

Date: Feb. 22, 2011

Item No. 30

File No. _____

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST*

- Administrators Report**
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

Completed by: Chris Rustom

Date: _____

***This list reflects the explanatory documents provided**

~ Late Agenda Items (documents received too late for distribution to the Task Force Members)

** The document this form replaces exceeds 25 pages and will therefore not be copied for the packet. The original document is in the file kept by the Administrator, and may be viewed in its entirety by the Task Force, or any member of the public upon request at City Hall, Room 244.

**SUNSHINE ORDINANCE
TASK FORCE**



**City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-7724
Fax No. (415) 554-7854
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227**

MEMORANDUM

TO: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

DATE: February 15, 2011

SUBJECT: Administrator's Report

1. Requests from community persons:

From January 19, 2011, through February 15, 2011, the Task Force's office responded to approximately 319 calls/e-mails/office visits from persons requesting information regarding the Sunshine Ordinance, or to mediate request for records.

2. 2010/11 Complaint Log

3. Communications Received Log

4. Correspondence regarding:

- #10052_Kai Wilson v North of Market Tenderloin CBD
- #10040_Ellen Tsang v Planning Department
- Eileen Shields, Department of Public Health

Complaints 2010

Date Received	Complainant	Department/Respondent	Status
1/12/2010	Nick Pasquarello 10001	General Services Agency	Task Force 02/23/2010, No jurisdiction
1/22/2010	Nancy Cross 10002	Law Library	Task Force 02/23/2010, No jurisdiction
1/22/2010	Nancy Cross 10003	ECS Sanctuary	Task Force 02/23/2010, No violation
1/22/2010	Rita O'Flynn 10004	City Attorney's Office	Task Force 02/23/2010, Withdrawn
2/2/2010	Emil Lawrence 10005	MTA	Complaint 03/09/10, Task Force 3/23/2010, No violation
2/4/2010	Paula Datesh 10006	Arts Commission	Complaint 03/09/10, No jurisdiction
2/22/2010	Chris Daly 10007	Mayor's Office	Complaint 03/09/10, Task Force 3/23/2010, violated 67.21(b), 67.21(e), 67.25(b), Task Force 04/27/10, referred to EC and BOS
3/3/2010	Sandra Brotherton 10008	Dept. of Emergency Management	Complaint 04/27/10, Task Force 4/27/2010, No further action
3/10/2010	Majeid Crawford 10009	City Attorney's Office	Complaint 5/11/10, TF 5/25/2010, violated 67.26, 67.27, TF 6/22/10, referred to EC
3/26/2010	Paula Datesh 10010	Arts Commission	Complaint 5/11/10, TF 5/25/2010, TF 6/22/10, 07/27/2010, 08/24/2010, Tabled
3/26/2010	Juan De Anda 10011	Public Health	Task Force 04/27/10, contd 05/25/10, Tabled
3/29/2010	Ellen Tsang 10012	Planning Department	Task Force 04/27/10, violated 67.21(e), 67.25, TF 6/22/10, no further action
4/5/2010	Nick Pasquarello 10013	Dept. of Technology	Task Force 05/25/10, violated 67.21(b), TF 6/22/10, CAC 8/10/10, TF 08/24/2010, referred to EC
4/9/2010	Michael Robinson 10014	Rent Board	Complaint 5/11/10, no jurisdiction
4/14/2010	Ellen Tsang 10015	Planning Department	Task Force 05/25/10, violated 67.21, 67.25, 67.26 and 67.27, TF 6/22/10, referred to EC
4/10/2010	Ray Hartz 10016	Rent Board	Complaint 5/11/10, TF 5/25/2010, violated 67.24(c)(1)(i) and (ii), TF 6/22/10, matter concluded
4/10/2010	Ray Hartz 10017	Rent Board	Complaint 5/11/10, TF 5/25/2010, No further action
5/18/2010	Svetlana Ptashnaya 10018	Aging and Adult Services	Complaint 6/8/2010, TF 6/22/10, violated 67.21(c), 67.21(e) 67.24(c)(7) & 67.27, CAC 07/13/2010, TF 7/27/2010, referred to EC
5/21/2010	Alvin Xex 10019	First 5 (San Francisco)	Complaint 6/22/10, Tabled
4/26/2010	Kenneth Kinnard 10020	Human Rights Commission	Complaint 5/11/10, no jurisdiction
4/26/2010	Anonymous 10021	Recreation and Parks Department	Task Force 5/25/10, withdrawn 5/24/10
5/3/2010	Suzanne Dumont 10022	Recreation and Parks Department	Complaint 6/8/2010, TF 6/22/10, violated 67.27, CAC 07/13/2010, Matter concluded

Complaints 2010

5/21/2010	Alvin Xex 10023	First 5 (San Francisco)	Complaint 07/13/10, Tabled
5/25/2010	Ray Hartz 10024	San Francisco Police Dept	Complaint TF 6/22/10 Contd 07/27/2010, no further action
5/25/2010	Ray Hartz 10025	San Francisco Police Commission	TF 6/22/10, violated 67.29 & 67.21(e), CAC 07/13/2010, 08/10/2010, TF 08/24/2010, Matter concluded
5/25/2010	Ray Hartz 10026	City Attorney's Office	TF 6/22/10, Contd. 07/27/2010, 07/27/2010, Withdrawn 07/27/2010
6/1/2010	Barry Taranto 10027	MTA Board of Directors	Task Force 7/27/10, vio 67.7, EOTC 08/12/2010, 09/08/2010, 10/14/2010, 1/13/2011, 2/10/2011
6/1/2010	Charles Pitts 10028	Local Homeless Coordinating Board	Task Force 7/27/10, Matter concluded
6/1/2010	Charles Pitts 10029	Local Homeless Coordinating Board	Task Force 7/27/10, Matter concluded
6/4/2010	Michael Wright 10030	SF Human Services Agency	Complaint 7/13/10, 07/27/2010, 08/24/2010, referred to EC, CAC 09/14/2010, Task Force 9/28/2010, referred to EC, Task Force 10/26/2010, Referred to EC
6/23/12/010	Charles Pitts 10031	Local Homeless Coordinating Board	Task Force 7/27/10, 08/24/2010, EOTC 10/14/08/10, 11/11/2010
6/23/2010	Mike Addario 10032	Human Rights Commission	Complaint 7/13/10, Closed 06/28/2010, False Claim
6/23/2010	Milindha Morahela 10033	Arts Commission	Complaint 7/13/10, Withdrawn 7/13/2010
6/28/2010	Nick Pasquariello 10034	Department of Technology	Complaint 7/13/10, 07/27/2010, 08/24/2010, EOTC 10/14/2010, 11/11/2010, 1/13/2011, Concluded
7/2/2010	Nick Pasquariello 10035	Bay Area Video Coalition	Task Force 08/24/2010, Complaint 09/14/2010, 10/12/2010, Withdrawn 10/09/2010
7/1/2010	Tomas Picarello 10036	SRO Task Force	Complaint 08/10/2010, Task Force 08/24/2010, EOTC 10/14/2010, 11/11/2010, 1/13/2011, 2/10/2011
7/7/2010	Suzanne Dumont 10037	Recreation and Parks Department	Task Force 08/24/10, Withdrawn
7/9/2010	Jason Grant Garza 10038	Dept. of Public Health	Task Force 08/24/2010, referred to EC & Task Force tabled 09/28/2010, Reheard 11/30/2010, Concluded
7/27/2010	Suzanne Dumont 10039	Recreation and Parks Department	Task Force 09/14/2010, withdrawn
7/28/2010	Ellen Tsang 10040	Planning Department	Task Force 09/28/2010, Contd 10/26/2010, 11/18/2010, 01/04/2011, Concluded
7/28/2010	William & Robert Clark 10041	Mayor's Office, Board of Supervisors, Arts Commission	Complaint 09/14/2010, Task Force 9/28/2010, 10/26/2010
8/2/2010	Cal Tilden 10042	Recreation and Parks Department	Task Force 09/28/2010, Contd 10/26/2010, 11/18/2010, 01/04/2011, Concluded
8/9/2010	Brandon Combs 10043	Sheriff's Department	Task Force 09/28/2010, Tabled
8/17/2010	Rita O'Flynn 10044	Mayor's Office of Housing	Withdrawn 09/07/2010
8/19/2010	Randall Evans 10045	Mo' Magic (Public Defender)	Complaint 09/14/2010, Task Force 9/28/2010, Matter concluded
8/27/2010	Joseph Victor Lagana10046	Police Department	Complaint 9/14/2010, Task Force 9/28/2010, Matter concluded

