296,865 | 266,723 |
| 1986 | 250,445 | 236,469 | 245,291 | 254,917 | 330,000 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 337,490 | 311,318 |
| 1987 | 293,620 | 273,938 | 250,752 | 234,807 | 227,102 | 216,794 | 272,945 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 328,763 | 292,248 | 259,162 |
| 1988 | 234,316 | 221,153 | 217,898 | 216,791 | 214,588 | 220,681 | 263,542 | 355,022 | 356,592 | 330,735 | 299,096 | 274,941 |
| 1989 | 250,690 | 230,116 | 214,166 | 206,589 | 208,221 | 254,241 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 347,970 | 317,138 | 297,556 |
| 1990 | 286,000 | 290,721 | 295,500 | 276,136 | 263,108 | 272,917 | 340,617 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 344,204 | 319,777 | 297,881 |
| 1991 | 276,582 | 259,570 | 244,114 | 225,926 | 211,787 | 220,991 | 241,549 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 357,093 | 326,278 | 304,043 |
| 1992 | 284,649 | 272,088 | 257,248 | 245,762 | 253,949 | 252,395 | 318,919 | 360,400 | 359,902 | 352,164 | 328,215 | 308,305 |
| 1993 | 291,250 | 275,503 | 268,001 | 293,942 | 309,264 | 330,000 | 356,592 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 339,684 | 309,861 |
| 1994 | 288,288 | 268,035 | 248,867 | 222,053 | 211,917 | 216,211 | 265,068 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 328,106 | 288,504 | 257,165 |
| 1995 | 236,917 | 257,505 | 274,105 | 318,014 | 330,000 | 329,098 | 356,592 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 346,115 |
| 1996 | 323,688 | 303,528 | 303,270 | 316,260 | 326,446 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 356,465 | 329,269 | 299,674 |
| 1997 | 277,861 | 299,279 | 317,856 | 330,000 | 300,695 | 283,968 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 334,509 | 303,667 |
| 1998 | 279,663 | 260,551 | 252,471 | 274,673 | 301,923 | 330,000 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 357,575 | 341,243 |
| 1999 | 322,965 | 312,973 | 298,470 | 292,088 | 254,288 | 201,103 | 185,376 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 328,523 | 299,272 |
| 2000 | 277,088 | 276,001 | 273,476 | 260,779 | 266,000 | 265,151 | 336,457 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 347,257 | 314,429 | 284,299 |
| 2001 | 261,745 | 243,718 | 231,697 | 213,737 | 207,523 | 235,058 | 289,029 | 360,400 | 360,186 | 330,828 | 293,698 | 264,148 |
| 2002 | 241,297 | 229,484 | 243,063 | 248,705 | 254,002 | 257,704 | 349,922 | 360,400 | 360,400 | 337,536 | 300,566 | 269,362 |
| Avg (21-02) | 268,676 | 262,479 | 259,859 | 252,012 | 243,600 | 235,735 | 269,224 | 338,182 | 352,712 | 343,017 | 316,086 | 289,447 |

Table 2.3-2
Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)
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Table 2.3-3
Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)
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Table 2.3-4
Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 2,198 | 4,959 | 4,960 | 4,963 | 4,966 | 4,342 | 3,658 | 3,076 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 576 |
| 1922 | 9,138 | 13,741 | 18,688 | 18,699 | 18,710 | 18,710 | 18,710 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 575 |
| 1923 | 8,186 | 12,790 | 12,790 | 12,797 | 12,804 | 1,387 | 1,387 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 2,417 |
| 1924 | 10,978 | 13,740 | 14,691 | 17,554 | 20,142 | 14,339 | 5,052 | 335 | -1,783 | -3,969 | -6,150 | -6,143 |
| 1925 | -1,383 | 17,951 | 37,930 | 32,150 | 17,566 | 6,055 | 5,313 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1926 | -4,302 | -7,064 | -16 | -16 | -13 | -15,330 | -13,488 | -10,568 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | 233 |
| 1927 | 5,941 | 10,544 | 11,496 | 7,697 | 7,702 | 2,945 | 1,104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 2,417 |
| 1928 | 6,221 | 10,824 | 13,679 | 11,783 | 9,210 | 281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | 234 |
| 1929 | 4,038 | 6,800 | 7,752 | 7,756 | 7,761 | 1,957 | -160 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -4,370 |
| 1930 | 389 | 19,723 | 39,701 | 33,921 | 24,402 | 12,891 | 10,773 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -5,291 |
| 1931 | -2,434 | -1,514 | 5,574 | 6,528 | 7,391 | 1,588 | -529 | -2,717 | -4,832 | -7,015 | -6,530 | -3,761 |
| 1932 | -3,759 | -2,838 | -1,897 | -1,591 | 2,645 | 1,691 | 1,046 | 754 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 576 |
| 1933 | 5,332 | 8,094 | 15,705 | 10,957 | 6,667 | 864 | 761 | 638 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1934 | -1,448 | -3,290 | -2,195 | -1,415 | -3,522 | -9,788 | -4,179 | -6,364 | -8,474 | -10,650 | -12,820 | -11,056 |
| 1935 | -8,196 | 11,138 | 31,116 | 26,406 | 22,153 | 10,358 | 6,874 | 5,270 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -1,265 |
| 1936 | 7,297 | 10,059 | 17,258 | 13,364 | 13,372 | 11,707 | 9,889 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 575 |
| 1937 | 8,186 | 10,948 | 11,900 | 11,907 | 11,185 | 9,529 | 8,018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 575 |
| 1938 | 6,283 | 10,887 | 13,741 | 11,084 | 11,089 | 11,088 | 9,748 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 576 |
| 1939 | 8,187 | 10,948 | 15,705 | 14,761 | 13,907 | 8,104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -5,291 |
| 1940 | -531 | 18,803 | 35,223 | 19,926 | 17,643 | 14,796 | 12,490 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -1,608 |
| 1941 | 6,003 | 8,766 | 14,397 | 14,405 | 12,626 | 10,593 | 8,102 | 6,094 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -2,187 |
| 1942 | 2,571 | 5,333 | 9,139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -1,266 |
| 1943 | 3,492 | 8,095 | 15,706 | 15,713 | 7,596 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 575 |
| 1944 | 6,284 | 9,046 | 11,900 | 10,004 | 4,682 | -7,685 | -9,803 | 0 | 0 | , | -2,188 | 2,417 |
| 1945 | 10,978 | 30,312 | 50,291 | 44,510 | 39,377 | 39,377 | 34,600 | 30,669 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 575 |
| 1946 | 6,284 | 10,887 | 10,887 | 10,892 | 10,898 | 9,551 | 8,059 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -1,608 |
| 1947 | 6,955 | 12,479 | 15,333 | 20,097 | 20,109 | 9,549 | 7,432 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -4,370 |
| 1948 | -1,513 | -1,513 | 6,097 | 6,782 | 7,645 | 1,841 | 1,554 | 1,305 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 576 |
| 1949 | 6,283 | 6,284 | 7,235 | 12,020 | 16,324 | 14,297 | 11,995 | 10,054 | 3,808 | 1,616 | -574 | 2,188 |
| 1950 | 5,992 | 25,326 | 45,993 | 28,530 | 27,001 | 22,763 | 18,761 | 15,708 | 0 | -800 | -2,988 | -5,103 |
| 1951 | -3,293 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 2,417 |
| 1952 | 6,221 | 10,825 | 11,776 | 5,895 | 5,898 | 5,898 | -547 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 575 |
| 1953 | 6,284 | 9,046 | 9,046 | 9,050 | 9,053 | -460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | -2,188 | 3,337 |
| 1954 | 11,898 | 16,502 | 17,453 | 17,463 | 13,005 | 5,394 | 3,276 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | 1,154 |
| 1955 | 9,716 | 29,050 | 44,271 | 27,839 | 15,653 | 9,850 | 8,315 | 6,974 | -3,808 | -5,992 | -8,173 | -10,284 |
| 1956 | -7,425 | -9,266 | -4,758 | -4,760 | -4,763 | -4,139 | -3,481 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 3,337 |
| 1957 | 7,141 | 11,745 | 12,697 | 12,703 | 7,383 | -3,177 | -5,295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -437 |
| 1958 | 8,125 | 10,887 | 18,498 | 13,752 | 13,760 | 13,760 | 13,760 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -437 |
| 1959 | 5,271 | 8,033 | 8,984 | 8,039 | 8,043 | -6,227 | -5,492 | -4,932 | -7,044 | -9,222 | -11,394 | -9,632 |
| 1960 | -3,918 | 15,416 | 35,395 | 29,617 | 18,587 | 12,000 | 9,167 | 2,173 | 54 | -2,134 | -4,318 | -6,432 |
| 1961 | -3,574 | -3,574 | 5,300 | 6,260 | 2,831 | -2,973 | -5,090 | -7,272 | -9,381 | -11,556 | -11,536 | -11,523 |
| 1962 | -10,565 | -14,248 | -16,150 | -15,212 | -16,089 | -32,262 | -39,903 | -3,935 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 575 |
| 1963 | 1,527 | 4,288 | 11,900 | 11,907 | 11,914 | 8,108 | 5,346 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,304 |
| 1964 | 1,406 | 6,010 | 6,961 | 2,207 | -2,088 | -7,892 | -7,892 | -6,967 | 3,808 | 1,616 | -574 | -2,692 |
| 1965 | -882 | 18,451 | 23,517 | 23,527 | 23,538 | 22,738 | 19,359 | 16,610 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,603 |
| 1966 | 8,407 | 13,931 | 8,868 | 3,925 | -542 | -6,346 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -3,910 |
| 1967 | 849 | 3,612 | 13,125 | 13,133 | 13,139 | 0 | -3,683 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -921 |
| 1968 | 4,788 | 7,550 | 14,637 | 8,936 | 3,786 | -2,018 | -4,136 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -3,910 |
| 1969 | 3,703 | 6,466 | 11,222 | 11,229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 2,417 |
| 1970 | 6,221 | 25,555 | 40,575 | -3,935 | -4,858 | - | -2,117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 575 |
| 1971 | 6,283 | 13,649 | 13,649 | 13,656 | 13,661 | 3,101 | 983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -2,186 |
| 1972 | 3,523 | 6,285 | 11,993 | 16,756 | 16,766 | 10,963 | 8,846 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -5,290 |
| 1973 | -2,433 | -1,513 | 6,098 | 6,101 | 6,105 | 6,105 | 1,502 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | 234 |
| 1974 | 5,941 | 8,703 | 5,109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 2,417 |
| 1975 | 8,124 | 27,459 | 47,437 | 35,952 | 35,115 | 32,451 | 32,451 | 3,935 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 2,417 |
| 1976 | 8,125 | 12,728 | 20,339 | 21,301 | 22,172 | 16,369 | 14,252 | 12,055 | 9,928 | 7,728 | 5,530 | 4,603 |
| 1977 | 6,503 | 4,661 | 7,516 | 10,375 | 12,962 | 7,159 | 5,041 | 2,846 | 722 | -1,468 | -2,510 | -7,107 |
| 1978 | -10,902 | -9,981 | -7,651 | -7,655 | -7,664 | -7,665 | -13,372 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 0 |
| 1979 | 0 | 2,762 | 3,713 | 2,764 | 2,765 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -3,450 |
| 1980 | 2,260 | 21,594 | 36,816 | -3,935 | 3,554 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 2,417 |
| 1981 | 6,222 | 10,825 | 18,436 | 12,738 | 7,590 | -3,922 | -3,921 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | 233 |
| 1982 | 8,795 | 14,319 | 18,125 | 5,589 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,946 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -921 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | -9,738 | -11,659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -1,726 |
| 1985 | 3,032 | 22,365 | 42,344 | 31,805 | 23,229 | 17,426 | 15,308 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -6,487 |
| 1986 | -3,629 | -5,471 | 1,617 | 2,568 | 1,757 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 2,417 |
| 1987 | 8,124 | 10,886 | 11,837 | 16,602 | 20,907 | 15,104 | 12,987 | 2,092 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -4,370 |
| 1988 | -2,465 | -4,306 | 2,782 | -71 | -2,649 | -8,452 | -10,570 | -5,378 | 0 | -2,188 | -1,234 | -1,233 |
| 1989 | -1,232 | -312 | -3,166 | -3,168 | -3,169 | -8,973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,046 | -1,045 | -2,886 |
| 1990 | -4,787 | 14,547 | 29,769 | 19,223 | 10,642 | 4,838 | 2,720 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,854 | 1,011 |
| 1991 | -893 | 3,710 | 6,565 | 7,520 | 8,383 | 772 | -2,910 | 0 | 0 | 476 | -1,428 | 1,335 |
| 1992 | 4,189 | 507 | -1,396 | -2,348 | -3,210 | -21,285 | -28,006 | 0 | -498 | -498 | 4,260 | 4,256 |
| 1993 | 4,254 | 7,017 | 8,396 | 8,401 | 8,405 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -437 |
| 1994 | 8,126 | 10,888 | 11,839 | 14,699 | 6,115 | 312 | -1,806 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -2,621 |
| 1995 | -717 | 18,618 | 38,597 | 38,619 | 20,001 | 3,033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,762 |
| 1996 | 6,566 | 9,328 | 12,183 | 12,187 | -3,554 | 3,935 | 2,624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -438 |
| 1997 | 5,271 | 9,875 | 9,875 | 0 | 0 | -7,611 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -2,186 |
| 1998 | 5,426 | 8,188 | 15,798 | 15,807 | 15,817 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -2,186 |
| 1999 | 1,620 | 4,382 | 7,236 | 2,482 | 2,484 | 2,483 | 2,183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -437 |
| 2000 | 3,369 | 22,703 | 42,681 | 27,389 | 24,999 | 11,680 | 9,563 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,373 | -2,621 |
| 2001 | 3,089 | 5,851 | 13,461 | 9,663 | 2,795 | -8,622 | -10,739 | 0 | -214 | -2,402 | -4,587 | -1,821 |
| 2002 | 6,742 | 9,504 | 16,163 | 12,368 | 8,938 | -1,623 | -3,741 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | -4,374 | -3,910 |
| (21-02) | 3,098 | 8,809 | 14,784 | 11,355 | 9,088 | 3,389 | 1,921 | 884 | -216 | -1,113 | -2,878 | -1,348 |

## APPENDIX O3

Through the fall and winter, storage in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir would be the same or higher under the variant setting. Hetch Hetchy Reservoir would fill by the end of May during approximately 82 percent of the years, which would prevent any difference in storage from affecting the next summer's reservoir storage. Figure 2.3-2 illustrates the difference in reservoir storage, averaged by year type, for the variant and WSIP settings. Also shown is the average difference in storage for the two settings during the 82year simulation.

Table 2.3-4 illustrates the difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage between the variant and base settings. Immediately after filling Hetch Hetchy Reservoir (May or June, and then continuing through July), there would only be occasional differences in storage at the reservoir, typically a decrease of less than 12,000 acre-feet. This is indicative of the same amount of water being passed through the reservoir regardless of the size of the conveyance capacity of the SJPL. Water not diverted to the SJPL would return to the Tuolumne River and flow to Don Pedro Reservoir. In the late summer and early fall, there would consistently be a slight difference (lower) in storage levels between the two settings, as additional diversions to the SJPL would retain Bay Area reservoir storage. Some of this additional Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage depletion would be ameliorated later in the fall and into winter as SJPL diversions are reduced due to less Bay Area reservoir replenishment needs and conveyance system maintenance. Storage becomes greater in November and December of the variant setting due to the assumed systemwide maintenance that would occur in the variant setting but not in the base setting. After December, the storage gain occurring in the variant setting would again be affected as replenishment of Bay Area reservoir storage resumes. In non-wet years, there is a difference in storage between the variant and base settings; the variant setting sometimes results in a lower storage in the reservoir by the end of April. Figure 2.3-3 illustrates the difference in reservoir storage, averaged by year type, between the variant and base settings. Also shown is the average difference in storage for the two settings during the 82-year simulation. Figure 2.3-4 illustrates the average monthly storage in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the variant and base settings.

The difference in storage in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir attributed to the diversion effects of the variant would manifest in differences in releases from O'Shaughnessy Dam to the stream. A different amount of available reservoir space in the winter and spring due to the variant would lead to a different ability to regulate inflow, thus potentially changing the amount of water released to the stream that is in excess of minimum release requirements. Figure 2.3-1 illustrates the stream release from O'Shaughnessy Dam for the WSIP, variant, and base settings. Table 2.3-5 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the variant and WSIP settings. Compared to the WSIP setting, the variant exhibits an incrementally greater stream release, predominately during May or June, which is reflective of the months when releases to the stream are made in excess of minimum release requirements in anticipation of filling the reservoir. The exceptions to this circumstance, during which incrementally larger reductions in releases to the stream occur, are considered anomalous within the modeling and simply the result of shifting releases from one month to the next. The increase in releases is the result of a less-depleted reservoir, which is the result of lesser SFPUC demands between the settings.

Table 2.3-6 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the variant and base settings. In this comparison, releases could be either greater or less than depicted for the base setting, and these differences would occur predominately during May or June. Generally, Hetch Hetchy Reservoir storage would be slightly lower during non-wet years, leading to a reduction in stream releases during non-wetter years if a release occurs. During wetter years, the releases are projected to increase. The differences, either increases or decreases, are a result of the coincidence of several operational parameters affecting the release of water from the reservoir, including systemwide water demands, conveyance capacity and maintenance assumptions, and the watershed's hydrology.

Table 2.3-5 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the variant and WSIP settings, expressed in terms of a monthly volume (acre-feet) of flow. Table 2.3-7 illustrates the same information and the average monthly stream release for the variant and WSIP setting, expressed in average monthly flow (cfs). Table 2.3-5 shows an increase in monthly flow below O'Shaughnessy Dam of up to approximately 32,000 acre-feet. Considering the manner in which releases are determined and made to the stream, it is not always meaningful to quantify the effect of these changes in terms of average monthly
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Figure 2.3-2
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Table 2.3-5
Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (Acre-feet) 2018 WSIP minus WSIP

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,224 |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,812 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,812 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,235 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,306 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,265 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,265 |
| 1928 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,741 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,741 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,872 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,872 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,798 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,798 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,014 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,899 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,899 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,759 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,759 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,359 | 5,570 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,929 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,469 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,469 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,808 | -4,045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -237 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,791 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,791 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,337 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21,859 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21,859 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,365 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,365 |
| 1946 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,088 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,870 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,870 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,616 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,616 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,408 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,408 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,943 |
| 1951 | 0 | 3,996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,006 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,144 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,049 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,049 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,624 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,808 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,808 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,373 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,373 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27,391 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27,391 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,198 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,935 | 9,227 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,162 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,897 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,897 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,695 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,695 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,309 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,457 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,457 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 7,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,243 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,814 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,814 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,356 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,356 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,425 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,425 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,964 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,740 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,740 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,935 | -3,554 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 381 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,684 | 10,310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,994 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,959 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,152 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,235 | 3,935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,170 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3,808 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,808 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 364 | 33,044 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,408 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,675 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,675 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,554 | -3,935 | 1,311 | 2,785 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,715 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,086 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,086 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,281 | 2,950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,231 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,759 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,759 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,859 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,859 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27,763 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27,763 |
| (21-02) | 0 | 49 | 0 | 244 | 0 | 77 | 115 | 7,252 | 2,080 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,817 |
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Table 2.3-6
Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (Acre-feet) 2018 WSIP minus Base


Table 2.3-7

| Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 55 | 51 | 51 | 187 | 85 | 94 | 148 | 2,509 | 4,551 | 2,034 | 184 | 89 |
| Above Normal | 55 | 93 | 88 | 66 | 93 | 90 | 133 | 1,303 | 3,139 | 379 | 125 | 89 |
| Normal | 54 | 54 | 50 | 55 | 74 | 74 | 98 | 1,437 | 1,924 | 167 | 122 | 86 |
| Below Normal | 55 | 55 | 46 | 43 | 51 | 63 | 92 | 727 | 770 | 113 | 111 | 73 |
| Dry | 53 | 53 | 44 | 40 | 44 | 50 | 60 | 172 | 168 | 86 | 86 | 65 |
| All Years | 54 | 62 | 56 | 77 | 70 | 75 | 106 | 1,224 | 2,107 | 548 | 125 | 81 |
| Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - Gr | by Un | d Run | Grang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 55 | 51 | 51 | 167 | 89 | 84 | 144 | 2,412 | 4,550 | 2,034 | 184 | 89 |
| Above Normal | 55 | 89 | 88 | 66 | 89 | 94 | 131 | 1,192 | 3,093 | 379 | 125 | 89 |
| Normal | 54 | 54 | 50 | 55 | 74 | 74 | 98 | 1,253 | 1,890 | 167 | 122 | 86 |
| Below Normal | 55 | 55 | 46 | 43 | 51 | 63 | 91 | 550 | 709 | 113 | 111 | 73 |
| Dry | 53 | 53 | 44 | 40 | 44 | 50 | 56 | 156 | 139 | 86 | 86 | 65 |
| All Years | 54 | 61 | 56 | 73 | 70 | 73 | 104 | 1,107 | 2,072 | 548 | 125 | 81 |
| Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) 2018 WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | -4 | 10 | 4 | 97 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -4 | 1 | 112 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 118 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

flow (cfs). ${ }^{1}$ When comparing the variant to the WSIP setting, a change in the volume of release from O'Shaughnessy Dam to the stream would likely result in the release being delayed or initiated earlier by a matter of days. Typical springtime releases, when initiated, amount to a release of up to $3,000 \mathrm{cfs}$ (approximately 6,000 acre-feet over the span of a day). Using the assumption that a change in release volume equates to a delay or an earlier initiation of releasing 6,000 acre-feet per day means that the difference in stream release between the variant and WSIP would be up to an added five days of release. Normally, this change in release would not affect the peak stream release rate during a year. Table 2.3-8 illustrates the average monthly stream release for the variant and base settings, and the differences, expressed in average monthly flow (cfs). Table 2.3-6 illustrates that the difference in monthly flow below O'Shaughnessy Dam between the variant and base settings could range from an increase of approximately 30,000 acre-feet to a decrease of approximately 36,000 acre-feet. Using the same metric as described above to estimate the delay or addition in the number days of release to the stream, the variant could lead to an effect ranging from an increase of five days of release to a decrease of up to 6 days compared to the base setting.

