Date:	March 23, 2009	Item No. 14
		File No.

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST*

Administra	ators Report		
,			
<u> </u>			
P100-0000000000000000000000000000000000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
		-	
npleted by:	Chris Rustom	Date:	March 18, 2010
			

*This list reflects the explanatory documents provided

~ Late Agenda Items (documents received too late for distribution to the Task Force Members)

** The document this form replaces exceeds 25 pages and will therefore not be copied for the packet. The original document is in the file kept by the Administrator, and may be viewed in its entirety by the Task Force, or any member of the public upon request at City Hall, Room 244.

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-7724
Fax No. 415) 554-7854
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

DATE: March 16, 2010

SUBJECT: Administrator's Report

1. Requests from community persons:

From February 17, 2010 through March 16, 2010, the Task Force's office responded to approximately 350 calls/e-mails/office visits from persons requesting information regarding the Sunshine Ordinance, or to mediate request for records.

- 2. 2009 Complaint Log.
- 3. 2010 Complaint Log
- 4. Orders of Determination:
 - #09075 Bred Starr v City Attorney's Office
 - #09079_Kenneth Kinnard v Human Rights Commission
- 5. Letter to Ethics Commission regarding enforcement regulations
- 6. Communications received

Complaints 2009

,	,		
Date Received	Complainant	Department/Respondent	Status
1/5/2009	Ray Hartz (09001)	City Attorney's Office (Matt Dorsey)	Compiaint 2/10/09, Task Force 02/24/09, Contd, 03/24/09, Withdrawn 3/24/09
1/6/2009	Michael Petrelis (09002)	Public Health STD Unit	Task Force 1/27/09, No violation
1/19/2009	Rita O'Flynn (09003)	Dept of Technology	Task Force 02/24/09, continued, Complaint Committee 3/10/09, Task Force 3/24/09, Violated 67.21-1 and CPRA 6253.9, CAC 5/12/09, Contd 6/9/09, 7/14/09, No further action
1/23/2009	(09004)	City Attorney, Matt Dorsey	Task Force 2/24/09, No action taken, petition for reconsideration denied 3/24/09.
1/23/2009	Steve Lawrence (09005)	Public Utilities Commission	Task Force 2/24/09; Withdrawn 2/9/09
1/26/2009	Joshua Arce & Eric Brooks (09006)	Public Utilities Commission	Task Force 2/24/09, quorum loss, Task Force 3/24/09, violated 67.29-7, CAC 5/12/09, Task Force 5/26/09, EC referral failed
1/30/2009	David Larkin (09007)	Public Works	Complaint 03/10/09, Task Force 3/24/09, 4/28/09, Violated 67.29 and 67.21 (e), CAC 5/12/09, Task Force 5/26/09, Referred to EC
2/4/2009	Ray Hartz (09008)	Police Commission	Task Force 2/24/09, violated 67.29 & 67.21(e). EOT 4/9/09, SOTF 6/23/09, 8/25/2009, 9/22/2009, No further action, EOT to review every few months
2/9/2009	Charles Pitts (09009)	Health Dept	Complaint 03/10/09, Task Force 3/24/09, Violated 67.21 (e)
2/20/2009	Ray Hartz (09010)	Arts Commission	Task Force 3/24/09, EOT 4/9/09. No further action
00000000	Ray Hartz	City Attorney's Office (Matt	Task Fares of Mitheless of Orlino
Z/Z/J/Z/UU3	Ray Hartz	Doisey	I dan l'Oica diztiva, willulami diztiva
2/23/2009	(09012)	Office of Citizen Complaints	Complaint 4/14/09, Withdrawn 3/24/09
2/27/2009	Steve Lawrence (09013)	Public Utilities Commission	Task Force 3/24/09, 4/28/09, violated 67.21 (b), EOT 5/14/09, 6/11/09
3/18/2009	Ahimsa Porter Sumchai (09014)	Board of Supervisors	Task Force 4/28/09, Contd 5/26/09, No violation
3/18/2009	Raymond Bank (09015)	SF HIV Health Services Planning Council	Complaint Committee 4/14/09, Task Force 4/28/09, violated 67.21 (b), EOT 5/14/09, 6/11/09, No.further action
3/23/2009	Peter Green (09016)	Public Health	Task Force 4/28/09, Contd 5/26/09, No violation
23-Mar	SORE (09017)	Public Utilities Commission	Task Force 4/28/09, violated 67.21 (b), EOT 5/14/09, No further action
3/27/2009	Anonymous Tenants (09018)	Dept of Bldg Inspection	Complaint Committee 4/14/09, Task Force 4/28/09, Violated 67.28 (d), CAC 5/12/09, Contd 7/14/09, Contd 8/11/09, no further action
4/3/2009	Christian Holmer (09019)	Board of Supervisors (COB & SOTF)	Task Force 5/26/09, Withdrawn
4/7/2009	Anonymous (09020)	MTA	Task Force 5/26/09, No violation
4/23/2009	SORE (09021)	Public Utilities Commission	Task Force 5/26/08, Violated 67.21 (b), CAC 6/9/09
5/13/2009	Raymond Banks (09022)	SF HIV Health Services Planning Council	Task Force 5/26/08, No violation
5/13/2009	SORE (09023)	Public Utilities Commission	Task Force 5/26/08, No violation

