

Date: Oct. 26, 2010

Item No. 16 & 17

File No. 10051

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST*

- Ray Hartz against Police Commission**
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Completed by: Chris Rustom

Date: Oct. 21, 2010

***This list reflects the explanatory documents provided**

~ Late Agenda Items (documents received too late for distribution to the Task Force Members)

** The document this form replaces exceeds 25 pages and will therefore not be copied for the packet. The original document is in the file kept by the Administrator, and may be viewed in its entirety by the Task Force, or any member of the public upon request at City Hall, Room 244.



DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

JERRY THREET
Deputy City Attorney

DIRECT DIAL: (415) 554-3914
E-MAIL: jerry.threet@sfgov.org

MEMORANDUM

October 15, 2010

RAY HARTZ v. SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION (10051)

COMPLAINT

THE COMPLAINANT ALLEGES THE FOLLOWING:

Complainant Ray Hartz alleges that the San Francisco Police Commission ("Commission") failed to comply with the requirements of Administrative Code § 67.15(e) due to Commission President Joe Marshall changing the order of the agenda without taking a vote to do so or taking public comment on this change, and by denying members of the public the opportunity to comment on agenda item 2.

COMPLAINANT FILES COMPLAINT:

On September 27, 2010, Mr. Hartz filed a complaint against the Commission.

JURISDICTION

The Commission is a policy body of the City; therefore this committee generally has jurisdiction to determine whether there was a violation, as alleged.

APPLICABLE STATUTORY SECTION(S):

Section 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code:

Section 67.15(e) of the Sunshine Ordinance deals with changes in meeting agendas by the presiding officer of a policy body.

APPLICABLE CASE LAW:

none.

Memorandum

DATE: October 15, 2010
PAGE: 2
RE: Hartz v. The Police Commission: *Complaint 10051*

ISSUES TO BE DETERMINED

Uncontested Facts: Complainant alleges that, at the September 22, 2010 meeting of the Commission, Commission President Marshall changed the order of the agenda items without discussion by the Commission, public comment, or a vote of the Commission. Complainant further alleges that President Marshall also denied all members of the public the ability to comment on agenda item #2.

The Commission does not contest that President Marshall changed the order of the September 22, 2010 meeting agenda.

Contested Facts: Complainant alleges that the President's alleged actions violated Section 67.15(e) of the Sunshine Ordinance, without explaining further.

The Commission responds that President Marshall announced at the beginning of the September 22, 2010 meeting that the agenda was very full and that it might be necessary to change the order of the agenda during the meeting. Further, the Commission alleges that once it became clear that item 2b of the agenda was taking longer than anticipated, President Marshall announced that agenda item 5 would be taken out of order, so as to accommodate civilian witnesses that were to testify during a police officer disciplinary hearing listed under that agenda item. The Commission further alleges that, at the conclusion of item 5, the Commission returned to the regular agenda order to discuss item 2c, then took public comment on items 2a, 2b, and 2c.

QUESTIONS THAT MIGHT ASSIST IN DETERMINING FACTS:

- Was the public allowed to testify with regard to agenda item #2?
- Does Mr. Hartz dispute the facts alleged by the Commission in its response?

LEGAL ISSUES/LEGAL DETERMINATIONS:

- Were changes to the agenda announced by President Marshall "**at the beginning of a meeting, or as soon thereafter as the change or continuance becomes known,**" as required by § 67.15(e)?
- If the facts alleged are true, was the agenda change a violation of § 67.15(e)?

CONCLUSION

THE TASK FORCE FINDS THE FOLLOWING FACTS TO BE TRUE:

THE TASK FORCE FINDS THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS TO BE TRUE OR NOT TRUE.

Memorandum

DATE: October 15, 2010
PAGE: 3
RE: Hartz v. The Police Commission: *Complaint 10051*

THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION AS AMENDED BY PROPOSITION 59 IN 2004 PROVIDES FOR OPENNESS IN GOVERNMENT.

Article I Section 3 provides:

a) The people have the right to instruct their representative, petition government for redress of grievances, and assemble freely to consult for the common good.

b)(1) The people have the right of access to information concerning the conduct of the people's business, and therefore, the meetings of public bodies and the writings of public officials and agencies shall be open to public scrutiny.