Table 2.3-8

| Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 55 | 51 | 51 | 187 | 85 | 94 | 148 | 2,509 | 4,551 | 2,034 | 184 | 89 |
| Above Normal | 55 | 93 | 88 | 66 | 93 | 90 | 133 | 1,303 | 3,139 | 379 | 125 | 89 |
| Normal | 54 | 54 | 50 | 55 | 74 | 74 | 98 | 1,437 | 1,924 | 167 | 122 | 86 |
| Below Normal | 55 | 55 | 46 | 43 | 51 | 63 | 92 | 727 | 770 | 113 | 111 | 73 |
| Dry | 53 | 53 | 44 | 40 | 44 | 50 | 60 | 172 | 168 | 86 | 86 | 65 |
| All Years | 54 | 62 | 56 | 77 | 70 | 75 | 106 | 1,224 | 2,107 | 548 | 125 | 81 |
| Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 55 | 51 | 51 | 173 | 89 | 93 | 148 | 2,510 | 4,534 | 2,034 | 184 | 90 |
| Above Normal | 55 | 96 | 88 | 66 | 93 | 86 | 131 | 1,249 | 3,092 | 379 | 125 | 89 |
| Normal | 54 | 54 | 50 | 51 | 74 | 74 | 98 | 1,443 | 1,909 | 167 | 122 | 86 |
| Below Normal | 55 | 55 | 46 | 43 | 51 | 63 | 91 | 723 | 763 | 113 | 111 | 73 |
| Dry | 53 | 53 | 44 | 40 | 44 | 50 | 60 | 199 | 168 | 86 | 86 | 65 |
| All Years | 54 | 62 | 56 | 74 | 70 | 73 | 106 | 1,219 | 2,089 | 548 | 125 | 81 |
| Difference in Hetch Hetchy Reservoir Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) 2018 WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | -4 | 2 | 0 | -1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Above Normal | 0 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 54 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | -1 | 0 | 4 | -1 |  | 0 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^4]
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### 2.4 Lake Lloyd and Lake Eleanor

Compared to the operation of the WSIP, the operation of Lake Lloyd and Lake Eleanor are simulated to be only slightly different for the variant. Figure 2.4-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Lake Lloyd storage and stream releases. Shown in Figure 2.4-1 are the results for the WSIP, variant, and base settings. The operation resulting from the variant is essentially the same as the WSIP setting, including during drought. Although the level of delivery between the variant and base settings is essentially the same (net 265 mgd demand) during the 1987-1992 drought, water delivery reliability has been improved in the variant setting; as a result, the drawdown of Lake Lloyd during this period looks closer to that in the WSIP setting. Although there is less water delivered during this period in the variant setting compared to the WSIP setting, more water is delivered in the variant setting than in the base setting. The additional draw of water reduced the amount of water released from Hetch Hetchy Reservoir to Don Pedro Reservoir in the variant setting, which, in order to satisfy TID/MID entitlements to inflow, was met with additional releases from Lake Lloyd, similar to the WSIP setting. The additional release from Lake Lloyd associated with the variant appears to be approximately the same as in the WSIP setting in this instance, which is partially a factor of modeling discretion in that the HH/LSM makes release decisions in the form of block amounts of releases. Additional refinement of modeling assumptions would likely produce a result that places Lake Lloyd storage during this drought period more between the base setting and WSIP setting results. Otherwise, the results for Lake Lloyd storage are essentially the same between the WSIP and variant settings.

Figure 2.4-2 illustrates the almost identical operation of Lake Eleanor for the variant and WSIP settings. Also shown in Figure 2.4-2 is the operation for the base setting. Any difference in the Lake Eleanor operation would be caused by a small change in operation at Lake Lloyd that would affect the operation of the Cherry-Eleanor Tunnel between the two watersheds. Any difference that occurs in the simulations is more likely the result of modeling discretion as opposed to any substantive difference in operation.

Supplementing the Figure 2.4-1 representation of Lake Lloyd stream releases is Table 2.4-1, which illustrates releases for the variant and WSIP settings, and the difference in releases between the two settings. Table 2.4-2 provides the same form of information for the variant and base settings. With essentially no change in reservoir operations, stream releases will not be different.

### 2.5 Don Pedro Reservoir and La Grange Releases

A change in Don Pedro Reservoir operation is caused by changes in inflow to the reservoir. The changes in inflow to the reservoir are the result of net changes within the operation of the upstream SFPUC facilities described previously, and other changes in SFPUC operations associated with diversions to the Holm, Kirkwood, and Moccasin Powerhouses. Figure 2.5-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Don Pedro Reservoir storage and Tuolumne River stream releases from La Grange Dam. Shown in Figure 2.5-1 are the results for the WSIP, variant, and base settings. Supplementing the Figure 2.5-1 representation of Don Pedro Reservoir storage are Table 2.5-1, Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (2018 WSIP); Table 2.5-2, Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (WSIP); and Table 2.5-3, Difference in Don Pedro Reservoir Storage ( 2018 WSIP minus WSIP). Table 2.5-4 is provided to illustrate the difference in Don Pedro Reservoir storage between the base and variant settings.

Table 2.5-3 shows that, throughout many years, the storage in Don Pedro Reservoir associated with the variant setting would differ from the storage in the WSIP setting, and this difference would always be more storage. Table 2.5-4 illustrates that the variant setting results for Don Pedro Reservoir storage are close to the storage results depicted for the base setting, although typically lower than the base setting. Compared to the WSIP setting, the differences in storage are indicative of the increases to the inflow of Don Pedro Reservoir that are due to lesser demands and SJPL diversions in the variant setting. The increases in inflow typically occur during the winter through early summer period. Comparing to the base setting, the variant would result in typically less inflow to Don Pedro Reservoir during non-wet years and particularly during drought periods when more water is diverted to the SJPL in the variant setting. Less inflow leads to less reservoir storage.

Figure 2.4-1
Lake Lloyd Storage and Stream Release
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Figure 2.4-2
Lake Eleanor Storage and Stream Release
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Table 2.4-1

| Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) 2018 WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 5 | 11 | 134 | 107 | 25 | 21 | 5 | 284 | 1,058 | 363 | 15 | 15 |
| Above Normal | 5 | 72 | 25 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 166 | 446 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 110 | 162 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Below Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 39 | 43 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Dry | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| All Years | 5 | 20 | 34 | 27 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 120 | 340 | 83 | 15 | 15 |
| Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 5 | 11 | 134 | 107 | 25 | 21 | 5 | 284 | 1,058 | 363 | 15 | 15 |
| Above Normal | 5 | 72 | 25 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 167 | 451 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 110 | 162 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Below Normal | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 39 | 43 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Dry | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| All Years | 5 | 20 | 34 | 27 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 121 | 341 | 83 | 15 | 15 |
| Difference in Lake Lloyd Release to Stream (CFS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange) 2018 WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.4-2


Figure 2.5-1
Don Pedro Reservoir Storage and Release below La Grange Dam





Table 2.5-1
Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)


Table 2.5-2
Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 1,262,860 | 1,277,365 | 1,340,344 | 1,508,876 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,758,255 | 1,920,087 | 1,785,379 | 1,633,202 | 1,551,799 |
| 1922 | 1,466,449 | 1,451,643 | 1,475,936 | 1,496,100 | 1,682,686 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,965,236 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,094 | 1,790,026 | 1,700,016 |
| 1923 | 1,638,028 | 1,643,364 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,989 | 1,689,997 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,799,363 | 1,900,966 | 1,828,869 | 1,683,448 | 1,632,370 |
| 1924 | 1,563,169 | 1,547,842 | 1,533,824 | 1,515,415 | 1,506,005 | 1,417,560 | 1,338,399 | 1,262,605 | 1,162,134 | 1,052,503 | 951,855 | 904,167 |
| 1925 | 906,788 | 921,085 | 985,076 | 1,028,777 | 1,205,262 | 1,311,674 | 1,436,468 | 1,560,568 | 1,684,578 | 1,582,202 | 1,438,920 | 1,367,376 |
| 1926 | 1,304,106 | 1,296,082 | 1,296,519 | 1,290,435 | 1,361,093 | 1,400,064 | 1,518,241 | 1,532,438 | 1,430,226 | 1,287,212 | 1,162,635 | 1,099,288 |
| 1927 | 1,044,610 | 1,084,270 | 1,129,224 | 1,168,777 | 1,346,690 | 1,463,332 | 1,567,658 | 1,688,723 | 1,936,134 | 1,852,362 | 1,703,718 | 1,627,130 |
| 1928 | 1,606,224 | 1,637,560 | 1,672,026 | 1,675,150 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,701,151 | 1,877,285 | 1,835,437 | 1,667,682 | 1,522,481 | 1,445,074 |
| 1929 | 1,362,145 | 1,353,824 | 1,350,930 | 1,337,716 | 1,346,569 | 1,351,080 | 1,341,527 | 1,323,621 | 1,392,489 | 1,266,466 | 1,150,912 | 1,087,613 |
| 1930 | 1,032,080 | 1,016,460 | 1,051,972 | 1,071,954 | 1,112,838 | 1,138,506 | 1,107,377 | 1,098,218 | 1,186,818 | 1,067,154 | 960,515 | 908,251 |
| 1931 | 864,235 | 866,605 | 904,039 | 902,201 | 933,725 | 896,662 | 839,706 | 804,980 | 747,051 | 671,410 | 610,497 | 591,503 |
| 1932 | 565,821 | 560,723 | 704,485 | 844,787 | 1,084,372 | 1,221,695 | 1,205,745 | 1,259,030 | 1,378,752 | 1,327,642 | 1,189,590 | 1,113,456 |
| 1933 | 1,025,224 | 1,000,826 | 998,521 | 983,959 | 1,008,603 | 995,965 | 955,100 | 959,906 | 1,007,489 | 894,719 | 782,336 | 724,120 |
| 1934 | 667,461 | 656,295 | 676,788 | 711,356 | 777,968 | 868,739 | 854,724 | 813,053 | 786,448 | 712,923 | 652,109 | 634,358 |
| 1935 | 624,570 | 638,297 | 677,837 | 832,051 | 956,075 | 1,079,921 | 1,337,695 | 1,442,297 | 1,633,298 | 1,541,356 | 1,416,179 | 1,343,212 |
| 1936 | 1,311,194 | 1,303,236 | 1,297,699 | 1,351,659 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,808,939 | 2,003,094 | 1,900,592 | 1,747,881 | 1,665,690 |
| 1937 | 1,613,022 | 1,592,326 | 1,585,791 | 1,579,717 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,792,830 | 1,982,099 | 1,843,316 | 1,694,437 | 1,610,230 |
| 1938 | 1,536,751 | 1,528,196 | 1,689,998 | 1,689,992 | 1,689,987 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,730,000 | 2,025,000 | 1,959,369 | 1,790,073 | 1,700,032 |
| 1939 | 1,672,242 | 1,671,809 | 1,685,673 | 1,689,024 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,634,629 | 1,601,698 | 1,473,709 | 1,301,817 | 1,157,373 | 1,119,194 |
| 1940 | 1,077,628 | 1,070,702 | 1,134,704 | 1,288,559 | 1,565,488 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,808,008 | 1,950,520 | 1,780,688 | 1,627,700 | 1,539,737 |
| 1941 | 1,469,773 | 1,454,423 | 1,553,735 | 1,689,994 | 1,689,991 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,804,234 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,157 | 1,790,024 | 1,700,010 |
| 1942 | 1,641,462 | 1,634,171 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,982 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,765,000 | 2,027,000 | 1,950,170 | 1,790,025 | 1,700,004 |
| 1943 | 1,619,298 | 1,656,980 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,976 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,940,240 | 2,030,000 | 1,940,444 | 1,790,004 | 1,700,004 |
| 1944 | 1,627,652 | 1,614,506 | 1,602,762 | 1,595,713 | 1,659,696 | 1,690,000 | 1,654,802 | 1,700,608 | 1,738,836 | 1,608,117 | 1,463,726 | 1,386,992 |
| 1945 | 1,362,396 | 1,410,433 | 1,456,868 | 1,483,156 | 1,689,997 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,750,377 | 1,973,670 | 1,906,466 | 1,749,519 | 1,662,142 |
| 1946 | 1,664,336 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,996 | 1,689,984 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,726,331 | 1,786,301 | 1,618,009 | 1,459,654 | 1,373,770 |
| 1947 | 1,314,592 | 1,331,036 | 1,364,362 | 1,376,577 | 1,405,177 | 1,370,566 | 1,295,486 | 1,351,369 | 1,288,812 | 1,144,830 | 1,017,268 | 954,574 |
| 1948 | 958,700 | 959,989 | 998,610 | 997,725 | 983,836 | 1,013,678 | 1,114,286 | 1,251,048 | 1,420,232 | 1,377,867 | 1,303,272 | 1,271,554 |
| 1949 | 1,247,966 | 1,239,259 | 1,234,425 | 1,223,326 | 1,235,015 | 1,400,436 | 1,383,115 | 1,432,798 | 1,409,371 | 1,242,728 | 1,096,786 | 1,022,286 |
| 1950 | 944,784 | 935,019 | 938,337 | 962,506 | 1,119,822 | 1,253,320 | 1,285,258 | 1,291,998 | 1,375,323 | 1,221,712 | 1,078,645 | 1,020,719 |
| 1951 | 1,018,036 | 1,422,514 | 1,689,995 | 1,689,971 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,664,085 | 1,570,386 | 1,596,323 | 1,438,802 | 1,296,271 | 1,217,452 |
| 1952 | 1,176,472 | 1,184,189 | 1,305,781 | 1,533,995 | 1,689,998 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,895,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,951,049 | 1,790,051 | 1,700,027 |
| 1953 | 1,614,775 | 1,604,850 | 1,619,190 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,998 | 1,688,681 | 1,619,217 | 1,588,332 | 1,773,663 | 1,724,813 | 1,589,215 | 1,514,922 |
| 1954 | 1,449,795 | 1,449,008 | 1,452,649 | 1,459,444 | 1,505,884 | 1,611,838 | 1,643,837 | 1,773,541 | 1,769,428 | 1,605,391 | 1,456,830 | 1,379,013 |
| 1955 | 1,300,328 | 1,300,104 | 1,318,386 | 1,350,951 | 1,401,218 | 1,464,906 | 1,489,052 | 1,525,796 | 1,487,090 | 1,348,288 | 1,221,076 | 1,163,037 |
| 1956 | 1,100,763 | 1,099,427 | 1,651,474 | 1,689,947 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,804,698 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,170 | 1,790,030 | 1,700,025 |
| 1957 | 1,639,825 | 1,624,492 | 1,616,539 | 1,610,979 | 1,668,413 | 1,690,000 | 1,553,124 | 1,584,074 | 1,786,699 | 1,635,352 | 1,492,723 | 1,419,571 |
| 1958 | 1,403,575 | 1,396,361 | 1,409,069 | 1,432,024 | 1,578,593 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,910,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,170 | 1,790,046 | 1,700,029 |
| 1959 | 1,611,062 | 1,589,728 | 1,567,833 | 1,592,273 | 1,689,999 | 1,690,000 | 1,662,406 | 1,600,478 | 1,493,480 | 1,324,246 | 1,178,165 | 1,178,441 |
| 1960 | 1,101,196 | 1,090,401 | 1,113,627 | 1,113,311 | 1,220,539 | 1,228,588 | 1,240,002 | 1,245,830 | 1,168,185 | 1,034,178 | 923,226 | 874,650 |
| 1961 | 827,383 | 826,615 | 897,810 | 899,493 | 908,561 | 870,204 | 842,562 | 814,709 | 769,512 | 703,148 | 648,423 | 629,635 |
| 1962 | 604,125 | 599,069 | 626,802 | 630,729 | 817,825 | 938,956 | 931,539 | 835,624 | 1,048,335 | 953,860 | 814,699 | 742,797 |
| 1963 | 700,558 | 694,902 | 745,217 | 790,246 | 957,421 | 1,023,119 | 1,119,414 | 1,363,268 | 1,654,516 | 1,631,866 | 1,513,806 | 1,455,856 |
| 1964 | 1,437,657 | 1,487,272 | 1,502,934 | 1,521,014 | 1,535,522 | 1,502,343 | 1,443,836 | 1,438,577 | 1,397,068 | 1,238,632 | 1,101,362 | 1,031,330 |
| 1965 | 1,018,694 | 1,042,070 | 1,471,762 | 1,689,988 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,713,000 | 1,743,852 | 1,900,867 | 1,898,947 | 1,790,038 | 1,700,028 |
| 1966 | 1,615,736 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,998 | 1,689,996 | 1,689,998 | 1,690,000 | 1,670,732 | 1,742,447 | 1,620,676 | 1,452,534 | 1,306,169 | 1,236,095 |
| 1967 | 1,160,837 | 1,194,375 | 1,348,066 | 1,447,078 | 1,544,910 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,880,000 | 2,030,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,790,252 | 1,700,021 |
| 1968 | 1,619,820 | 1,607,624 | 1,605,760 | 1,605,959 | 1,668,870 | 1,690,000 | 1,614,396 | 1,614,311 | 1,547,133 | 1,375,977 | 1,237,984 | 1,160,815 |
| 1969 | 1,124,725 | 1,154,047 | 1,243,529 | 1,689,996 | 1,689,990 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,930,000 | 2,030,000 | 1,975,279 | 1,790,111 | 1,700,033 |
| 1970 | 1,676,114 | 1,681,553 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,952 | 1,689,996 | 1,690,000 | 1,649,691 | 1,718,076 | 1,804,962 | 1,670,839 | 1,531,070 | 1,453,473 |
| 1971 | 1,394,102 | 1,437,025 | 1,524,073 | 1,589,976 | 1,659,167 | 1,690,000 | 1,647,943 | 1,676,856 | 1,840,272 | 1,736,038 | 1,599,726 | 1,530,826 |
| 1972 | 1,469,268 | 1,477,826 | 1,521,421 | 1,571,887 | 1,625,342 | 1,603,292 | 1,501,630 | 1,475,950 | 1,480,830 | 1,319,081 | 1,185,074 | 1,119,002 |
| 1973 | 1,081,006 | 1,094,033 | 1,176,102 | 1,304,888 | 1,484,502 | 1,646,959 | 1,675,219 | 1,921,511 | 2,030,000 | 1,863,873 | 1,716,891 | 1,634,144 |
| 1974 | 1,625,114 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,998 | 1,689,983 | 1,689,998 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,964,185 | 2,030,000 | 1,943,894 | 1,790,018 | 1,700,018 |
| 1975 | 1,671,620 | 1,661,732 | 1,660,185 | 1,665,519 | 1,689,996 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,824,854 | 2,030,000 | 1,950,013 | 1,790,077 | 1,700,024 |
| 1976 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,664,706 | 1,649,459 | 1,519,032 | 1,432,156 | 1,326,070 | 1,216,796 | 1,085,092 | 998,502 | 968,734 |
| 1977 | 932,654 | 925,543 | 955,652 | 938,503 | 920,299 | 807,858 | 717,610 | 671,981 | 616,184 | 544,084 | 486,059 | 467,586 |
| 1978 | 447,583 | 445,345 | 497,628 | 642,718 | 811,604 | 1,050,470 | 1,227,230 | 1,356,274 | 1,761,000 | 1,841,159 | 1,704,419 | 1,692,926 |
| 1979 | 1,606,278 | 1,609,357 | 1,608,413 | 1,689,999 | 1,689,995 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,827,795 | 1,673,824 | 1,527,042 | 1,450,952 |
| 1980 | 1,419,903 | 1,422,622 | 1,442,656 | 1,689,977 | 1,689,987 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,890,400 | 1,960,200 | 1,950,171 | 1,790,057 | 1,700,035 |
| 1981 | 1,617,942 | 1,596,204 | 1,588,406 | 1,595,955 | 1,619,607 | 1,690,000 | 1,710,315 | 1,694,081 | 1,626,429 | 1,461,340 | 1,330,112 | 1,262,473 |
| 1982 | 1,253,640 | 1,360,563 | 1,511,306 | 1,689,997 | 1,689,988 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,876,400 | 2,002,900 | 1,954,717 | 1,790,097 | 1,700,116 |
| 1983 | 1,690,000 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,995 | 1,689,966 | 1,689,989 | 1,294,700 | 1,264,000 | 1,270,800 | 1,851,400 | 2,030,000 | 1,869,137 | 1,700,118 |
| 1984 | 1,666,919 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,992 | 1,689,972 | 1,689,993 | 1,690,000 | 1,614,072 | 1,682,328 | 1,778,205 | 1,646,266 | 1,496,949 | 1,414,071 |
| 1985 | 1,399,091 | 1,434,211 | 1,478,590 | 1,469,173 | 1,504,226 | 1,570,360 | 1,558,812 | 1,616,719 | 1,550,570 | 1,386,112 | 1,251,881 | 1,188,728 |
| 1986 | 1,162,153 | 1,183,366 | 1,254,950 | 1,319,946 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,888,300 | 2,001,400 | 1,917,776 | 1,770,749 | 1,700,004 |
| 1987 | 1,641,221 | 1,619,848 | 1,601,298 | 1,570,175 | 1,566,241 | 1,592,870 | 1,533,147 | 1,433,211 | 1,330,588 | 1,195,991 | 1,085,371 | 1,032,594 |
| 1988 | 1,010,460 | 1,009,573 | 1,045,756 | 1,099,567 | 1,155,125 | 1,128,364 | 1,103,870 | 1,063,204 | 1,011,973 | 943,381 | 884,236 | 862,821 |
| 1989 | 836,632 | 844,157 | 876,836 | 900,479 | 930,034 | 1,051,709 | 1,029,659 | 1,110,864 | 1,160,578 | 1,025,069 | 913,162 | 908,781 |
| 1990 | 935,547 | 934,238 | 954,290 | 956,979 | 989,562 | 968,936 | 944,775 | 965,169 | 990,902 | 924,588 | 852,141 | 815,058 |
| 1991 | 799,492 | 794,262 | 814,409 | 803,540 | 782,227 | 856,893 | 858,476 | 917,797 | 1,020,071 | 946,830 | 874,711 | 843,590 |
| 1992 | 844,030 | 841,586 | 863,738 | 868,291 | 931,612 | 990,953 | 1,044,352 | 1,043,659 | 967,160 | 874,930 | 761,589 | 698,367 |
| 1993 | 662,549 | 656,233 | 682,056 | 877,956 | 1,027,740 | 1,267,172 | 1,365,280 | 1,684,448 | 1,941,790 | 1,923,275 | 1,785,144 | 1,700,014 |
| 1994 | 1,627,176 | 1,612,969 | 1,599,152 | 1,589,196 | 1,599,258 | 1,567,682 | 1,531,904 | 1,523,687 | 1,478,913 | 1,356,482 | 1,256,718 | 1,211,217 |
| 1995 | 1,172,146 | 1,191,956 | 1,236,737 | 1,494,252 | 1,599,122 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,629,700 | 1,982,800 | 2,030,000 | 1,814,001 | 1,700,059 |
| 1996 | 1,608,079 | 1,583,093 | 1,604,410 | 1,672,573 | 1,689,990 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 2,002,400 | 2,030,000 | 1,930,383 | 1,782,384 | 1,700,010 |
| 1997 | 1,667,198 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,993 | 1,689,875 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,598,189 | 1,839,987 | 1,951,576 | 1,810,929 | 1,668,846 | 1,615,122 |
| 1998 | 1,533,848 | 1,527,463 | 1,528,868 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,989 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,714,000 | 1,987,500 | 2,030,000 | 1,790,242 | 1,700,022 |
| 1999 | 1,662,014 | 1,675,303 | 1,690,000 | 1,689,986 | 1,689,990 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,781,250 | 1,998,452 | 1,868,511 | 1,726,752 | 1,652,772 |
| 2000 | 1,566,486 | 1,554,834 | 1,539,188 | 1,615,107 | 1,689,994 | 1,690,000 | 1,717,600 | 1,981,851 | 2,030,000 | 1,864,407 | 1,719,364 | 1,644,682 |
| 2001 | 1,634,728 | 1,622,232 | 1,613,706 | 1,605,692 | 1,629,054 | 1,690,000 | 1,716,911 | 1,801,382 | 1,668,653 | 1,504,167 | 1,365,411 | 1,300,012 |
| 2002 | 1,238,260 | 1,249,893 | 1,323,366 | 1,378,790 | 1,430,954 | 1,481,487 | 1,466,303 | 1,583,557 | 1,606,470 | 1,443,430 | 1,305,249 | 1,232,624 |
| Avg (21-02) | 1,289,807 | 1,299,980 | 1,345,245 | 1,393,639 | 1,456,995 | 1,482,690 | 1,485,599 | 1,553,787 | 1,637,503 | 1,537,849 | 1,401,689 | 1,333,676 |