Complaints 2009

	Paul Weston		
5/15/2009	(09024)	Human Services	Complaint 6/9/09, Task Force 6/23/09, violated 67.25 (a)
5/15/2009	Charles Pitts (09025)	Human Services	Task Force 6/23/09; 7/28/09, violated 67.25, No further action
5/18/2009	Hanna Leung &	Himan Services	Complaint 6/9/09 Task Force 6/23/09 7/28/09 No further action
5/18/2009	Alvin Xex (09027)	Office of Economic and, Workforce Development	Complaint 6/9/09. Task Force 6/23/09. violated 67.21 (b). EOT 7/9/09. No further action
5/18/2009	Alvin Xex (09028)	Dept. of Human Resources	Complaint 6/9/09. Task Force 6/23/09. No violation
5/20/2009	Paul Weston (09029)	Human Services (St Vincent de Paul Society)	Task Force 6/23/09, No violation
6/8/2009	Ann Grogan (09030)	Police Commission	Complaint 7/14/09, Task Force 7/28/2009, violated 67.6 (e) and 67.4, EOT, 9/10/09, 10/8/09, Tabled
6/3/2009	Kenneth Kinnard (09031)	Human Rights Commission	Complaint 7/14/09; Cont requested 8/11/09, TF 8/25/2009, violated 67.21, 67.25, CAC 9/8/2009, 10/13/09, No further action
6/18/2009	Dominic Maionchi (09032)	Park and Recreation	Pask Force 7/28/09, violated 67.27, 67.24. CAC 9/8/2009, No further action
6/23/2009	Sue Cauthen (09033)	Library Commission	Task Force 7/28/09, violated 67.15 (a) and 67.34. EOT 9/10, CAC 10/13/09, Task Force 10/27/2009, Contd 12/01/2009, referred to EC
6/23/2009	Brian Tomina (09034)	Bldg Inspection	Complaint 7/14/09; Task Force 7/28/09. No violation.
7/14/2009	Dave Schneider (09035)	COB, BOS	Task Force 8/25/2009, violated 67 15 (a) & (e) No further action
7/14/2009	Bridgid (09036)	Police Dept	Task Force 8/25/2009, violated 67.21 (a), 67.24 (d), 67.27, EOT 9/10/09, No further action
7/14/2009	Ging Louie (09037)	SFPUC	Task Force 8/25/2009, Withdrawn 8/14/09
7/17/2009	Anmarie Mabbutt (09038)	Rec & Park	Complaint 8/11/2009, Task Force 8/25/2009, violated 67.21, CAC 9/8/2009, 10/13/2009, No further action
7/20/2009	Rita O'Flynn (09039)	Mayor's Office of Housing	Task Force 8/25/2009, 9/22/2009, violated 67.21, 67.29-7 (a), CAC 10/13/2009, contd 11/10/2009, 12/8/2009, Task Force 01/5/10, referred to EC and DA
7/22/2009	Hanna Leung & Lydia Fong (09040)	Human Services	Task Force 8/25/2009, 9/22/2009, Withdrawn
7/28/2009	Anna Mabbutt (09041)	Mayor's Office	Task Force 8/25/2009, Withdrawn 8/22/2009
8/11/2009	Peter Warfield (09042)	Public Library	Task Force 8/25/2009, violated 67.21, CAC 9/8/09, Task Force 09/22/2009, CAC 10/13/2009, Task Force 10/27/09, referred to EC
8/11/2009	Peter Warfield (09043)	Library Commission	Task Force 8/25/2009, 9/22/2009, No further action
8/11/2009	Peter Warfield (09044)	Board of Appeals	Task Force 8/25/2009, violated 67.1 (g), 67.21, CAC 9/8/2009, 10/13/09, Task Force 10/27/2009, contd 12/01/2009, referred to EC
8/11/2009	Peter Warfield (09045)		Task Force 8/25/2009, No further action
8/14/2009	Randal Evans (09046)	Ella Hill Hutch Community Center	Task Force 9/22/2009, CAC 10/13/2009, 11/10/2009, Withdrawn
8/14/2009	Dominic Maionchi (09047)	Park and Recreation	Task Force 9/22/2009, withdrawn
8/14/2009	Brian Tomina (09048)	Bldg inspection	Task Force 9/22/2009, withdrawn