Complaints 2010

9/22/2010	Kellee Lanza 10047	District Attorney	Complaint 10/12/2010, Task Force 10/26/2010, CAC 11/09/2010, Task Force 11/18/2010, EC
9/23/2010	Stephen Williams 10048	Historic Preservation Commission	Task Force 11/30/2010, EOTC 2/10/2011
9/23/2010	Stephen Williams 10049	Planning Department	Task Force 11/30/2010, EOTC 2/10/2011
9/27/2010	Ray Hartz 10050	Police Commission (Mazzucco)	Task Force 10/26/2010, 11/30/2010, 01/04/2011, Contd 1/20/2011, EOTC 2/10/2011
9/27/2010	Ray Hartz 10051	Police Commission (Marshall)	Task Force 10/26/2010, Contd 11/18/2010, Concluded
10/7/2010	Kai Wilson 10052	North of Market/Tenderloin CBD	Complaint 11/09/2010, Task Force 11/30/2010, CAC 02/8/2011, Concluded
10/12/2010	Jim Flannery 10053	Dept. of Public Health	Task Force 11/30/2010, Contd 1/4/2011, Tabled
10/14/2010	Ray Hartz 10054	Library Commission	Task Force 11/30/2010, 01/04/2011, Contd 1/20/2011, 1/25/2011, EOTC 3/10/2011
10/14/2010	Ray Hartz 10055	Police Commission	Task Force 11/30/2010, Contd 1/4/2011, EOTC
10/26/2010	Peter Witt 10056	Municipal Transportation Agency	Task Force 01/04/2011, Contd, 1/20/2011, Concluded
10/27/2010	Rita O'Flynn 10057	Controller's Office	Complaint Committee 12/14/2010, Contd 1/4/2011, 1/20/2011, Withdrawn 1/14/2011
10/27/2010	Sean McGuire 10058	SF Unified School District	Complaint Committee 12/14/2010, Withdrawn, 12/13/2010
11/1/2010	Dorian Maxwell 10059	Municipal Transportation Agency	Task Force 1/4/2011, Contd, 1/20/2011, 1/25/2011, CAC 2/8/2011, Contd 3/8/2011
11/9/2010	Charles Pitts 10060	Local Homeless Coordinating Board	Complaint Committee 12/14/2011, TF 1/4/11, Contd 1/25/2011, EOTC 3/10/2011
11/9/2010	William & Robert Clark 10061	City Attorney's Office	Complaint Committee 12/14/2011, TF 1/4/11, Concluded
11/16/2010	Tomas Picarello 10062	North of Market/Tenderloin CBD	Complaint Committee 12/14/2011, Withdrawn, 12/13/2010
11/16/2010	Debra Benedict 10063	Mayor's Office of Economic & Workforce Development (MOEWD)	Task Force 1/4/2011, Contd, 1/20/2011, 1/25/2011, CAC 2/8/2011, TF 2/22/2011
11/16/2010	Anonymous Tenants 10064	City Attorney's Office	Task Force 1/4/2011, Contd, 1/20/2011
11/19/2010	Debra Benedict 10065	SF Bar Association	Complaint 1/11/2011, Task Force 1/25/2011, Concluded
11/29/2010	Dorian Maxwell 10066	SFMTA	Task Force 1/25/2011, EOTC 3/10/2011
11/22/2010	Charles Pitts 10067	Local Homeless Coordinating Board	Complaint 2/8/2011, Task Force 2/22/2011
11/22/2010	Nick Pasquariello 10068	SFMTA	Task Force 1/25/2011, Contd 2/22/2011
12/17/2010	William & Robert Clark 10069	Arts Commission	Task Force 1/25/2011, CAC 2/8/2011, Task Force 2/22/2011
12/8/2010	Anonymous 10070	Human Resources	Task Force 1/25/2011

Complaints 2010

12/17/2010	Jason Grant Garza 10071	Haight Ashbury Free Clinics	Complaint 1/11/2011, Task Force 1/25/2011, Tabled
12/15/2010	Bruce McLellan 10072	Recreation & Park Department	Task Force 1/25/2011, Concluded
12/21/2010	Debra Benedict 10073	Baker Places	Task Force 2/22/2011
12/22/2010	William & Robert Clark 10074	Arts Commission	Task Force 2/22/2011
12/24/2010	Anonymous 10075	Recreation & Park Department	Task Force 2/22/2011

Complaints 2011

Date Received	Complainant	Department/Respondent	Status
1/11/2011	William & Robert Clark 11001	City Attorney's Office	Task Force 2/22/2011
1/11/2011	William & Robert Clark 11002	City Attorney's Office	Task Force 2/22/2011

COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVED LOG

Jan 19, 2011, through Feb 15, 2011

DATE	FROM	DESCRIPTION
1	1/19/2011 Matt Dorsey	RE: City Attorney response
2	1/19/2011 Alex Clark	RE: City Attorney response
3	1/29/2011 mpetrelis	SCOTUS's Chief Justice Roberts has a lesbian cousin in S.F.
4	1/19/2011 mpetrelis	Gay Kawa virtually ran the city for years
5	1/20/2011 Christian Holmer	CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit: 01-15-11 to 01-21-11
6	1/20/2011 Christian Holmer	CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit: 01-15-11 to 01-21-11
7	1/20/2011 Lori Mazzola	Blood Drive TODAY!!
8	1/20/2011 Vinney Arora	Vinney Arora's email address
9	1/20/2011 Lori Mazzola	Last week collecting books!
10	1/20/2011 mpetrelis	Is Ed Lee a caretaker?
11	1/21/2011 Jason Grant Garza	IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST # 4
12	1/22/2011 Jason Grant Garza	FW: #10071_Jason Grant Garza vs Haight Ashbury Free Clinics (Second response)
13	1/22/2011 Jason Grant Garza	FW: SOTF hearing reminder: #10071_Jason Grant Garza vs Haight Ashbury FreeClinics
14	1/22/2011 Peter Heinecke	FW: Follow Up on Information Request
15	1/23/2011 Kimo Crossman	Interesting - Federal Gov releases completed investigations
16	1/24/2011 alvinjohnson	Re: Sunshine Complaint Received: #10070_Anonymous v Human Resources
17	1/24/2011 alvinjohnson	Fwd: Fwd: Fwd: Oversight of DHR's Information Systems (IS) Pilot Classification Project
18	1/24/2011 alvinjohnson	Fwd: Fwd: Fwd: TRW \$17.1 Million Contract Award
19	1/24/2011 James Rosenfield	General Sunshine Ordinance Questions
20	1/24/2011 alvinjohnson	Fwd: Fwd: Re: DTIS Signature Authorization Forms Request
21	1/24/2011 alvinjohnson	Fwd: Fwd: Re: Claim # 073498 -Initial Notice of Deposition Request of Joseph P. Held & Herb
22	1/24/2011 alvinjohnson	Fwd: Fwd: Fwd: DTIS E911 Project Fund/Emergency Response Fee Abuse
23	1/24/2011 alvinjohnson	SOTF Meeting
24	1/24/2011 Lori Mazzola	Civic Center Campus Chronicle -January/February 2011
25	1/25/2011 mpetrelis	SF queer health clinic LyonMartin closes on Thursday?!
26	1/25/2011 mpetrelis	Historic preservation under fire
27	1/25/2011 Jack Song	Re: SUNSHINE REQUEST #2 / IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST
28	1/25/2011 Bay Guardian	G-List: The Guardian's top to dos
29	1/25/2011 mpetrelis	SOS meeting 6:30 tonite: SF center, over Lyon/Martin clinic closure
30	1/25/2011 mpetrelis	100+ at Lyon/Martin emergency meeting
31	1/25/2011 James Chafee	SOTF decends into travesty and farce
32	1/26/2011 Cynthia Carter	Sunshine Complaint
33	1/26/2011 Bay Guardian	Obama can't win the future
34	1/27/2011 Anon Tenants	Re: 5th SUNSHINE REQUEST / IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST
35	1/27/2011 Fiona Ma	Make your voice heard on the budget
36	1/27/2011 Christian Holmer	CCSF SFSM weekly WEEKLY Sunshine Audit: 01-22-11 to 01-28-11
37	1/27/2011 Christian Holmer	CCSF SFSM weekly WEEKLY Sunshine Audit: 01-22-11 to 01-28-11
38	1/27/2011 Christian Holmer	IMMEDIATE DICLSURE REQUEST: Last SOTF Submission Through 12-31-10
39	1/27/2011 Anon Tenants	Fw: SUNSHINE REQUEST #2 / IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST
40	1/28/2011 mpetrelis	February 3rd: Protest in SF over murdered gay Ugandan David Kato
41	1/28/2011 Lori Mazzola	Book Swap TODAY!!-SOUTH Light Court
42	1/28/2011 Linda Sonntag	Attention Frank Derby- Sunshine ordinance -
43	1/28/2011 Anon Tenants	attachment to: Re: 5th SUNSHINE REQUEST / IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE
44	1/29/2011 mpetrelis	Feb. 3 SF rally poster for David Kato/gay Ugandans needs publicity
45	1/30/2011 Jason Grant Garza	Fw: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST # 4 (Scheduling of this matter with SOTF)
46	1/31/2011 Jason Grant Garza	IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST
47	1/31/2011 Bay Guardian	Free Muni for kids?
48	1/31/2011 mpetrelis	Castro biz group won't lower flag for David Kato/gay Ugandans on Feb 3 at Milk Plaza
49	2/1/2011 Bay Guardian	No special tax break for Twitter
50	2/1/2011 Cal Tilden	Request for document
51	2/1/2011 Bay Guardian	G-List: The Guardian's top to dos
52	2/1/2011 Anon Tenants	Ruling
53	2/2/2011 Kimo Crossman	Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet
54	2/2/2011 Richard Knee	Re: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST
55	2/2/2011 Richard Knee	Re: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet
56	2/2/2011 mpetrelis	S.F. unites for gay Ugandans Feb 3; Trans, Srs of Indulgence & Gays w/o Borders
57	2/3/2011 Christian Holmer	CCSF SFSM weekly WEEKLY Sunshine Audit: 01-29-11 to 02-04-11
58	2/3/2011 Christian Holmer	CCSF SFSM weekly WEEKLY Sunshine Audit: 01-29-11 to 02-04-11
59	2/3/2011 mpetrelis	B.A.R.: What gay Uganda rally tonight? SF paper's censorship is shameful
60	2/3/2011 mpetrelis	1st time ever: Milk Plaza rainbow flag, half-mast tonight for global gays & Uganda
61	2/3/2011 Chris Roberts	Immediate Disclosure Request
62	2/3/2011 District 5	February 2011 Newsletter - Ross Mirkarimi



Matt
Dorsey/CTYATT@CTYATT
01/19/2011 10:15 AM

To "Alex Clark" <aclark@mitchell-engineering.com>@SFGOV
cc "Alicia Cabrera" <Alicia.Cabrera@sfgov.org>, "Dora Okai"
<Dora.Okai@sfgov.org>, ethics.commission@sfgov.org,
"George Wong" <George.Wong@sfgov.org>, "Gina
bcc
Subject RE: City Attorney response

Mr. Clark,

I regret that the conscientious performance of our public duties prevents us from squandering further time and resources in continued debate about your legal theories. I am attaching our previous response for your reference, and would urge you to contact your legislators to discuss amending the relevant statutes to which you object.