Table 2.5-3
Difference in Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)


Table 2.5-4
Difference in Don Pedro Reservoir Storage (Acre-feet)

| Difference in | Pedr | servoir | torage | (Acre-fe |  |  |  |  |  |  | 018 WS | us B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,607 | -648 | -2,828 | -2,815 | -2,807 |
| 1922 | -2,800 | -2,800 | -2,799 | -2,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,826 | 0 | -5 | 0 | 0 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 434 | -486 | -2,668 | -2,656 | -2,647 |
| 1924 | -2,642 | -2,641 | -2,640 | -2,642 | -2,642 | -2,641 | 4,447 | 6,884 | 6,791 | 6,676 | 6,577 | 6,513 |
| 1925 | 6,495 | 6,490 | 6,489 | 6,484 | 6,483 | 6,472 | 5,090 | 8,195 | 6,054 | 3,844 | 3,827 | 3,815 |
| 1926 | 3,807 | 3,805 | 3,844 | 3,845 | 3,843 | 3,841 | -764 | -5,857 | -18,492 | -18,408 | -18,322 | -18,261 |
| 1927 | -18,223 | -18,213 | -3,466 | -3,467 | -3,467 | -3,466 | -3,462 | -5,260 | 0 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 |
| 1928 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -950 | -1,866 | -1,858 | -1,850 | -1,844 |
| 1929 | -1,841 | -1,839 | -1,839 | -1,840 | -1,840 | -1,839 | -1,837 | -4,177 | -6,277 | -6,249 | -6,220 | -6,199 |
| 1930 | -6,186 | -6,183 | -6,183 | -6,185 | -6,186 | -6,183 | -6,177 | 2,407 | 286 | 285 | 284 | 282 |
| 1931 | 282 | 281 | 281 | 282 | 282 | 282 | 281 | 281 | 279 | 278 | 276 | 275 |
| 1932 | 275 | 275 | -1,617 | -5,732 | -9,971 | -21,567 | -25,781 | -28,366 | -30,365 | -32,412 | -32,263 | -32,152 |
| 1933 | -32,085 | -32,067 | -32,068 | -32,079 | -32,081 | -32,068 | -34,052 | -36,027 | -37,380 | -39,394 | -39,207 | -39,066 |
| 1934 | -38,982 | -38,959 | -34,222 | -37,043 | -34,080 | -33,605 | -35,480 | -41,192 | -41,045 | -40,846 | -40,651 | -40,508 |
| 1935 | -40,421 | -40,397 | -40,399 | -53,757 | -64,082 | -57,213 | -60,115 | -62,533 | -66,173 | -68,068 | -67,773 | -67,550 |
| 1936 | -67,412 | -67,374 | -67,488 | -67,410 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8,922 | 7,973 | 5,756 | 5,730 | 5,712 |
| 1937 | 5,701 | 5,698 | 5,698 | 5,699 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,622 | 3,306 | 1,108 | 1,104 | 1,100 |
| 1938 | 1,098 | 1,098 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,183 | -5 | 0 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,984 | 3,783 | 1,657 | 1,649 | 1,641 | 1,635 |
| 1940 | 1,632 | 1,631 | -368 | 2,411 | 4,704 | 0 | 0 | 12,026 | 9,689 | 9,647 | 9,605 | 9,574 |
| 1941 | 9,554 | 9,548 | 5,059 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,897 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 0 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 475 | 0 | -2,183 | -4 | 0 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,972 | -14,047 | -16,170 | -16,098 | -16,044 |
| 1945 | -16,010 | -16,002 | -16,002 | -16,007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,721 | 30,195 | 27,882 | 27,759 | 27,672 |
| 1946 | 27,617 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,859 | 3,726 | 3,710 | 3,693 | 3,680 |
| 1947 | 3,673 | 3,671 | 3,671 | 3,672 | 3,672 | 3,671 | 3,668 | 8,891 | 6,747 | 6,717 | 6,686 | 6,663 |
| 1948 | 6,648 | 6,645 | 6,645 | 6,917 | 6,919 | 6,916 | 5,118 | 3,209 | 2,456 | 343 | 409 | 448 |
| 1949 | 464 | 468 | 470 | 447 | 449 | 5,347 | 5,526 | 6,492 | 11,777 | 11,724 | 11,670 | 11,631 |
| 1950 | 11,607 | 11,599 | 4,140 | 11,949 | -1,973 | -3,538 | -1,650 | -796 | 12,760 | 11,317 | 11,266 | 11,228 |
| 1951 | 11,205 | 12,508 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,116 | -1,801 | -3,973 | -6,138 | -6,109 | -6,089 |
| 1952 | -6,076 | -6,073 | -6,073 | -186 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,576 | -4,755 | -6,854 | -9,008 | -8,970 | -8,940 |
| 1954 | -8,921 | -8,916 | -8,917 | -8,919 | -8,920 | -8,917 | -8,908 | -7,801 | -9,889 | -9,847 | -9,803 | -9,770 |
| 1955 | -9,750 | -9,744 | -9,745 | -9,748 | -9,748 | -9,744 | -10,970 | -11,689 | -2,467 | -2,456 | -2,444 | -2,437 |
| 1956 | -2,431 | -2,430 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,425 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,471 | -9,561 | -11,703 | -11,651 | -11,612 |
| 1958 | -11,589 | -11,582 | -11,582 | -11,586 | -11,587 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,851 | -5,587 | -5,568 | -5,543 | -5,517 | -5,499 |
| 1960 | -5,487 | -5,484 | -5,485 | -5,485 | -1,747 | -962 | -590 | 3,800 | 2,402 | 2,391 | 2,380 | 2,371 |
| 1961 | 2,365 | 2,364 | 577 | 578 | 577 | 577 | 577 | 575 | 573 | 571 | 568 | 566 |
| 1962 | 565 | 564 | 565 | 564 | 565 | 564 | 564 | -38,191 | -44,742 | -46,722 | -46,503 | -46,336 |
| 1963 | -46,236 | -46,209 | -38,284 | -24,977 | -46,239 | -46,222 | -46,178 | -39,770 | -38,718 | -40,734 | -40,557 | -40,424 |
| 1964 | -40,342 | -40,320 | -40,322 | -40,333 | -28,562 | -28,551 | -30,641 | -33,668 | -46,421 | -46,212 | -45,996 | -45,842 |
| 1965 | -45,748 | -45,722 | -36,519 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,733 | 19,292 | 17,025 | 33 | 0 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,459 | -10,623 | -12,703 | -12,646 | -12,588 | -12,545 |
| 1967 | -12,519 | -12,512 | -12,513 | -12,517 | -12,517 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 0 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,315 | -8,407 | -8,369 | -8,330 | -8,303 |
| 1969 | -8,285 | -8,280 | -8,281 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,300 | -6,400 | -8,556 | -8,518 | -8,489 |
| 1971 | -8,471 | -8,467 | -8,467 | -8,470 | -8,469 | 0 | 0 | -1,204 | -3,314 | -5,482 | -5,459 | -5,440 |
| 1972 | -5,430 | -5,427 | -5,427 | -5,429 | -5,429 | -5,427 | -5,422 | 1,237 | -882 | -877 | -873 | -870 |
| 1973 | -869 | -868 | -868 | -868 | -868 | 0 | 0 | 549 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,901 | 0 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,562 | 0 | -4 | 0 | 0 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,098 | 0 | -2,183 | -2,174 | -1,593 |
| 1979 | 6,965 | 6,961 | 6,960 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,114 | -2,105 | -2,096 | -2,088 |
| 1980 | -2,085 | -2,083 | -2,083 | -5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,116 | -8,211 | -10,299 | -10,253 | -10,206 | -10,170 |
| 1982 | -10,149 | -10,143 | -10,144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,183 | -9 | 0 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,183 | 0 |
| 1984 | 4,784 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -196 | -14,019 | -16,087 | -18,202 | -18,121 | -18,061 |
| 1985 | -18,022 | -18,013 | -18,013 | -18,018 | -18,020 | -18,013 | -17,996 | -4,852 | -6,951 | -6,919 | -6,887 | -6,864 |
| 1986 | -6,850 | -6,846 | -15,243 | -18,908 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | -2,174 | -4 |
| 1987 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -4 | -3 | -3 | -3 | 8,685 | 8,627 | 8,587 | 8,548 | 8,518 |
| 1988 | 8,501 | 8,497 | 8,496 | 8,499 | 8,499 | 8,496 | 8,488 | 1,102 | -6,380 | -6,351 | -6,321 | -6,298 |
| 1989 | -6,284 | -6,281 | -6,281 | -6,283 | -6,284 | -6,281 | -17,360 | -22,351 | -25,032 | -24,919 | -24,805 | -24,718 |
| 1990 | -24,666 | -24,652 | -24,653 | -24,661 | -24,662 | -24,653 | -24,629 | -14,718 | -8,948 | -8,908 | -8,865 | -8,834 |
| 1991 | -14,011 | -14,003 | -15,236 | -22,968 | -24,438 | -24,428 | -24,420 | -11,105 | -23,342 | -26,274 | -28,497 | -28,408 |
| 1992 | -28,351 | -28,336 | -28,336 | -28,346 | -28,348 | -28,338 | -11,124 | -13,430 | -13,423 | -13,406 | -13,380 | -13,354 |
| 1993 | -13,339 | -13,332 | -21,270 | -33,454 | -33,472 | -25,229 | -27,190 | -27,124 | 0 | -5 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,987 | -6,088 | -6,060 | -6,032 | -6,012 |
| 1995 | -6,000 | -5,996 | -5,996 | -5,998 | 12,637 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,184 | -3 |
| 1996 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,183 | -2,174 | -4 |
| 1997 | -3 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -9,724 | -11,886 | -13,961 | -16,084 | -16,014 | -15,961 |
| 1998 | -15,929 | -15,920 | -15,921 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,128 | 4,038 | 1,838 | 1,828 | 1,824 |
| 2000 | 1,819 | 1,819 | 1,818 | 1,819 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,361 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,907 | -14,766 | -14,700 | -14,634 | -14,584 |
| 2002 | -14,553 | -14,545 | -14,545 | -14,549 | -14,550 | -14,545 | -14,531 | -20,414 | -22,460 | -22,361 | -22,257 | -22,181 |
| Avg (21-02) | -5,902 | -6,276 | -6,397 | -5,823 | -4,775 | -4,479 | -4,848 | -5,008 | -5,462 | -6,344 | -6,419 | -6,284 |

## APPENDIX O3

Figure 2.5-1 and Table 2.5-4 illustrate that during drought sequences, a reduction to inflow to Don Pedro Reservoir can accumulate from year to year. Compared to the base setting, the variant would result in lower Don Pedro Reservoir storage during drought periods. Figure 2.5-2 illustrates the difference in reservoir storage averaged by year type for the variant and WSIP settings. Also shown is the average difference in storage for the two settings during the 82-year simulation. Figure 2.5-3 shows the same information for the variant and the base settings.

Figure 2.5-2


Figure 2.5-3


Figure 2.5-4 illustrates the average monthly storage in Don Pedro Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the variant and base settings.

The difference in storage in Don Pedro Reservoir attributed to the upstream effects of the variant would manifest in differences in releases from La Grange Dam to the stream. A different amount of available reservoir space in the winter and spring due to the variant would lead to a different ability to regulate inflow, thus potentially changing the amount of water released to the stream that is in excess of minimum release requirements. During periods when inflow differs and Don Pedro Reservoir is at maximum storage capacity within the flood control storage limitation, a change in inflow directly manifests as a change in releases from La Grange Dam (a change of either more or less flow). Figure 2.5-1 illustrates the stream releases from La Grange Dam for the WSIP, variant, and base settings.
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Figure 2.5-4


Table 2.5-5 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the variant and WSIP settings. Compared to the WSIP setting, the variant exhibits an incrementally larger stream release, predominately during some months of the early winter through June period, which is reflective of the months when releases to the stream are made in excess of minimum release requirements due to flood control or in anticipation of filling the reservoir. Table 2.5-6 shows the same information for the variant and WSIP settings, arranged by ranking the years in descending order of the San Joaquin River Index (an index of the wetness of the Tuolumne and San Joaquin River Basins). The table illustrates the finding that differences in releases to the Tuolumne River from La Grange Dam occur only when there are releases in excess of minimum FERC flow requirements. This circumstance typically occurs only in above-normal and wet years, and predominately during early winter through June. During other year types and during the summer and fall, releases would be maintained at minimum FERC flow requirements regardless of the setting. Compared to the WSIP setting, the large reduction in flow following an extended drought period is reduced with the variant, since the amount of water delivered by the SFPUC during these periods is less than that delivered in the WSIP setting, but is still more than delivered in the base setting.

As described above concerning Don Pedro inflow and storage, compared to the base setting the variant setting would lead to an additional draw of storage due to SFPUC diversions that are greater than in the base setting in drought periods. Although the reduction in storage would not greatly accumulate, greater replenishment of Don Pedro Reservoir storage would be needed in about 25 percent of the years in the 82-year simulation. There are occasions when an increase in releases would occur. This circumstance would result from the shift in timing of SJPL diversions due to the increased conveyance capacity. The effect would be an occasional additional release of water from Hetch Hetchy Reservoir in the winter that then manifests as an additional release from Don Pedro Reservoir. Table 2.5-7 illustrates the difference in stream releases between the variant and base settings, depicting the predominance of mostly slight reductions in flow. Table 2.5-8 illustrates the same information ranked in descending order of the San Joaquin River Index.