Complaints 2009

8/17/2009	Randall Evans (09049)	(2)	Task Force 9/22/2009, withdrawn
8/17/2009	Randall Evans (09050)	African American Art and Culture Complex	Task Force 9/22/2008, violated 67.21, CAC 10/13/2009, Tabled
8/20/2009	Marilyn Mollinedo (09051)	Zoological Society	Complaint Committee 10/13/2009, Task Force 10/27/2009, violated 67.21, CAC 11/10/2009 CAC 11/10/2009, 12/8/2009, no further action
9/2/2009	Charles Pitts (09052)	Shelter Monitoring Committee	Task Force 9/22/09, violated 67.15 (d), EOT 10/08/2009, No further action
9/3/2009	Lou Dillon (09053)	Recreation and Park	Task Force 9/22/09, Contd 10/27/2009, No violation
9/8/2009	Robert Garcia (09054)	Entertainment Commission	Complaint Committee 10/13/09, Withdrawn 10/13/2009
9/9/2009	Peter Warfield (09055)	Public Library	Task Force 9/22/09, No further action
9/9/2009	Peter Warfield (09056)	Clerk of the Board	Task Force 9/22/09, violated 67.21 (a), 67.21 (g), Contd 10/27/2009, CAC 11/10/2009, No further action.
9/9/2009	Peter Warfield (09057)	Clerk of the Board	Task Force 9/22/09, Contd 10/27/2009, 67.21 (a), 67.21 (b), CAC 11/10/2009, 12/8/2009, Task Force 01/5/10, referred to EC
9/11/2009	Anmarie Mabbutt (09058)	Clerk of the Board	Task Force 10/27/09, Withdrawn 10/23/09
9/11/2009	Anmarie Mabbutt (09059)	Cierk of the Board	Task Force 10/27/09, Withdrawn 10/23/09
9/11/2009	Anmarie Mabbutt (09060)	Clerk of the Board	Task Force 10/27/09, Withdrawn 10/23/09
9/22/2009	Alvin Xex (09061)	Human Services Agency	Task Force 10/27/09, No violation
10/2/2009	Debra Benedict (09062)	Public Health	Task Force 10/27/09, No further action
10/5/2009	Alvin Xex (09063)	Human Services Agency	Task Force 10/27/09, Tabled
10/6/2009	Alvin Xex (09064)	Economic Opportunity Council of San Francisco	Task Force 10/27/09, No further action
10/7/2009	Charles Pitts (09065)	Local Homeless Coordinating Board	Task Force 11/24/2009, violated 67.7 (a) and 67.21 (e), No further action
10/7/2009	Emil Lawrence (09066)	Municipal Transportation Agency	Complaint 11/10/2009, no jurisdiction
10/13/2009	Library Users Association (09067)	Historic Preservation Commission	Task Force 11/24/2009, violated 67.16, 67.21 (e.), referred to EOTC 12/10/09, 01/14/10, 02/11/10, Tabled
10/14/2009	Brent Plater (09068)	Recreation and Park	Task Force 11/24/2009, , Withdrawn 11/13/2009
10/14/2009	Asian Law Caucus (09069)	Mayor's Office	Task Force 11/24/2009, violated 67.21 (e), 67.22, 67.21 (e), 67.27, Task Force 01/05/10, CAC 02/09/10, TF 03/23/2010
10/21/2009	Anmarie Mabbutt (09070)	Recreation and Park	Task Force 11/24/2009, violated 67.14 (c), CAC 01/12/10, 02/09/20, 03/09/2010, Withdrawn