Best,
MATT DORSEY
Press Secretary

OFFICE OF CITY ATTORNEY DENNIS HERRERA
San Francisco City Hall, Room 234
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102-4682

(415) 554-4662 Direct
(415) 554-4700 Reception
(415) 554-4715 Facsimile
(415) 554-6770 TTY

<http://www.sfcityattorney.org/>

From: "Alex Clark" <aclark@mitchell-engineering.com>
To: "Matt Dorsey" <Matt.Dorsey@sfgov.org>
Cc: "Noreen Ambrose" <Noreen.Ambrose@sfgov.org>, "Alicia Cabrera" <Alicia.Cabrera@sfgov.org>, "Virginia Dario Elizondo" <Virginia.Dario.Elizondo@sfgov.org>, "Ronald Flynn" <Ronald.Flynn@sfgov.org>, "Gina Gutierrez" <Gina.Gutierrez@sfgov.org>, "Dora Okai" <Dora.Okai@sfgov.org>, "Louise Simpson" <Louise.Simpson@sfgov.org>, "Therese Stewart" <Therese.Stewart@sfgov.org>, "Teresa Tan" <Teresa.Tan@sfgov.org>, "George Wong" <George.Wong@sfgov.org>, "SOTF" <sotf@sfgov.org>, <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>, <publicrecords@sfgov.org>
Date: 01/18/2011 01:30 PM
Subject: RE: City Attorney response



"Alex Clark"
<aclark@mitchell-engineering.com>

01/19/2011 12:14 PM

To <Matt.Dorsey@sfgov.org>

cc "Alicia Cabrera" <Alicia.Cabrera@sfgov.org>, "Dora Okai" <Dora.Okai@sfgov.org>, <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>, "George Wong" <George.Wong@sfgov.org>, "Gina"

bcc

Subject RE: City Attorney response

Matt,

Theories and debates aside, to which I had foregone in my last correspondence, I only want to verify that you and/or the City Attorney's Office, Mr. Dennis Herrera or any other Official of the City and County of San Francisco is refusing to pass along information to the public about how much of their tax dollars you are spending, which, "may" be without justification and accountability.

Thus, is CCSF denying the public this information?

Alex Clark
Mitchell Engineering
(415) 562-3222

This E-mail message and any documents accompanying this transmission may contain privileged and/or confidential information and is intended solely for the addressee(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, please be aware that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please reply to the sender advising of the error in transmission. We will be happy to arrange for the return of this message at no cost to you.

From: Matt.Dorsey@sfgov.org [mailto:Matt.Dorsey@sfgov.org]

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2011 10:16 AM

To: aclark@mitchell-engineering.com

Cc: 'Alicia Cabrera'; 'Dora Okai'; ethics.commission@sfgov.org; 'George Wong'; 'Gina Gutierrez'; 'Louise Simpson'; 'Noreen Ambrose'; publicrecords@sfgov.org; 'Ronald Flynn'; 'SOTF'; 'Teresa Tan'; 'Therese Stewart'; 'Virginia Dario Elizondo'

Subject: RE: City Attorney response

Mr. Clark,

I regret that the conscientious performance of our public duties prevents us from squandering further time and resources in continued debate about your legal theories. I am attaching our previous response for your reference, and would urge you to contact your legislators to discuss amending the relevant statutes to which you object.

Best,
MATT DORSEY
Press Secretary

OFFICE OF CITY ATTORNEY DENNIS HERRERA
San Francisco City Hall, Room 234
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102-4682

(415) 554-4662 Direct
(415) 554-4700 Reception
(415) 554-4715 Facsimile



mpetrelis@aol.com
01/19/2011 04:03 PM

To brock@sist.com, eve@sfappeal.com, btau@politico.com,
bsmith@politico.com

cc

bcc

Subject SCOTUS's Chief Justice Roberts has a lesbian cousin in S.F.

The things you learn by reading Fortune magazine:

<http://tinyurl.com/4aq4sea>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/01/supreme-court-chief-justice-roberts-has.html>

Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



mpetrelis@aol.com
01/19/2011 10:14 PM

To donnymoss@mac.com, luke.a.thomas@gmail.com,
steve@sfbg.com, eve@sfappeal.com, brock@sfrist.com,
pbronstein@sfnchronicle.com

cc

bcc

Subject S.F. Chronicle: Gay Kawa virtually ran the city for years

After the backroom deal has been signed, sealed and delivered to SF citizens,
our largest daily now informs us of facts that should have reported, and in more depth,
prior to the installation of our titular mayor:

<http://tinyurl.com/4n7mob5>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/01/sf-chronicle-kawa-virtually-ran-city.html>

Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>

01/20/2011 07:35 AM

To "SFSM Information Clearinghouse / SFSMscribdarchive /
SFSM Press List" <mail@csrsf.com>, "ProSFlist /
ProSFblog / ProSFscribdarchive" <home@prosf.org>,
cc

bcc

Subject CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit: 01-15-11 to 01-21-11: SF BOS
AllStaff and Sunshine/CPRA and
Print/Broadcast/NewMediaPress Requests: Detailed CCSF
Office Calendars: Immediate Disclosure Sunshine Request:
SFSM BOS Resolution #040684:

SFSM Public Records Press Request Audit SFSM Public Records Press Request
Audit: SFSM 01-15-11 to 01-21-11: Working, Daily, Weekly Calendars - Public
Officials:

CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit RESPONSE From SF City Attorneys Office Attached.

This request is Based on the California Public Records Act, San Francisco
Sunshine Ordinance, the Prop 59 California Constitutional Amendment and BOS
San Francisco Survival Manual Resolution #040684 (Attached Below).

Documents Subject to Weekly CCSF SFSM Audit For Documents City Departments
Are Required To Maintain Include Any Records Requests from the Fourth Estate
(The Press - Print, Broadcast, On-line), Private Or Public Citizens,
Community Based Organization/Non-Governmental Organizations, Legal Concerns
And Corporate Interests As Well As requests Made The Members Of The SF Board
Of Supervisors, Their Legislative Staffs Or Clerk Of The Boards Office.

This Request is for Copies of Any and all Public Records Request Submissions
to your Department, Offices or Employee. These requests are designed to
minimize document reproduction and document retrieval costs for all.

For This Fridays Response Please Provide Subject Public Records Requests in
Their Original Electronic Formats.

SFSM "People's" Sunshine Audit

In an ongoing effort to monitor:

1) Consistency of compliance to California Public Records laws and
ordinances with respect to access to Public Records and responses from your
department,

- 2) Consistency of the advice provided by the city attorney,
- 3) Promote more government transparency and accountability,
- 4) Save the City Money Through the Prevention of Fraud, Graft and Corruption.
- 5) Establishing Standards and Practices for Keeping Document Creation, Retrieval and Reproduction Costs to a Minimum.
- 6) Establish best practices in providing public records using the fewest city resources and in the shortest turnaround.

SFSM INFORMATION CLEARINGHOUSE RESOLUTION #040684

Resolution #040684 urging City Departments to share departmental database data for an informational project with the San Francisco Survival Manual Publication for the benefit of both community organizations and the larger city-wide community.

WHEREAS, City Departments gather and maintain a wide variety of invaluable, yet underutilized data, such as demographic, population and budgetary information; and

WHEREAS, City Departmental data could be used to encourage community development and decision making, to produce updated lists of community services, to increase the efficiency and efficacy of services, and to connect people with the organizations that purport to represent them; and

WHEREAS, This information is not currently organized, maintained or disseminated in a cohesive way for the public to access; and,

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Survival Manual has collected and disseminated information on all SF populations, community organizations, government bodies and advocacy groups for 35 years; and

WHEREAS, The volunteer staff of the San Francisco Survival Manual will provide all the principal labor involved in making the database user friendly for the public; and

WHEREAS, The operation of this information clearinghouse will be based on grants and community fiscal sponsorship and will be at no expense to the city, now, therefore, be it



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>

01/20/2011 07:35 AM

To "SFSM Information Clearinghouse / SFSMscribdarchive /
SFSM Press List" <mail@csrsf.com>, "ProSFlist /
ProSFblog / ProSFscribdarchive" <home@prosf.org>,
cc

bcc

Subject CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit: 01-15-11 to 01-21-11: SF BOS
AllStaff and Sunshine/CPRA and
Print/Broadcast/NewMediaPress Requests: Detailed CCSF
Office Calendars: Immediate Disclosure Sunshine Request:
SFSM BOS Resolution #040684:

SFSM Public Records Press Request Audit SFSM Public Records Press Request
Audit: SFSM 01-15-11 to 01-21-11: Working, Daily, Weekly Calendars - Public
Officials:

CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit RESPONSE From SF City Attorneys Office Attached.