2) A statute, court rule, or other authority, including those in effect on the effective date of this subdivision that limits the right of access shall be adopted with findings demonstrating the interest protect by the limitation and the need for protecting that interest.

3) Nothing in this subdivision supersedes or modifies the right of privacy guaranteed by Section 1 or affects the construction of any statute, court rule, or other authority to the extent that it protects that right to privacy, including any statutory procedures governing discovery or disclosure of information concerning the official performance or professional qualifications of a peace officer.

4) Nothing in this subdivision supersedes or modifies any provision of this Constitution, including the guarantees that person may not be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, or denied equal protection of the laws, as provided by Section 7.

5) This subdivision does not repeal or nullify, expressly or by implication, any constitutional or statutory exception to the right of access to public records or meetings or public bodies that is in effect on the effective date of this subdivision, including, but not limited to, any statute protecting the confidentiality of law enforcement and prosecution records.

6) Nothing in this subdivision repeals, nullifies, supersedes, or modifies protections for the confidentiality of proceedings and records of the Legislature, the Members of the Legislature, and its employees, committee, and caucuses provided by Section 7 of Article IV, state law, or legislative rules adopted in furtherance of those provisions: nor does it affect the scope of permitted discovery in judicial or administrative proceedings regarding deliberations of the Legislature, the Members of the Legislature, and its employees, committees, and caucuses.

Memorandum

DATE: October 15, 2010
PAGE: 4
RE: Hartz v. The Police Commission: *Complaint 10051*

**ATTACHED STATUTORY SECTIONS FROM CHAPTER 67 OF THE SAN
FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE)
UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED**

Section 67.1 addresses Findings and Purpose

The Board of Supervisors and the People of the City and County of San Francisco find and declare:

- (a) Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public.
- (b) Elected officials, commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. The people do not cede to these entities the right to decide what the people should know about the operations of local government.
- (c) Although California has a long tradition of laws designed to protect the public's access to the workings of government, every generation of governmental leaders includes officials who feel more comfortable conducting public business away from the scrutiny of those who elect and employ them. New approaches to government constantly offer public officials additional ways to hide the making of public policy from the public. As government evolves, so must the laws designed to ensure that the process remains visible.

[. . .]

- (f) The people of San Francisco enact these amendments to assure that the people of the City remain in control of the government they have created.
- (g) Private entities and individuals and employees and officials of the City and County of San Francisco have rights to privacy that must be respected. However, when a person or entity is before a policy body or passive meeting body, that person, and the public, has the right to an open and public process,

Memorandum

DATE: October 15, 2010
PAGE: 5
RE: Hartz v. The Police Commission: *Complaint 10051*

Section 67.15 of Ordinance provides:

SEC. 67.15. PUBLIC TESTIMONY.

(a) Every agenda for regular meetings shall provide an opportunity for members of the public to directly address a policy body on items of interest to the public that are within policy body's subject matter jurisdiction, provided that no action shall be taken on any item not appearing on the agenda unless the action is otherwise authorized by Section 67.7(e) of this article. However, in the case of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors, the agenda need not provide an opportunity for members of the public to address the Board on any item that has already been considered by a committee, composed exclusively of members of the Board, at a public meeting wherein all interested members of the public were afforded the opportunity to address the committee on the item, before or during the committee's consideration of the item, unless the item has been substantially changed since the committee heard the item, as determined by the Board.

(b) Every agenda for special meetings at which action is proposed to be taken on an item shall provide an opportunity for each member of the public to directly address the body concerning that item prior to action thereupon.

(c) A policy body may adopt reasonable regulations to ensure that the intent of subdivisions (a) and (b) are carried out, including, but not limited to, regulations limiting the total amount of time allocated for public testimony on particular issues and for each individual speaker. Each policy body shall adopt a rule providing that each person wishing to speak on an item before the body at a regular or special meeting shall be permitted to be heard once for up to three minutes. Time limits shall be applied uniformly to members of the public wishing to testify.