Date Received	Complainant	Department/Respondent	Status
	Nick Pasquarello		
1/12/2010	10001	General Services Agency	Task Force 02/23/2010, No jurisdiction
	Nancy Cross		
1/22/2010	10002	Law Library	Task Force 02/23/2010, No jurisdiction
	Nancy Cross		
1/22/2010	10003	ECS Sanctuary	Task Force 02/23/2010, Noviolation
	Rita O'Flynn		
1/22/2010	10004	City Attorney's Office	Task Force 02/23/2010, Withdrawn
	Emil Lawrence		
2/2/2010	10005	MTA	Complaint 03/09/10, Task Force 3/23/2010
	Paula Datesh		
2/4/2010	10006	Arts Commission	Complaint 03/09/10, No jurisdiction
-	Chris Daly		
2/22/2010	10007	Mayor's Office	Complaint 03/09/10, Task Force 3/23/2010
	Sandra Brotherton	Dept. of Emergency	
3/3/2010	10008	Management	Complaint 03/13/10
	Majeid Crawford		
3/10/2010	10009	City Attorney's Office	Complaint 03/13/10

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-7724
Fax No. 415) 554-7854
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

ORDER OF DETERMINATION February 25, 2010

DATE THE DECISION ISSUED January 26, 2010

BRED STARR v. CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE (09075)

FACTS OF THE CASE

Complainant, Bred Starr, alleges that her Sunshine request for documents from the City Attorney's Office (CAO) and the Municipal Transportation Authority (MTA) related to Mary Ellen O'Brien from the Department of Parking and Traffic and Geraldine Rosen Parks in August 2009 was ignored. She also alleges that her telephone and in-person requests were acknowledged and then ignored, and was advised there would not be full disclosure.

COMPLAINT FILED

On October 16, 2009, Bred Starr filed a Sunshine Ordinance Complaint against the CAO and the MTA for unspecified violations of the Sunshine Ordinance.

HEARING ON THE COMPLAINT

On January 26, 2010, Complainant Bred Starr presented her claim before the Task Force. Virginia Dario Elizondo of the City Attorney's Office presented the Respondent's defense.

Ms. Starr told the Task Force that she had asked for documents regarding the investigation of Mary Ellen O'Brien of the Department of Parking and Traffic in 2004. The investigation, she said, was done on behalf of the City and not on behalf of the taxpayers and that made access to documents difficult. She wanted to know why Ms. O'Brien is still a City employee and what was said during the investigation. She said she received only four pages about the case from the CAO whereas a news article in the San Francisco *Chronicle* referred to a 16-page investigative memo about the matter.

Ms. Elizondo said the Sunshine request was for an internal investigative memo drafted by the CAO for its client, the MTA, which apparently had been leaked to the press. She stated further that the memo was confidential under state law because it was protected by both the attorney work product doctrine and the attorney-client privilege. In addition, two disciplinary letters sent to the subject of the investigation which were responsive to the request were

ORDER OF DETERMINATION

provided to the complainant by the CAO, she said. Just because a document or portions of it are leaked to the press does not make it a public document, she added, as the confidentiality may be waived only by a City official with authority to make such a waiver.