This request is Based on the California Public Records Act, San Francisco
Sunshine Ordinance, the Prop 59 California Constitutional Amendment and BOS
San Francisco Survival Manual Resolution #040684 (Attached Below).

Documents Subject to Weekly CCSF SFSM Audit For Documents City Departments
Are Required To Maintain Include Any Records Requests from the Fourth Estate
(The Press - Print, Broadcast, On-line), Private Or Public Citizens,
Community Based Organization/Non-Governmental Organizations, Legal Concerns
And Corporate Interests As Well As requests Made The Members Of The SF Board
Of Supervisors, Their Legislative Staffs Or Clerk Of The Boards Office.

This Request is for Copies of Any and all Public Records Request Submissions
to your Department, Offices or Employee. These requests are designed to
minimize document reproduction and document retrieval costs for all.

For This Fridays Response Please Provide Subject Public Records Requests in
Their Original Electronic Formats.

SFSM "People's" Sunshine Audit

In an ongoing effort to monitor:

1) Consistency of compliance to California Public Records laws and
ordinances with respect to access to Public Records and responses from your
department,



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>
01/20/2011 07:38 AM

To "SFSM Information Clearinghouse / SFSMscribdarchive /
SFSM Press List" <mail@csrsf.com>, "ProSFlist /
ProSFblog / ProSFscribdarchive" <home@prosf.org>,
cc

bcc

Subject CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit: 01-15-11 to 01-21-11: SF BOS
AllStaff and Sunshine/CPRA and
Print/Broadcast/NewMediaPress Requests: Detailed CCSF
Office Calendars: Immediate Disclosure Sunshine Request:
SFSM BOS Resolution #040684:

SFSM Public Records Press Request Audit. SFSM Public Records Press Request
Audit: SFSM 01-15-11 to 01-21-11: Working, Daily, Weekly Calendars - Public
Officials:

CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit RESPONSE From SF City Attorneys Office Attached.

This request is Based on the California Public Records Act, San Francisco
Sunshine Ordinance, the Prop 59 California Constitutional Amendment and BOS
San Francisco Survival Manual Resolution #040684 (Attached Below).

Documents Subject to Weekly CCSF SFSM Audit For Documents City Departments
Are Required To Maintain Include Any Records Requests from the Fourth Estate
(The Press - Print, Broadcast, On-line), Private Or Public Citizens,
Community Based Organization/Non-Governmental Organizations, Legal Concerns
And Corporate Interests As Well As requests Made The Members Of The SF Board
Of Supervisors, Their Legislative Staffs Or Clerk Of The Boards Office.

This Request is for Copies of Any and all Public Records Request Submissions
to your Department, Offices or Employee. These requests are designed to
minimize document reproduction and document retrieval costs for all.

For This Fridays Response Please Provide Subject Public Records Requests in
Their Original Electronic Formats.

SFSM "People's" Sunshine Audit

In an ongoing effort to monitor:

1) Consistency of compliance to California Public Records laws and
ordinances with respect to access to Public Records and responses from your
department,

Lori
Mazzola/ADMSVC/SFGOV
01/20/2011 08:46 AM

To
cc
bcc SOTF/SOTF/SFGOV
Subject IMPORTANT BUILDING INFORMATION- Blood Drive
TODAY!!



John Updike
Acting Director of Real Estate



REMINDER!!

Blood Centers of the Pacific will be hosting a Blood Drive

**TODAY, Thursday, JANUARY 20, 2010, between 10:00AM and
3:00PM.**

**The Donor Coach will be located on GROVE STREET near Van
Ness Avenue.**

**Each Donor will receive a \$50 Certificate towards any regularly
scheduled Brunch or Dinner**

Cruise for Two aboard Hornblower Cruises & Events!

**For more information or to register, please click on the link
below.**

<http://www.sfgsa.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=7458>

Thank you.



Vinney Arora
<vinneyarora@apafss.org>

01/20/2011 11:04 AM

To sotf@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Vinney Arora's email address

--

Vinney Arora
Special Projects Manager
APA Family Support Services

www.apafss.org

www.facebook.com/apafss


Children are our Future!
730 Commercial Street
San Francisco, California 94108
Tel: 415 616-9792 ext. 117
Fax: 415 616-9796

Lori
Mazzola/ADMSVC/SFGOV
01/20/2011 04:53 PM

To
cc
bcc SOTF/SOTF/SFGOV
Subject BUILDING INFORMATION- REMINDER-last week collecting
books!



John Updike
Acting Director of Real Estate



WE NEED BOOKS!! LAST REMINDER!!

ONE WEEK LEFT until the third annual City Hall BOOK SWAP!

Friday, January 28, 2011!

Please bring gently used and unwanted books **to Room 8 in CITY HALL**
any weekday, between 8:00AM and 5:00PM.

For each donated book, ONE ticket will be issued which can be used to enter the Book Swap during the "Early Access" period, from 9:00AM to 10:00AM, at which time ONE book can be exchanged for ONE ticket.

The BOOK SWAP will then open to ALL City Employees from 10:00AM to 3:00PM, at which time the number of books one can choose will be **UNLIMITED!!**

Please contribute your used books and don't miss out on the FUN!

Thank you!



"SF Bay Guardian Editorial"
<promos@sfbg.com>
01/20/2011 05:24 PM

To SOTF@SFGov.org
cc
bcc

Subject Today at the Bay Guardian: is Ed Lee a caretaker?

If you're having trouble viewing this email, you may [see it online](#).

Share This:



Is Ed Lee a caretaker?

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/20/ed-lee-caretaker>

By Tim Redmond

Net neutrality: The American way

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/20/net-neutrality-american-way>

By Rebecca Bowe

What you can do for your country

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/20/what-you-can-do-your-country>

By Tim Redmond

Today's hotlist:

Raw-Dios - A stage production to combat Clear Channel's death grip on American radio: a play in the Teatro Campesino tradition based on the true story of a morning show shock jock that flips the corporate script during the dawn of the Baghdad invasion. *Thurs/20-Sat/22, 8pm, \$16. Mission Cultural Center for the Latino Arts, 2868 Mission, SF. (415) 643-2785 ,*

www.missionculturalcenter.org

Pitchapalooza - This *American Idol* for aspiring writers unfortunately doesn't featuring a pill-popping Paula, but it could take you one step closer to getting published. Pitch your book idea in a minute or less and an all-star cast of publishing experts will weigh in on your chances, or even offer a free private consultation. *7:30pm, free. The Booksmith, 1644 Haight, SF. (415) 863-8688 ,*

www.booksmith.com

Promotions Dept. | San Francisco Bay Guardian | 135 Mississippi Street | San Francisco, CA 94107
www.sfbg.com/promo | promos@sfbg.com

This email was sent to **SOTF@SFGov.org**. To ensure that you continue receiving our emails, please add us to your address book or safe list.



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

01/21/2011 11:07 AM

To NAbdullah@hafci.org, JEckstrom@hafci.org,
JGlassford@hafci.org, soft@sfgov.org,
jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov

bcc

Subject IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST # 4

1/21/2011 Friday 11:00 am PST

NAbdullah@hafci.org (Director of Health Center Operations)
Haight Ashbury Free Clinic
415-746-1931

JEckstrom@hafci.org (CEO)
Haight Ashbury Free Clinic
415-746-1967 ext5ext4

JGlassford@hafci.org
415-746-1950

“IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST”

To Whom It May Concern:

Please be sure to forward this to the Custodian of Records, department head or who ever is in charge for compliance per the regulations for correct process.

Pursuant to all relevant provisions of the California Government Codes (Ralph M. Brown Act et al.) and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, California Records Act, and the Federal FOIA Act - I would like to request a copy of the following:

All documents, emails, correspondence, logs, notes of conversation, notes of phone calls regarding: (1) name and list of city, state, and federal agencies that regulate medical care and basic medical standards of treatment provided by HAFCI (basically who does HAFCI report to and what regulations and who their regulators are for insuring medical compliance and basic standard of care) ; (2) schedule and list of doctors that HAFCI has employed in the last five years (since per the attached paperwork ... “Mr. Sears is the ONLY physician HAFCI currently has” - see pdf attachment labeled “10071-response”); (3) the number of patients receiving treatment vs



"jaygarza@pacbell.net"
<jaygarza@pacbell.net>

01/22/2011 07:26 AM

Please respond to
jaygarza@pacbell.net

To sotf@sfgov.org, jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com

cc

bcc

Subject FW: #10071_Jason Grant Garza vs Haight Ashbury Free Clinics (Second response)

1/22/2011

To Whom It May Concern:

Previously when I received this LATE UNACCEPTABLE RESPONSE I wrote in and notified the task force that it was late, not by the rules and unacceptable. I have never been responded to this matter and now it seems as if it is being sneaked in. Please explain ASAP. This is a violation of my due process rights by having me conform and be victimized by the rules and not the other side when they violate the rules. Please have your chair contact me IMMEDIATELY.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza

P.S. Add this to the file for the viewing public to see the "Rigged" process.