(d) A policy body shall **not abridge or prohibit public criticism** of the policy, procedures, programs or services of the City, or **of any other aspect of its proposals or activities, or of the acts or omissions of the body**, on the basis that the performance of one or more public employees is implicated, or **on any basis other than reasonable time constraints** adopted in regulations pursuant to subdivision (c) of this section.

(e) To facilitate public input, **any agenda changes or continuances shall be announced** by the presiding officer of a policy body **at the beginning of a meeting, or as soon thereafter as the change or continuance becomes known** to such presiding officer.



RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 SEP 27 AM 8:00

BY AK

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102
Tel. (415) 554-7724; Fax (415) 554-7854
<http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE COMPLAINT

Complaint against which Department or Commission SF Police Commission

Name of individual contacted at Department or Commission DR JOE MARSHALL

Alleged violation public records access
 Alleged violation of public meeting. Date of meeting SEPT 22, 2010

Sunshine Ordinance Section SECTION 67.15 (a) & (c) PUBLIC TESTIMONY
(If known, please cite specific provision(s) being violated)

Please describe alleged violation. Use additional paper if needed. Please attach any relevant documentation supporting your complaint.

IN HIS HANDLING OF THE POLICE COMMISSION MEETING OF 9/22/10 DR MARSHALL DENIED ^{ON AGENDA ITEM #2} ALL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT IN VIOLATION OF 67.15(a) THEN SKIPPED AGENDA ITEMS 3 & 4, PROCEEDING TO #5 WITHOUT DISCUSSION, PUBLIC COMMENT OF A VOTE ON THE CHANGE IN VIOLATION OF 67.15(c)

Do you want a public hearing before the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force? yes no
Do you also want a pre-hearing conference before the Complaint Committee? yes no

(Optional) Name RAY W HARTZ, JR Address 839 LEAVENWORTH ST #304

Telephone No. (415) 345-9144 E-Mail Address RWHARTZJR@SBCGLOBAL.NET

Date 9/23/10 Ray W Hartz
Signature

I request confidentiality of my personal information. yes no

¹ NOTICE: PERSONAL INFORMATION THAT YOU PROVIDE MAY BE SUBJECT TO DISCLOSURE UNDER THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT AND THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE, EXCEPT WHEN CONFIDENTIALITY IS SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED. YOU MAY LIST YOUR BUSINESS/OFFICE ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER AND E-MAIL ADDRESS IN LIEU OF YOUR HOME ADDRESS OR OTHER PERSONAL CONTACT INFORMATION. Complainants can be anonymous as long as the complainant provides a reliable means of contact with the SOTF (Phone number, fax number, or e-mail address).



RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 OCT 12 PM 2:52

The Police Commission

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BY AK

~~DR. JOE MARSHALL~~
President

THOMAS MAZZUCCO
Vice President

PETRA DEJESUS
Commissioner

ANGELA CHAN
Commissioner

JAMES HAMMER
Commissioner

CAROL KINGSLEY
Commissioner

R. JAMES SLAUGHTER
Commissioner

Lieutenant Joseph Reilly
Secretary

October 7, 2010

Mr. Chris Rustom, Administrator
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: SOTF Complaint 10051

Dear Mr. Rustom,

I am responding to the above referenced complaint with respect to the September 22, 2010 meeting of the Police Commission. The agenda for this meeting was posted as required, actually more than 72 hours in advance, and proper notice of the meeting was given. The Commission took general public comment as the first item on the agenda.

The latest version of the Good Government Guide states that "Policy bodies are not required to consider agenda items in the order they appear on the agenda. But the presiding officer must announce sequencing changes at the beginning of the meeting or as soon as they are known." "Policy bodies may begin consideration of an agenda item and then continue consideration of the item to a later point in the meeting." (GGG IV. E. 1. page 121-122) (emphasis added)

At the beginning of the September 22 meeting, the presiding officer stated (paraphrasing) that the agenda was full and it may be necessary to move things around.

At the conclusion of agenda item 2b it became apparent that agenda item 2a had consumed more time than anticipated. As a result, the presiding officer announced that agenda item 5 would be taken next out of order and that the Commission would return to complete agenda item 2c. Agenda item 5 concerned an officer disciplinary trial to be argued before of the whole Commission. Several civilian witnesses had received Police Commission Notices to Appear and were present to testify.

