

Date: February 26, 2008

Item No. 8
File No. 08003

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST*

- Complaint by: Christian Holmer v. Mayor's Ofc**
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____


Completed by: Frank Darby

Date: February 20, 2008

***This list reflects the explanatory documents provided**

~ Late Agenda Items (documents received too late for distribution to the Task Force Members)

** The document this form replaces exceeds 25 pages and will therefore not be copied for the packet. The original document is in the file kept by the Administrator, and may be viewed in its entirety by the Task Force, or any member of the public upon request at City Hall, Room 244.



DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

ERNEST H. LLORENTE
Deputy City Attorney

DIRECT DIAL: (415) 554-4236
E-MAIL: ernest.llorente@sfgov.org

MEMORANDUM

February 15, 2008

CHRISTIAN HOLMER v. MAYOR'S OFFICE (08003)

COMPLAINT

THE COMPLAINANT ALLEGES THE FOLLOWING FACTS:

During the latter part of 2007 and the beginning of 2008, Christian Holmer has been making daily Immediate Disclosure Requests for the Mayor's previous day's press releases.

COMPLAINANT FILES COMPLAINT

On January 10, 2008, Complainant Christian Holmer filed a complaint against the Mayor's Office alleging violations of sections 67.21(I), 67.21-1, 67.24, 67.25 of the Sunshine Ordinance and State Government Code Section 6253.9 for its alleged failure to provide next day press releases.

APPLICABLE STATUTORY SECTIONS:

1. Sunshine Ordinance, San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.21 addresses general requests for public documents including records in electronic format.
2. Sunshine Ordinance, San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.21-1 addresses the policy regarding the use and purchase of computer systems.
3. Sunshine Ordinance, San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.25 deals with Immediate Disclosure Requests.
4. Sunshine Ordinance, San Francisco Administrative Code Section. 67.26 deals with withholding kept to a minimum.
5. Sunshine Ordinance, San Francisco Administrative Code Section. 67.27 deals with justification for withholding.
6. California Public Records Act, Government Code Section 6253.9 deal with information in an electronic format.

Memorandum

- 7. California Public Records Act, Government Code Section 6253 deals with public records open to inspection, agency duties, and time limits.
- 8. California Public Records Act, Government Code Section 6255 deals with justification for withholding of records.

APPLICABLE CASE LAW:

none

ISSUES TO BE DETERMINED

1. FACTUAL ISSUES

A. Uncontested Facts:

The parties agree to the following facts:

- Christian Holmer has been making daily immediate disclosure requests for the Mayor's previous day's press releases

B. Contested facts/ Facts in dispute:

The Task Force must determine what facts are true.

i. Relevant facts in dispute:

Whether the Mayor's Office complied with the public record's request.

2. QUESTIONS THAT MIGHT ASSIST IN DETERMINING FACTS:

- a.). none.

3. LEGAL ISSUES/ LEGAL DETERMINATIONS:

- Were sections of the Sunshine Ordinance (Section 67.21 or 67.25), Brown Act, and/or Public Records Act were violated?
- Was there an exception to the Sunshine Ordinance, under State, Federal, or case law?

Memorandum

CONCLUSION

THE TASK FORCE FINDS THE FOLLOWING FACTS:

THE TASK FORCE FINDS THAT THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS TO BE **TRUE OR NOT TRUE.**

Memorandum

ATTACHED STATUTORY SECTIONS FROM CHAPTER 67 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE) UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED

Section 67.21 addresses general requests for public documents.

This section provides:

- a.) Every person having custody of any public record or public information, as defined herein, ... shall, at normal times and during normal and reasonable hours of operation, without unreasonable delay, and without requiring an appointment, permit the public record, or any segregable portion of a record, to be inspected and examined by any person and shall furnish one copy thereof upon payment of a reasonable copying charge, not to exceed the lesser of the actual cost or ten cents per page.
- b.) A custodian of a public record shall as soon as possible and within **ten days** (emphasis added) following receipt of a request for inspection or copy of a public record, comply with such request. Such request may be delivered to the office of the custodian by the requester orally or in writing by fax, postal delivery, or e-mail. If the custodian believes the record or information requested is not a public record or is exempt, the custodian shall justify withholding any record by demonstrating, in writing as soon as possible and within ten days following receipt of a request, that the record in question is exempt under express provisions of this ordinance.
- c.) A custodian of a public record shall assist a requester in identifying the existence, form, and nature of any records or information maintained by, available to, or in the custody of the custodian, whether or not the contents of those records are exempt from disclosure and shall, when requested to do so, provide in writing within seven days following receipt of a request, a statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to a particular subject or questions with enough specificity to enable a requester to identify records in order to make a request under (b).
- (b). A custodian of any public record, when not in possession of the record requested, shall assist a requester in directing a request to the proper office or staff person.
- ...
- k.) Release of documentary public information, whether for inspection of the original or by providing a copy, shall be governed by the California Public Records Act Government Code Section 6250 et seq.) in particulars not addressed by this ordinance and in accordance with the enhanced disclosure requirement provided in this ordinance.

Memorandum

1.) Inspection and copying of documentary public information stored in electronic form shall be made available to the person requesting the information in any form requested which is available to or easily generated by the department, its officers or employees, including disk, tape, printout or monitor at a charge no greater than the cost of the media on which it is duplicated. Inspection of documentary public information on a computer monitor need not be allowed where the information sought is necessarily and inseparably intertwined with information not subject to disclosure under this ordinance. Nothing in this section shall require a department to program or reprogram a computer to respond to a request for information or to release information where the release of that information would violate a licensing agreement or copyright law.

Section 67.25 provides:

- a.) Notwithstanding the 10-day period for response to a request permitted in Government Code Section 6256 and in this Article, a written request for information described in any category of non-exempt public information shall be satisfied no later than the close of business on the day following the day of the request. This deadline shall apply only if the words "Immediate Disclosure Request" are placed across the top of the request and on the envelope, subject line, or cover sheet in which the request is transmitted. Maximum deadlines provided in this article are appropriate for more extensive or demanding requests, but shall not be used to delay fulfilling a simple, routine or otherwise readily answerable request.
- b.) If the voluminous nature of the information requested, its location in a remote storage facility or the need to consult with another interested department warrants an extension of 10 days as provided in Government Code Section 6456.1, the requestor shall be notified as required by the close of business on the business day following the request.
- c.) The person seeking the information need not state his or her reason for making the request or the use to which the information will be put, and requesters shall not be routinely asked to make such a disclosure. Where a record being requested contains information most of which is exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act and this article, however, the City Attorney or custodian of the record may inform the requester of the nature and extent of the non-exempt information and inquire as to the requester's purpose for seeking it, in order to suggest alternative sources for the information which may involve less redaction or to otherwise prepare a response to the request.
- d.) Notwithstanding any provisions of California Law or this ordinance, in response to a request for information describing any category of non-exempt public information, when so requested, the City and County shall

Memorandum

produce any and all responsive public records as soon as reasonably possible on an incremental or "rolling" basis such that responsive records are produced as soon as possible by the end of the same business day that they are reviewed and collected. This section is intended to prohibit the withholding of public records that are responsive to a records request until all potentially responsive documents have been reviewed and collected.