Original Message:

From: sotf@sfgov.org
Date: Fri, 21 Jan 2011 16:32:58 -0800
To: jaygarza@pacbell.net
Subject: #10071_Jason Grant Garza vs Haight Ashbury Free Clinics

This office is in receipt of the attached documents.

(See attached file: 10071_Response.pdf)

Chris Rustom

mail2web LIVE - Free email based on Microsoft® Exchange technology -
<http://link.mail2web.com/LIVE>



10071_Response.pdf



"jaygarza@pacbell.net"
<jaygarza@pacbell.net>

01/22/2011 07:37 AM

Please respond to
jaygarza@pacbell.net

To sotf@sfgov.org, jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com

cc

bcc

Subject FW: SOTF hearing reminder: #10071_Jason Grant Garza vs
Haight Ashbury FreeClinics (Response)

1/22/2011

To Whom it May Concern:

Thank you for this note; however, please realize that my demand for "DUE PROCESS" regarding the FULL BOARD be present so that I will NOT be THWARTED by bad rigged false process. This case will NOT be repeated as it was in case # 10038 with false unaccountable process. As my previous email stated the FULL BOARD must be present and I will NOT continue the matter and demand the 45 day time limit be honored. Please have your chair contact me ASAP if there is a problem regarding the FULL BOARD being present and if the FULL BOARD is NOT to be PRESENT ... explain how my "DUE PROCESS" rights are being observed specifically with this request, the prior history and INCORRECT process as exhibited by SOTF's case # 10038.

Please confirm CORRECT and COMPLETE PROCESS (FULL BOARD at hearing to hear and decide case within 45 day time limit as I will not waive the requirements.)

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza

Original Message:

From: sotf@sfgov.org
Date: Fri, 21 Jan 2011 16:38:43 -0800
To: jaygarza@pacbell.net, NAbdullah@hafci.org, JEckstrom@hafci.org
Subject: SOTF hearing reminder: #10071_Jason Grant Garza vs Haight Ashbury FreeClinics

This is a reminder that a hearing is scheduled with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, regarding the above titled complaint, to hear the merits of the complaint and to issue a determination.

Date: Tuesday, January 25, 2011
Location: City Hall, Room 408
Time: 4:00 p.m.

Complainants: Your attendance is required at this hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance, the custodian of records or a representative of your department, who can speak to the matter, is required at the hearing.

To access the agenda please click on the link below. Then click on the



"Peter Heinecke"
<pheinecke@gmail.com>
01/22/2011 05:28 PM

To <sotf@sfgov.org>
cc
bcc

Subject FW: Follow Up on Information Request

To the San Francisco Sunshine Task Force:

Please be advised that the Planning Department did an exceptionally poor job in replying to an information request I made pursuant to the Sunshine Act. Attached is a follow up request I made to the Planning Department. Anything you can do to facilitate the Planning Department's compliance with the law would be appreciated.

Thanks,

Peter

Peter S. Heinecke
pheinecke@gmail.com

From: Peter Heinecke [mailto:pheinecke@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 22, 2011 5:25 PM
To: 'John.Rahaim@sfgov.org'
Subject: Follow Up on Information Request
Importance: High

John:

Attached please find a follow up to my original information request. Thank you.

Peter

Peter S. Heinecke
pheinecke@gmail.com



CPRA Follow up 2011-01-22.pdf



Kimo Crossman
 <kimo@webnetic.net>
 Sent by:
 kimocrossman@gmail.com

To "Bruce Wolfe, MSW" <sotf@brucewolfe.net>, SOTF
 <sotf@sfgov.org>, Allen Grossman
 <grossman356@mac.com>, David Snyder

cc

bcc

01/23/2011 07:06 PM

Please respond to
 kimo@webnetic.net

Subject Interesting - Federal Gov releases completed investigations
 unlike similar to SF Controller Whistleblower or Ethics
 investigations

So the Federal gov has found a way to report on an investigation - unlike the SF Controller?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Michael Ravnitzky** <mikerav@verizon.net>
 Date: Sat, Jan 22, 2011 at 2:16 PM
 Subject: [IREPLUS-L] Some Completed Investigations at the Natl Geospatial-Intelligence
 Agency
 To: IREPLUS-L@po.missouri.edu

SOME COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS AT THE NATIONAL
 GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
 By Michael Ravnitzky , mikerav@verizon.net

Below is a small sampling of NGA Inspector General investigations that have
 been closed. You can ask NGA for a copy of the results and summary of any
 or all of these investigations. Just send a note asking for THE FINAL
 REPORT and THE CLOSING MEMORANDUM for the investigations of interest to you,
 specifying the OIG Investigation number, and NGA will send you the
 documents.

Here is the NGA OIG address:

National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency
 Attn: Lenore N. Guthrie
 Assistant Inspector General for Plans and Programs
 Initial Denial Authority
 Office of the Inspector General
 4600 Sangamore Road, Mail Stop D-130
 Bethesda, MD 20816-5003

- 07-002 Misuse of Government Equipment and T&A
- 07-016 Allegation of Retaliation for Raising Issue to D/NGA
- 07-016 Possible Conflict of Interest and Misconduct by an IPA



alvinjohnson@comcast.net

01/24/2011 12:26 PM

To sotf@sfgov.org

cc micki.callahan@sfgov.org, jennifer.johnston@sfgov.org,
Paul.Greene@sfgov.org, cityattorney@sfgov.org,
alvinjohnson@comcast.net

bcc

Subject Re: Sunshine Complaint Received: #10070_Anonymous v
Human Resources

Chris,

1. Did you receive a response from Margaret Callahan within (5) business days? If so, could you please send me a copy of her response.

2. Please identify the custodian of records, by name, for the Department of Human Resources.

3. Please identify the custodian of records, by name, for the Department of Telecommunications and Information Services.

4. Please identify all departments in which the custodian of records is the City Attorney (i.e. Dennis Jose Herrera).

Do not provide an alternate or someone who is familiar with records, but the actual person who was hired to maintain the records in question for each of these departments.

5. Does Dennis Jose Herrera have unrestricted and unannounced access to any personnel records and or documents signed by hired personnel?

DHR/personnel office, does not take full responsibility for their actions instead they are prone to providing another person to take the fall for their indiscretions, in this case sending someone else to a meeting to speak on behalf of someone else who was not doing their job. This is their policy, what they do for Civil Service Commission hearings and discrimination complaints and Equal Employment Opportunity Commission complaints, rather than send Andrea Gourdine (the DHR Director) they hide her and send a hand-picked and rehearsed minion selected by Dennis Herrera to speak for the City Attorney's Office to protect the actions of Andrea Gourdine and staff. Please answer the above questions rather than set up a meeting with people who don't have a clue and have been instructed as to what to say by Dennis Jose Herrera.

Your Sunshine Ordinance Task Force is essentially a "kangaroo court" for Dennis Herrera's document removal policies. There can be no hearing at this point with this guy running the show and telling you what to say and how to say it and when to say it. Do not arrange a meeting until the names of each and every person who will attend is provided. If Dennis has something to say let him bring his corrupted image to the meeting and speak for his actions, the same goes for Micki Callahan, she is as corrupt



alvinjohnson@comcast.net

01/24/2011 12:42 PM

To: sotf@sfgov.org

cc: alvinjohnson@comcast.net

bcc:

Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Fwd: Oversight of DHR's Information Systems (IS) Pilot Classification Project

Chris,

This email was sent to you, did you make (9-11) copies, one for each SOTF member?
Yes / No.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net

To: sotf@sfgov.org, alvinjohnson@comcast.net

Sent: Tue, 04 Jan 2011 04:45:07 -0000 (UTC)

Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Oversight of DHR's Information Systems (IS) Pilot Classification Project

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net

To: bmuscat@ifpte21.org, bbritton@ifpte21.org, nostrowski@ifpte21.org, cromero@ifpte21.org, rrichman@ifpte21.org, jebrenner@ifpte21.org, anjali@ifpte21.org, vcrawford@ifpte21.org, ltruong@ifpte21.org, apreby@ifpte21.org, jgee@ifpte21.org, vcarson@ifpte21.org, pcovington@ifpte21.org, glouie@ifpte21.org, kbyrne@ifpte21.org, along@ifpte21.org

Cc: alvinjohnson@comcast.net

Sent: Tue, 07 Dec 2010 00:59:02 -0000 (UTC)

Subject: Fwd: Oversight of DHR's Information Systems (IS) Pilot Classification Project

Dear IFPTE Local 21 representatives, please read the following excerpt from the Civil Grand Jury. I am seeking information from IFPTE local 21 related to this "Pilot Project" in was in specific need of oversight. Was IFPTE local 21 a member of the group which implemented this reclassification scheme? Please respond ASAP. I need a complete list of those classifications in which IFPTE local 21 is aware of having been (re) classified under the DHR controlled and implemented "pilot" for reclassification of the city's employed personnel, who were not a member of any union at the time of this so-called reclassification project. If these (re) classified members were represented by IFPTE Local 21 at the time (July 1996) of the implementation of this project, please provide a complete copy of the MOU's for 1996-1997, 1997-1998, 1998-1999. I have been provided with a copy of the MOU for 1999-2000, where nothing is mentioned concerning this "pilot project" or those new job code/titles that were established as a result of this "pilot".