Table 2.5-5 and Table 2.5-7 illustrate the difference in stream releases among the variant, WSIP, and base settings, expressed in terms of a monthly volume (acre-feet) of flow. Table 2.5-9 presents the same information and the average monthly stream releases for the variant and WSIP settings, expressed in average monthly flow (cfs), and Table 2.5-10 shows the same information for the variant and base settings. For the comparison of the variant to the WSIP setting, the difference in monthly flow below La Grange Dam could range from an increase of approximately 179,000 acre-feet to a decrease of approximately 7,000 acre-feet. Considering the manner in which releases are determined and made to the stream, it is not always meaningful to quantify the effect of these changes in terms of average monthly flow (cfs). Similar to the operation of releases below O'Shaughnessy Dam, a change in the volume of release from La Grange Dam to the stream would likely delay or accelerate the initiation of the release by a matter of days. Using the assumption that a change in release volume equates to a delay or acceleration of releasing 6,000 acre-feet per day means that the difference in stream release from La Grange Dam between the variant and WSIP would be an additional day of delay in releases

Table 2.5-5
Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet)


Table 2.5-6
Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet)


Table 2.5-7

| Difference in | a G | ge Rele | to Riv | (Acre-fe |  |  |  |  | 2018 WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,939 | -1,434 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,373 |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,800 | 0 | -4,603 | 0 | 13,038 | -2,183 | -5 | 0 | 3,447 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -921 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,955 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -21,133 |
| 1928 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,266 | -1,561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,705 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -67,414 | -7,845 | -301 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -75,560 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,428 | 1,655 | -1,251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,832 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | 1,098 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,422 | 7,840 | -1,842 | 0 | -2,173 | -5 | 3,496 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,307 | -2,839 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -532 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,060 | 1,788 | 2,032 | 2,492 | 0 | 11,823 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 | 21,006 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,144 | -1 | 0 | -4,604 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | 2,351 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,123 | 7,595 | -5,524 | 0 | 935 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | 8,950 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,008 | -15,316 | 2,660 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -28,664 |
| 1946 | 0 | 27,503 | 106 | 0 | 0 | -6,263 | -626 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,720 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | 12,509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,509 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -185 | 0 | 0 | 406 | 921 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -1,036 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | -6,938 | 0 | 0 | -1,576 | -2,775 | 0 | -5,339 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -18,816 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,584 | 0 | 15,657 | 1,841 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | 3,726 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -36,529 | 4 | -10,710 | -1,225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,767 | 32 | -33,661 |
| 1966 | 0 | 1 | 6,966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,967 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 624 | 0 | -827 | 2,762 | -2,188 | -2,184 | -5 | -1,818 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,284 | 11,234 | -1 | -5,524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -4,753 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 4,959 | 36,921 | -5,959 | -21,031 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,890 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,468 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,468 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -868 | 0 | 0 | -372 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,240 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 3,594 | 5,112 | -1 | -3,806 | -2,762 | 0 | -3,738 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | -3,780 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,366 | 0 | 28,609 | -2,183 | -4 | 0 | 19,056 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -22,671 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -22,671 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,963 | -1 | -5,797 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | -3,141 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32,984 | -7,496 | 2,603 | -4,879 | -952 | -921 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | 19,151 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,398 | 5,591 | -1 | 0 | 951 | 921 | 0 | -4,357 | 911 | 6,414 |
| 1983 | 4,757 | 5,524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,896 | -920 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,180 | 1,097 |
| 1984 | 0 | 12,147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,083 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,028 | 1,757 | -1,841 | -2,854 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -13,895 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -52,475 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 | -54,664 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,603 | -1,570 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,177 | 23,668 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,744 | -7,490 | -3,569 | -231 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -475 |
| 1997 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 9,880 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,876 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,925 | 2 | 15,817 | -4,603 | -951 | -920 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -8,768 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,757 | -6,144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,901 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,819 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 5,232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,050 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Avg (21-02) | 58 | 551 | 272 | 582 | -816 | -479 | -784 | 158 | -580 | -320 | -59 | -95 | -1,513 |

Table 2.5-8
Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet)

| Matrix Data for Water Year 1921-2002 Rank Ordered by Descending Unimpaired Runoff at LaGrange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| 1983 | 4,757 | 5,524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,896 | -920 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,180 | 1,097 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,603 | -1,570 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,177 | 23,668 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,284 | 11,234 | -1 | -5,524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -4,753 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,398 | 5,591 | -1 | 0 | 951 | 921 | 0 | -4,357 | 911 | 6,414 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | 1,098 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,422 | 7,840 | -1,842 | 0 | -2,173 | -5 | 3,496 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,925 | 2 | 15,817 | -4,603 | -951 | -920 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -8,768 |
| 1997 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 9,880 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,876 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | -6,938 | 0 | 0 | -1,576 | -2,775 | 0 | -5,339 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -18,816 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 624 | 0 | -827 | 2,762 | -2,188 | -2,184 | -5 | -1,818 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32,984 | -7,496 | 2,603 | -4,879 | -952 | -921 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | 19,151 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,028 | 1,757 | -1,841 | -2,854 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -13,895 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -185 | 0 | 0 | 406 | 921 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -1,036 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -22,671 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -22,671 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -36,529 | 4 | -10,710 | -1,225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,767 | 32 | -33,661 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,584 | 0 | 15,657 | 1,841 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | 3,726 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -52,475 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 | -54,664 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,060 | 1,788 | 2,032 | 2,492 | 0 | 11,823 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 | 21,006 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | 12,509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,509 |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,800 | 0 | -4,603 | 0 | 13,038 | -2,183 | -5 | 0 | 3,447 |
| 1984 | 0 | 12,147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,083 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,123 | 7,595 | -5,524 | 0 | 935 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | 8,950 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,144 | -1 | 0 | -4,604 | 0 | 0 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | 2,351 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,744 | -7,490 | -3,569 | -231 | -2,762 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -475 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 3,594 | 5,112 | -1 | -3,806 | -2,762 | 0 | -3,738 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | -3,780 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,307 | -2,839 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -532 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -67,414 | -7,845 | -301 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -75,560 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,757 | -6,144 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10,901 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,008 | -15,316 | 2,660 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -28,664 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,955 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -21,133 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,366 | 0 | 28,609 | -2,183 | -4 | 0 | 19,056 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -868 | 0 | 0 | -372 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,240 |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,939 | -1,434 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,373 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,428 | 1,655 | -1,251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,832 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 4,959 | 36,921 | -5,959 | -21,031 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,890 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,819 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 5,232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,050 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,963 | -1 | -5,797 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,141 |
| 1946 | 0 | 27,503 | 106 | 0 | 0 | -6,263 | -626 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,720 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -921 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,468 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,468 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1928 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,266 | -1,561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,705 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1966 | 0 | 1 | 6,966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,967 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.5-9

| Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 23,264 | 21,635 | 56,327 | 150,325 | 184,968 | 276,250 | 214,153 | 243,710 | 227,036 | 142,651 | 66,645 | 45,515 | 1,652,480 |
| Above Normal | 18,683 | 30,882 | 67,212 | 75,648 | 127,296 | 167,481 | 128,473 | 78,842 | 84,574 | 27,869 | 19,798 | 18,215 | 844,972 |
| Normal | 18,264 | 17,249 | 35,981 | 51,832 | 74,090 | 104,453 | 84,424 | 77,929 | 20,660 | 9,992 | 9,992 | 9,670 | 514,534 |
| Below Normal | 17,105 | 13,768 | 20,372 | 15,874 | 17,613 | 21,364 | 34,828 | 33,554 | 4,025 | 4,160 | 4,160 | 4,025 | 190,847 |
| Dry | 17,240 | 13,842 | 14,866 | 13,950 | 15,511 | 20,672 | 21,732 | 21,240 | 3,347 | 3,459 | 3,459 | 3,347 | 152,665 |
| All Years | 18,886 | 19,545 | 39,070 | 61,141 | 83,616 | 117,468 | 96,354 | 90,205 | 67,352 | 37,099 | 20,595 | 16,032 | 667,363 |
| Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | Type - Gr | by Uni | ired Run | taGran |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 22,901 | 21,463 | 53,092 | 132,916 | 181,173 | 266,954 | 211,640 | 237,532 | 215,975 | 138,831 | 65,042 | 45,019 | 1,592,538 |
| Above Normal | 18,683 | 30,258 | 59,409 | 73,887 | 113,696 | 163,096 | 126,954 | 78,391 | 79,235 | 27,869 | 19,400 | 17,441 | 808,318 |
| Normal | 18,264 | 14,720 | 33,517 | 50,334 | 70,441 | 101,554 | 83,097 | 77,929 | 15,802 | 9,992 | 9,992 | 9,670 | 495,309 |
| Below Normal | 17,105 | 13,768 | 19,894 | 15,874 | 16,603 | 21,364 | 34,828 | 33,554 | 4,025 | 4,160 | 4,160 | 4,025 | 189,359 |
| Dry | 17,240 | 13,842 | 14,866 | 13,950 | 15,511 | 20,672 | 21,732 | 21,240 | 3,347 | 3,459 | 3,459 | 3,347 | 152,665 |
| All Years | 18,815 | 18,888 | 36,241 | 57,087 | 79,135 | 114,179 | 95,290 | 88,906 | 63,139 | 36,354 | 20,200 | 15,774 | 644,009 |
| Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | Type - Gr | ed by Uni | ired Run | LaGran |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 WSIP | s WSIP |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 363 | 173 | 3,236 | 17,409 | 3,795 | 9,297 | 2,513 | 6,178 | 11,062 | 3,819 | 1,603 | 496 | 59,943 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 624 | 7,803 | 1,761 | 13,600 | 4,385 | 1,520 | 451 | 5,339 | 0 | 398 | 774 | 36,654 |
| Normal | 0 | 2,529 | 2,464 | 1,499 | 3,649 | 2,899 | 1,327 | 0 | 4,858 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,225 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 478 | 0 | 1,010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,487 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 71 | 657 | 2,829 | 4,054 | 4,481 | 3,289 | 1,064 | 1,299 | 4,213 | 745 | 395 | 257 | 23,355 |

Table 2.5-10

| Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 23,264 | 21,635 | 56,327 | 150,325 | 184,968 | 276,250 | 214,153 | 243,710 | 227,036 | 142,651 | 66,645 | 45,515 | 1,652,480 |
| Above Normal | 18,683 | 30,882 | 67,212 | 75,648 | 127,296 | 167,481 | 128,473 | 78,842 | 84,574 | 27,869 | 19,798 | 18,215 | 844,972 |
| Normal | 18,264 | 17,249 | 35,981 | 51,832 | 74,090 | 104,453 | 84,424 | 77,929 | 20,660 | 9,992 | 9,992 | 9,670 | 514,534 |
| Below Normal | 17,105 | 13,768 | 20,372 | 15,874 | 17,613 | 21,364 | 34,828 | 33,554 | 4,025 | 4,160 | 4,160 | 4,025 | 190,847 |
| Dry | 17,240 | 13,842 | 14,866 | 13,950 | 15,511 | 20,672 | 21,732 | 21,240 | 3,347 | 3,459 | 3,459 | 3,347 | 152,665 |
| All Years | 18,886 | 19,545 | 39,070 | 61,141 | 83,616 | 117,468 | 96,354 | 90,205 | 67,352 | 37,099 | 20,595 | 16,032 | 667,363 |
| Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | Type - G | ed by Uni | ired Runo | at LaGrang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 22,967 | 21,290 | 56,692 | 151,293 | 184,772 | 274,592 | 215,643 | 242,749 | 232,124 | 143,744 | 66,539 | 45,865 | 1,658,271 |
| Above Normal | 18,683 | 30,167 | 66,265 | 74,511 | 130,859 | 168,855 | 130,389 | 78,856 | 82,871 | 28,383 | 20,182 | 18,343 | 848,363 |
| Normal | 18,264 | 15,530 | 35,664 | 49,090 | 73,947 | 107,106 | 84,918 | 78,066 | 20,356 | 9,992 | 9,992 | 9,670 | 512,593 |
| Below Normal | 17,105 | 13,768 | 19,962 | 15,874 | 18,305 | 21,364 | 34,828 | 33,554 | 4,025 | 4,160 | 4,160 | 4,025 | 191,130 |
| Dry | 17,240 | 13,842 | 14,866 | 13,950 | 15,511 | 20,672 | 21,732 | 21,240 | 3,347 | 3,459 | 3,459 | 3,347 | 152,665 |
| All Years | 18,828 | 18,994 | 38,798 | 60,559 | 84,433 | 117,947 | 97,139 | 90,047 | 67,933 | 37,419 | 20,654 | 16,126 | 668,876 |
| Difference in Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | Type - G | ed by Uni | ired Runo | at LaGrang |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 WSIP | us Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 297 | 345 | -365 | -967 | 195 | 1,658 | -1,490 | 961 | -5,088 | -1,094 | 106 | -350 | -5,791 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 715 | 947 | 1,136 | -3,563 | -1,373 | -1,915 | -14 | 1,703 | -514 | -384 | -128 | -3,391 |
| Normal | 0 | 1,719 | 317 | 2,743 | 143 | -2,653 | -494 | -137 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,941 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 410 | 0 | -693 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -283 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 58 | 551 | 272 | 582 | -816 | -479 | -784 | 158 | -580 | -320 | -59 | -95 | -1,513 |

or up to almost an added month of release. Normally, a change in release would not affect the peak stream release rate during a year. However, infrequently (a rare event following a prolonged drought), the variant's effect on stream releases could manifest as an elimination of all flow during a year or as the only provision of flow that occurs in excess of minimum FERC flow requirements. Compared to the base setting, the variant's effect on stream flow ranges from a reduction in releases (a potential delay in release of 11 days) to an increase in releases (a potential additional 5 days of release).

### 2.6 Calaveras and San Antonio Reservoirs, Alameda Creek, and Downstream

Compared to the WSIP setting, the operation of Calaveras Reservoir in the variant setting is almost identical. Figure 2.6-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Calaveras Reservoir storage and stream releases from Calaveras Dam. Shown in Figure 2.6-1 are the results for the WSIP, variant, and base settings. In recognition of the different levels of systemwide deliveries served in each setting, the near identical operation of Calaveras Reservoir resulting from the two settings is an indication that Calaveras Reservoir operations are mostly influenced by the principles that manage local watershed production. The differences in reservoir operation during droughts are the result of modeling assumptions that balance reservoir storage among SFPUC reservoirs and the selection of the monthly SJPL conveyance rate. It is anticipated that the difference in Calaveras Reservoir operation during actual operations would be minimal, if any difference occurred at all.

Figure 2.6-1

## Calaveras Reservoir Storage and Stream Release






## APPENDIX O3

The difference in storage between the variant and WSIP settings and the base setting is due to the restoration of the operational capacity of Calaveras Reservoir. Under both the variant and WSIP settings the full capacity of Calaveras Reservoir would be available, and a greater range in storage operation would occur. Figure 2.6-2 illustrates the average monthly storage in Calaveras Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the variant and base settings.

Figure 2.6-2


Compared to the WSIP setting, there would be the potential for either less or more release to Calaveras Creek below Calaveras Dam in the variant setting. Both settings require fishery releases below Calaveras Reservoir that are not included in the base setting. Calaveras Reservoir storage in the variant setting is sometimes more or sometimes less than in the WSIP setting; however, in either direction the difference is minor. Table 2.6-1 illustrates the difference in releases to Calaveras Creek between the variant and WSIP settings (considered insubstantial). Supplementing the Figure 2.6-1 representation of Calaveras Dam stream releases and Table 2.6-1 is Table 2.6-2, which illustrates the releases for the variant and WSIP settings, and the difference in releases between the two. Table 2.6-3 provides the same form of information for the variant and base settings. The notable difference in releases between the variant and base settings is the addition of the required flows to satisfy the 1997 CDFG MOU and the reduction of stream releases during wetter-year, wetter-season flows due to the restoration of Calaveras Reservoir operational capacity.

There would be very little, if any, difference in Alameda Creek diversions to Calaveras Reservoir in the variant setting compared to the WSIP setting. With essentially the same storage conditions between the two settings, there would be no difference in diversions from the Alameda Creek watershed. With no difference in diversions at Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (ACDD), flow spilling past the diversion dam would be the same in the variant setting. Table 2.6-4 illustrates the difference in flow below the ACDD between the variant and WSIP settings (considered insubstantial).

Table 2.6-5 illustrates the difference in flow below the ACDD between the variant and base settings. In this comparison, the reduction in flow below the diversion dam is due to the additional diversions to Calaveras Reservoir resulting from the restoration of reservoir operating capacity. Table 2.6-6 and Table 2.6-7 illustrate the flow past the ACDD, comparing the variant, WSIP, and base settings by year type and the average of all years.

## APPENDIX O3

Table 2.6-1
Difference in Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet)


APPENDIX O3

Table 2.6-2

| Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | 2018 WSIP |  | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep |  |
| Wet | 429 | 246 | 1,063 | 5,067 | 14,701 | 9,862 | 5,082 | 255 | 386 | 417 | 425 | 415 | 38,348 |
| Above Normal | 425 | 258 | 172 | 825 | 3,440 | 2,773 | 606 | 327 | 396 | 424 | 428 | 417 | 10,490 |
| Normal | 429 | 275 | 194 | 548 | 725 | 543 | 265 | 370 | 408 | 428 | 430 | 417 | 5,031 |
| Below Normal | 428 | 275 | 246 | 672 | 876 | 596 | 345 | 389 | 411 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 5,515 |
| Dry | 429 | 292 | 281 | 778 | 1,044 | 747 | 375 | 407 | 416 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,044 |
| All Years | 428 | 269 | 387 | 1,558 | 4,108 | 2,874 | 1,314 | 350 | 403 | 426 | 428 | 417 | 12,962 |
| Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 429 | 246 | 998 | 4,985 | 14,425 | 9,862 | 5,085 | 255 | 386 | 417 | 425 | 415 | 37,928 |
| Above Normal | 425 | 258 | 172 | 746 | 3,196 | 2,688 | 606 | 327 | 396 | 424 | 428 | 417 | 10,082 |
| Normal | 429 | 275 | 194 | 548 | 725 | 506 | 265 | 370 | 408 | 428 | 430 | 417 | 4,995 |
| Below Normal | 428 | 275 | 246 | 672 | 876 | 596 | 345 | 389 | 411 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 5,515 |
| Dry | 429 | 292 | 281 | 778 | 1,044 | 747 | 375 | 407 | 416 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,044 |
| All Years | 428 | 269 | 374 | 1,526 | 4,004 | 2,850 | 1,314 | 350 | 403 | 426 | 428 | 417 | 12,788 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Calaveras Reservoir (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 65 | 82 | 276 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 419 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 244 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 408 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 13 | 32 | 104 | 25 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 173 |

Table 2.6-3
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Table 2.6-4
Difference in Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet)
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Table 2.6-5


Table 2.6-6

| Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 28 | 1,379 | 6,282 | 7,982 | 5,727 | 2,960 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,531 |
| Above Normal | 7 | 23 | 843 | 2,281 | 3,740 | 3,237 | 959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,091 |
| Normal | 0 | 6 | 585 | 260 | 824 | 459 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,247 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 102 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| All Years | 1 | 12 | 562 | 1,759 | 2,526 | 1,926 | 798 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,617 |
| Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 28 | 1,379 | 6,269 | 7,982 | 5,727 | 2,960 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,518 |
| Above Normal | 7 | 23 | 591 | 2,457 | 3,735 | 3,129 | 959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,903 |
| Normal | 0 | 6 | 585 | 260 | 796 | 459 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,219 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 102 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| All Years | 1 | 12 | 509 | 1,793 | 2,520 | 1,903 | 798 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,570 |
| Difference in Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> 2018 WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 252 | -177 | 5 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 52 | -34 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |

Table 2.6-7

| Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 28 | 1,379 | 6,282 | 7,982 | 5,727 | 2,960 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,531 |
| Above Normal | 7 | 23 | 843 | 2,281 | 3,740 | 3,237 | 959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,091 |
| Normal | 0 | 6 | 585 | 260 | 824 | 459 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,247 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 102 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| All Years | 1 | 12 | 562 | 1,759 | 2,526 | 1,926 | 798 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,617 |
| Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 28 | 1,379 | 6,967 | 8,099 | 5,757 | 2,972 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,331 |
| Above Normal | 7 | 23 | 1,126 | 3,672 | 5,294 | 3,096 | 692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,911 |
| Normal | 0 | 6 | 954 | 868 | 1,870 | 906 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,731 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 102 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| All Years | 1 | 12 | 692 | 2,299 | 3,075 | 1,989 | 748 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,843 |
| Difference in Flow Passing Alameda Creek Diversion Dam (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 0 | -685 | -117 | -30 | -12 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -801 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -283 | -1,391 | -1,554 | 141 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,820 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -369 | -608 | -1,046 | -447 | -13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,483 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -131 | -541 | -549 | -64 | 50 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,225 |

Comparing the variant and WSIP settings, differences in releases from Calaveras Dam to the stream and differences to spills at the ACDD result in differences in flow below the Alameda Creek and Calaveras Creek confluence between the settings. Table 2.6-8 illustrates the flow below the confluence for the variant and WSIP settings. The modeled differences in these parameters were described above as insubstantial, and thus the combined effect of the differences at the confluence would also be insubstantial. Fishery releases for the 1997 MOU are assumed in both of the settings. Table 2.6-9 provides the same form of information for the variant and base settings. The notable differences between the variant and base settings (comparable to the differences between the WSIP and base settings) are the addition of required stream flows for the 1997 MOU and the reduction of wetter-year, wet-season flows due to the restoration of Calaveras Reservoir storage.

Table 2.6-8

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 430 | 326 | 2,786 | 12,358 | 23,871 | 16,574 | 8,643 | 605 | 417 | 429 | 429 | 417 | 67,286 |
| Above Normal | 437 | 326 | 1,259 | 3,703 | 7,956 | 6,572 | 1,876 | 430 | 418 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 24,255 |
| Normal | 429 | 304 | 1,006 | 1,077 | 1,935 | 1,329 | 536 | 430 | 417 | 429 | 430 | 417 | 8,739 |
| Below Normal | 429 | 297 | 324 | 859 | 1,214 | 1,046 | 417 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,709 |
| Dry | 429 | 298 | 307 | 813 | 1,168 | 816 | 418 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,373 |
| All Years | 431 | 310 | 1,128 | 3,726 | 7,164 | 5,232 | 2,348 | 464 | 417 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 22,497 |
| Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 430 | 326 | 2,721 | 12,263 | 23,595 | 16,575 | 8,647 | 605 | 417 | 429 | 429 | 417 | 66,854 |
| Above Normal | 437 | 326 | 1,007 | 3,801 | 7,708 | 6,379 | 1,876 | 430 | 418 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 23,658 |
| Normal | 429 | 304 | 1,006 | 1,077 | 1,907 | 1,293 | 536 | 430 | 417 | 429 | 430 | 417 | 8,675 |
| Below Normal | 429 | 297 | 324 | 859 | 1,214 | 1,046 | 417 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,709 |
| Dry | 429 | 298 | 307 | 813 | 1,168 | 816 | 418 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,373 |
| All Years | 431 | 310 | 1,063 | 3,728 | 7,053 | 5,185 | 2,349 | 464 | 417 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 22,276 |
| Difference in Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) 2018 WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 65 | 95 | 276 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 432 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 252 | -98 | 248 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 596 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 65 | -2 | 111 | 47 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 |

Table 2.6-9

| Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | 2018 WSIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 430 | 326 | 2,786 | 12,358 | 23,871 | 16,574 | 8,643 | 605 | 417 | 429 | 429 | 417 | 67,286 |
| Above Normal | 437 | 326 | 1,259 | 3,703 | 7,956 | 6,572 | 1,876 | 430 | 418 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 24,255 |
| Normal | 429 | 304 | 1,006 | 1,077 | 1,935 | 1,329 | 536 | 430 | 417 | 429 | 430 | 417 | 8,739 |
| Below Normal | 429 | 297 | 324 | 859 | 1,214 | 1,046 | 417 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,709 |
| Dry | 429 | 298 | 307 | 813 | 1,168 | 816 | 418 | 430 | 417 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,373 |
| All Years | 431 | 310 | 1,128 | 3,726 | 7,164 | 5,232 | 2,348 | 464 | 417 | 430 | 429 | 417 | 22,497 |
| Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct |  |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 1 | 80 | 3,465 | 17,243 | 25,909 | 16,711 | 8,598 | 307 | 30 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 72,361 |
| Above Normal | 12 | 68 | 1,554 | 6,954 | 11,987 | 6,754 | 1,462 | 103 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 28,926 |
| Normal | 1 | 29 | 1,397 | 1,501 | 3,154 | 1,586 | 284 | 60 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8,022 |
| Below Normal | 1 | 22 | 78 | 186 | 338 | 450 | 72 | 41 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,195 |
| Dry | 1 | 6 | 26 | 35 | 124 | 69 | 43 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 328 |
| All Years | 3 | 41 | 1,292 | 5,145 | 8,250 | 5,077 | 2,060 | 106 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 21,993 |
| Difference in Flow below Alameda/Calaveras Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 429 | 246 | -678 | -4,885 | -2,038 | -136 | 46 | 298 | 386 | 417 | 425 | 415 | -5,075 |
| Above Normal | 425 | 258 | -295 | -3,251 | -4,031 | -182 | 414 | 327 | 396 | 424 | 428 | 417 | -4,671 |
| Normal | 429 | 275 | -391 | -424 | -1,219 | -257 | 251 | 370 | 408 | 428 | 430 | 417 | 717 |
| Below Normal | 428 | 275 | 246 | 672 | 876 | 596 | 345 | 389 | 411 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 5,515 |
| Dry | 429 | 292 | 281 | 778 | 1,044 | 747 | 375 | 407 | 416 | 430 | 430 | 417 | 6,044 |
| All Years | 428 | 269 | -164 | -1,419 | -1,086 | 155 | 288 | 358 | 403 | 426 | 428 | 417 | 504 |

A flow recapture facility in Alameda Creek below Calaveras Reservoir is incorporated in the variant and WSIP settings. This facility is assumed to recapture flows explicitly released from Calaveras Dam for the 1997 MOU. The effect of the recapture would be a reduction in the flow below the confluence of Alameda and Calaveras Creeks, but only to the extent that releases were explicitly made from Calaveras Reservoir for the 1997 MOU. Flows below this diversion have been estimated and noted as the flow above the Alameda Creek and San Antonio Creek confluence. Table 2.6-10 illustrates the flow at this location for the variant and WSIP settings. The flow changes at this location are consistent with the changes noted for below the confluence of Alameda and Calaveras Creeks. These flow changes are considered insubstantial. Table 2.6-11 provides the same form of information for the variant and base settings. The flows identified at this location are indicative of flow occurring below the confluence of Alameda and Calaveras Creeks (described above) with the addition of estimated stream accretions between the Alameda and Calaveras Creek confluence and the Alameda and San Antonio Creek confluence, less the water assumed to be recaptured (diverted) by the SFPUC from the creek.