October 7, 2010

SOTF

10051

page 2

At the conclusion of agenda item 5, the Commission returned to the regular agenda order, returning to item 2c, and then completing agenda item 2 by taking public comment on items 2a, 2b and 2c. Thereafter, the Commission followed the regular agenda order to the conclusion of the meeting.

I anticipate that this review of the events of the Police Commission's September 22 meeting addresses the issues raised in the above referenced complaint.

Please call if you have any questions.

Very truly yours

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joseph Reilly". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "J" and a long, sweeping tail.

Lieutenant Joe Reilly, Secretary
San Francisco Police Commission

Attachment



RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 SEP 27 AM 8:07

BY AK

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102
Tel. (415) 554-7724; Fax (415) 554-7854
<http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE COMPLAINT

Complaint against which Department or Commission SF Police Commission

Name of individual contacted at Department or Commission DR JOE MARSHALL

Alleged violation public records access
 Alleged violation of public meeting. Date of meeting SEPT 22, 2010

Sunshine Ordinance Section SECTION 67.15 (a) + (c) PUBLIC TESTIMONY
(If known, please cite specific provision(s) being violated)

Please describe alleged violation. Use additional paper if needed. Please attach any relevant documentation supporting your complaint.

IN HIS HANDLING OF THE POLICE COMMISSION MEETING OF
9/22/10 DR MARSHALL DENIED ^{ON AGENDA ITEM #2} ALL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC
OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT IN VIOLATION OF 67.15(a) THEN SKIPPED
AGENDA ITEMS 3 & 4, PROCEEDING TO #5 WITHOUT DISCUSSION,
PUBLIC COMMENT OF A VOTE ON THE CHANGE IN VIOLATION OF 67.15(c).

Do you want a public hearing before the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force? yes no
Do you also want a pre-hearing conference before the Complaint Committee? yes no

(Optional)¹
Name RAY W HARTZ, JR Address 839 LEAVENWORTH ST #304

Telephone No. (415) 345-9144 E-Mail Address RWHARTZJR@SFGLOBAL.NET

Date 9/23/10 Ray W Hartz
Signature

I request confidentiality of my personal information. yes no

¹ NOTICE: PERSONAL INFORMATION THAT YOU PROVIDE MAY BE SUBJECT TO DISCLOSURE UNDER THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT AND THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE, EXCEPT WHEN CONFIDENTIALITY IS SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED. YOU MAY LIST YOUR BUSINESS/OFFICE ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER AND E-MAIL ADDRESS IN LIEU OF YOUR HOME ADDRESS OR OTHER PERSONAL CONTACT INFORMATION. Complainants can be anonymous as long as the complainant provides a reliable means of contact with the SOTF (Phone number, fax number, or e-mail address).

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2010 OCT 19 PM 2:34

BY AK

Sunday, October 17, 2010

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco CA 94102

Re: Complaint 10051

Commissioners,

Attached you will find exhibit A, the agenda for the Police Commission meeting of September 22, 2010, and exhibit B, a listing of the meeting as actually conducted.

At the beginning of the meeting, the presiding officer, Commission President Joe Marshall made the following statement:

"Lot of items in closed session. Want to get to them as quickly as possible. So we may move things around a little bit. Just to let you know, what tonight's agenda looks like."

Agenda item number 1, public comment was completed. The commission then proceeded to cover agenda item number 2, sections a and b. The presiding officer then said the following:

"Lieut., I think we'll move the agenda now as we did go longer than anticipated. So we'll come back to 2c later on. Let's move them to item number 5."

The police commission then proceeded to vote on moving into closed session. The closed session lasted approximately 4 hours, returning to open session at 10:25 PM. The commission then proceeded to cover the remainder of the agenda in nine minutes, adjourning at 10:34 PM.

This change to the agenda was done solely at the direction of the presiding officer. There was no commission discussion, motion, vote, or public comment. I believe this to be a violation of section 67.15(e). That section states the following:

"To facilitate public input, any agenda and changes or continuances shall be announced by the presiding officer of a policy body at the beginning of the meeting, or as soon thereafter as the changes or continuance becomes known to such presiding officer."