thank you,

Alvin

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net

To: civilservice@sfgov.org, anita sanchez , gavin newsom , BOS@sfgov.org



alvinjohnson@comcast.net
01/24/2011 12:47 PM

To soft@sfgov.org
cc alvinjohnson@comcast.net
bcc
Subject Fwd: Fwd: Fwd: TRW \$17.1 Million Contract Award

Chris,

This email was sent to you, did you make (9-11) copies, one for each of the SOTF members, of the DTIS Organization Chart, announcement of award of 17.1 million to IS professionals, 1996 Strategic Plan for Information Technology-Civil Grand Jury? Yes/No.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net
To: soft@sfgov.org, alvinjohnson@comcast.net
Sent: Tue, 04 Jan 2011 04:41:57 -0000 (UTC)
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: TRW \$17.1 Million Contract Award

Chris please review the DTIS org chart attached. take note of all personnel supporting e911 project Dec 14 1999. This is what Dennis Jose herrera is disputing and has altered and created docs in collusion with DHR personnel.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net
To: george gascon <george.gascon@sfgov.org>, james ramsey <james.ramsey@sfgov.org>, vicki hennessy <vicki.hennessy@sfgov.org>, districtattorney@sfgov.org
Cc: mitch sutton <mitch.sutton@sfgov.org>, alvinjohnson@comcast.net, gavin newsom <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wed, 08 Dec 2010 00:37:54 -0000 (UTC)
Subject: Fwd: TRW \$17.1 Million Contract Award

Dear Ms Hennessy - Acting Director of Emergency Coummunications, please take note of the following information, some of which you may or may not be aware of. Check with your staff, Mitch, Glenn, Roman, Alan, Naomi, any of the 911 service desk staff who were there as far back as the "cut to service" date. I was there Vicki, and actively engaged in all aspects of the network. I was removed while being told that I would be working in a 911 related engineering group at 901 Rankin Street, except they (Jack Donohoe, Denise Brady, Dennis Herrera, Gina Rocanova) lied to me, they then told me that there was a budget cut to 911, when in fact there was a DTIS budget cut not a 911 budget cut, again they lied about 9-1-1, the most essential service that the city provides, 24/7/35 service, something that DHR and the City Attorney's Office don't provide to the citizens of S.F. Lying about public safety should be a crime Ms. Hennessy. I am talking about CITY ATTORNEY SPONSORED LYING, the kind that ruins careers.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net



James Rosenfield
<james@strategyworks.com>

01/24/2011 01:02 PM

To sotr@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject General Sunshine Ordinance Questions

<http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=4459>

Hello -

May I please obtain the general rules about my rights under the Sunshine Ordinance.

Specifically I am interested in:

- required public notification of meetings
- requirements (including timing) for minutes to be made available

Thank you very much.

--

James Rosenfield

1.415.255.0109



alvinjohnson@comcast.net
01/24/2011 01:11 PM

To soft@sfgov.org
cc alvinjohnson@comcast.net
bcc
Subject Fwd: Fwd: Re: DTIS Signature Authorization Forms Request

Chris,

The following email was sent to you, did you make (9-11) copies, one for each of the SOTF members? Yes/No. It is requested that each member have a copy of the entire list of the names of personnel submitted by DHR in which they feel required unlimited, unrestricted and uncommunicated access to my personnel file without a background check being conducted.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net
To: soft@sfgov.org, alvinjohnson@comcast.net
Sent: Tue, 04 Jan 2011 04:29:42 -0000 (UTC)
Subject: Fwd: Re: DTIS Signature Authorization Forms Request

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Alvin Johnson <alvkingtu@gmail.com>
To: chris vein <chris.vein@sfgov.org>
Cc: Paul Greene <Paul.Greene@sfgov.org>, Jennifer Johnston <jennifer.johnston@sfgov.org>, gavin newsom <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>, districtattorney@sfgov.org, wbrown@sfchronicle.com, Board of Supervisors <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, ethics commission <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>, alvinjohnson@comcast.net
Sent: Mon, 06 Sep 2010 10:36:40 -0000 (UTC)
Subject: Re: DTIS Signature Authorization Forms Request

Chris Vein,

Please provide *all* *signature authorization forms* in the possession of the Department of Telecommunications and Information Services which reflects active authorizations and cancelled authorizations.

On Mon, May 3, 2010 at 9:52 AM, Jennifer Johnston <jennifer.johnston@sfgov.org> wrote:

>
> Dear Mr. Johnson:
>
> I write on behalf of the Department of Human Resources ("DHR") in response
> to your request for information sent via email to me on April 16, 2010.
>
> Attached are two PDF files of all signature authorization forms in DHR's
> possession for the Department of Technology and Information Systems; one
> document reflects active authorizations and the other reflects cancelled
> authorizations.
>



alvinjohnson@comcast.net
01/24/2011 01:22 PM

To sotf@sfgov.org
cc alvinjohnson@comcast.net
bcc
Subject Fwd: Fwd: Re: Claim # 073498 -Initial Notice of Deposition
Request of Joseph P. Held & Herb Tong

Chris,

Did you make copies of this email for the SOTF members? Yes/No. It is requested that all emails you received from me be presented to each of the members of your SOTF, not Dennis Herrera. You claimed to have set up a meeting, did you provide all documents to the SOTF members or just what Dennis Herrera told you to provide. This email and all others sent on the same day were presented to you prior to your self-imposed deadline for documents to be submitted to the SOTF, did you do your job and make a copy for each member or did Dennis Herrera intervene and disrupt this process by concocting another set of rules for the occasion as he so often is prone to do, to his benefit and the city's detriment?

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net
To: sotf@sfgov.org, alvinjohnson@comcast.net
Sent: Tue, 04 Jan 2011 04:28:22 -0000 (UTC)
Subject: Fwd: Re: Claim # 073498 -Initial Notice of Deposition Request of Joseph P. Held & Herb Tong

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net
To: Mike H Golston , gavin newsom , BOS@sfgov.org, ethics commission ,
civilservice@sfgov.org, wbrown@sfchronicle.com, julia friedlander , gina roccanova ,
districtattorney@sfgov.org, herb tong , chris daly , ron vinson
Cc: alvkingtu@gmail.com, alvinjohnson@comcast.net, bmuscat@ifpte21.org
Sent: Tue, 07 Sep 2010 22:26:40 -0000 (UTC)
Subject: Re: Claim # 073498 -Initial Notice of Deposition Request of Joseph P. Held & Herb Tong

Dear Mr Goldston,

I would also like to depose the following named individuals for claim# 073498;

1. Julia M.C. Friedlander -

Deputy City Attorney AND "Temporary Appointed" Department Head of DTIS fiscal years, July 1, 1997 through June 30, 1999.

2. Gina Roccanova -

Co-worker of Julia M.C. Friedlander AND Attorney for Denise Merlo, John Donohoe, Gail Kuwamoto, Denise Brady

3. Mike Goldston -

Contractor/Employer of Joseph P. Held AND Attorney for Denise Merlo, John Donohoe, Gail Kuwamoto, Denise Brady



alvinjohnson@comcast.net
01/24/2011 01:30 PM

To sotf@sfgov.org
cc alvinjohnson@comcast.net
bcc
Subject Fwd: Fwd: Fwd: DTIS E911 Project Fund/Emergency
Response Fee Abuse

Chris,

I sent the following email to you, did you make copies for each member of the SOTF? Yes/No. Take note of the date as it was prior to your self imposed deadline for documents to be provided to SOTF for a 1/25/2011 meeting. Did you do your job or what Dennis Herrera instructed you to do?

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net
To: sotf@sfgov.org, alvinjohnson@comcast.net
Sent: Tue, 04 Jan 2011 04:08:58 -0000 (UTC)
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: DTIS E911 Project Fund/Emergency Response Fee Abuse

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net
To: micki callahan <micki.callahan@sfgov.org>, jennifer johnston <jennifer.johnston@sfgov.org>, ben rosenfield <ben.rosenfield@sfgov.org>, julia Friedlander <julia.Friedlander@sfgov.org>, BOS@sfgov.org, districtattorney@sfgov.org, chris vein <chris.vein@sfgov.org>, voltaire almendrala <voltaire.almendrala@sfgov.org>
Cc: alvinjohnson@comcast.net, gavin newsom <gavin.newsom@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tue, 23 Nov 2010 17:39:15 -0000 (UTC)
Subject: Fwd: DTIS E911 Project Fund/Emergency Response Fee Abuse
DHR, Controllers Office, District Attorney's Office.

I am still waiting on response to numerous request for information, some of which is listed below as well as complete breakdown of 911 Project Fund Tax/Fee expenditures (positions/titles/salaries/equipment) funded by Emergency Response Fee. I am keeping the D.A. in the loop because Micki Callahan takes up to four years to respond to discrimination complaints and therefore will most likely totally disregard this request or remain out of office until D.A. is out of office. DHR/City Attorney's Office continues to withhold and alter requested information and documentation concerning the E911 Project and DTIS/ECD organizational structures and jurisdictions. practices. I was hired specifically for the E911 Project, yet DHR has removed all traces of the term "911" from my personnel file in an attempt to reclassify me, after filing discrimination complaint, but it won't work, in fact, it has increased my determination tenfold. Everyone involved will be charged, and there are many from DHR and the City Attorney's Office as well as DTIS and ECD and most likely Mayor Newsom, who were in on this career injustice.