Section 67.26 provides:

No record shall be withheld from disclosure in its entirety unless all information contained in it is exempt from disclosure under express provisions of the California Public Records Act or of some other statute. Information that is exempt from disclosure shall be masked, deleted or otherwise segregated in order that the nonexempt portion of a requested record may be released, and keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the appropriate justification for withholding required by section 67.27 of this article. This work shall be done personally by the attorney or other staff member conducting the exemption review. The work of responding to a public-records request and preparing documents for disclosure shall be considered part of the regular work duties of any city employee, and no fee shall be charged to the requester to cover the personnel costs of responding to a records request.

Section 67.27 provides:

Any withholding of information shall be justified in writing, as follows:

- a.) A withholding under a specific permissive exemption in the California Public Records Act, or elsewhere, which permissive exemption is not forbidden to be asserted by this ordinance, shall cite that authority.
- b.) A withholding on the basis that disclosure is prohibited by law shall cite the specific statutory authority in the Public Records Act of elsewhere.
- c.) A withholding on the basis that disclosure would incur civil or criminal liability shall cite any specific statutory or case law, or any other public agency's litigation experience, supporting that position.
- d.) When a record being requested contains information, most of which is exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act and this Article, the custodian shall inform the requester of the nature and extent of the nonexempt information and suggest alternative sources for the information requested, if available.

Section 67.31 provides:

...The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall provide a full-time staff person to perform administrative duties for the Sunshine Ordinance Task

Memorandum

Force and to assist any person in gaining access to public meetings or public information. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall provide that staff person with whatever facilities and equipment are necessary to perform said duties.

The California Public Records Act is located in the state Government Code Sections 6250 et seq. All statutory references, unless stated otherwise, are to the Government Code.

Section 6253 provides.

- a.) Public records are open to inspection at all times during the office hours of the state or local agency and every person has a right to inspect any public record, except as hereafter provided. Any reasonably segregable portion of a record shall be available for inspection by any person requesting the records after deletion of the portions that are exempted by law.
- b.) Except with respect to public records exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law, each state or local agency, upon a request for a copy of records that reasonably describes an identifiable record or records, shall make the records promptly available to any person upon payment of fees covering direct costs of duplication, or a statutory fee if applicable. Upon request, an exact copy shall be provided unless impracticable to do so.
- c.) Each agency, upon a request for a copy of records, shall within 10 days from receipt of the request, determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the agency and shall promptly notify the person making the request of the determination and the reasons therefore....

Section 6253.9 provides:

- a.) Unless otherwise prohibited by law, any agency that has information that constitutes an identifiable public record not exempt from disclosure pursuant to this chapter that is in an electronic format shall make that information available in an electronic format when requested by any person and, when applicable, shall comply with the following:
 - (1) The agency shall make the information available in any electronic format in which it holds the information.
 - (2) Each agency shall provide a copy of an electronic record in the format requested if the requested format is one that has been used by the agency to create copies for its own use or for provision to other agencies.

Memorandum

The cost of duplication shall be limited to the direct cost of producing a copy of a record in any electronic format.

b.) Notwithstanding paragraph (2) of subdivision a.), the requester shall bear the cost of producing a copy of the record, including the cost to construct a record, and the cost of programming and computer services necessary to produce a copy of the record when either of the following applies:

(1) In order to comply with the provisions of subdivision a.), the public agency would be required to produce a copy of an electronic record and the record is one that is produced only at otherwise regularly scheduled intervals.

(2) The request would require data compilation, extraction, or programming to produce the record.

c.) Nothing in this section shall be construed to require the public agency to reconstruct a record in an electronic format if the agency no longer has the record available in an electronic format.

d.) If the request is for information in other than electronic format, and the information also is in electronic format, the agency may inform the requester that the information is available in electronic format.

e.) Nothing in this section shall be construed to permit an agency to make information available only in electronic format.

f.) Nothing in this section shall be construed to require the public agency to release an electronic record in the electronic form in which it is held by the agency if its release would jeopardize or compromise the security or integrity of the original record or of any proprietary software in which it is maintained.


g.) Nothing in this section shall be construed to permit public access to records held by any agency to which access is otherwise restricted by statute.

Section 6255 provides:

a.) The agency shall justify withholding any record by demonstrating that the record in question is exempt under express provisions of this chapter or that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record.

Memorandum

b.) A response to a written request for inspection or copies of public records that includes a determination that the request is denied, in whole or in part, shall be in writing.



DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

ERNEST H. LLORENTE
Deputy City Attorney

DIRECT DIAL: (415) 554-4236
E-MAIL: ernest.llorente@sfgov.org

February 4, 2008

Sue Cauthen, Chair
Members of the Complaint Committee

Re: Christian Holmer v. Mayor's Office (08003)

Dear Chair Cauthen and Members of the Complaint Committee:

This letter addresses the issue of whether the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force ("Task Force") has jurisdiction over the complaint of Christian Holmer against the San Francisco Mayor's Office.

BACKGROUND

During the latter part of 2007 and the beginning of 2008, Christian Holmer has been making daily Immediate Disclosure Requests for the Mayor's previous day's press releases.

COMPLAINT

On January 10, 2008, Complainant Christian Holmer filed a complaint against the Mayor's Office alleging violations of sections 67.21(1), 67.21-1, 67.24, 67.25 of the Sunshine Ordinance and State Government Code Section 6243.9 for its alleged failure to provide next day press releases.

SHORT ANSWER

Based on Complainant's allegation and the applicable sections of the Sunshine Ordinance and the California Public Records Act, which are cited below, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force *does* have jurisdiction over the allegation. The allegations are covered under 67.21 and 67.25 of the Ordinance.

DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Article I Section 3 of the California Constitution as amended by Proposition 59 in 2004, the State Public Records Act, the State Brown Act, and the Sunshine Ordinance as amended by Proposition G in 1999 generally covers the area of Public Records and Public Meeting laws that the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force uses in its work.

The Sunshine Ordinance is located in the San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 67. All statutory references, unless stated otherwise, are to the Administrative Code.

Letter to the Complaint Committee

Page 2

February 4, 2008

Sunshine Ordinance sections 67.21(1) deal with electronic form of information requested. Section 67-21-1 deals with the policy in the purchase and use of computer systems. Section 67.24 deals with Press Releases. Section 67.25 deals with immediacy of response. State Government Code Section 6243.9 deals with the requestor's specified format.