Table 2.6-10

| Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | 2018 WSIP |  | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep |  |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,178 | 13,705 | 25,474 | 17,719 | 9,294 | 556 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 70,220 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,455 | 4,230 | 8,670 | 7,073 | 2,127 | 217 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 24,031 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,131 | 909 | 1,768 | 1,255 | 466 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5,770 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,321 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,194 | 3,831 | 7,299 | 5,346 | 2,395 | 207 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 20,432 |
| Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | e-G | by 5 | Reservoir | noff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VSIP |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,113 | 13,610 | 25,199 | 17,720 | 9,297 | 556 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 69,788 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,203 | 4,350 | 8,422 | 6,871 | 2,127 | 217 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 23,450 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,131 | 909 | 1,740 | 1,219 | 466 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5,706 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,321 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,129 | 3,838 | 7,188 | 5,297 | 2,396 | 207 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 20,215 |
| Difference in Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 65 | 95 | 276 | 0 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 432 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 252 | -120 | 248 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 581 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 65 | -7 | 111 | 49 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 |

Table 2.6-11

| Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | 2018 WSIP |  | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep |  |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,178 | 13,705 | 25,474 | 17,719 | 9,294 | 556 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 70,220 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,455 | 4,230 | 8,670 | 7,073 | 2,127 | 217 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 24,031 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,131 | 909 | 1,768 | 1,255 | 466 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 5,770 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,321 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,194 | 3,831 | 7,299 | 5,346 | 2,395 | 207 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 20,432 |
| Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,973 | 18,714 | 27,673 | 17,977 | 9,358 | 513 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 78,502 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,922 | 7,772 | 13,068 | 7,467 | 1,861 | 217 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 32,566 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,716 | 1,881 | 3,712 | 2,007 | 479 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 10,037 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,321 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,560 | 5,733 | 9,019 | 5,624 | 2,355 | 198 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 24,650 |
| Difference in Alameda Creek Flow abv San Antonio Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> 2018 WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -795 | -5,009 | -2,198 | -258 | -64 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,282 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -467 | -3,542 | -4,397 | -394 | 266 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,535 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -585 | -972 | -1,944 | -752 | -13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,267 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -366 | -1,901 | -1,720 | -279 | 40 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,218 |

Compared to the WSIP setting, the variant's San Antonio Reservoir operation would typically draw less from storage on an annual basis, particularly during cyclic maintenance. Figure 2.6-3 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of San Antonio Reservoir storage and stream releases from San Antonio Dam. Shown in Figure 2.6-3 are the results for the WSIP, variant, and base settings. The difference in San Antonio Reservoir storage between the variant and WSIP settings is mostly caused by the lesser demand of the variant. Considering that Calaveras Reservoir storage is essentially the same between the settings, the difference in San Antonio Reservoir storage is indicative of the operational strategy to affect storage in San Antonio Reservoir more than storage in the other SFPUC Bay Area reservoirs. San Antonio Reservoir would retain more storage in the variant setting compared to the WSIP setting.

The difference in storage between the variant and WSIP settings and the base setting is due to the restoration of the operational capacity of Calaveras Reservoir. In the base setting, the limited operating storage capacity at Calaveras Reservoir leads to a different operation at San Antonio Reservoir, one that retains relatively more stored water for system demands when the draw from Calaveras Reservoir is constrained due to limited storage. There is also a notable difference in storage operation between the variant and WSIP settings and the base setting due to assumed maintenance. Assumed systematic maintenance of Hetch Hetchy conveyance facilities constrains diversions to the Bay Area from Hetch Hetchy every year, and particularly during every fifth year in the WSIP and variant settings.

Figure 2.6-3
San Antonio Reservoir Storage and Stream Release
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The reduction in diversion from Hetch Hetchy during these periods is accommodated in the system by drawing additional water from the Bay Area reservoirs. The proportionate share of this operation that is directed toward San Antonio Reservoir is evident in the tracing of San Antonio Reservoir storage for the variant and WSIP settings. Figure 2.6-4 illustrates the average monthly storage in San Antonio Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the variant and base settings. Compared to the base setting, the variant would draw less storage from San Antonio Reservoir, typically retaining a fuller reservoir except during the cyclic maintenance period November through January.

Figure 2.6-4


There is very little anticipated change in stream releases below San Antonio Reservoir between the variant and WSIP settings. Table 2.6-12 illustrates the modeled releases to San Antonio Creek from San Antonio Reservoir for the two settings and the differences for the average release during a year type. With a different reservoir operation at times during the winter, as seen in Figure 2.6-4, it is expected that there would be a difference in the ability to regulate reservoir inflow and avoid stream releases. Given the sometimes rigid constraints within the modeling assumptions, the model will overestimate the frequency and magnitude of stream releases from San Antonio Reservoir under any of the investigated settings. The flexibility that occurs in actual operations would likely avoid most of the releases represented by the model. The modeled stream releases from San Antonio Reservoir and the difference between releases for the variant and base setting are shown in Table 2.6-13. The differences between the two settings reflect a slight decrease in modeled releases. This modeled circumstance reflects the different resulting storage operation between the two settings, as seen in Figure 2.6-3. In most instances, the variant setting storage at San Antonio Reservoir during a period would be lower than that projected for the base setting during the same period. This circumstance could lead to an occasionally lesser modeled release for the variant setting, which is reflected in the results. As described above, the model will overestimate the frequency and magnitude of releases from San Antonio Reservoir, and the actual releases from the reservoir in any setting and the difference between settings are expected to be minor.

Flow below the confluence of Alameda and San Antonio Creeks is influenced by releases from San Antonio Creek and flow arriving at the location from Alameda Creek, which includes upstream impairment by SFPUC operations and facilities. Table 2.6-14 illustrates the flow below the confluence for the variant and WSIP settings, and the differences in flow between the two. The differences in flow between the variant and WSIP settings at this location are the net sum of the differences identified for flow reaching the location from Alameda Creek and from San Antonio Creek. The difference in flow from upstream in Alameda Creek was previously identified as insubstantial. Along with the conclusion that flow differences in San Antonio Creek would not be substantial, modeled differences below the confluence are also considered insubstantial.
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Table 2.6-12

| Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 404 | 2,195 | 3,512 | 2,817 | 1,171 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,187 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 107 | 673 | 1,818 | 888 | 197 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,745 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 251 | 368 | 133 | 90 | 90 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 943 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 150 | 640 | 1,091 | 752 | 287 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,952 |
| Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 44 | 1,208 | 3,251 | 1,558 | 658 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,870 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 442 | 1,381 | 158 | 192 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,235 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 11 | 287 | 78 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 395 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 11 | 383 | 936 | 338 | 172 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,882 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> 2018 WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 360 | 987 | 261 | 1,259 | 513 | -63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,317 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 107 | 231 | 437 | 731 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,510 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 240 | 81 | 55 | 84 | 78 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 548 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 139 | 256 | 155 | 414 | 115 | -10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,070 |

Table 2.6-13

| Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet)(Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff)2018 WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 404 | 2,195 | 3,512 | 2,817 | 1,171 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,187 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 107 | 673 | 1,818 | 888 | 197 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,745 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 251 | 368 | 133 | 90 | 90 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 943 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 150 | 640 | 1,091 | 752 | 287 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,952 |
| Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 101 | 1,322 | 3,669 | 3,288 | 1,398 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,872 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 26 | 687 | 1,909 | 1,487 | 116 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,283 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 7 | 370 | 441 | 237 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,120 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 26 | 472 | 1,206 | 996 | 309 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,041 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from San Antonio Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) 2018 WSIP minus Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 303 | 873 | -157 | -471 | -227 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 315 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 81 | -14 | -91 | -599 | 81 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -538 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 244 | -1 | -309 | -147 | 26 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -177 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -26 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -22 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 124 | 167 | -115 | -244 | -23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -89 |

Table 2.6-14

| Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,582 | 15,900 | 28,986 | 20,536 | 10,465 | 644 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 80,407 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,562 | 4,903 | 10,488 | 7,961 | 2,324 | 280 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 27,776 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,382 | 1,278 | 1,901 | 1,345 | 556 | 139 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 6,713 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 694 | 720 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,340 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,344 | 4,471 | 8,390 | 6,098 | 2,682 | 239 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 23,384 |
| Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,157 | 14,818 | 28,449 | 19,278 | 9,955 | 707 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 76,658 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,203 | 4,792 | 9,803 | 7,029 | 2,320 | 279 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 25,685 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,142 | 1,197 | 1,818 | 1,224 | 478 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 6,101 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 678 | 717 | 159 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,326 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,140 | 4,221 | 8,124 | 5,635 | 2,567 | 249 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 22,097 |
| Difference in Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> 2018 WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 425 | 1,081 | 537 | 1,259 | 510 | -63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,749 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 359 | 111 | 685 | 932 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,091 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 240 | 81 | 83 | 121 | 78 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 612 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 204 | 250 | 266 | 463 | 115 | -10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,288 |
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Table 2.6-15 illustrates the same information for the variant and base settings. Table 2.6-15 shows the larger differences in flow that would occur between the variant and base settings. Those differences are particularly due to the effects of the restoration of Calaveras Reservoir operating capacity and the difference in San Antonio Reservoir storage operations.

Table 2.6-15

| Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | 2018 WSIP |  | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug | Sep |  |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 3,582 | 15,900 | 28,986 | 20,536 | 10,465 | 644 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 80,407 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,562 | 4,903 | 10,488 | 7,961 | 2,324 | 280 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 27,776 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,382 | 1,278 | 1,901 | 1,345 | 556 | 139 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 6,713 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 694 | 720 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,340 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,344 | 4,471 | 8,390 | 6,098 | 2,682 | 239 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 23,384 |
| Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 6 | 154 | 4,075 | 20,036 | 31,342 | 21,266 | 10,756 | 607 | 76 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 88,374 |
| Above Normal | 19 | 150 | 1,948 | 8,459 | 14,977 | 8,954 | 1,977 | 276 | 54 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 36,849 |
| Normal | 7 | 64 | 1,723 | 2,251 | 4,153 | 2,244 | 544 | 128 | 28 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 11,157 |
| Below Normal | 7 | 56 | 183 | 404 | 720 | 717 | 154 | 91 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2,363 |
| Dry | 6 | 19 | 70 | 98 | 231 | 145 | 91 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 724 |
| All Years | 9 | 89 | 1,587 | 6,205 | 10,225 | 6,620 | 2,664 | 229 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 27,691 |
| Difference in Flow blw San Antonio and Alameda Creek Confluence (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | -492 | -4,136 | -2,355 | -730 | -291 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,967 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -386 | -3,557 | -4,489 | -993 | 347 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,073 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | -341 | -973 | -2,252 | -899 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,443 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -26 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -22 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | -243 | -1,734 | -1,835 | -523 | 17 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4,307 |

### 2.7 Crystal Springs and San Andreas Reservoirs

There are differences in Crystal Springs Reservoir operations among the WSIP, variant, and base settings. Figure 2.7-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Crystal Springs Reservoir storage and stream releases from Crystal Springs Dam. Shown in Figure 2.7-1 are the results for the WSIP, variant, and base settings. Fundamental to the difference in storage operations between the WSIP and variant settings and the base setting is the restoration of reservoir operation capacity in the WSIP and variant setting that does not occur in the base setting. The result is the operation of Crystal Springs Reservoir at a lower maximum storage in the base setting. The difference in Crystal Springs Reservoir storage between the variant and WSIP settings is caused by the interaction of the increased demand served by the system's resources (a net 265 mgd for the variant and a net 290 mgd for the WSIP), which tends to lessen the operational range of the reservoir in the variant setting. Less drawdown and an accelerated replenishment of Crystal Springs Reservoir storage (as well as other Bay Area reservoirs) would occur with less systemwide demand to serve. The magnitude of the draw of storage from Crystal Springs Reservoir is partially dependent on the discretionary assumptions of the model that proportion the use of storage among the Bay Area system reservoirs. In actual operations, some of these differences may not occur, as system operators and prevailing hydraulic and hydrologic conditions may result in a different apportionment of effect among the reservoirs. However, the operational strategy prefers the retention of storage in the Peninsula reservoirs, similar to the strategy used by the model. Figure 2.7-2 illustrates the average monthly storage in Crystal Springs Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the variant and WSIP settings.
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Figure 2.7-1
Crystal Springs Reservoir Storage and Release
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Figure 2.7-2


Figure 2.7-3 illustrates the average monthly storage in Crystal Springs Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the variant and base settings. The variant setting would result in reservoir storage operating at a higher average level during all months, and the range of operating storage would be larger in some months.

Figure 2.7-3


Table 2.7-1 illustrates the modeled variant and WSIP stream releases from Crystal Springs Reservoir and the differences between the two settings. Modeling results indicate that an increase or decrease in the occasional release could occur. The potential difference is attributed to a difference in the operating range of reservoir storage in the variant setting. In actual operations, it is anticipated that system operators would manage the reservoir system whereby stream releases would be minimal under any setting, and the effect would be essentially no difference between the variant and WSIP settings. Similarly, Table 2.72 illustrates the stream releases for the variant and base settings, and the difference in modeled flows between the two settings. A difference in Crystal Springs Reservoir storage between the two settings would lead to a different potential to regulate reservoir inflow, which could lead to different stream releases. However, as described above, actual system operations attempt to minimize releases under any setting, and thus the difference in releases between the variant and base setting is minimal, if any.

Table 2.7-1

| Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet)(Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff)2018 WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 215 | 2,186 | 4,073 | 833 | 310 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,718 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 600 | 0 | 26 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 960 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 42 | 467 | 919 | 163 | 81 | 71 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,745 |
| Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,098 | 2,435 | 732 | 115 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,428 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 353 | 0 | 32 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 544 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 548 | 143 | 36 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 997 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) <br> 2018 WSIP minus WSIP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 215 | 1,088 | 1,638 | 101 | 195 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,290 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 247 | 0 | -6 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 416 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -28 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 42 | 230 | 371 | 20 | 45 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 749 |

Table 2.7-2

| Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 215 | 2,186 | 4,073 | 833 | 310 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,718 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 600 | 0 | 26 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 960 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 42 | 467 | 919 | 163 | 81 | 71 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,745 |
| Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 107 | 2,744 | 4,279 | 1,376 | 1,047 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,556 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 618 | 1,343 | 29 | 52 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,142 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 21 | 664 | 1,166 | 274 | 215 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,373 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Crystal Springs Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 107 | -558 | -207 | -542 | -737 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,838 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | -424 | -743 | -29 | -26 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,182 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -268 | 0 | 48 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -143 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | -48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -22 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 21 | -197 | -247 | -112 | -134 | 50 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -628 |

Reservoir storage at San Andreas Reservoir would follow a systematic filling and lowering each year; however, there would be slight differences in drawdown between the variant and WSIP settings, primarily due to the coincidence of the effects of different systemwide maintenance and water demands within each setting. Figure 2.7-4 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of San Andreas Reservoir storage and stream releases from San Andreas Dam. Shown in Figure 2.7-4 are the results for the WSIP, variant, and base settings. There are no projected stream releases from San Andreas Reservoir in any setting. Compared to the base setting, as Figure 2.7-4 illustrates, there would be a difference in storage operation every fifth year for the WSIP and variant settings. These differences would be the result of Hetch Hetchy conveyance maintenance, which is assumed to occur systematically in the variant and WSIP settings. The maintenance constrains the amount of Hetch Hetchy water supplied to serve water demands in the Bay Area. As previously discussed, during these winter periods the Bay Area reservoir system would accommodate the reduction in imported supply by serving the Bay Area water deliveries with the local watersheds' runoff and storage. At San Andreas Reservoir, serving this water demand would affect the reservoir when additional required water production at Harry Tracy WTP associated with WSIP or the variant exceeded the ability to maintain San Andreas Reservoir storage with pumping from Crystal Springs Reservoir. In the modeling, the conveyance capacity from Crystal Springs Reservoir is assumed to be the same among all of the settings. The additional water demand of the WSIP and variant require additional production from Harry Tracy WTP to be drawn from San Andreas Reservoir.
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Figure 2.7-4

## San Andreas Reservoir Storage and Stream Release
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Figure 2.7-5 illustrates the average monthly storage in San Andreas Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the variant and base settings.

Figure 2.7-5


### 2.8 Pilarcitos Reservoir

Coastside County Water District's (Coastside CWD) water demand and its SFPUC purchase request are projected to increase within the WSIP planning horizon of year 2030. Within the context of the 2030 purchase request of 300 mgd , Coastside CWD's portion is estimated to amount to about 3 mgd . This projected purchase request is approximately 1 mgd greater that its current purchase request. Considering the current physical constraints on deliveries from the SFPUC to Coastside CWD and the ongoing planning activities in the watershed, the precise means of serving Coastside CWD's additional purchase request, and the resultant potential changes in the operation of SFPUC facilities and their affected environs, are uncertain. ${ }^{2}$ For the variant, Coastside CWD's delivery would remain at its current level of approximately 1.8 mgd .

Assuming a range of potential means to serve the additional purchase request from Coastside CWD, the following are potential hydrologic effects on SFPUC facilities and their affected environs:

- Due to limited yield from Pilarcitos Reservoir, additional diversions would be required from Crystal Springs Reservoir.
- If deliveries to Coastside CWD from Pilarcitos Reservoir increase during the winter season, these deliveries could potentially reduce storage in Pilarcitos Reservoir, thereby potentially reducing diversions to the San Mateo Creek watershed. Although the increased delivery would increase releases to Pilarcitos Creek from Pilarcitos Dam for a period of time, the increase would subsequently lead to a reduction in spills past Stone Dam.
- Additional wintertime deliveries could also potentially impair the ability to provide carryover storage into the summer season from Pilarcitos Reservoir, and subsequently lead to an acceleration of the beginning of the season when releases to Pilarcitos Creek from Pilarcitos Reservoir consist only of the passage of reservoir inflow.
- An increase in summertime deliveries from Pilarcitos Creek could also accelerate the beginning of the season when releases to Pilarcitos Creek from Pilarcitos Reservoir consist only of the passage of reservoir inflow.

Figure 2.8-1 illustrates a chronological trace of the simulation of Pilarcitos Reservoir storage and stream releases from Pilarcitos Dam. Shown in Figure 2.8-1 are the results for the WSIP, variant ${ }_{2}$ and base

[^5]
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settings. For the WSIP setting, the operation assumes an increase in purchase request by Coastside CWD, distributed on a proportionate monthly pattern during the year consistent with historical SFPUC deliveries. Also assumed is a conveyance constraint of 2 mgd to Coastside CWD from the Pilarcitos Creek source of water. When the assumed monthly purchase request of Coastside CWD exceeds this conveyance constraint, Coastside CWD's request is met with deliveries from Crystal Springs Reservoir. For the variant setting, Coastside CWD's demand is the same as depicted for the base setting.