Ms. Elizondo further noted that, in the absence of evidence that an authorized public official waived the privileges, the CAO still had an ethical and legal duty to maintain the privilege under state law. Therefore, the CAO could not release the documents. Ms. Elizondo further noted that the Sunshine Ordinance contains specific exemptions to disclosure for documents covered under these two privileges.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Task Force noted that there is no case law exactly on point on this issue – whether or not records regarding an investigation into employee misconduct conducted by a city attorney are totally protected from release by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine. The Task Force did note that under existing California law, records of investigations into alleged employee misconduct must be released unless the allegations of misconduct are not substantial in nature. See, .e.g., Bakersfield City School Dist. v. Superior Court (2004) 118 Cal.App.4th 1041.

The Task Force also noted that where an investigation is primarily factual, the attorney-client privilege may not apply to records of the investigation, at least the portions of the records discussing the facts of the investigation. Finally, while the City in this case did release the two disciplinary letters that were issued to the employee in question, it may not always be the case that disciplinary letters are produced at the conclusion of an investigation into employee misconduct. Indeed, in cases where the City Attorney conducts the factual investigation into employee misconduct and no discipline is imposed, the only record of the investigation may well be the investigatory memorandum. In that scenario, under the City Attorney's position, *no* records regarding the alleged employee misconduct would be produced and the public would be left in the dark about serious, if unsustained, allegations of public employee misconduct.

Based on the testimony and evidence presented the Task Force finds that the factual background in the investigatory memorandum is critical for the public to see and understand, especially now that the investigation is complete, but that justifiable redactions may be made if any particular allegations were found to be insubstantial.

DECISION AND ORDER OF DETERMINATION

The Task Force finds that the agency violated Section(s) 67.21 and 67.24 for failure to produce responsive documents, specifically the 16-page memorandum regarding the employee's misconduct. The Respondent shall release the records requested within five business days of the issuance of this Order of Determination and appear before the Compliance and Amendments Committee on March 9, 2010.

ORDER OF DETERMINATION

The motion was adopted by the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force on January 26, 2010, by the following vote: (Craven-Green / Washburn)

Ayes: Manneh, Washburn, Johnson, Goldman, Williams, Cauthen, Craven-Green

The Task Force also found the agency in violation of Sec(s) 67.21 (b) for untimely response and 67.25 for failure to provide documents in a timely fashion (Cauthen / Williams

Ayes: Cauthen, Manneh, Washburn, Johnson, Goldman, Williams

Noes: Craven-Green

Richard A. Knee, Chair

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

Richard a free

c: Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney
Bred Starr, complaint
Virginia Dario Elizondo, respondent

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE



City Hall
1 Dr. Cariton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-7724
Fax No. 415) 554-7854
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

ORDER OF DETERMINATION February 17, 2010

DATE THE DECISION ISSUED January 26, 2010

KENNETH KINNARD v. HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION (09079)

FACTS OF THE CASE

Complainant Kenneth Kinnard alleged that on October 26, 2009, he sent an Immediate Disclosure Request (IDR) to the Human Rights Commission (HRC) requesting all documents related to a report mentioned in the January 13, 2005, draft minutes of the HRC, which stated that P&K Trucking "was no longer operating as a trucker, but rather providing brokering services for large contracts." Mr. Kinnard further alleged that HRC had not responded to his request.

COMPLAINT FILED

On November 5, 2009, Mr. Kinnard filed a complaint with the Task Force alleging a violation of Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.25.

HEARING ON THE COMPLAINT

On January 26, 2010, Complainant Kenneth Kinnard presented his case before the Task Force. Respondent Agency was represented by Melinda Kanios of the Human Rights Commission.

Mr. Kinnard told the Task Force that he wanted the HRC to show him proof regarding the allegations made about P&K Trucking in the January 13, 2005, HRC meeting, but had been told by HRC that no such documents existed. He asked the HRC to remove the allegations mentioned in minutes to which the HRC said a motion was needed to change the minutes. He said he made another Immediate Disclosure Request on January.6, 2010, to the HRC regarding another allegation and has not received a response.