In this case Christian Holmer alleges that he made a number of requests on a daily basis for the previous day's press releases of Mayor Gavin Newsom's Office and did not receive a response to his requests. The Task Force has subject matter jurisdiction over this complaint and will have to determine if the Mayor's Office violated the Ordinance.



SOTF/SOTF/SF ✓
01/14/2008 12:09 PM

To mail@csrfs.com
cc
bcc
Subject Sunshine Complaint Letter Received vs Mayor's Office

Mr. Holmer,

I have received your letter of complaint dated January 10, 2008, with support documents, against the Mayor's Office. The following complaint form has been completed. Please review it for accuracy and make any necessary corrections.

Administrator
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
SOTF@SFGov.org
OFC: (415) 554-7724
FAX: (415) 554-7854

Complete a SOTF Customer Satisfaction Survey by clicking the link below.
http://www.sfgov.org/site/sunshine_form.asp?id=34307
--- Forwarded by SOTF/SOTF/SFGOV on 01/14/2008 12:03 PM ---



<complaints@sfgov.org>

01/14/2008 12:01 PM

To <sotf@sfgov.org>

cc

Subject Sunshine Complaint

Submitted on: 1/14/2008 12:01:35 PM

Department: Mayor / Mayors Press Office

Contacted: Gavin Newsom, Nathan Ballard

Public_Records_Violation: Yes

Public_Meeting_Violation: No

Meeting_Date:

Section(s)_Violated: 67.21 (1), 67.21-1, 67.24, 67.25, CPRA 6243.9

Description: Mayor's Office failed to respond to daily IDR's for the Mayor's previous day's press releases.

Please see attached e-mail for more detail.

Hearing: Yes

Date: January 10, 2008

Name: Christian Holmer

Address: 2155 Hayes St.

City: San Francisco

Zip: 94117



<complaints@sfgov.org>
01/14/2008 12:01 PM

To <soft@sfgov.org>
cc
bcc
Subject Sunshine Complaint

History: This message has been forwarded.

Submitted on: 1/14/2008 12:01:35 PM

Department: Mayor / Mayors Press Office

Contacted: Gavin Newsom, Nathan Ballard

Public_Records_Violation: Yes

Public_Meeting_Violation: No

Meeting_Date:

Section(s)_Violated: 67.21 (1), 67.21-1, 67.24, 67.25, CPRA 6243.9

Description: Mayor's office failed to respond to daily IDR's for the Mayor's previous day's press releases.

Please see attached e-mail for more detail.

Hearing: Yes

Date: January 10, 2008

Name: Christian Holmer

Address: 2155 Hayes St.

City: San Francisco

Zip: 94117

Phone:

Email: mail@csrsf.com

Anonymous:

User Data

Client IP (REMOTE_ADDR) : 172.31.2.175
Client IP via Proxy (HTTP_X_FORWARDED_FOR) :



"Christian Holme
<mail@csrsf.com>
01/10/2008 12:18 PM

To "SOTF" <sotf@sfgov.org>, "Mayor G Newsom"
<Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>, <Nathan.Ballard@sfgov.org>
"Doug Comstock" <Dougcoms@aol.com>, "Allen
cc Grossman" <grossman356@mac.com>, "Wayne Lanier"
<w_lanier@pacbell.net>, "James Chaffee"
bcc

Subject Filing complaint against Mayor's Office of Communications /
G Nesom: Next Day Mayors Press Releases In Format
Requested - Section 67.21 (1)

Submitted on: 01/10/2008

Dept: Mayor / Mayors Press Office

Contacted: Gavin Newsom, Nathan Ballard

Violation:

Section:

67.21(1) (public information stored in electronic form shall be made available to the person requesting the information in any form requested)

67.21-1 (Policy Regarding the Use and Purchase of Computer Systems)

67.24 (Press Releases - City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Confirmed This)

67.25 (Immediacy of Response - IDR For Yesterdays MOC Press Releases and MOC Daily

Press Availability Announcement)

Government Code:

6243.9 (Requester Specified Format)

description:

Next Day IDRS For Mayors Press Releases. City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Already Ruled These Are Public Records

Please see attached email for more details

hearing_yes: Yes

name: Christian Holmer

address: 2155 Hayes St.

city: San Francisco

zip: 94117

date:

phone:

email: mail@csrsf.com

anonymous:

----- Message from "Christian Holmer" <mail@csrsf.com> on Wed, 9 Jan 2008 12:49:23 -0800 -----

To: "Mayor G Newsom" <Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>, <Nathan.Ballard@sfgov.org>
<staff@sf5.info>, "Regional and Local SFSM Press List" <mail@csrsf.com>,
<home@prosf.org>, "Allen Grossman" <grossman356@mac.com>, "Kimo Crossman"
cc: <kimo@webnetic.net>, <w_lanier@pacbell.net>, "James Chaffee"
<chaffeej@pacbell.net>, "Steve Jones" <steve@sfbg.com>

Subj City Attorney: Elected Officials Press Releases Considered Public Records: G Newsoms
ect: Press Releases IDR: Yesterdays (01/08/08) Press Releases...

Immediate Disclosure Request: Please E-Mail Us Yesterdays January 8th

Press Releases, and Daily Press Availability Announcement

Requester Specified File Formats (CPRA / Sunshine Required) – Forwarded E-Mails, Original E-Mails In Their Original Electronic Formats Attached As Separate Files,

Their Searchable PDF Equivalents.

Non-Requester Specified Formats We Won't ACCEPT - Links To SFGOV Postings,

Unsearchable Image Files, Unrecorded Verbal Summaries.

City Attorney: Elected Officials Press Releases Considered Public Records: G Newsoms Press Releases: Yesterdays January 8th Press Releases, and

Daily Press Availability Announcement

Our City Attorneys Office Has Confirmed Yesterdays Press Releases Are Part of the Public Record. Send Those E-mail Announcements To Us Just As The MOC Staffer

Had In The Six Attached Sample Files.

C.C.H.

SFSM 2006 Sunshine Data Request Related Correspondence

Pursuant to BOS Resolution #040694

P: 415-387-7405

C: 415-336-5329

F: 415-387-5904

E: mail@csrsf.com

W: <http://www.csrsf.com>

From: Paula (CA Supervisor of Records) [<mailto:Paula.Jesson@sfgov.org>]

Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2007 10:44 AM

To: mail@csrsf.com

Subject: Re: Supervisor of Records Confirms Prop G Newsom Calendars Are Public Records: Are Elected Officials Press Releases Considered Public Records?

Dear Mr. Holmer,

You ask for confirmation that press releases are public records. Press releases are publicly disseminated to bring attention to a matter of public interest. They are public records.