I do not believe that a simple statement by the presiding officer, at the beginning of the meeting, is sufficient notification. Further, I do not believe that stopping in the middle of an agenda item, and simply rearranging the agenda is appropriate, under any rules of order for a public meeting.

I believe the handling of the agenda at this meeting was done solely for the convenience of the commission and with disregard to the effects such changes would have on the public. I exited the meeting, and the City Hall, with approximately 12 members of the public. I heard a number of negative comments regarding this matter, and personally spoke with four individuals who had intended to comment on items moved to the end of the agenda.

Whether unintended or intended, the negative effect is evident. This was not an appropriate, or well managed, handling of the agenda. If unintended, the negative impact on public comment is evident by the fact that I was the only member of the public to remain for the completion of the agenda. If intended, the result was the same and as stated above, resulting in a lack of public participation and comment, and the simple fact that the meeting was completed in nine minutes. While this may be convenient for the commission and the commissioners, it is not fair to the public!

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ray W. Hartz, Jr.", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Ray W. Hartz, Jr.



The Police Commission

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION AGENDA

REGULAR MEETING

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 2010

5:30 p.m.

CITY HALL, ROOM 400
#1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California

DR. JOE MARSHALL
President

THOMAS MAZZUCCO
Vice President

PETRA DEJESUS
Commissioner

ANGELA CHAN
Commissioner

JAMES HAMMER
Commissioner

CAROL KINGSLEY
Commissioner

Roll Call; Pledge of Allegiance

Lieutenant Joseph Reilly
Secretary

1. **Public Comment**

(Members of the public may address the Police Commission for a time period determined by the Chair on items that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Commission but do not appear on the agenda. Speakers shall address their remarks to the Commission as a whole and not to individual Commissioners or Department personnel. Under the Police Commission Rules of Order, during the Public Comment, Commissioners are not to enter into debate or discussion with speakers.)

2. **Reports to the Commission (DISCUSSION ONLY)**

a. Chief's Report

- Review of recent activities
- Presentation of Certificates of Appreciation

b. OCC Director's Report

- Review of recent activities

c. Commission Reports

- Commission President's Report
- Commissioners' Reports

3. Discussion and possible action to request that the Department of Human Resources take all steps necessary to post a job announcement for Court Clerk, Civil Service Class 8113, to support the Police Commission, and to accept applications for that position (ACTION ITEM)

4. **Routine Administrative Business**

a. Commission Announcements (DISCUSSION ONLY)

- Reassignment of Disciplinary Case No. C10-042

b. Scheduling of items identified for consideration at future Commission Meetings (ACTION ITEM)

5. Public Comment on all matters pertaining to Closed Session

6. Vote on whether to hold Closed Session. (San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10) (ACTION ITEM)

Exhibit A



The Police Commission
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION AGENDA
REGULAR MEETING
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 2010
5:30 p.m.

CITY HALL, ROOM 400
#1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California

DR. JOE MARSHALL
President

THOMAS MAZZUCCO
Vice President

PETRA DEJESUS
Commissioner

ANGELA CHAN
Commissioner

JAMES HAMMER
Commissioner

CAROL KINGSLEY
Commissioner

Lieutenant Joseph Reilly
Secretary

7. Closed Session

- a. Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(b) and Penal Code Section 832.7: (ACTION ITEM)
PERSONNEL EXCEPTION: Discussion and possible action to accept or reject stipulated agreement of disciplinary charges filed in Case Nos. JWA C09-015, JWA C09-255, & JCT D06-179
- b. Pursuant to Government Code section 54957 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(b) and Penal Code Section 832.7:
PERSONNEL EXCEPTION: Discussion and possible action to sustain or not sustain disciplinary charges filed in case no. C04-137 (ACTION ITEM)
The following time limits will apply:
Department's Closing Argument: up to 10 minutes
Charged Officer's Closing Argument: up to 10 minutes
Department's Rebuttal: up to 5 minutes
- c. Pursuant to Government Code section 54957 and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(b) and Penal Code Section 832.7:
PERSONNEL EXCEPTION: Hearing and Taking of Evidence and possible action to sustain or not sustain Disciplinary Charges filed in Case No. C10-169

(OPEN SESSION)

Roll Call.