Compared to the WSIP setting, the variant would draw less water from Pilarcitos Reservoir, thus avoiding the effects on Pilarcitos Reservoir and its operations associated with the WSIP. A potential increased draw of storage from Pilarcitos Reservoir earlier in the year would not occur under the variant, and thus the earlier summertime reduction in Pilarcitos Reservoir releases to the Pilarcitos Creek would not occur. The variant's operation would be much the same as, if not identical to, that depicted for the base setting. Figure 2.8-2 illustrates the average monthly storage in Pilarcitos Reservoir for the 82-year simulation, and the range in storage for each month for the variant and base settings.

There are occasional differences in the operation of Pilarcitos Reservoir due to slight changes in the overall operation of the SFPUC system. These changes could affect the timing and frequency of the transfer of water from the Pilarcitos Creek watershed to the San Mateo Creek watershed.

Figure 2.8-1
Pilarcitos Reservoir Storage and Stream Release
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Figure 2.8-2


Stream releases from Pilarcitos Dam are also shown in Figure 2.8-1. Releases can occur for diversions at Stone Dam for Coastside CWD deliveries, conveyance to the San Mateo Creek watershed (e.g., Crystal Springs Reservoir), and reservoir spills. Pilarcitos Creek typically gains flow from unregulated tributary streams and runoff below Pilarcitos Dam. The differences in flow between the variant setting and base setting are shown chronologically in Table 2.8-1 and summarized by monthly averages within year types in Table 2.8-2. The reductions in flows during the winter and spring are indicative of the averaging of the few instances when additional water is transferred to the San Mateo watershed from Pilarcitos Reservoir.

The effect of the variant on Pilarcitos Creek flows below Stone Dam is different than the effect on flows below Pilarcitos Dam. Figure 2.8-3 illustrates the chronological trace of inflow to Stone Dam, which includes releases from Pilarcitos Dam to Pilarcitos Creek and unregulated flow to the stream below Pilarcitos Dam, and releases (spills) from Stone Dam to Pilarcitos Creek. Shown in the figure are the results for the WSIP, variant, and base settings. The flow past Stone Dam in all settings is typically minor (zero in modeling results, but may be measurable in terms of leakage and seepage past the dam), as inflow to the dam is diverted to Coastside CWD or to the San Mateo watershed. Releases past Stone Dam typically occur when unregulated flow below Pilarcitos Dam exceeds the delivery needs of Coastside CWD at a time when the storage level at Crystal Springs Reservoir rejects the water from the Pilarcitos watershed. There are a few instances when flow past Stone Dam in the variant setting would be diminished by the change in releases from Pilarcitos Reservoir. Table 2.8-3 summarizes the results for the variant and base settings in terms of average monthly flows by year type, and the average differences in flow between the two settings.
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Table 2.8-1
Difference in Total Stream Release from Pilarcitos Reservoir (Acre-feet)
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Table 2.8-2

| Total Stream Release from Pilarcitos Reservoir (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 54 | 3 | 172 | 837 | 2,116 | 1,653 | 13 | 70 | 152 | 175 | 183 | 177 | 5,606 |
| Above Normal | 56 | 37 | 14 | 11 | 589 | 388 | 22 | 116 | 161 | 181 | 186 | 169 | 1,928 |
| Normal | 54 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 63 | 143 | 171 | 185 | 159 | 127 | 947 |
| Below Normal | 57 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 24 | 103 | 154 | 164 | 171 | 124 | 63 | 894 |
| Dry | 36 | 0 | 11 | 27 | 17 | 43 | 70 | 69 | 55 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 381 |
| All Years | 51 | 10 | 41 | 177 | 542 | 418 | 54 | 111 | 141 | 152 | 133 | 107 | 1,938 |
| Total Stream Release from Pilarcitos Reservoir (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - G | by 5 | servoir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 54 | 3 | 4 | 953 | 2,144 | 1,770 | 242 | 70 | 152 | 175 | 183 | 177 | 5,927 |
| Above Normal | 56 | 37 | 20 | 137 | 605 | 641 | 22 | 115 | 161 | 181 | 186 | 169 | 2,328 |
| Normal | 55 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 24 | 9 | 60 | 139 | 171 | 185 | 164 | 128 | 960 |
| Below Normal | 57 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 23 | 103 | 154 | 164 | 171 | 124 | 65 | 894 |
| Dry | 36 | 0 | 11 | 26 | 17 | 41 | 70 | 69 | 55 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 378 |
| All Years | 52 | 10 | 10 | 225 | 553 | 493 | 98 | 110 | 141 | 152 | 134 | 108 | 2,085 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Pilarcitos Reservoir (Acre-feet) <br> (Average within Year Type - Grouped by 5 Local Reservoir Runoff) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 169 | -116 | -28 | -117 | -229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -321 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | -6 | -126 | -15 | -253 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -400 |
| Normal | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -13 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -1 | -12 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 32 | -49 | -11 | -75 | -44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -148 |
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Figure 2.8-3
Stone Dam Stream Release and Inflow
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Table 2.8-3

| Total Stream Release from Stone Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 332 | 1,652 | 3,233 | 2,366 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,695 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 46 | 332 | 1,164 | 553 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,095 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 49 | 37 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 281 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 84 | 398 | 910 | 576 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,991 |
| Total Stream Release from Stone Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - Gr | by 5 | servoir | off) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 164 | 1,819 | 3,252 | 2,509 | 479 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,223 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 46 | 384 | 1,174 | 921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,525 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 49 | 30 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 51 | 440 | 917 | 680 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,182 |
| Difference in Total Stream Release from Stone Dam (Acre-feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Average within | - Gr | by 5 | servoi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WSIP | Base |  |
|  | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| Wet | 0 | 0 | 169 | -167 | -19 | -143 | -368 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -528 |
| Above Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | -52 | -11 | -368 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -430 |
| Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Below Normal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Years | 0 | 0 | 33 | -42 | -6 | -104 | -72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -191 |

## Memorandum

Subject: Analysis of WSIP upon the San Joaquin River and the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta<br>From:<br>Daniel B. Steiner<br>Date: May 22, 2008

## 1. Introduction

This memorandum summarizes an evaluation of the potential effects of the WSIP on the hydrology and operations of the San Joaquin River and the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (Delta). The evaluation is based on a contrast of HH/LSM results for the simulation of the WSIP against the simulation of San Joaquin River and Delta hydrology and operations. The projected hydrology due to the WSIP is primarily discussed in terms of a comparison to the existing condition.

## 2. Setting

The Tuolumne River is one of the principal tributaries of the San Joaquin River. Combined with the operations of the Stanislaus River, the Merced River, and intermittent releases from the upper San Joaquin River, Kings River, and other lesser tributary and uncontrolled flow, the contemporary average annual flow in the Tuolumne River at Vernalis is estimated to be approximately 3,050,000 acre-feet per year (afy), with a very large variance between drought and flood conditions. Figure 2-1 illustrates the setting of the Tuolumne River within the San Joaquin River system.

The Tuolumne River experiences an average annual unimpaired runoff of approximately 1,850,000 afy, of which an average of approximately 669,000 afy are released at La Grange Dam to the lower Tuolumne River. Releases below La Grange Dam are guided by FERC flows requirements and range between 94,000 and 301,000 afy. Additional releases occur in excess of FERC requirements during wetter years. The general magnitude and distribution of current releases at La Grange Dam by year type are illustrated in Figure 2-2. The effect of the WSIP on the Don Pedro Project would be to reduce inflow to Don Pedro Reservoir, which, if not affecting TID/MID canal diversions, would lead to a depletion in Don Pedro Reservoir storage. The depletion in reservoir storage would be replenished during wetter years when, absent the WSIP, releases below La Grange Dam would be in excess of required FERC flows. The average annual reduction in flow below La Grange Dam due to the WSIP amounts to approximately 25,000 afy, primarily during wetter years and during the winter or spring period depending on the coincidence of the WSIP's effect on inflow and the sequence of month-to-month and year-to-year hydrology.

The hydrology of the San Joaquin River at Vernalis is illustrated in Figure 2-4. The hydrology at Vernalis is dependent on several factors, including incidental and prescribed operations within the basin for the San Joaquin River. Generally, the flow in the San Joaquin River is a result of the independent operation of the tributaries for purposes specific to their respective watershed basins. An amount of flow interaction with the river also occurs through groundwater accretions, diversions, and return flows from adjacent lands.

The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation's (USBR) Central Valley Project (CVP) New Melones Project regulates the Stanislaus River, which is operated for purposes of water supply, flood control, power generation, fishery enhancement, and water quality improvement in the lower San Joaquin River. The operations of the New Melones Project are partially guided by State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) decisions, including Decision 1422 pertaining to releases for existing water rights, fish and wildlife enhancement, and the maintenance of water quality in the Stanislaus River and San Joaquin River. Decision 1641 assigns additional responsibility to the USBR concerning flow requirements at Vernalis.
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Figure 2-1
San Joaquin River System
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Figure 2-2
Tuolumne River Flow below La Grange Dam


Figure 2-3
Tuolumne River Flow below La Grange Dam - WSIP Effect


Figure 2-4
San Joaquin River Flow at Vernalis
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Water quality objectives at Vernalis are established as follows: for the irrigation season (April through August), a running 30-day average conductivity of 0.7 milliSiemens per centimeter ( $\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm}$ ); and during the rest of the year, $1.0 \mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm}$. Flow requirements at Vernalis are established for the February through June period. Based on the wetness of the San Joaquin River Basin and the required location of a water quality parameter prescribed by Decision 1641 (called "X2"), the "base" required flow at Vernalis ranges between 710 cubic feet per second (cfs) and 3,420 cfs. During a 30-day period in April and May, the Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan (VAMP) flow objective ranges between 3,200 cfs and 7,000 cfs. The SWRCB has assigned the USBR the responsibility for compliance with the Vernalis flow standards, with other entities within the basin contributing towards compliance during the VAMP period through agreement. Water quality (electrical conductivity) at Vernalis is illustrated in Figure 2-5.

Figure 2-5
San Joaquin River Water Quality at Vernalis


The Delta forms the confluence of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers, and is the eastern portion of the San Francisco Bay estuary. The CVP and the State Water Project (SWP) use the Delta channels to convey water to their respective export facilities in the southern Delta. Jones Pumping Plant (CVP) has a pumping capacity of $4,600 \mathrm{cfs}$; Banks Pumping Plant (SWP) has a pumping capacity of 10,300 cfs, although it is typically constrained to an average pumping capacity of 6,680 cfs. Figure 2-6 illustrates the geographical setting of the Delta.

Through coordinated operation, the CVP and SWP control releases from reservoirs and exports from the Delta to serve water supply contracts totaling several million acre-feet. The Coordinated Operating Agreement (COA) sets guidelines for sharing the supply as well as the responsibility for meeting water quality standards in the Delta. Currently, Delta water quality objectives are prescribed by the 1995 Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary through SWRCB Decision 1641.

In addition to SWRCB requirements, the operations of the CVP and SWP are also affected by the objectives of their various authorizations, requirements under the Endangered Species Act, and legal directives. Most recently, in December 2007 a federal court constrained the export operations of the CVP and SWP while a new federal biological opinion is developed for delta smelt. Additional CVP and SWP operational constraints may be developed for the protection of salmon.

To provide a context for comparing changes in Tuolumne River flow, Table 2-1 illustrates several parameters of historical measured flow within the Delta. For the recent period 1995 through 2006, the average annual total exports from the Delta have amounted to approximately 5,585,000 acre-feet, as computed outflow has been $24,189,000$ acre-feet. Measured San Joaquin River flow at Vernalis for the same period, which includes flow from the Tuolumne River, has been an average annual of 4,075,000 acre-feet.
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Figure 2-6
Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta


Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Atlas
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Table 2-1
Measured Historical Delta Flows

| Water Year | Total Exports | Sacramento River Inflow | San Joaquin R Inflow | Delta Ouflow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | 2,874,333 | 24,192,000 | 1,775,014 | 23,251,928 |
| 1972 | 3,495,757 | 12,548,000 | 1,108,825 | 9,226,357 |
| 1973 | 3,440,149 | 24,482,000 | 2,373,013 | 24,414,917 |
| 1974 | 4,408,835 | 38,233,000 | 2,769,796 | 37,459,002 |
| 1975 | 3,939,862 | 20,811,000 | 2,814,656 | 19,930,841 |
| 1976 | 4,942,896 | 11,035,000 | 1,527,879 | 6,596,232 |
| 1977 | 2,181,995 | 5,509,000 | 416,534 | 2,522,619 |
| 1978 | 4,402,769 | 20,480,000 | 4,478,832 | 21,349,263 |
| 1979 | 4,559,091 | 13,144,000 | 2,614,526 | 11,441,671 |
| 1980 | 4,607,462 | 25,629,000 | 5,954,154 | 28,155,761 |
| 1981 | 4,789,735 | 11,609,000 | 1,765,402 | 7,912,080 |
| 1982 | 4,677,208 | 37,221,000 | 5,474,326 | 40,945,458 |
| 1983 | 4,470,267 | 48,798,000 | 15,406,434 | 64,289,934 |
| 1984 | 3,938,610 | 27,327,000 | 6,284,455 | 30,635,544 |
| 1985 | 5,583,587 | 12,379,000 | 2,107,505 | 8,434,052 |
| 1986 | 5,411,704 | 28,061,000 | 5,227,289 | 29,671,290 |
| 1987 | 5,175,981 | 10,080,000 | 1,813,670 | 6,078,525 |
| 1988 | 5,736,575 | 9,829,000 | 1,165,644 | 4,417,524 |
| 1989 | 6,100,259 | 12,347,000 | 1,058,878 | 6,592,739 |
| 1990 | 5,929,312 | 9,903,000 | 915,614 | 3,933,160 |
| 1991 | 3,294,025 | 7,652,000 | 657,097 | 4,347,499 |
| 1992 | 3,021,048 | 8,142,000 | 696,216 | 5,178,236 |
| 1993 | 4,758,603 | 21,538,000 | 1,702,844 | 19,075,046 |
| 1994 | 4,113,456 | 11,409,741 | 1,219,740 | 6,010,543 |
| 1995 | 5,149,575 | 27,780,391 | 6,300,636 | 41,824,482 |
| 1996 | 5,338,588 | 25,991,516 | 3,922,419 | 25,511,023 |
| 1997 | 5,084,754 | 30,816,584 | 6,772,377 | 34,333,623 |
| 1998 | 4,749,955 | 38,011,421 | 8,490,664 | 43,506,339 |
| 1999 | 4,806,790 | 23,405,992 | 3,567,963 | 22,570,354 |
| 2000 | 6,285,299 | 21,321,316 | 2,845,985 | 18,175,727 |
| 2001 | 5,039,586 | 10,883,722 | 1,732,250 | 6,975,620 |
| 2002 | 5,499,327 | 13,812,201 | 1,395,751 | 9,190,646 |
| 2003 | 6,280,616 | 19,426,635 | 1,364,926 | 14,049,962 |
| 2004 | 6,093,213 | 20,250,761 | 1,373,096 | 14,922,390 |
| 2005 | 6,422,061 | 17,453,822 | 3,789,397 | 15,403,712 |
| 2006 | 6,271,595 | 41,073,358 | 7,339,862 | 43,806,137 |
| 2007 | 5,742,300 | 11,372,200 | 1,591,588 |  |
| Average | 4,827,491 | 20,377,261 | 3,292,304 | 19,781,673 |
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## 3. San Joaquin River

The effect of the WSIP on San Joaquin River hydrology is evaluated by a post-process analysis of operation simulations of the Tuolumne River system and the San Joaquin River Basin system. The Tuolumne River system, including the SFPUC regional water system and the Don Pedro Project, is modeled using the HH/LSM, as described in the PEIR. Results are provided from that model for the flow release to the lower Tuolumne River below La Grange Dam. Changes in those projected releases between the PEIR "base" study (current conditions without the WSIP) and a projected future condition (with the WSIP) provide the hydrologic data needed to track the WSIP's effects downstream of La Grange Dam. These projected changes in La Grange Dam releases to the Tuolumne River are combined with a separate San Joaquin River operation simulation to estimate the impacts of the WSIP on San Joaquin River hydrology and operations.
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CalSim II, a computer model developed jointly by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) and the USBR, is used to model the San Joaquin River Basin system and much of the Central Valley and Delta region water resources infrastructure system. Focused primarily on the operations of the CVP and SWP, CalSim II necessarily incorporates the simulated operations of non-CVP/SWP projects that exist on tributaries to the San Joaquin and Sacramento Rivers. Explicitly, the operation of the Don Pedro Project is modeled in CalSim II. Although the HH/LSM and CalSim II are different models, the underlying logic of Don Pedro Project operations for each of the models was developed coincidentally and produces very similar results.

A subset of the CalSim II model and its results are used for this analysis of San Joaquin River hydrology. Development of the CalSim II model during 2005 included a refinement of the depiction of San Joaquin River Basin operations and hydrology. For the development process, a stand-alone version of CalSim II focusing on San Joaquin River Basin operations was constructed. This version of the model uses a constant boundary condition for the geographical range of the system outside of the San Joaquin River Basin to speed up the processing of simulations. This approach to CalSim II modeling of the San Joaquin River Basin system is adequate for studies that focus on San Joaquin River operations, which are not greatly dependent on a broader CVP-SWP operation. The model's depiction of the San Joaquin River Basin's current operations and hydrology received a peer review (2005) and was described in a public workshop sponsored by the SWRCB during 2006. The CalSim II results used for that workshop are used for this analysis. ${ }^{1}$

### 3.1 Releases to the Tuolumne River at La Grange Dam

As described above, the effect of the WSIP on the Don Pedro Project would be to reduce inflow to Don Pedro Reservoir, which would lead to depletions in Don Pedro Reservoir storage. The depletion in reservoir storage would be replenished during wetter years when, absent the WSIP, releases below La Grange Dam would be in excess of FERC-required flows. Table 3.1-1 and Table 3.1-2 illustrate the projected monthly releases at La Grange Dam to the Tuolumne River for the WSIP and base settings for the 82-year simulation period (1921-2002). Table 3.1-3 illustrates the projected difference in releases at La Grange Dam due to the WSIP's effect on Don Pedro Project operations. ${ }^{2}$ The average annual reduction in flow below La Grange Dam due to the WSIP would amount to approximately 25,000 afy, primarily during wetter years and during the winter or spring period depending on the coincidence of the WSIP's effect on inflow and the sequence of month-to-month and year-to-year hydrology. The projected difference in releases from La Grange Dam (comparing the WSIP and base settings), ranked in descending order of wetness in the San Joaquin River Basin runoff, is illustrated in Table 3.1-4. These changes in La Grange Dam releases to the lower Tuolumne River would change the flow in the Tuolumne River between La Grange Dam and the confluence with the San Joaquin River. The flow projected in the San Joaquin River between the Tuolumne River confluence and the Stanislaus River confluence would be similarly changed.