Paula Jesson
Deputy City Attorney
City and County of San Francisco
Room 325 City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4682
Telephone: (415) 554-6762
Fax: (415) 554-4699

email: paula.jesson@sfgov j

"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>

09/13/2007 11:39
AM

To: <Paula.Jesson@sfgov.org>, <staff@sf5.info>, "Mystery Press List" <mail@csrsf.com>, <home@prosf.org>
<Dougcoms@aol.com>, "SOTF" <sof@sfgov.org>, "Bruce Wolfe, MSW" <sof@brucewolfe.net>, "Richard A. Knee" <rak0408@earthlink.net>, "Erica L. Craven" <elc@lrolaw.com>, "Mayor G Newsom" <Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>, <Philip.Ginsburg@sfgov.org>, <Nathan.Ballard@sfgov.org>, <Wade.Crowfoot@sfgov.org>, <District.Attorney@sfgov.org>, "Ethics Commission Director" <john.st.croix@SFGOV.ORG>, "Harrison Sheppard Esq." <hjslaw@jps.net>
cc: Supervisor of Records Confirms Prop G Newsom Calendars Are Public Records: Are Elected Officials Press Releases Considered Public Records?
Subject:

The City Attorneys Office Provides Prop G Calendars On Request Without Skipping a Beat We Figured That Would Be One Unequivocal Standard Honored By Both Elected and Appointed Officials. We Have received No Further Submissions Since 08/13/07. This is Why We Approached the City Attorney For Additional Confirmation That Prop G Calendars Were Indeed Public Records.

The SOTF Has Already Ruled on This Matter (G Newsoms Prop G Calendars) A Number of Times on Behalf of Several Parties.

The Mayors Office Was Directed on Numerous Occasions by the SOTF to Provide These Calendars on a Timely Basis.

The Print to PDF, Attach and Send Process Takes a Few Seconds at Most.

New Question: Are Press Releases Considered Public Records?

Matt Dorsey Has Established this is True for the City Attorneys Office.

Please Confirm.

Thanks,

Christian Holmer
SFSM Information Clearinghouse

SFSM 2006 Sunshine Data Request Related Correspondence

Pursuant to BOS Resolution #040694

P: 415-387-7405

C: 415-336-5329

F: 415-387-5904

E: mail@csrsf.com

From: Paula Jesson [<mailto:Paula.Jesson@sfgov.org>]

Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2007 5:04 PM

To: mail@csrsf.com

Subject: Re: Appeal to CA Supervisor of Records: Prop G Newsom Calendars 3 Days Subsequent Per Sec SEC. 67.29-5

Dear Mr. Holmer,

After reviewing the email you sent, set forth below (dated 9/12/2007 11: 30 am), it is not clear to me whether you are seeking a legal interpretation of the last sentence of Section 67.29-5 ("Such calendars shall be public records and shall be available to any requester three business days subsequent to the calendar entry date."), or are asserting that the Mayor's Office has not provided the Mayor's Prop G calendar to you, or has not provided it on a timely basis.

Thanks for clarifying the issue. (Please note that I will be out of the office tomorrow - I will be in Friday.)

By the way, with respect to the Prop G calendar and the "three business days subsequent" language, note that the current Good Government Guide (2007-08 edition) states as follows, at page 82:

"Calendars must be available to any requester three business days after the calendar entry date. The calendar entry date is not when the meeting or event was physically entered into the calendar, but rather is the date of the meeting or event itself. The official need not disclose calendars in advance of the calendar entry date. Admin. Code § 67.29-5."

Paula Jesson
Deputy City Attorney
City and County of San Francisco
Room 325 City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4682
Telephone: (415) 554-6762

Fax: (415) 554-4699
email: paula.jesson@sfgov.org

From: Christian Holmer [mailto:mail@csrsf.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 12, 2007 11:31 AM
To: 'Paula.Jesson@sfgov.org'; 'Dougcoms@aol.com'; 'Ethics Commission Director'
Cc: 'Mayor G Newsom'; 'Philip.Ginsburg@sfgov.ogr'; 'Harrison Sheppard Esq.'; 'Incoming State Bar Chief Jeff Bleich'; 'ndmedia@ispwest.net'; 'Pmonette-shaw@earthlink.net'; 'alizawas@yahoo.com'; 'Lholmesformayor@yahoo.com'; 'Ann Garrison'; 'h. brown'; 'vote4mayorsf@yahoo.com'; 'asumchai@hotmail.com'; 'tonyhall@tonyformayor.org'; 'campaign@unplugthemachine.org'; 'panasiansf@yahoo.com'; 'Mike@Powerexchange.com'; 'chickenjohn@chickenjohn.com'; 'billybobwr@sbcglobal.net'; 'wolfformayor@145joshwolf.net'; 'quintin3@earthlink.net'; 'h. brown'; 'James Chaffee'; 'w_lanier@pacbell.net'; 'Allen Grossman'; 'MARTIN L MACINTYRE'; 'MPetrelis@aol.com'; 'frandacosta@att.net'; 'Peter Warfield'; 'kimo@webnetic.net'; 'Marc Salomon'; 'Joe Lynn'; 'Mystery Press List'; 'home@prosf.org'; 'staff@sf5.info'
Subject: Appeal to CA Supervisor of Records: Prop G Newsom Calendars 3 Days Subsequent Per Sec SEC. 67.29-5

Paula Jesson DCA Supervisor of Records,

An Easy One,

We have been Requesting and Receiving A Copies Mayor Gavin Newsom Prop G Calendar for Approximately 6 Months. As You Know the Mayor is Required to Make Such Calendars Available According to Sunshine Section 67.29-5 as Are Department Heads (At Bare Minimum) Three Days Subsequent.

SEC. 67.29-5. CALENDARS OF CERTAIN OFFICIALS.

The Mayor, The City Attorney, and every Department Head shall keep or cause to be kept a daily calendar wherein is recorded the time and place of each meeting or event attended by that official, with the exclusion of purely personal or social events at which no city business is discussed and that do not take place at City Offices or at the offices or residences of people who do substantial business with or are otherwise substantially financially affected by actions of the city. For meetings not otherwise publicly recorded, the calendar shall include a general statement of issues discussed. Such calendars shall be public records and shall be available to any requester three business days subsequent to the calendar entry date. (Added by Proposition G, 11/2/99)

Paula, Please Confirm That Such Calendars (at Bare Minimum) Are Public Records and shall be public records available to any requester three business days subsequent to the calendar entry date.

SFSM FUN FACT: Most Department Heads We've Approached Provide Future Detailed Calendar Info. They're Also Using Lotus Notes With Dominos 6.5 Calendaring Software Just Like the Mayors Office .