Alvin

----- Forwarded Message -----


From: alvinjohnson@comcast.net

Lori
Mazzola/ADMSVC/SFGOV
01/24/2011 02:12 PM

To
cc
bcc SOTF/SOTF/SFGOV
Subject REAL ESTATE DIVISION'S Civic Center Campus Chronicle
-January/February 2011



John Updike
Acting Director of Real Estate



Please enjoy the January/February 2011 edition of the *Civic Center Campus Chronicle* by visiting:

<http://admweb/newsletter>

Thank you!

San Francisco City Hall Building Management
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 008
San Francisco, CA 94102
main 415-554.4933 fax 415-554.4936



mpetrelis@aol.com
01/25/2011 11:54 AM

To eve@sfappeal.com, brock@sfist.com
cc
bcc

Subject SF queer health clinic LyonMartin closes on Thursday?!

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/01/sf-queer-health-clinic-lyonmartin.html>

-
Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



"SF Bay Guardian Editorial"
<promos@sfbg.com>

01/25/2011 01:21 PM

To SOTF@SFGov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Today at the Bay Guardian: Historic preservation under fire

If you're having trouble viewing this email, you may [see it online](#).

Share This:



Historic preservation fight looms at the Board of Supervisors

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/25/historic-preservation-fight-board>

By Tim Redmond

Watch the State of the Union at Daly's Dive

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/25/dalys-dive-has-become-progressive-gathering-place>

By Steven T. Jones

Republicans worry about their "brand"

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/25/republicans-worry-about-their-brand>

By Tim Redmond

Editorial: Mayor Lee and Big Pharma

<http://www.sfbg.com/bruce/2011/01/25/editorial-timely-test-new-mayor-ed-lee-and-four-new-supervisors>

By Editorial staff

Today's hotlist:


Amos Lee - Sweet pea, apple of my soul -- there's nothing better than an acoustic soulster who can make your date melt on command. Plus, Lee's got some real earthy-soul guitar skillz to boot, so check him if you're in the mood for love.

8pm, \$25. *The Fillmore, 1805 Geary, SF. (415) 346-3000*, www.thefillmore.com

"Talking Diet with Locavores" - Your friendly Bernal Heights neighbors -- representatives from Avedano's Meats, Bernal Cutlery, and author-agriculturist Jane Wattenberg will be there -- answer all your practical foodie questions during this panel discussion on living and eating local.

6:30-8pm, free. *Bernal Heights Library, 500 Cortland, SF. (415) 355-2810*, www.sfpl.org

Promotions Dept. | San Francisco Bay Guardian | 135 Mississippi Street | San Francisco, CA 94107



Jack
Song/CTYATT@CTYATT
01/25/2011 03:11 PM

To Tenants 769NorthPoint
<tenants769np@yahoo.com>@SFGOV
cc SOTF/SOTF/SFGOV@SFGOV
bcc
Subject Re: SUNSHINE REQUEST #2 / IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE
REQUEST

Dear Tenants 769 North Point:

Our office is in receipt of the "Immediate Disclosure Request" you sent by email on Saturday January 22, 2011. In your request, you make the following inquiry: "Please provide us with all the deputies names that reviewed Ms. Gessner's Opinion as stated in the official PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES FOR ISSUING CITY ATTORNEY OPINIONS."

As we have already informed you on numerous occasions, in accordance with our office's Procedures and Guidelines for Issuing City Attorney Opinions, the October 6, 2010 legal opinion was reviewed by Government Team Leader Deputy City Attorney Buck Delventhal, Chief Assistant City Attorney Jesse Smith, and City Attorney Dennis Herrera.

As to any other deputy city attorneys who may have reviewed the October 6, 2010 legal opinion, as we have already explained to you, such information would reveal confidential and privileged attorney work product that is exempt from public disclosure under Government Code §§ 6254(k) and 6276.04, and Code of Civil Procedure § 2018.030. (See also 2010-11 Good Government Guide, at p. 95.) We therefore decline to provide this information on the basis of the **attorney work product privilege**.

As we explained in our letter to the Task Force:

The attorney work product privilege "is held by the attorney who generated the work product (*Lasky v. Superior Court* (1985) 172 Cal.App.3d 264, 272, fn.2), and protects the "mental processes of the attorney, providing a privileged area within which he can analyze and prepare his client's case." (*Hobbs v. Municipal Court* (1991) 233 Cal.App.3d 670, 692.) The privilege therefore "promote[s] effective legal representation through full investigation of facts and research and analysis of applicable laws, be they favorable or unfavorable to the client's interest." (*Lasky v. Superior Court, supra* , at p. 272, fn.2.)

Any documents that would be responsive to Item #3 (the deputies who reviewed the legal opinion), would consist of internal attorney correspondence and preliminary drafts containing the comments, thoughts, and legal research of deputy city attorneys working on the matter. Because such records are writings reflecting the "impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal research or theories" of the deputy city attorneys working on the matter, they constitute attorney work product. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030.)

In fact, the Task Force has already ruled that these types of records constitute attorney



"SF Bay Guardian Promos"
<promos@sfbg.com>

01/25/2011 04:20 PM

To SOTF@SFGov.org

cc

bcc

Subject G-List: The Guardian's top to dos

If you're having trouble viewing this email, you may [see it online](#).

Share This:

GUARDIAN

THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY GUARDIAN

Tell us how you met your snugglebunny -- and win a \$160 date!

Maybe your hands brushed while browsing the vinyl jazz bins at Amoeba. Maybe she caught up with you on the new Valencia bike lanes to compliment your ride. Or perhaps your kite strings got entangled on Marina Green one windy afternoon ...

If you found your special someone in a very special way, enter our first annual **SFBG Meet-Cute Contest!** No matter how improbable, mystifying, funny, weird, or, yes, mushy, we want to know how you met your sweetie (or sweeties) for the Guardian's Valentines Issue. Tell us in **100 words or less** your personal meet-cute story by Thursday, February 3. We'll pick our 10 favorites and publish them in our Valentine's Issue, coming out Feb. 9. One lucky participant, drawn at random, will **win a date at Yoshi's San Francisco worth \$160!** (Dinner and a live show with your honey -- how can you beat that?)

CLICK HERE to enter and tell us your story!

**Entrants will be automatically added to our Guardian G-List newsletter*

Tomorrow in the Guardian

The Cannabis Issue -- the new focus on Haute Pot (gourmet cannabis edibles)

The foodie movement meets the medical marijuana movement.

Also, our guide to pot clubs, the best places in San Francisco to get stoned and more.

Johnn Ray Huston covers the close of KUSF



mpetrelis@aol.com
01/25/2011 05:09 PM

To: eve@sfappeal.com, brock@sfist.com
cc
bcc
Subject: SOS meeting 6:30 tonite: SF center, over Lyon/Martin clinic closure

Important town hall tonight. The info is from Gabriel Haaland and Tommi Avicolli Mecca:

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/01/sos-meeting-630-tonight-sf-center-over.html>

Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



mpetrelis@aol.com
01/25/2011 10:37 PM

To: eve@sfappeal.com, brock@sfist.comk,
pollo_delmar@yahoo.com
cc
bcc
Subject: 100+ at Lyon/Martin emergency meeting

I've got two pix and a partial report to share:


<http://tinyurl.com/63v6fsn>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/01/100-at-lyon-martin-emergency-meeting-my.html>

Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.

THE PVBLIC LIBRARY OF THE CITY AND COVNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
FOVND E A.D. MDCCCLXXVIII ER ECTED A.D. MDCCCXVI
MAY THIS STRVCTVRE THRONED ON IMPERISHABLE BOOKS BE MAINTAINED AND CHERISHED FROM GENERATION
TO GENERATION FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND DELIGHT OF MANKIND



January 25, 2011 The Original Library Movement

James Chaffee

63 Stoneybrook Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94112

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
San Francisco City Hall

Re: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Has Descended into Travesty and Farce

An Open Letter to the Task Force

At the Sunshine Task Force meeting of January 4, 2011, I pointed out that under the Task Force's own rules general public comment is the first new item after 5:00 p.m. At the time that I spoke it was after 5 o'clock, the meeting had begun and it was time for public comment. The chair, Mr. Richard Knee, said he was going to take public comment at 6:00 p.m. without any explanation, as if public comment itself was such an inconsequential consideration that the public who had gathered for it was neither entitled to observance of the rules, nor an explanation. Then the chair very imperiously told me to sit down, as if expecting observance of the rules was a form of disruption.

The whole reason for a time certain is so that people can plan their commitments and not have to wait around. It is also designed to encourage people to make public comment who might not otherwise be able to because they know when it is coming up. Speaking for myself I was highly committed to a hearing before the Board of Supervisors that same afternoon and my ability to participate depended upon being heard at that time. But of course everyone from the public who comes arranges his/her schedule with the understanding that the public comment item is at a fixed time, and arrangements have been made and other commitments have been either delayed or moved in reliance thereon. Not only is it rude to waste people's time, but it is especially rude to waste people's time when it comes from a body that has public responsibilities to enforce the laws that are designed to guarantee the public some respect.