Ms. Kanios said Mr. Kinnard has made several requests through the years and the department has always been responsive. She said his requests for documents have been duplicative and that was why she believed that all the information requested by Mr. Kinnard had been produced. She said she could not produce information that she did not have. Ms. Kanios also explained that the comments made in the January 2005 HRC meeting came as the result of an "arbitration" conducted by Harry Epstein and it was possible that Mr. Epstein might still have copies of the underlying documents, even if HRC did not.

ORDER OF DETERMINATION

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Upon questioning, Ms. Kanios admitted that she had failed to respond to the IDR in a timely fashion. It also became clear that Ms. Kanios had looked for documents responsive to Mr. Kinnard's request in only one location, but that there were other potential locations where responsive documents might exist. Ms. Kanios committed to looking in each of the other locations where responsive documents might exist. The Task Force also noted that while duplicative Sunshine Requests must be responded to, HRC could avoid expending more time on searching for information in response to duplicative requests by keeping a file of documents produced to Mr. Kinnard's past requests.

Based on the testimony and evidence presented the Task Force asked Ms. Kanios to work with Mr. Kinnard to see if the documentation supporting the claim that P&K Trucking "was no longer operating as a trucker, but rather providing brokering services for large contracts" was stored elsewhere and also to seek out the arbitrator of the case to see what documents he might still have.

DECISION AND ORDER OF DETERMINATION

The Task Force finds that the agency violated Section(s) 67.25 for failure to comply with an Immediate Disclosure Request and 67.21 for failure to provide responsive documents. The Task Force recognizes and appreciates the HRC's commitment to continue to work with Mr. Kinnard to get him the documents as soon as possible. The HRC is to provide a status report to the Compliance and Amendments Committee on March 9, 2010.

This Order of Determination was adopted by the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force on January 26, 2010, by the following vote: (Craven-Green / Goldman) Ayes: Cauthen, Manneh, Washburn, Johnson, Chan, Goldman, Williams, Craven-Green

Richard A. Knee, Chair

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

Richard a hee

c: Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney Kenneth Kinnard, complainant Melinda Kanios, respondent

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-7724
Fax No. 415) 554-7854
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date:

February 24, 2010

To:

John St. Croix, Executive Director, Ethics Commission

Members, Ethics Commission

Members, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

From:

Richard Knee, Chair, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

Erica L. Craven-Green, Vice-Chair, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

Subject:

Regulations regarding Ethics Commission enforcement of the Sunshine

Ordinance

The Task Force appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Ethics Commission's detailed proposed regulations for enforcement of the Sunshine Ordinance.

The proposed regulations were discussed at numerous Task Force meetings, and members of the Task Force as well members of the public submitted verbal and written comments.

At the Task Force's February 23, 2010, meeting, the matter was discussed for a final time and the comments of the Task Force are as follows:

1. Sunshine Task Force Referrals Should be Treated as Formal Complaints, but Also Given Deferential Treatment

The Task Force is pleased at the proposal that its complaints should be treated, at a minimum, as formal complaints under the Commission's regulations. However, the Task Force has concerns about the circumstances that would allow the Executive Director to dismiss complaints administratively.

As an initial matter, some members of the Task Force feel that the Ethics Commission should not reinvestigate Task Force complaints, but should defer wholly to the Task Force's findings and pursue enforcement proceedings only. Some members of the public who have long been interested in Sunshine-related issues share that view.

Other Task Force and public members believe that the Commission may have authority to conduct its own investigation but believe – at a minimum – that all findings made by the Task Force should be given deferential treatment and reviewed only to determine that the Task Force's decision rested on "substantial evidence." "Substantial evidence" review would recognize and respect the time and energy that the Task Force spends on reviewing complaints, and would encourage departments to appear and present their best case before the Task Force in the first instance, instead of relying on an opportunity to reargue (or argue in the first instance) in front of the Commission.

More generally, all members specifically felt that if a referral is made to the Ethics Commission for failure to comply with an Order of Determination (willful or not) or willful failure to comply with the Ordinance, those findings should constitute "probable cause" under the Ethics Commission's regulations and the complaints should proceed to adjudication.

At an absolute minimum, the Task Force requests that written determinations as to why the Executive Director administratively dismissed referrals should be made part of the public record in both Ethics Commission and Task Force hearings.