67.21 (d) If the custodian refuses, fails to comply, or incompletely complies with a request described in (b), the person making the request may petition the supervisor of records for a determination whether the record requested is public. The supervisor of records shall inform the petitioner, as soon as possible and within 10 days, of its determination whether the record requested, or any part of the record requested, is public. Where requested by the petition, and where otherwise desirable, this determination shall be in writing. Upon the determination by the supervisor of records that the record is public, the supervisor of records shall immediately order the custodian of the public record to comply with the person's request. If the custodian refuses or fails to comply with any such order within 5 days, the supervisor of records shall notify the district attorney and the attorney general who shall take whatever measures she or he deems necessary and appropriate to insure compliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Please Hit Reply All To Acknowledge You Have Received This Appeal.

Christian Holmer
SFSM Editor

SFSM 2005 Sunshine Data Request Related Correspondence

Pursuant to BOS Resolution #040684

P: 415-387-7405

C: 415-336-5329

F: 415-387-5904

E: mail@csrsf.com

W: <http://www.csrsf.com>

----- Message from "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org> on Thu, 8 Sep 2005 16:27:13 -0800 -----

To: "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org>

Subject: Statement: Mayor Newsom's statement on Governor Schwarzenegger's veto of AB452

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Thursday, September 8, 2005
Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications
415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE ***

MAYOR NEWSOM'S STATEMENT ON GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S
VETO OF AB 452

San Francisco, CA – Mayor Gavin Newsom today issued the following statement on Governor Schwarzenegger's veto of AB 452, which would have doubled the fines for certain moving violations along a portion of 19th Avenue in San Francisco:

"I am disappointed to learn that Governor Schwarzenegger has vetoed this important legislation. For years, the City and County of San Francisco has been working with the California Department of Transportation on plans for infrastructure improvements on 19th Avenue. The passage of AB 452 would have complemented many of the projects recently implemented, as well as the planned physical improvements by targeting motorist behavior such as speeding and reckless driving. I invite Governor Schwarzenegger, if he has not yet done so, to visit the 19th Avenue corridor and witness for himself the speeding and reckless driving that occurs on a daily basis. According to the California Highway Patrol, from 2000-2004, there were 641 collisions, 528 injuries and 11 fatalities along 19th Avenue. We should not let politics jeopardize the safety of human lives."

###

(See attached file: 09_08_05 AB 452 Veto.pdf)

Francisco J. Castillo
Deputy Communications Director,
Liaison to Latino & Youth Media
Mayor's Office of Communications
City Hall, Room 291
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

PH (415) 554-6131



FX (415) 554-4058 09_08_05 AB 452 Veto.pdf

----- Message from "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org> on Thu, 5 Jan 2006 17:42:38 -0800 -----

To: "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org>

Subject: Statement: Newsom reacts to Governor's State of the State Address

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Thursday, January 5, 2006

Contacts: Mayor's Office of Communications,
415-554-6131

STATEMENT

MAYOR GAVIN NEWSOM REACTS TO GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S STATE OF THE STATE ADDRESS:

"I am encouraged that the Governor appears to be moving away from partisan politics and towards collaboration on transportation and other vital infrastructure investments. These are priorities for all San Franciscans and, frankly, they've been neglected for far too long.

These investments should also help create the kind of jobs that support and protect families and increase our tax base.

However, the devil is always in the details, and, so far, many of the details are still to come.

I'm troubled that more attention is not given to the need for more safe, affordable housing and solutions to chronic homelessness in our state.

We know that Senator Perata's infrastructure bond proposal includes significant, specific funding for public transit improvements and security, bridge and overpass seismic retrofits and affordable housing. So should the Governor's.

I will be working with Senator Perata and other members of the Bay Area delegation throughout this process to ensure that public transit is well funded, that the critical link between urban transit and affordable housing is recognized and that the Bay Area's share of new infrastructure monies is commensurate with its extraordinary need.

If the Governor is prepared to move beyond partisan gridlock, then we're prepared to join with him and our Democratic leaders to work together for the sake of easing transportation gridlock and improving the quality of life for San Franciscans and all Californians."

###

(See attached file: 1_05_06 re State of State address.pdf)

Francisco J. Castillo
Deputy Communications Director, Liaison to Latino & Youth Media
Mayor's Office of Communications
City Hall, Room 291
1Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.

San Francisco, CA 94102

PH (415) 554-6131



FX (415) 554-4058 1_05_06 re State of State address.pdf

----- Message from "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org> on Thu, 8 Dec 2005 15:44:17 -0800 -----

To: "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org>

Subject: Statements: San Francisco City Officials and Community leaders react to videos released yesterday

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Thursday, December 8, 2005

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications

415-554-6131

STATEMENTS

SAN FRANCISCO CITY OFFICIALS AND COMMUNITY LEADERS REACT TO VIDEOS RELEASED
YESTERDAY

Statement from District 10 Supervisor Sophie Maxwell:

"Many of us have felt that the Police Department faces systemic problems. I expect a full investigation and disciplinary action of the people involved. I also expect this incident to bring about fundamental change within the Police Department. This is an opportunity for us to make our police force truly reflective of the best of San Francisco."

Statement from District 4 Supervisor Fiona Ma:

"We need to practice tolerance when it comes to racism and intolerance, especially San Francisco where we celebrate our diversity. These officers should be immediately suspended and judgment handed down quickly as a consequence for their action. These officers are supposed to protect us and inspire confidence. We need to get rid of the bad apples so as not to poison the entire department, and the good men and women who put their lives on the lines to protect us everyday."

Statement from Assessor Phil Ting:

"San Francisco has always represented tolerance and diversity throughout the world. We cannot tolerate any discriminatory behavior within our civic institutions especially those entrusted with our public safety. As a long time advocate for civil rights, I believe we can never be too vigilant when it comes to protecting the most vulnerable communities in our city. As city leaders, we cannot allow the singling out of communities based on their race, gender, national origin or sexual orientation."

Statement from Treasurer Jose Cisneros:

"While I know this incident is not reflective of the majority of members of the San Francisco Police Department, I fully support the efforts of the

Mayor and Police Chief to aggressively investigate and deal appropriately with all involved. It is critical that the city act swiftly and strongly in response to this behavior.”

Statement from Rev. Pastor Arelious Walker, True Hope Church of God in Christ:

“I am extremely disappointed and outraged at the headline video’s concerning the San Francisco Police Department. I have a certain amount of faith in the Police officers of our city, especially the officers stationed in Bayview Hunters Point, which is where I live. We have a problem with violence in our neighborhood and I’ve always encouraged a positive relationship with Captain Rick Bruce and the Bayview Station, in our efforts to help reduce violence in our community.

For a video to be on a website of one of the officers we are paying with our tax dollars, and to see officers ridiculing, making racial comments and slurs concerning the gay community, the African American community and the Asian community, is totally unacceptable. I have been working for the last 35 years to try to help reduce violence, and this is not the type of performance that will help address that.”