- 8. Vote to elect whether to disclose any or all discussion held in closed session (San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.12(a)) (ACTION ITEM)
- 9. Adjournment (ACTION ITEM)

*** SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR POLICE COMMISSION AGENDA ITEMS THAT ARE NOT CONFIDENTIAL AND DOCUMENTATION THAT HAVE BEEN DISTRIBUTED TO THE COMMISSION AFTER DISTRIBUTION OF THE AGENDA PACKETS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE POLICE COMMISSION OFFICE, THOMAS J. CAHILL HALL OF JUSTICE, 850 BRYANT STREET, ROOM 505, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. (415) 553-1667

PLEASE NOTE:

- 1. The Police Commission will hold a Special Meeting next month at a location other than City Hall.
The Commission will meet at the Embarcadero Conference Center, Promenade Level, Embarcadero Four, San Francisco at 10:00 a.m. on Saturday, October 2, 2010 to discuss its mission, responsibilities, rules and objectives.



The Police Commission

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION AGENDA

REGULAR MEETING

DR. JOE MARSHALL
President

THOMAS MAZZUCCO
Vice President

PETRA DEJESUS
Commissioner

ANGELA CHAN
Commissioner

JAMES HAMMER
Commissioner

CAROL KINGSLEY
Commissioner

Lieutenant Joseph Reilly
Secretary

The Commission will hear public comment on all agenda items before or during discussion of the item. Public comment is limited to three minutes for individuals and five minutes for representatives of organizations.

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public.

Commissions, boards, councils, and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ON YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE OR TO REPORT VIOLATION OF THE ORDINANCE, CONTACT THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE: ADMINISTRATOR, CITY HALL, ROOM 244, #1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-4689; OFFICE (415) 554-5163. COPIES OF THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE CAN BE OBTAINED FROM THE CLERK OF THE SUNSHINE TASK FORCE, THE SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY, AND ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE AT www.ci.sf.ca.us/bdsupvrs/sunshine

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Government Code §2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102; telephone (415) 581-2300; fax (415) 581-2317; webs site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION
PUBLIC MEETING/HEARING ACCESSIBILITY INFORMATION

Communication accessibility services; including sign language interpreters or computer aided real time captioning will be made available upon advance request. Please call the Police Commission Office at 553-1667 (v) or 553-9194 (tty), at least 72 hours prior to a proceeding. Late requests will be honored if possible.

A **sound enhancement system** will be available on request at the proceeding.

Meeting agendas and other print materials will be made available in alternate formats (computer disk, via email, fax, large print) upon advance request at least 72 hours prior to a proceeding. Please call the Police Commission Office at 553-1667 (v) or 553-9194 (tty). Late requests will be honored if possible.

Individuals with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities should call 553-1667 to discuss meeting accessibility. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate such people, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical based products.

The proceeding will be held at City Hall, Room 400, #1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco. The closest accessible BART station is the Civic Center Station. For information about MUNI service, please call 311.

Accessible parking are available on Carlton Goodlett Place, Grove Street, and Van Ness Avenue.

Accessible seating for persons with disabilities and wheelchairs will be available.

For information about the meeting, please call 553-1667(v) or 553-9194 (tty).



**City and County
of San Francisco**

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 2010

1. Public Comment
2. Reports to the Commission
- 2a. Chief's Report
- 2b. OCC Director's Report
5. Public Comment on all matters pertaining to Closed Session
6. Vote on whether to hold Closed Session. (San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10)
7. Closed Session
8. Vote to elect whether to disclose any or all discussion held in closed session (San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.12(a))
- 2c. Commission Reports
3. Discussion and possible action to request that the Department of Human Resources take all steps necessary to post a job announcement for Court Clerk, Civil Service Class 8113, to support the Police Commission, and to accept applications for that position
4. Routine Administrative Business
4. Routine Administrative Business
9. Adjournment