2. The Ethics Commission Should Consider All Violations; Intentional, Unintentional, Willful and Non-Willful Violations

Another fundamental disagreement is over the jurisdiction of the Ethics Commission to hear referrals regarding non-willful violations of the Ordinance, with willful being defined by the Ethic Commission's proposed regulations as "knowledge that the act is a violation of the law."

The Task Force is adamant that the Ethics Commission has the authority and indeed responsibility to consider complaints based on allegations of violations that are not willful under Section 67.34 of the Ordinance.

Specifically, Section 67.30(c) of the Ordinance provides that: "The Task Force shall make referrals to a municipal office with enforcement power under this ordinance or under the California Public Records Act and the Brown Act whenever it concludes that any person has violated any provision of this ordinance or the Acts." The Task Force has consistently held that this provision grants and mandates that the Ethics Commission consider referrals based on non-willful violations.

Please let us know whether we can provide any additional information on the Task Force's concerns. Thank you for your kind attention and, again, for your willingness and patience to give the Task Force a voice in your considerations.

COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVED LOG

Feb 17, 2010, through Mar 16, 2010

	DATE	FROM	DESCRIPTION	
1	2/16/10	Melvyn Banks	DPH IDR	2
2	2/18/10	Christian Holmer	2/13.2/19 calendars	2
3	2/18/10	SF Guardian	Today at the Bay	16
4	2/19/10	Eileen Shields	DPH IDR	
5	2/19/10	mpetrelis	Various topics	9
6	2/19/10	Anmarie Mabbutt	New list	3
7	2/10/10	Kimo Crossman	Lock/Unlock PDFs	
8	2/24/10	Kimo Crossman	Digital preservation	
9	2/24/10	Melinda Kanios	Info request	
10	2/24/10	RVPSF	Union Square lights	
11	2/24/10	Kimo Crossman	Ethics lawsuit	
12	2/25/10	Christian Holmer	2/20 2/26 calendars	40
13	2/26/10	pdatesh	Arts Commission IDR	13
14	2/26/10	Rita O'Flynn	Housing complaint	3
15	2/26/10	Kimo Crossman	Retention application	
16	2/28/10	Anmarie Mabbutt	APBP application	
17	3/1/10	Loma Dune	Court reporter complaint SOTF/Ethics broadcast	
18	3/2/10	Kimo Crossman		
19	3/2/10	Rita O'Flynn	Tenderloin contract SOR IDR	
20	3/3/10	Kimo Crossman		
21	3/3/10	Rita O'Flynn	DA IDR 2/27 3/5 calendar	
22 23	3/4/10	Christian Holmer	Patrol Special Study	
23 24	3/4/10 3/4/10	Ann Grogan Kym Craven	Patrol Special Study	
2 4 25	3/5/10	Anmarie Mabbutt	City Fields IDR	
26 26	3/5/10 3/5/10	Paul Henderson	IDR response	
27	3/7/10	Anmarie Mabbutt	Conservatory IDR	
28	3/8/10	Kimo Crossman	BAR number	
29	3/9/10	Matt Dorsey	BÁR number	
30	3/9/10	Kimo Crossman	Text msg IDR	
31	3/9/10	Jerry Threet	DCA presence	
32	3/9/10	Lori Mazzola	Newsletter	•
33	3/9/10	Joyce Book	BYOBW hearing	
34	3/10/10	pdatesh	Writings	
35	3/10/10	Cindy Shamban	BYOBW hearing	
36	3/10/10	dc250	Juvi agenda	2
37	3/10/10	CFAC	Open govt news	
38	3/10/10	Mirkarimi	D% newsletter	
39	3/11/10	Christian Holmer	3/6 3/12 calendar	
40	3/11/10	City Hall	Quake drill	
41	3/12/10	Anjali Asrani	Ordinances	
42	3/12/10	Kimo Crossman	Contract access	
43	3/15/10	Rita O'Flynn	Ethics appointment	2
44	3/15/10	Linda Wong	Point of Order	
45	3/15/10	Kimo Crossman	Digital decay	
46	3/16/10	Kimo Crossman	Text msg ban	
47	3/17/10	Richard Rothman	Request for info	