###

(See attached file: Statements re SFPD.pdf)

Francisco J. Castillo
Deputy Communications Director,
Liaison to Latino & Youth Media
Mayor's Office of Communications
City Hall, Room 291
1Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

PH (415) 554-6131



FX (415) 554-4058 Statements re SFPD.pdf

----- Message from "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org> on Wed, 7 Dec 2005 16:54:49 -0800 -----

To: "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org>

Subj Urgent Media Advisory: Mayor Newsom and Chief of Police Heather Fong to hold press
ect: avail regarding San Francisco Police Department

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

URGENT MEDIA ADVISORY

Wednesday, December 7, 2005

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications
415.554.6131

*** MEDIA ADVISORY ***

MAYOR NEWSOM AND CHIEF OF POLICE HEATHER FONG TO HOLD PRESS AVAIL REGARDING
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

WHO: Mayor Gavin Newsom
Chief of Police Heather Fong

WHEN: Wednesday, December 7, 2005
6pm

WHERE: City Hall,
Room 305

###

Francisco J. Castillo
Deputy Communications Director,
Liaison to Latino & Youth Media
Mayor's Office of Communications
City Hall, Room 291
1Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

PH (415) 554-6131

FX (415) 554-4058

----- Message from "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org> on Tue, 6 Dec 2005 16:13:12
-0800 -----

To: "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org>

Subject: URGENT MEDIA ADVISORY: Mayor Newsom to hold press avail to announce
ct: confirmation of new MTA Executive Director

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Tuesday, December 6, 2005

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications
415-554-6131

*** MEDIA ADVISORY ***

MAYOR NEWSOM TO HOLD PRESS AVAIL TO ANNOUNCE CONFIRMATION OF NEW MTA
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

WHO: Mayor Gavin Newsom
Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr., MTA, Executive Director

WHEN: Tuesday, December 6, 2005
5pm

WHERE: City Hall, Mayor's Office, Room 200

###

Francisco J. Castillo
Deputy Communications Director,
Liaison to Latino & Youth Media
Mayor's Office of Communications
City Hall, Room 291
1Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

PH (415) 554-6131

FX (415) 554-4058

----- Message from "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org> on Thu, 29 Sep 2005 15:38:15
-0800 -----

To: "Francisco Castillo" <Francisco.Castillo@sfgov.org>

Subjec URGENT MEDIA ADVISORY: Newsom press avail on Governor's veto of Same sex
t: marriage bill

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Thursday, September 29, 2005

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications

415-554-6131

*** URGENT MEDIA ADVISORY ***

MAYOR NEWSOM'S PRESS AVAILABILITY ON GOVERNOR'S VETO OF SAME SEX MARRIAGE
BILL

WHO: Mayor Gavin Newsom

WHAT: The mayor will be available to answer questions regarding
Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger's veto of same-sex marriage
bill

WHEN: Thursday, September 29
5pm

WHERE: City Hall, Room 200

Note: Mayor's schedule is subject to change.

###

Francisco J. Castillo
Deputy Communications Director, Liaison to Latino & Youth Media
Mayor's Office of Communications
City Hall, Room 291
1Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco, CA 94102

FX (415) 554-4058

Office of the Mayor
City & County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Thursday, September 8, 2005

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications

415-554-6131

***** PRESS RELEASE *****

**MAYOR NEWSOM'S STATEMENT ON GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S
VETO OF AB 452**

San Francisco, CA – Mayor Gavin Newsom today issued the following statement on Governor Schwarzenegger's veto of AB 452, which would have doubled the fines for certain moving violations along a portion of 19th Avenue in San Francisco:

"I am disappointed to learn that Governor Schwarzenegger has vetoed this important legislation. For years, the City and County of San Francisco has been working with the California Department of Transportation on plans for infrastructure improvements on 19th Avenue. The passage of AB 452 would have complemented many of the projects recently implemented, as well as the planned physical improvements by targeting motorist behavior such as speeding and reckless driving. I invite Governor Schwarzenegger, if he has not yet done so, to visit the 19th Avenue corridor and witness for himself the speeding and reckless driving that occurs on a daily basis. According to the California Highway Patrol, from 2000-2004, there were 641 collisions, 528 injuries and 11 fatalities along 19th Avenue. We should not let politics jeopardize the safety of human lives."

###

Office of the Mayor
City & County of San Francisco



Gavin Newsom

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Thursday, January 5, 2006

Contacts: Mayor's Office of Communications,
415-554-6131

*****STATEMENT*****

MAYOR GAVIN NEWSOM REACTS TO GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER'S STATE OF THE STATE ADDRESS:

"I am encouraged that the Governor appears to be moving away from partisan politics and towards collaboration on transportation and other vital infrastructure investments. These are priorities for all San Franciscans and, frankly, they've been neglected for far too long.

These investments should also help create the kind of jobs that support and protect families and increase our tax base.

However, the devil is always in the details, and, so far, many of the details are still to come.

I'm troubled that more attention is not given to the need for more safe, affordable housing and solutions to chronic homelessness in our state.

We know that Senator Perata's infrastructure bond proposal includes significant, *specific* funding for public transit improvements and security, bridge and overpass seismic retrofits and affordable housing. So should the Governor's.

I will be working with Senator Perata and other members of the Bay Area delegation throughout this process to ensure that public transit is well funded, that the critical link between urban transit and affordable housing is recognized and that the Bay Area's share of new infrastructure monies is commensurate with its extraordinary need.

If the Governor is prepared to move beyond partisan gridlock, then we're prepared to join with him and our Democratic leaders to work together for the sake of easing transportation gridlock and improving the quality of life for San Franciscans and all Californians."

###



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Thursday, December 8, 2005

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications
415-554-6131

*****STATEMENTS*****

**SAN FRANCISCO CITY OFFICIALS AND COMMUNITY LEADERS REACT TO VIDEOS
RELEASED YESTERDAY**

Statement from District 10 Supervisor Sophie Maxwell:

"Many of us have felt that the Police Department faces systemic problems. I expect a full investigation and disciplinary action of the people involved. I also expect this incident to bring about fundamental change within the Police Department. This is an opportunity for us to make our police force truly reflective of the best of San Francisco."

Statement from District 4 Supervisor Fiona Ma:

"We need to practice tolerance when it comes to racism and intolerance, especially San Francisco where we celebrate our diversity. These officers should be immediately suspended and judgment handed down quickly as a consequence for their action. These officers are supposed to protect us and inspire confidence. We need to get rid of the bad apples so as not to poison the entire department, and the good men and women who put their lives on the lines to protect us everyday."