### 3.2 Flow Upstream of the Stanislaus River Confluence

The flow of the San Joaquin River upstream of the Stanislaus River confluence (commonly referred to as the "Maze" Boulevard crossing of the San Joaquin River) is a point of interest in the identification of San Joaquin River hydrology. The tributary operations upstream of the Stanislaus River confluence (e.g., the Tuolumne River and Merced River) are generally not required to be responsive to San Joaquin River conditions. Therefore, the changes in the hydrology of the San Joaquin River upstream of the Stanislaus River due to the WSIP can be described by the change in hydrology that occurs at La Grange Dam. Downstream of the Stanislaus River confluence, the San Joaquin River hydrology may also include the reactions of the USBR's New Melones Project (Stanislaus River) to changes in the river at Maze; that is, reactions to both flow and water quality conditions. Projected changes in San Joaquin River flow upstream of the Stanislaus River confluence at Maze are illustrated in Figure 3.2-1 through Figure 3.2-4. ${ }^{2}$ The figures illustrate the wetness rank-ordered flow at Maze with the projected coincidental change in
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Table 3.1-1
Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) - WSIP

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 11,068 | 33,964 | 231,996 | 111,640 | 64,123 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 547,810 |
| 1922 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 169,885 | 167,789 | 61,936 | 470,876 | 59,363 | 27,204 | 24,862 | 1,077,719 |
| 1923 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 52,816 | 101,025 | 90,321 | 34,926 | 156,958 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 600,727 |
| 1924 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 17,256 | 18,447 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 156,183 |
| 1925 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 73,158 | 69,584 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 213,554 |
| 1926 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 9,719 | 10,760 | 31,566 | 30,449 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 145,817 |
| 1927 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 240,822 |
| 1928 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 53,135 | 208,209 | 37,200 | 35,902 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 431,739 |
| 1929 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 11,068 | 9,997 | 11,068 | 26,770 | 25,952 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 131,476 |
| 1930 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 27,049 | 26,214 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 119,510 |
| 1931 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 95,486 |
| 1932 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 9,223 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 239,632 |
| 1933 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 18,447 | 35,753 | 34,374 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 202,528 |
| 1934 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 95,486 |
| 1935 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 239,335 |
| 1936 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 54,167 | 204,086 | 168,811 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 628,639 |
| 1937 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 194,659 | 260,123 | 177,081 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 833,438 |
| 1938 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 88,717 | 79,596 | 381,104 | 454,579 | 291,007 | 288,864 | 227,401 | 156,701 | 48,636 | 34,811 | 2,093,665 |
| 1939 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 45,240 | 66,009 | 28,525 | 27,598 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 264,665 |
| 1940 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 196,482 | 163,672 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 527,809 |
| 1941 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 59,195 | 262,128 | 284,760 | 249,836 | 61,936 | 49,928 | 88,796 | 26,488 | 21,347 | 1,165,110 |
| 1942 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 41,845 | 150,525 | 153,324 | 148,197 | 218,453 | 228,994 | 91,485 | 115,177 | 26,854 | 17,017 | 1,234,120 |
| 1943 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 32,826 | 197,464 | 149,252 | 336,578 | 194,801 | 61,936 | 72,671 | 15,372 | 17,014 | 17,597 | 1,137,760 |
| 1944 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 17,256 | 55,093 | 47,894 | 45,898 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 263,434 |
| 1945 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 86,052 | 215,383 | 119,005 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 588,045 |
| 1946 | 24,397 | 25,160 | 229,316 | 136,983 | 150,231 | 166,940 | 68,500 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 923,959 |
| 1947 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 18,447 | 28,054 | 27,156 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 187,611 |
| 1948 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 9,223 | 39,947 | 38,477 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 151,020 |
| 1949 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 11,068 | 9,997 | 11,068 | 33,037 | 31,999 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 149,842 |
| 1950 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 11,068 | 9,997 | 11,068 | 61,680 | 58,823 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 205,309 |
| 1951 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 227,649 | 225,258 | 195,815 | 153,328 | 104,899 | 99,341 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 1,048,093 |
| 1952 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 56,975 | 213,745 | 258,495 | 264,611 | 230,309 | 162,673 | 38,667 | 32,093 | 1,302,741 |
| 1953 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 27,845 | 60,046 | 18,447 | 87,632 | 83,153 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 355,969 |
| 1954 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 9,719 | 10,760 | 41,422 | 39,831 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 165,055 |
| 1955 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 9,719 | 10,760 | 31,555 | 30,438 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 145,795 |
| 1956 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 397,642 | 218,902 | 177,380 | 103,683 | 61,936 | 153,608 | 108,969 | 29,023 | 30,608 | 1,309,123 |
| 1957 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 25,078 | 85,025 | 80,709 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 304,766 |
| 1958 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 9,719 | 173,384 | 311,309 | 268,728 | 276,764 | 96,627 | 36,329 | 32,935 | 1,250,968 |
| 1959 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 32,284 | 59,822 | 28,824 | 27,878 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 246,101 |
| 1960 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 9,223 | 24,895 | 24,194 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 115,633 |
| 1961 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 93,999 |
| 1962 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 94,959 | 90,022 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 255,793 |
| 1963 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 9,719 | 10,760 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 252,325 |
| 1964 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 17,256 | 18,447 | 28,168 | 27,263 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 188,427 |
| 1965 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 94,896 | 193,710 | 157,615 | 159,589 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 32,886 | 32,779 | 791,031 |
| 1966 | 24,397 | 22,517 | 119,607 | 51,266 | 82,677 | 61,610 | 32,240 | 31,252 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 443,716 |
| 1967 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 11,068 | 9,997 | 84,982 | 252,040 | 220,298 | 388,802 | 257,232 | 131,931 | 28,007 | 1,418,880 |
| 1968 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 17,256 | 32,584 | 28,988 | 28,031 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 204,152 |
| 1969 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 32,847 | 276,920 | 244,541 | 322,211 | 447,942 | 425,936 | 156,634 | 66,306 | 35,885 | 2,036,594 |
| 1970 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 73,665 | 370,017 | 136,129 | 162,608 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 971,341 |
| 1971 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 70,249 | 66,522 | 63,363 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 314,088 |
| 1972 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 10,066 | 10,760 | 30,579 | 29,524 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 138,200 |
| 1973 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 35,698 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 261,644 |
| 1974 | 24,397 | 42,215 | 100,199 | 144,039 | 84,226 | 200,904 | 125,080 | 61,936 | 182,580 | 15,372 | 23,592 | 26,455 | 1,030,995 |
| 1975 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 112,415 | 201,425 | 100,944 | 61,936 | 174,642 | 21,358 | 50,309 | 29,597 | 831,769 |
| 1976 | 35,185 | 23,322 | 33,098 | 18,447 | 17,256 | 18,447 | 20,660 | 20,224 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 198,737 |
| 1977 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 93,999 |
| 1978 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 71,448 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 295,907 |
| 1979 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 25,892 | 150,953 | 195,605 | 90,635 | 338,861 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 923,138 |
| 1980 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 183,143 | 376,597 | 204,132 | 110,674 | 105,463 | 278,671 | 152,585 | 41,442 | 36,580 | 1,549,983 |
| 1981 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 22,926 | 29,256 | 28,454 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 194,590 |
| 1982 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 32,535 | 338,147 | 314,765 | 511,142 | 350,499 | 260,216 | 155,711 | 59,424 | 132,689 | 2,189,651 |
| 1983 | 155,278 | 142,160 | 252,175 | 268,145 | 324,750 | 929,999 | 277,685 | 441,769 | 223,430 | 236,135 | 186,588 | 171,850 | 3,609,964 |
| 1984 | 24,397 | 262,407 | 413,016 | 228,905 | 204,697 | 159,934 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 1,480,029 |
| 1985 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 18,447 | 34,634 | 33,325 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 200,360 |
| 1986 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 156,378 | 441,405 | 148,505 | 177,029 | 197,577 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 17,744 | 1,205,977 |
| 1987 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 18,447 | 25,003 | 24,296 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 175,648 |
| 1988 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 9,223 | 19,297 | 18,947 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 103,301 |
| 1989 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 26,519 | 25,717 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 116,996 |
| 1990 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 19,866 | 19,480 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 104,106 |
| 1991 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 26,397 | 25,603 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 116,760 |
| 1992 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 9,223 | 20,501 | 20,075 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 105,633 |
| 1993 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 23,914 | 248,373 |
| 1994 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 18,447 | 26,774 | 25,956 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 179,079 |
| 1995 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 444,650 | 252,480 | 587,468 | 266,389 | 378,373 | 180,518 | 51,840 | 2,206,644 |
| 1996 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 282,350 | 273,866 | 138,689 | 137,214 | 166,467 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 21,277 | 1,129,750 |
| 1997 | 24,397 | 42,957 | 363,466 | 949,830 | 195,855 | 141,961 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 1,905,139 |
| 1998 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,548 | 334,719 | 269,674 | 194,691 | 338,154 | 410,419 | 282,802 | 127,440 | 28,820 | 2,065,963 |
| 1999 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 43,763 | 118,488 | 288,111 | 189,381 | 85,028 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 889,452 |
| 2000 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 187,912 | 217,038 | 100,903 | 61,936 | 92,171 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 784,723 |
| 2001 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 58,155 | 28,763 | 27,821 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 228,693 |
| 2002 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 32,775 | 31,582 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 136,656 |
| Avg (21-02) | 18,815 | 18,888 | 36,241 | 57,087 | 79,135 | 114,179 | 95,290 | 88,906 | 63,139 | 36,354 | 20,200 | 15,774 | 644,009 |
| Max (21-02) | 155,278 | 262,407 | 413,016 | 949,830 | 381,104 | 929,999 | 511,142 | 587,468 | 470,876 | 378,373 | 186,588 | 171,850 | 3,609,964 |
| Min (21-02) | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 93,999 |

APPENDIX O4

Table 3.1-2
Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) - Base

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 11,068 | 33,964 | 245,398 | 114,894 | 64,123 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 564,466 |
| 1922 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 21,795 | 177,197 | 175,154 | 61,936 | 486,912 | 61,546 | 27,209 | 24,862 | 1,115,754 |
| 1923 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 52,816 | 101,025 | 90,321 | 34,926 | 159,076 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 602,845 |
| 1924 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 17,256 | 18,447 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 156,183 |
| 1925 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 73,158 | 69,584 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 213,554 |
| 1926 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 9,719 | 10,760 | 31,566 | 30,449 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 145,817 |
| 1927 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 41,783 | 15,372 | 24,317 | 28,032 | 289,830 |
| 1928 | 24,397 | 38,122 | 52,916 | 21,575 | 67,986 | 208,208 | 46,105 | 35,902 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 513,361 |
| 1929 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 11,068 | 9,997 | 11,068 | 26,770 | 25,952 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 131,476 |
| 1930 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 27,049 | 26,214 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 119,510 |
| 1931 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 95,486 |
| 1932 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 9,223 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 239,632 |
| 1933 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 18,447 | 35,753 | 34,374 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 202,528 |
| 1934 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 95,486 |
| 1935 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 239,335 |
| 1936 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 301,206 | 220,976 | 172,446 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 896,203 |
| 1937 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 210,859 | 263,318 | 185,594 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 861,346 |
| 1938 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 108,307 | 79,596 | 381,104 | 454,618 | 298,150 | 305,878 | 232,281 | 156,701 | 50,809 | 34,816 | 2,144,509 |
| 1939 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 45,240 | 66,009 | 28,525 | 27,598 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 264,665 |
| 1940 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 234,677 | 169,350 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 571,682 |
| 1941 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 55,884 | 262,574 | 285,182 | 250,355 | 61,936 | 53,464 | 90,980 | 26,493 | 21,347 | 1,168,911 |
| 1942 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 41,845 | 156,067 | 153,323 | 150,861 | 223,977 | 231,848 | 94,247 | 117,365 | 26,854 | 17,017 | 1,255,653 |
| 1943 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 32,826 | 197,464 | 149,252 | 338,579 | 201,522 | 61,936 | 76,970 | 15,372 | 19,188 | 17,602 | 1,152,960 |
| 1944 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 17,256 | 55,093 | 47,894 | 45,898 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 263,434 |
| 1945 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 123,508 | 230,698 | 119,207 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 641,018 |
| 1946 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 229,210 | 136,983 | 150,231 | 179,148 | 72,112 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 932,365 |
| 1947 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 18,447 | 28,054 | 27,156 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 187,611 |
| 1948 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 9,223 | 39,947 | 38,477 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 151,020 |
| 1949 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 11,068 | 9,997 | 11,068 | 33,037 | 31,999 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 149,842 |
| 1950 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 11,068 | 9,997 | 11,068 | 61,680 | 58,823 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 205,309 |
| 1951 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 344,203 | 225,255 | 195,815 | 153,328 | 104,899 | 99,341 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 1,164,644 |
| 1952 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 78,332 | 213,745 | 258,495 | 280,490 | 232,426 | 162,673 | 40,841 | 32,097 | 1,344,272 |
| 1953 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 27,845 | 60,046 | 18,447 | 87,632 | 83,153 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 355,969 |
| 1954 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 9,719 | 10,760 | 41,422 | 39,831 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 165,055 |
| 1955 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 9,719 | 10,760 | 31,555 | 30,438 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 145,795 |
| 1956 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 46,291 | 436,178 | 218,897 | 180,935 | 106,751 | 61,936 | 162,942 | 111,157 | 29,023 | 30,608 | 1,402,867 |
| 1957 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 25,078 | 85,025 | 80,709 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 304,766 |
| 1958 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 9,719 | 211,842 | 311,309 | 280,218 | 277,777 | 98,815 | 36,329 | 32,935 | 1,304,117 |
| 1959 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 32,284 | 59,822 | 28,824 | 27,878 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 246,101 |
| 1960 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 9,223 | 24,895 | 24,194 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 115,633 |
| 1961 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 93,999 |
| 1962 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 94,959 | 90,022 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 255,793 |
| 1963 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 9,719 | 10,760 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 252,325 |
| 1964 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 29,030 | 18,447 | 28,168 | 27,263 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 200,201 |
| 1965 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 280,632 | 198,842 | 168,325 | 169,358 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 21,883 | 32,755 | 991,351 |
| 1966 | 24,397 | 22,516 | 120,759 | 51,266 | 99,846 | 61,610 | 32,240 | 31,252 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 462,036 |
| 1967 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 11,068 | 9,997 | 103,480 | 252,040 | 232,725 | 388,802 | 259,420 | 134,115 | 28,012 | 1,454,182 |
| 1968 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 17,256 | 32,584 | 28,988 | 28,031 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 204,152 |
| 1969 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 58,091 | 279,368 | 255,378 | 329,852 | 450,130 | 428,053 | 156,634 | 68,480 | 35,889 | 2,089,247 |
| 1970 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 73,665 | 343,421 | 142,086 | 183,682 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 971,776 |
| 1971 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 85,781 | 66,522 | 63,363 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 329,620 |
| 1972 | 13,240 | 10,413 | 10,760 | 10,760 | 10,066 | 10,760 | 30,579 | 29,524 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 138,200 |
| 1973 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 16,427 | 64,861 | 61,936 | 96,088 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 329,858 |
| 1974 | 24,397 | 42,215 | 100,199 | 152,431 | 84,225 | 211,369 | 129,683 | 61,936 | 192,487 | 15,372 | 25,766 | 26,460 | 1,066,540 |
| 1975 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 112,415 | 201,425 | 109,230 | 61,936 | 168,121 | 23,541 | 50,313 | 29,597 | 835,721 |
| 1976 | 35,185 | 23,322 | 33,098 | 18,447 | 17,256 | 18,447 | 20,660 | 20,224 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 198,737 |
| 1977 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 93,999 |
| 1978 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 160,931 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 385,390 |
| 1979 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 29,457 | 150,953 | 211,824 | 92,753 | 341,049 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 947,228 |
| 1980 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 175,502 | 376,598 | 211,743 | 115,553 | 107,651 | 280,789 | 154,773 | 41,442 | 36,580 | 1,561,327 |
| 1981 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 22,926 | 29,256 | 28,454 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 194,590 |
| 1982 | 12,744 | 10,711 | 11,068 | 59,750 | 349,698 | 314,765 | 511,142 | 352,402 | 262,057 | 155,711 | 63,782 | 134,816 | 2,238,646 |
| 1983 | 156,324 | 139,398 | 253,127 | 268,146 | 324,750 | 929,999 | 277,685 | 451,311 | 228,033 | 238,323 | 186,588 | 174,030 | 3,627,714 |
| 1984 | 24,397 | 260,868 | 413,016 | 228,905 | 204,697 | 155,998 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 1,474,554 |
| 1985 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 18,447 | 34,634 | 33,325 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 200,360 |
| 1986 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 173,491 | 461,532 | 159,805 | 182,071 | 202,457 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 19,911 | 1,266,606 |
| 1987 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 18,447 | 25,003 | 24,296 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 175,648 |
| 1988 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 9,223 | 19,297 | 18,947 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 103,301 |
| 1989 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 26,519 | 25,717 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 116,996 |
| 1990 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 19,866 | 19,480 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 104,106 |
| 1991 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 26,397 | 25,603 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 116,760 |
| 1992 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,628 | 9,223 | 20,501 | 20,075 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 105,633 |
| 1993 | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 145,263 | 78,663 | 37,258 | 28,803 | 468,826 |
| 1994 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 18,447 | 26,774 | 25,956 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 179,079 |
| 1995 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 453,913 | 261,686 | 589,371 | 268,231 | 380,561 | 180,518 | 54,017 | 2,233,223 |
| 1996 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 284,044 | 273,866 | 143,569 | 142,256 | 171,347 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 23,444 | 1,148,413 |
| 1997 | 24,397 | 42,960 | 363,466 | 956,038 | 195,854 | 141,961 | 64,241 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 1,911,349 |
| 1998 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 37,270 | 334,716 | 272,793 | 205,739 | 342,054 | 414,193 | 284,990 | 127,440 | 28,820 | 2,108,711 |
| 1999 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 43,763 | 118,488 | 288,111 | 197,943 | 96,010 | 61,936 | 14,876 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 908,996 |
| 2000 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 207,006 | 217,038 | 100,903 | 61,936 | 104,647 | 15,372 | 15,372 | 14,876 | 816,293 |
| 2001 | 24,397 | 17,852 | 18,447 | 18,447 | 16,661 | 58,155 | 28,763 | 27,821 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 228,693 |
| 2002 | 9,223 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 32,775 | 31,582 | 4,463 | 4,612 | 4,612 | 4,463 | 136,656 |
| Avg (21-02) | 18,828 | 18,994 | 38,798 | 60,559 | 84,433 | 117,947 | 97,139 | 90,047 | 67,933 | 37,419 | 20,654 | 16,126 | 668,876 |
| Max (21-02) | 156,324 | 260,868 | 413,016 | 956,038 | 381,104 | 929,999 | 511,142 | 589,371 | 486,912 | 380,561 | 186,588 | 174,030 | 3,627,714 |
| Min (21-02) | 7,736 | 8,926 | 9,223 | 9,223 | 8,331 | 9,223 | 14,650 | 14,589 | 2,975 | 3,074 | 3,074 | 2,975 | 93,999 |

## APPENDIX O4

Table 3.1-3
Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) - Difference WSIP minus Base

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -13,402 | -3,254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,656 |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,134 | -7,312 | -7,365 | 0 | -16,036 | -2,183 | -5 | 0 | -38,035 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -26,907 | 0 | -8,945 | -13,156 | -49,008 |
| 1928 | 0 | -20,270 | -34,469 | -3,128 | -14,851 | 1 | -8,905 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -81,622 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -247,039 | -16,890 | -3,635 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -267,564 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,200 | -3,195 | -8,513 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,908 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | -19,590 | 0 | 0 | -39 | -7,143 | -17,014 | -4,880 | 0 | -2,173 | -5 | -50,844 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -38,195 | -5,678 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -43,873 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,311 | -446 | -422 | -519 | 0 | -3,536 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 | -3,801 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,542 | 1 | -2,664 | -5,524 | -2,854 | -2,762 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -21,533 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,001 | -6,721 | 0 | -4,299 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | -15,200 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -37,456 | -15,315 | -202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -52,973 |
| 1946 | 0 | 7,308 | 106 | 0 | 0 | -12,208 | -3,612 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,406 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | -116,554 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -116,551 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -21,357 | 0 | 0 | -15,879 | -2,117 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -41,531 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | -37,068 | -38,536 | 5 | -3,555 | -3,068 | 0 | -9,334 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -93,744 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -38,458 | 0 | -11,490 | -1,013 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -53,149 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -185,736 | -5,132 | -10,710 | -9,769 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,003 | 24 | -200,320 |
| 1966 | 0 | 1 | -1,152 | 0 | -17,169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,320 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,498 | 0 | -12,427 | 0 | -2,188 | -2,184 | -5 | -35,302 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -25,244 | -2,448 | -10,837 | -7,641 | -2,188 | -2,117 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -52,653 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,596 | -5,957 | -21,074 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -435 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,532 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,532 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,204 | -620 | 0 | -60,390 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -68,214 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,392 | 1 | -10,465 | -4,603 | 0 | -9,907 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | -35,545 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | -8,286 | 0 | 6,521 | -2,183 | -4 | 0 | -3,952 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | -89,483 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -89,483 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,565 | 0 | -16,219 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -24,090 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,641 | -1 | -7,611 | -4,879 | -2,188 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -11,344 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,215 | -11,551 | 0 | 0 | -1,903 | -1,841 | 0 | -4,358 | -2,127 | -48,995 |
| 1983 | -1,046 | 2,762 | -952 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,542 | -4,603 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,180 | -17,750 |
| 1984 | 0 | 1,539 | 0 | 0 |  | 3,936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,475 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17,113 | -20,127 | -11,300 | -5,042 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -60,629 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -130,387 | -63,291 | -21,886 | -4,889 | -220,453 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,263 | -9,206 | -1,903 | -1,842 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,177 | -26,579 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,694 | 0 | -4,880 | -5,042 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -18,663 |
| 1997 | 0 | -3 | 0 | -6,208 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,210 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,722 | 3 | -3,119 | -11,048 | -3,900 | -3,774 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -42,748 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,562 | -10,982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19,544 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19,094 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,476 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -31,570 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Avg (21-02) | -13 | -106 | -2,557 | -3,472 | -5,298 | -3,768 | -1,849 | -1,141 | -4,793 | -1,065 | -454 | -352 | -24,868 |
| Max (21-02) | 0 | 7,308 | 106 | 26,596 | 5 | 3,936 | 0 | 0 | 6,521 | 0 | 11,003 | 24 | 5,475 |
| Min (21-02) | -1,046 | -20,270 | -116,554 | -185,736 | -247,039 | -38,458 | -11,300 | -17,014 | -130,387 | -63,291 | -21,886 | -13,156 | -267,564 |