Statement from Assessor Phil Ting:

"San Francisco has always represented tolerance and diversity throughout the world. We cannot tolerate any discriminatory behavior within our civic institutions especially those entrusted with our public safety. As a long time advocate for civil rights, I believe we can never be too vigilant when it comes to protecting the most vulnerable communities in our city. As city leaders, we cannot allow the singling out of communities based on their race, gender, national origin or sexual orientation."

Statement from Treasurer Jose Cisneros:

"While I know this incident is not reflective of the majority of members of the San Francisco Police Department, I fully support the efforts of the Mayor and Police Chief to aggressively investigate and deal appropriately with all involved. It is critical that the city act swiftly and strongly in response to this behavior."

Statement from Rev. Pastor Arelious Walker, True Hope Church of God in Christ:

"I am extremely disappointed and outraged at the headline video's concerning the San Francisco Police Department. I have a certain amount of faith in the Police officers of our city, especially the officers stationed in Bayview Hunters Point, which is where I live. We have a problem with violence in our neighborhood and I've always encouraged a positive relationship with Captain Rick Bruce and the Bayview Station, in our efforts to help reduce violence in our community."

For a video to be on a website of one of the officers we are paying with our tax dollars, and to see officers ridiculing, making racial comments and slurs concerning the gay community, the African American community and the Asian community, is totally unacceptable. I have been working for the last 35 years to try to help reduce violence, and this is not the type of performance that will help address that."

###



"Christian Holme.
 <mail@csrsf.com>
 01/11/2008 12:51 PM

To "SOTF" <sotf@sfgov.org>, "Mayor G Newsom"
 <Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>, <Nathan.Ballard@sfgov.org>
 "Doug Comstock" <Dougcoms@aol.com>, "Allen
 cc Grossman" <grossman356@mac.com>, "Wayne Lanier"
 <w_lanier@pacbell.net>, "James Chaffee"
 bcc
 Subject Filing Complaint Against Mayor's Office of Communications /
 G Newsom: Failure To Provide 01/09/08 MOC Press
 Releases by 5pm COB 01/10/08

Filing Complaint Against Mayor's Office of Communications / G Newsom: Willful
 Failure To Provide 01/09/08 MOC Press Releases

by 5pm COB 01/10/08

Submitted on: 01/11/2008

Dept: Mayor / Mayors Press Office

Contacted: Gavin Newsom, Nathan Ballard

Violation:

Section:

67.21(1) (public information stored in electronic form shall be made available
 to the person requesting the information in any form requested)

67.21-1 (Policy Regarding the Use and Purchase of Computer Systems)

67.24 (Press Releases - City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Confirmed
 This)

67.25 (Immediacy of Response - IDR For Yesterdays MOC Press Releases and MOC
 Daily Press Availability Announcement)

Government Code:

6243.9 (Requester Specified Format)

description:

Next Day IDRS For Mayors Press Releases. Willful Failure To Respond to IDR For 01/09/08 MOC Press Releases In Specified File Formats. The City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Already Ruled These Are Public Records

Please see attached email for more details

hearing_yes: Yes

name: Christian Holmer

address: 2155 Hayes St.

city: San Francisco

zip: 94117

date:

phone:

email: mail@csrsf.com

anonymous:



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>
01/15/2008 09:43 AM

To "SOTF" <sof@sfgov.org>, "Mayor G Newsom"
<Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>, <Nathan.Ballard@sfgov.org>
"Doug Comstock" <Dougcoms@aol.com>, "Allen
cc Grossman" <grossman356@mac.com>, "Wayne Lanier"
<w_lanier@pacbell.net>, "James Chaffee"
bcc
Filing Complaint Against Mayor's Office of Communications /
Subject G Newsom: Failure To Provide 01/10/08 MOC Press
Releases by 5pm COB 01/11/08

Filing Complaint Against Mayor's Office of Communications / G Newsom: Willful
Failure To Provide 01/10/08 MOC Press Releases by 5pm COB 01/11/08

Complaint Submitted on: 01/15/2008

Dept: Mayor / Mayors Press Office

Contacted: Gavin Newsom, Nathan Ballard

Violation:

Section:

67.21(1) (public information stored in electronic form shall be made available
to the person requesting the information in any form requested)

67.21-1 (Policy Regarding the Use and Purchase of Computer Systems)

67.24 (Press Releases - City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Confirmed
This)

67.25 (Immediacy of Response - IDR For Yesterdays MOC Press Releases and MOC
Daily Press Availability Announcement)

Government Code:

6243.9 (Requester Specified Format)

description:

Next Day IDRS For Mayors Press Releases. Willful Failure To Respond to IDR For 01/09/08 MOC Press Releases In Specified File Formats. The City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Already Ruled These Are Public Records

Please see attached email for more details

hearing_yes: Yes

name: Christian Holmer

address: 2155 Hayes St.

city: San Francisco

zip: 94117

date:

phone:

email: mail@csrsf.com

anonymous:



"Christian Holmer"
 <mail@csrsf.com>
 01/17/2008 11:44 AM

To "Mayor G Newsom" <Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>, <Nathan.Ballard@sfgov.org>, "SOTF" <sotf@sfgov.org>
 "Doug Comstock" <Dougcoms@aol.com>, "Allen Grossman" <grossman356@mac.com>, "Wayne Lanier" <w_lanier@pacbell.net>, "James Chaffee"
 cc
 bcc

Subject SOTF Complaint: MOC / G Newsom: Willful Failure To Provide 01/11-12-13-14/08 MOC Press Releases & Newsom Prop G Calendar 01/10-11-12/08

Filing Complaint Against Mayor's Office of Communications / G Newsom: Willful Failure To Provide 01/11-12-13-14/08 MOC Press Releases by 5pm COB 01/16/08: Willful Failure To Provide Newsom Prop G Calendar 01/10-11-12/08 by 5pm COB 01/16/08

Complaint Submitted on: 01/17/2008

Dept: Mayor Newsom / Mayors Press Office

Contacted: Gavin Newsom, Nathan Ballard

Violation:

Section:

67.21(1) (public information stored in electronic form shall be made available to the person requesting the information in any form requested)

67.21-1 (Policy Regarding the Use and Purchase of Computer Systems)

67.24 (Press Releases - City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Confirmed This)

67.25 (Immediacy of Response - IDR For Yesterdays MOC Press Releases and MOC Daily Press Availability Announcement)

Government Code:

6243.9 (Requester Specified Format)

description:

Next Day IDRS For Mayors Press Releases. Willful Failure To Respond to IDR For 01/09/08 MOC Press Releases In Specified File Formats. The City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Already Ruled These Are Public Records

Please see attached email for more details

hearing_yes: Yes

name: Christian Holmer

address: 2155 Hayes St.

city: San Francisco

zip: 94117

date:

phone:

email: mail@csrsf.com

anonymous:

----- Message from "Christian Holmer" <mail@csrsf.com> on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 09:38:47 -0800 -----

To: "Mayor G Newsom" <Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>, <Nathan.Ballard@sfgov.org>
"Doug Comstock" <Dougcoms@aol.com>, "Allen Grossman"
<grossman356@mac.com>, "Wayne Lanier" <w_lanier@pacbell.net>, "James Chaffee"
<chaffeej@pacbell.net>, "Peter Warfield" <libraryusers2004@yahoo.com>, "MARTIN L
MACINTYRE" <martin.macintyre@juno.com>, "marc" <marc@cybre.net>, "Joe Lynn"
<joelynn114@hotmail.com>, "Bruce Brugmann" <bruce@sfbg.com>, "Bruce Wolfe,
MSW" <sof@brucewolfe.net>, "Amanda Witherell" <amanda@sfbg.com>, "Erica
Craven" <elc@lrolaw.com>, "Richard A. Knee" <rak0408@earthlink.net>, "Sue
Cauthen" <SCaul321@aol.com>, "Kristin Chu" <kristin@chu.com>, "Ernest Llorente"
cc: <Ernest.Llorente@sfgov.org>, "Michael Petrelis" <MPetrelis@aol.com>, "Patrick



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>
01/18/2008 04:41 PM

To "Mayor G Newsom" <Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>, <Nathan.Ballard@sfgov.org>, "SOTF" <sotf@sfgov.org>
"Doug Comstock" <Dougcoms@aol.com>, "Allen Grossman" <grossman356@mac.com>, "Wayne Lanier" <w_lanier@pacbell.net>, "James Chaffee"
bcc SOTF Complaint: MOC / G Newsom: Willful Failure To Provide 01/15/08 MOC Press Releases & Newsom Prop G Calendar 01/13/08

Filing Complaint Against Mayor's Office of Communications / G Newsom: Willful Failure To Provide 01/15/08 MOC Press Releases by 5pm COB 01/17/08: Willful Failure To Provide Newsom Prop G Calendar 01/13/08 by 5pm COB 01/17/08

Complaint Submitted on: 01/18/2008

Dept: Mayor Newsom / Mayors Press Office

Contacted: Gavin Newsom, Nathan Ballard

Violation:

Section:

67.21(1) (public information stored in electronic form shall be made available to the person requesting the information in any form requested)

67.21-1 (Policy Regarding the Use and Purchase of Computer Systems)

67.24 (Press Releases - City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Confirmed This)

67.25 (Immediacy of Response - IDR For Yesterdays MOC Press Releases and MOC Daily Press Availability Announcement)

Government Code:

6243.9 (Requester Specified Format)

description:

Next Day IDRS For Mayors Press Releases. Willful Failure To Respond to IDR For 01/09/08 MOC Press Releases In Specified File Formats. The City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Already Ruled These Are Public Records

Please see attached email for more details

hearing_yes: Yes

name: Christian Holmer

address: 2155 Hayes St.

city: San Francisco

zip: 94117

date:

phone:

email: mail@csrsf.com

anonymous:



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>
01/25/2008 12:03 PM

To <Shirley.Cho@sfgov.org>, "Ethics Commission Director"
<john.st.croix@SFGOV.ORG>, "Paula Jesson"
<Paula.Jesson@sfgov.org>, <Frank.Darby@sfgov.org>,
"Mayor G Newsom" <Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>,
cc <Nathan.Ballard@sfgov.org>, <Giselle.Barry@sfgov.org>,
<Erin.Garvey@sfgov.org>, <David.Miree@sfgov.org>,
bcc
Subject SOTF Complaint: MOC Staffer SHIRLEY CHO - Willful
Failure To Provide 01/22/08 Press Release(s)/Public
Announcements In Specified Formats

Filing Complaint Against Shirley CHO MOC Staffer: Willful Failure To Provide
01/22/08 MOC Press Releases by 5pm COB 01/23/08.

Frank Darby Please Confirm You've Received This Request for an
SOTF Hearing By Hitting Reply All Today.

Complaint Submitted on: 01/25/2008

Dept: Mayors Office

Contacted: Shirley Cho MOC Staffer

Violation:

Section:

67.21(1) (public information stored in electronic form shall be made available
to the person requesting the information in any form requested)

67.21-1 (Policy Regarding the Use and Purchase of Computer Systems)

67.24 (Press Releases - City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Confirmed
This)

67.25 (Immediacy of Response - IDR For Yesterdays MOC Press Releases and MOC

Daily Press Availability Announcement)

Government Code:

6243.9 (Requester Specified Format)

description:

Next Day IDRS For Mayors Press Releases. Willful Failure To Respond to IDR For 01/22/08 MOC Press Releases In Specified File Formats. The City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Already Ruled These Are Public Records

Please see attached email for more details

hearing_yes: Yes

name: Christian Holmer

address: 2155 Hayes St.

city: San Francisco

zip: 94117

date:

phone:

email: mail@csrsf.com



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>
02/07/2008 11:04 AM

To <Nathan.Ballard@sfgov.org>, "Ethics Commission Director"
<john.st.croix@SFGOV.ORG>, "SOTF" <sof@sfgov.org>
"Mayor G Newsom" <Gavin.Newsom@sfgov.org>
cc <Giselle.Barry@sfgov.org>, <Erin.Garvey@sfgov.org>
<David.Miree@sfgov.org>, "Doug Comstock"
bcc
Subject SOTF Complaint: MOC CHIEF NATHAN BALLARD - Willful
Failure To Provide 02/04/08 Press Release(s)/Public
Announcements Per Sunshine Sec 67.21(l)

Filing Complaint Against Nathan Ballard MOC Chief: Willful Failure To Provide
02/04/08 MOC Press Releases by 5pm COB 02/06/08.

Frank Darby Please Confirm You've Received This Request for an
SOTF Hearing By Hitting Reply All Today.

Complaint Submitted on: 02/07/2008

Dept: Mayors Office

Contacted: Nathan Ballard MOC Chief

Violation:

Section:

67.21(1) (public information stored in electronic form shall be made available
to the person requesting the information in any form requested)

67.21-1 (Policy Regarding the Use and Purchase of Computer Systems)

67.24 (Press Releases - City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Confirmed
This)

67.25 (Immediacy of Response - IDR For Yesterdays MOC Press Releases and MOC
Daily Press Availability Announcement)

Government Code:

6243.9 (Requester Specified Format)

description:

Next Day IDRS For Mayors Press Releases. Willful Failure To Respond to
IDR For 02/04/08 MOC Press Releases Per Sunshine Sec 67.21(1).
The City Attorneys Supervisor of Records Has Already Ruled These Are Public
Records

Please see attached email for more details

hearing_yes: Yes

name: Christian Holmer

address: 2155 Hayes St.

city: San Francisco

zip: 94117

date:

phone:

email: mail@csrsf.com