Table 3.1-4
Total La Grange Release to River (Acre-feet) - Difference WSIP minus Base
Matrix Data for Water Year 1921-2002 Rank Ordered by Descending SJR Index

| Water Year | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | -1,046 | 2,762 | -952 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,542 | -4,603 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,180 | -17,750 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -25,244 | -2,448 | -10,837 | -7,641 | -2,188 | -2,117 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -52,653 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9,263 | -9,206 | -1,903 | -1,842 | -2,188 | 0 | -2,177 | -26,579 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | -19,590 | 0 | 0 | -39 | -7,143 | -17,014 | -4,880 | 0 | -2,173 | -5 | -50,844 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,722 | 3 | -3,119 | -11,048 | -3,900 | -3,774 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -42,748 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,215 | -11,551 | 0 | 0 | -1,903 | -1,841 | 0 | -4,358 | -2,127 | -48,995 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,498 | 0 | -12,427 | 0 | -2,188 | -2,184 | -5 | -35,302 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -21,357 | 0 | 0 | -15,879 | -2,117 | 0 | -2,174 | -4 | -41,531 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -38,458 | 0 | -11,490 | -1,013 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -53,149 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,641 | -1 | -7,611 | -4,879 | -2,188 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -11,344 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -89,483 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -89,483 |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,134 | -7,312 | -7,365 | 0 | -16,036 | -2,183 | -5 | 0 | -38,035 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | -37,068 | -38,536 | 5 | -3,555 | -3,068 | 0 | -9,334 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -93,744 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5,542 | 1 | -2,664 | -5,524 | -2,854 | -2,762 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | -21,533 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,311 | -446 | -422 | -519 | 0 | -3,536 | -2,184 | -5 | 0 | -3,801 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17,113 | -20,127 | -11,300 | -5,042 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -60,629 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -130,387 | -63,291 | -21,886 | -4,889 | -220,453 |
| 1997 | 0 | -3 | 0 | -6,208 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6,210 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,694 | 0 | -4,880 | -5,042 | -4,880 | 0 | 0 | -2,167 | -18,663 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,001 | -6,721 | 0 | -4,299 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | -15,200 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,200 | -3,195 | -8,513 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27,908 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,392 | 1 | -10,465 | -4,603 | 0 | -9,907 | 0 | -2,174 | -5 | -35,545 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,286 | 0 | 6,521 | -2,183 | -4 | 0 | -3,952 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -185,736 | -5,132 | -10,710 | -9,769 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,003 | 24 | -200,320 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -247,039 | -16,890 | -3,635 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -267,564 |
| 1984 | 0 | 1,539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,475 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3,565 | 0 | -16,219 | -2,118 | -2,188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -24,090 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -37,456 | -15,315 | -202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -52,973 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,562 | -10,982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19,544 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -26,907 | 0 | -8,945 | -13,156 | -49,008 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1946 | 0 | 7,308 | 106 | 0 | 0 | -12,208 | -3,612 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8,406 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,204 | -620 | 0 | -60,390 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -68,214 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19,094 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12,476 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -31,570 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -38,195 | -5,678 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -43,873 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,118 |
| 1921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -13,402 | -3,254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16,656 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,596 | -5,957 | -21,074 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -435 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | -116,554 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -116,551 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,532 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15,532 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1928 | 0 | -20,270 | -34,469 | -3,128 | -14,851 | 1 | -8,905 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -81,622 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1966 | 0 | 1 | -1,152 | 0 | -17,169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18,320 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11,774 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Figure 3.2-1
San Joaquin River Flow Upstream of Stanislaus River Confluence - October through December
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Figure 3.2-2
San Joaquin River Flow Upstream of Stanislaus River Confluence - January through March
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Figure 3.2-3
San Joaquin River Flow Upstream of Stanislaus River Confluence - April through June
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Figure 3.2-4
San Joaquin River Flow Upstream of Stanislaus River Confluence - July through August
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August


September
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flow at La Grange Dam superimposed on that flow. The illustration depicts the current flow in the San Joaquin River and how the flow is projected to change due to the WSIP. Consistent with the discussion of flow changes at La Grange Dam, the figures for Maze flow illustrate that the projected flow changes at Maze would typically occur during wetter years, and that the more sizeable changes in flow would occur during years when the flows at Maze are relatively large.

### 3.3 Stanislaus River

The USBR operates the New Melones Project for several purposes, including flow and water quality conditions in the Stanislaus River and the San Joaquin River below the Stanislaus River confluence. Because the USBR has responsibility for San Joaquin River flow and water quality objectives, the agency will at times utilize New Melones Project releases to achieve compliance with those objectives. During these times, the USBR may provide flows from the Stanislaus River to supplement flows in the San Joaquin River. These supplemental flows may either provide for flow compliance at Vernalis or may provide dilution flow to comply with downstream water quality objectives. Changes in flow or water quality conditions upstream of the Stanislaus River such as would occur under the WSIP could at times cause a reaction of New Melones Project operations to maintain compliance with downstream water quality or flow objectives.

An analysis was conducted to identify the frequency at which the WSIP could affect the USBR's operation of the New Melones Project; the analysis consisted of superimposing the occurrence of flow changes at La Grange Dam upon the projected periods when releases from New Melones could be made explicitly for San Joaquin River flow or water quality compliance. Table 3.3-1 illustrates the results of the analysis. The numeric values shown in Table 3.3-1 represent the period and magnitude of the flow changes at La Grange Dam due to the WSIP. For instance, in June of 1922, there is a 16,000-acre-foot reduction in releases projected at La Grange Dam. In this instance, there is no release from the Stanislaus River explicitly for either water quality or flow conditions at Vernalis. Therefore, the change in releases at La Grange Dam would not lead to a change in Stanislaus River operations, and thus the change at La Grange Dam would track directly downstream in the San Joaquin River to Vernalis.

As illustrated in Table 3.3-1, only rarely (3 monthly instances within the 82-year analysis) would there be a potential conflict between WSIP-induced changes in releases and periods of controlled releases from the Stanislaus River for San Joaquin River flow or water quality conditions. The rarity of occurrence is expected, as the WSIP-induced effect would typically occur during wetter years when there are sufficient flows in the San Joaquin River and explicit releases from the Stanislaus River would not be required to achieve compliance with downstream water quality and flow objectives. The rare instances of potential conflict occurred during periods when flow objectives at Vernalis were a controlling condition of operations, and only once during a coincidental time of water quality control. If the flow in the San Joaquin River from the Tuolumne River was reduced during these periods of control, the USBR might increase its release from the Stanislaus River (or from other sources) to counter the reduction.

In those few instances, if the USBR released additional water to the Stanislaus River to offset the reduction in flow from the Tuolumne River, storage in New Melones Reservoir (maximum storage of over $2,400,000$ acre-feet) could be reduced by the amount of the additional release. This reduction in storage could have an effect on a year's allocation of water to the several USBR uses of Stanislaus River water. These uses include deliveries to CVP New Melones Project water contractors and the instream fishery releases. The frequency and magnitude of such potential reductions was estimated through additional review of study results. In two of the three instances when a supplemental release by the USBR could occur (27,000 acre-feet in June 1927, and 12,000 acre-feet in February 1964), a reduction in New Melones Reservoir storage could carry into a year's allocation of deliveries to CVP contractors and fishery releases. For the 1927 example, CVP deliveries to the Stanislaus River contractors could be reduced by about 3,000 acre-feet in 1928 (out of a projected 46,000 acre-feet of delivery for that year). The allocated annual fishery releases could be reduced by about 12,000 acre-feet during a year like 1928, but that potential reduction would be incidentally countered with the 27,000 acre-feet increase in release due to the reaction to the decrease in flow from the Tuolumne River; thus, on an annual basis the Stanislaus River could experience greater flow in such a year. CVP Stanislaus River contractors currently receive an allocation of up to 90,000 afy, with sequential periods of no deliveries. The reduction in CVP deliveries
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during 1928 would represent about a 6 percent reduction in CVP supply during that year, a supply that is zero during a quarter of the time.

In the second instance, February 1964, a reduction in New Melones Reservoir storage could affect the current year's allocations of water supply. The estimated effect in that year to CVP Stanislaus River contractors would be zero, as no water supply was allocated to the contractors. Annual fishery releases would again be reduced for the year (about 6,000 acre-feet), but the river would incidentally have an increase in release of 12,000 acre-feet in February. A reduction to the CVP contractors' supply would not occur until a couple of years later, if at all, and within current allocation procedures would amount to about 1,000 acre-feet.

The third instance of potential effect on New Melones Project operations (June 1973) potentially occurs subsequent to the time that the current year's water supply allocations are made, thereby not affecting 1973 operations except for a reduction in New Melones Reservoir storage carried into 1974. Hydrology during 1974 is sufficiently wet that New Melones Reservoir is projected to spill during filling; thus, the additional release during 1973 would not affect water supply allocations in a subsequent year.

### 3.4 San Joaquin River at Vernalis

Current flow and water quality conditions at Vernalis are described in Section 2 above, and the potential changes in flow to the San Joaquin River due to WSIP-induced changes from the Tuolumne River are shown in Table 3.1-4. As described in Section 3.3 above, there would only be a rare instance when Stanislaus River operations would react to changes in the San Joaquin River due to the WSIP. Therefore, in almost all circumstances, the change in La Grange flows would track as a change in San Joaquin River flow at Vernalis (inflow to the Delta). While the absolute water quality at Vernalis would be slightly reduced with the reduction of Tuolumne River flow (which is of better quality), water quality objectives at Vernalis would continue to be met. Flow objectives at Vernalis would continue to be met if the USBR meets those objectives.

### 3.5 Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta

The CVP and SWP have the responsibility of providing compliance with the Delta water quality objectives prescribed by SWRCB Decision 1641. Additional operational constraints on the CVP and SWP are in place as a result of biological opinions and court decisions. The CVP and SWP would react to WSIP-induced changes to inflow to the Delta from the San Joaquin River. These reactions could manifest as changes in upstream releases or changes in exports from the southern Delta. A post-process analysis was used to identify the frequency and magnitude of the potential reaction of the CVP and SWP. Similar to the analysis described for the Stanislaus River and San Joaquin River evaluation, this analysis contrasts changes in La Grange Dam releases against Delta operational conditions.

Two different types of indicator analysis are used. The first is used to identify the coincidence of Tuolumne River flow changes and Delta "balanced conditions." A Delta balanced condition is the period of time when the CVP and SWP are explicitly balancing reservoir releases with export operations to provide a certain Delta outflow to meet either flow or water quality objectives in the Delta. A change in flow (e.g., from the San Joaquin River) would lead to the CVP and SWP modifying their reservoir releases or exports to react to the change in flow to the Delta. During periods when the Delta is in a balanced condition, a change in San Joaquin River flow could cause a change in CVP and SWP operations. During periods when the Delta is in an "excess condition," the change in flow would not necessitate a change in releases, but could cause a change in exports, as described later.

Table 3.5-1 contrasts Tuolumne River flow changes due to the WSIP against those periods when the Delta is projected to be in a balanced condition. The CalSim II study used for this analysis is derived from the report entitled Draft State Water Project Delivery Reliability Report (DWR, 2007). ${ }^{3}$ The study represents a depiction of current CVP and SWP operations as affected by current regulatory requirements, including the emergency remedy measures specified by Judge Wanger to protect delta

[^8]
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Table 3．3－1
Coincidence of Periods of New Melones Vernalis Water and Flow Releases and La Grange Flow Changes

| Water Yr | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －5 | ． 7 | －7 | 0 | －16 | －2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 王 | －2 | 0 | 年 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ＋ | 0 | \＃ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 年 | 0 | 0 | \％年 | 0 | －9 | －13 |
| 1928 | 0 | －20 | －34 | － 3 | －15 | 0 | －9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1932 | 0 | ， | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 年 | 0 | 栕 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 自 | 0 | 0 | 我 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －247 | －17 | 4 | 0 | ，隹 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －16 | －3 | －9 | 0 | （ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | －20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －7 | －17 | －5 | 0 | －2 | 0 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 年 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －38 | 6 | 0 | 开 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | －1 | 0 | 4 | －2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | －3 | 6 | －3 | －3 | －2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －2 | －7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | －2 | 0 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －37 | －15 | 0 | 0 | 位 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1946 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | （ | 年 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | （ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 析 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | －117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Il | 釆 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －21 | 0 | 0 | －16 | －2 | 0 | －2 | 0 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ¢ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 年 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 蒠 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | －37 | －39 | 0 | 4 | －3 | 0 | －9 | －2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\underline{+}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －38 | 0 | －11 | －1 | －2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 年 | 0 | $\underline{\square}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 車 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | II | 开 | 0 | （1） | 年 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | T2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 析 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －186 | －5 | －11 | －10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | －1 | 0 | －17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 侢 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | （ | －18 | 0 | －12 | 0 | －2 | －2 | 0 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －25 | －2 | －11 | －8 | －2 | －2 | 0 | －2 | 0 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 6 | －21 | － | 0 | 年 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \＃ | 0 | 年 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ． 7 | －1 | 0 | ¢606 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －8 | 0 | －10 | －5 | 0 | －10 | 0 | －2 | 0 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 年 | 7 | －2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －89 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | －16 | －2 | －2 | 年 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | －8 | － | －2 | －2 | －2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －27 | －12 | 0 | 0 | －2 | －2 | 0 | 4 | －2 |
| 1983 | －1 | 3 | －1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －10 | －5 | －2 | 0 | －2 |
| 1984 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －17 | －20 | －11 | 5 | －5 | 0 | 0 | －2 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 位 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | －130 | －63 | －22 | 5 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －9 | －9 | －2 | －2 | －2 | 0 | －2 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －2 | 0 | －5 | 5 | －5 | 0 | 0 | －2 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －19 | 0 | －3 | －11 | 4 | 4 | －2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －9 | －11 | 0 | 年 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 年 | 0 | 敇 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Key |  | es of $r$ | New 1 | releas | Vernalis | quality |  |  | $\longleftrightarrow$ | $s$ of $p$ | confli |  |
|  |  | es of $r$ | New | releas | Vernalis |  |  |  | －5 | ge flow | ge（TA） |  |
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smelt. The measures required by the court will be in place for an interim period, and a revised biological opinion and OCAP (Operations Criteria \& Plan) could lead to different operational requirements. However, this study provides the best available depiction of current CVP and SWP operations in a format that is usable for this analysis. Also, while measures that are ultimately implemented by the CVP and SWP may differ from those measures assumed in this analysis, the conclusions of this analysis are not expected to significantly change.

Table 3.5-1 illustrates that the vast majority of instances of Tuolumne River flow change occur during Delta excess conditions. During these periods, it is unlikely that the CVP or SWP would modify their upstream reservoir operations in reaction to a change in inflow from the San Joaquin River. There are 26 months (out of the 82-year [984-month] simulation) during which a change in flow occurs during Delta balanced conditions. When there was a change, the change ranged from minimal ( 17 instances less than 10,000 acre-feet in a month) to three instances of change greater than 60,000 acre-feet in a month (June 1973: 60,000 acre-feet; June 1978: 89,000 acre-feet; and July 1993: 63,000 acre-feet). The average annual reduction in inflow during balanced conditions amounts to 7,000 acre-feet. When these reductions in inflow to the Delta occur, the CVP and SWP may elect to increase reservoir releases, decrease exports, or a combination of both. The larger instances of change occur during months when Don Pedro Reservoir is refilling during wetter years subsequent to prolonged drought.

A second analysis is used to identify the potential effect on CVP and SWP exports due to San Joaquin River flow changes. This second analysis is separate, but at times linked to the analysis previously described. During Delta balanced conditions, the CVP and SWP could choose whether to adjust releases or exports in reaction to a change in San Joaquin River flow into the Delta. However, current operational constraints can separately limit exports based on hydraulic conditions in the south Delta. Table 3.5-2 illustrates a bookend potential effect that WSIP-induced San Joaquin River flow changes could have on CVP and SWP exports. The analysis is focused on the January through June time period, which is the primary focus of the Judge Wanger emergency remedy measures to protect delta smelt. During this period, the allowable reverse flows in Old and Middle River are established. These flows are dependent on the hydraulics of the south Delta, including the amount of water that enters the Delta from the San Joaquin River. A general rule-of-thumb is that about 50 percent of the flow at Vernalis affects the flow in Old and Middle Rivers, and exports have almost a direct (1:1) effect on flow in Old and Middle Rivers. Thus, about one-half of the change in flow in the San Joaquin River will affect the amount of allowed export. Table 3.5-2 reports the amount of change in allowed export (in cfs) that would occur due to WSIPinduced reductions in flow in the San Joaquin River during the January through June period. The potential average annual effect on CVP and SWP exports amounts to approximately 10,000 afy. About half of the years of the analysis resulted in essentially no change in potential exports, and the remainder of the years showed a potential annual change ranging from 5,000 acre-feet to up to about 130,000 acre-feet. This analysis may overstate the reduction of exports due to WSIP-induced reductions in inflow to the Delta. The method of the analysis does not consider the shifting of export operations by the CVP and SWP to reduce the potential loss of exports. Nor does the analysis consider the potential occurrence of extremely high flow conditions in the San Joaquin River that would ameliorate the effect of a WSIP-induced flow reduction in the San Joaquin River.

As described above, the CVP and SWP operate their systems in an integrated and coordinated fashion, and, when a difference in hydrology occurs (such as a WSIP-induced flow change to the Delta), the CVP and SWP generally have two means to react: a change in releases and/or a change in exports. The two separate isolated analyses described above indicate the magnitude and frequency of changes in Delta inflow from the WSIP-induced effect on Don Pedro Project operations. The two separate potential CVP/SWP effects described above are not always additive, as the projects could select one export or release reaction over the other, or a combination of both.
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Table 3.5-1
Coincidence of La Grange Flow Changes and Delta Balanced and Excess Conditions

| Water Yr | Oct\| | Nov | Dec\| | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr\| | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | WY Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -7 | -7 | 0 | -16 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -38 |
| 1923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
| 1924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27 | 0 | -9 | -13 | -49 |
| 1928 | 0 | -20 | -34 | -3 | -15 | 0 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -82 |
| 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1931 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1932 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -247 | -17 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -268 |
| 1937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16 | -3 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -28 |
| 1938 | 0 | 0 | -20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7 | -17 | -5 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -51 |
| 1939 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -38 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -44 |
| 1941 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -4 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -4 |
| 1942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 0 | -3 | -6 | -3 | -3 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -22 |
| 1943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -7 | 0 | -4 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -15 |
| 1944 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -37 | -15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -53 |
| 1946 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8 |
| 1947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1949 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1951 | 0 | 0 | -117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -117 |
| 1952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -21 | 0 | 0 | -16 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -42 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | -37 | -39 | 0 | -4 | -3 | 0 | -9 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -94 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -38 | 0 | -11 | -1 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -53 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | -12 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -186 | -5 | -11 | -10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | -200 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -18 | 0 | -12 | 0 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -35 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -25 | -2 | -11 | -8 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -53 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | -6 | -21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -16 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7 | -1 | 0 | -60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -68 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8 | 0 | -10 | -5 | 0 | -10 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -36 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8 | 0 | 7 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -4 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -89 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 0 | -16 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -24 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | -8 | -5 | -2 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -11 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -27 | -12 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -4 | -2 | -49 |
| 1983 | -1 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -10 | -5 | -2 | 0 | -2 | -18 |
| 1984 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17 | -20 | -11 | -5 | -5 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -61 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -130 | -63 | -22 | -5 | -220 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9 | -9 | -2 | -2 | -2 | 0 | -2 | -27 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -5 | -5 | -5 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -19 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19 | 0 | -3 | -11 | -4 | -4 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -43 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -9 | -11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -20 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -32 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $f$ Delta | conditio | no poter | conflict |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | eflow | (AF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 3.5-2
Coincidence of La Grange Flow Changes and CVPISWP Export Constraints (January through June)



[^0]:    1 See "Estimated Effect of WSIP on Daily Releases below Hetch Hetchy Reservoir," Memorandum by Daniel B. Steiner, December 31, 2006.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See "Analysis of SFPUC Pilarcitos/Coastside County Water District Operations," Memorandum by Daniel B. Steiner, March 8, 2007.

[^2]:    1 See Estimated Affect of WSIP on Daily Releases below Hetch Hetchy Reservoir, Memorandum by Daniel B. Steiner, December 31, 2006.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Analysis of SFPUC Pllarcitos/Coastside County Water District Operations, Memorandum by Daniel B. Steiner, March 8, 2007.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Estimated Affect of WSIP on Daily Releases below Hetch Hetchy Reservoir, Memorandum by Daniel B. Steiner, December 31, 2006.

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Analysis of SFPUC Pilarcitos/Coastside County Water District Operations, Memorandum by Daniel B. Steiner, March 8, 2007.

[^6]:    Source: Dayflow record, Interagency Ecological Progarm (http://www.iep.ca.gov/dayflow/)
    Total Exports: Banks PP, Jones PP, Contra Costa Pumping
    Sacramento River Inflow: Sacramento River and Yolo Byapss
    San Joaquin R Inflow: San Joaquin River at Vernalis
    Delta Outflow: Net computed outflow at Chipps Island

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ CalSim II studies supporting a presentation of the San Joaquin River Group Authority to the State Water Resources Control Board regarding CalSim II - San Joaquin River Basin Development, Refinements and Results, April 24, 2006. Notice and materials of workshop can be found at http://www.waterrights.ca.gov/baydelta/Notices.htm.
    ${ }^{2}$ La Grange Dam release results are from the HH/LSM. Maze and San Joaquin River results are from CalSim II.

[^8]:    ${ }^{3}$ Report and studies accessible at http://www.water.ca.gov.