<complaints@sfgov.org>
01/26/2011 12:09 PM

To <sotf@sfgov.org>
cc
bcc

Subject Sunshine Complaint

History: This message has been forwarded.

To:sotf@sfgov.orgEmail:complaints@sfgov.orgDEPARTMENT:sfmta

CONTACTED:debra johnson

PUBLIC_RECORDS_VIOLATION:Yes

PUBLIC_MEETING_VIOLATION:No

MEETING_DATE:

SECTIONS_VIOLATED:m.o.u.

DESCRIPTION:Two co-workers were co-erced into filing a complaint of violence against me with the superintendent wallace johnson, and sharita Brit who then on july 7, 2010 called 911, had me escorted off of the premises by five cars of police, humiliating, and embarrassing me to no end. I believe this was done due to my filing charges against upper management with the eeoc the dfelh and s.f.m.t.a.'s eeo department. I was then officially fired august 11, 2010, while still attending hearings that I was not paid for. Another co-worker Bari McGruder overheard the person who alleged the complaint telling the superintendant that she is the one who told her to go off of work in injury until I, Cynthia Carter was fired. Sarita Brit (the superintendant) also had a meeting with several other operators concerning me allowing them to make a decision about my employment at s.f.m.t.a.

HEARING:Yes

PRE-HEARING:Yes

DATE:janeuary 26, 2011

NAME:Cynthia Carter

ADDRESS:1871 sunnydale ave

CITY:san francisco

ZIP:94134

PHONE:4152862769

CONTACT_EMAIL:dimplescarter03@aol.com

ANONYMOUS:

CONFIDENTIALITY_REQUESTED:No




"SF Bay Guardian Editorial"
<promos@sfbg.com>
01/26/2011 05:17 PM

To SOTF@SFGov.org
cc
bcc

Subject Today at the Bay Guardian: Obama can't win the future

If you're having trouble viewing this email, you may [see it online](#).

Share This:



Obama can't "win" the future

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/26/obama-cant-win-future>

By Tim Redmond

What if everybody ran for mayor?

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/26/what-if-everybody-ran-mayor>

By Tim Redmond

Community rallies to save Lyon-Martin

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/26/community-rallies-save-lyon-martin>

By Rebecca Bowe

Mallrats - Hang with Brody and friends during a special screening of the classic Kevin Smith stoner flick. No need to bring a bagged lunch, snootchie-bootchies -- there will be cheap beer and snacks at the cafe counter. 6-8pm, free. *Actual Cafe, 6334 San Pablo, Oakl.*

Handsome Family - At the core of these traditional country revivalists lie dusty, old-fashioned murder ballads and bluegrass, performed with rustically tasteful charm and tact. But it's the darkly vivid, almost-gothic takes on graveyard shifts that separate the Handsome Family from the rest of the pack. 8pm, \$15. *Cafe Du Nord, 2170 Market, SF. (415) 861-5016 , www.cafedunord.com*

Promotions Dept. | San Francisco Bay Guardian | 135 Mississippi Street | San Francisco, CA 94107
www.sfbg.com/promo | promos@sfbg.com

This email was sent to **SOTF@SFGov.org**. To ensure that you continue receiving our emails, please add us to your address book or safe list.

[manage](#) your preferences | [opt out](#) using TrueRemove®.

Got this as a forward? [Sign up](#) to receive our future emails.



Tenants 769NorthPoint
<tenants769np@yahoo.com>

01/27/2011 09:58 AM

To: Jack.Song@sfgov.org

cc Terry Francke <terry@calaware.org>, "Johnson, Hope"
<hopeannette@earthlink.net>, amwashburn
<amwashburn@comcast.net>,

bcc

Subject Re: 5th SUNSHINE REQUEST / IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE
REQUEST

Mr. Song,

Thank you for responding to our request for information, however your position as a Public Information Officer I find your email below inappropriate. You need to realign your priorities; you and the entire City Attorney's Office are public servants. The information you have provided is not a courtesy and you are not doing us any favor. Especially when you withhold information and records from the public, as a matter of fact you are doing the opposite and a complete disservice to the public. You are required by Law the Constitution, the Freedom of Information Act, the California Public Records Act, The Brown Act and the Sunshine Ordinance to provide us information and records.

Let's put your use of the term interrogatories in its proper perspective, judging by the reactions of the attorney's on the Sunshine Ordinance Commission they felt the term interrogatories is not a good use of the English language when you and other people in your department use it against the citizens to label our questions seeking information as "interrogatories," they know the distinction is predominately used in court cases, courtrooms and the law.

The Sunshine Ordinance (SO) is a spin off the California Public Records Act (CPRA) which is mandated by the State. The SO by Law is not allowed to make access to public records more restrictive, less efficient or lesser access than the prescribed minimum standards. The fundamental purpose of the CPRA is to provide access to information.

In the Summary of the California Public Records Act under heading on: Page 3.

III

SCOPE OF COVERAGE

A. Public Record Defined.



Fiona Ma
<info@fionama.com>

01/27/2011 11:31 AM

Please respond to
info@fionama.com

To sotf@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Make your voice heard on the budget

Make your voice heard on the budget | [View as web page](#)

Dear Friend,

Earlier this month, Governor Jerry Brown released his budget proposal for the next fiscal year and the remainder of this fiscal year, which ends on June 30. The governor's proposed budget plan addresses an estimated \$25.4 billion state budget deficit -- consisting of a mix of cuts and added revenue.

Over the next month, the Assembly Budget Committee and subcommittees will be meeting in Sacramento to prepare the Assembly's budget. The subcommittee process is where the funding for specific programs will be determined.

There are six budget subcommittees:

- Subcommittee No. 1 on Health and Human Services
- Subcommittee No. 2 on Education Finance
- Subcommittee No. 3 on Resources and Transportation
- Subcommittee No. 4 on State Administration
- Subcommittee No. 5 on Public Safety
- Subcommittee No. 6 on Budget Process, Oversight and Program Evaluation

I've included a list of the remaining meetings below, and I encourage anyone who has specific concerns over the budget to attend these hearings or contact my office at 916-319-2012.

Sincerely,

Fiona Ma
Assembly Speaker pro Tempore



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>

01/27/2011 02:58 PM

To "SFSM Information Clearinghouse / SFSMscribdarchive /
SFSM Press List" <mail@csrsf.com>, "ProSFlist /
ProSFblog / ProSFscribdarchive" <home@prosf.org>,
cc

bcc

Subject CCSF SFSM weekly WEEKLY Sunshine Audit: 01-22-11 to
01-28-11: SF BOS AllStaff and Sunshine/CPRA and
Print/Broadcast/NewMediaPress Requests: Detailed CCSF
Office Calendars: Immediate Disclosure Sunshine Request:
SFSM BOS Resolution #040684:

SFSM Public Records Press Request Audit SFSM Public Records Press Request
Audit: SFSM 01-22-11 to 01-28-11: Working, Daily, Weekly Calendars - Public
Officials:

CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit RESPONSE From SF City Attorneys Office Attached.

This request is Based on the California Public Records Act, San Francisco
Sunshine Ordinance, the Prop 59 California Constitutional Amendment and BOS
San Francisco Survival Manual Resolution #040684 (Attached Below).

Documents Subject to Weekly CCSF SFSM Audit For Documents City Departments
Are Required To Maintain Include Any Records Requests from the Fourth Estate
(The Press - Print, Broadcast, On-line), Private Or Public Citizens,
Community Based Organization/Non-Governmental Organizations, Legal Concerns
And Corporate Interests As Well As requests Made The Members Of The SF Board
Of Supervisors, Their Legislative Staffs Or Clerk Of The Boards Office.

This Request is for Copies of Any and all Public Records Request Submissions
to your Department, Offices or Employee. These requests are designed to
minimize document reproduction and document retrieval costs for all.

For This Fridays Response Please Provide Subject Public Records Requests in
Their Original Electronic Formats.

SFSM "People's" Sunshine Audit

In an ongoing effort to monitor:

1) Consistency of compliance to California Public Records laws and
ordinances with respect to access to Public Records and responses from your
department,



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>
01/27/2011 03:01 PM

To ""SFSM Information Clearinghouse / SFSMscribdarchive /
SFSM Press List" <mail@csrsf.com>, "ProSFlist /
ProSFblog / ProSFscribdarchive" <home@prof.org>,
cc
bcc

Subject CCSF SFSM weekly WEEKLY Sunshine Audit: 01-22-11 to
01-28-11: SF BOS AllStaff and Sunshine/CPRA and
Print/Broadcast/NewMediaPress Requests: Detailed CCSF
Office Calendars: Immediate Disclosure Sunshine Request:
SFSM BOS Resolution #040684:

SFSM Public Records Press Request Audit SFSM Public Records Press Request
Audit: SFSM 01-22-11 to 01-28-11: Working, Daily, Weekly Calendars - Public
Officials:

CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit RESPONSE From SF City Attorneys Office Attached.

This request is Based on the California Public Records Act, San Francisco
Sunshine Ordinance, the Prop 59 California Constitutional Amendment and BOS
San Francisco Survival Manual Resolution #040684 (Attached Below).

Documents Subject to Weekly CCSF SFSM Audit For Documents City Departments
Are Required To Maintain Include Any Records Requests from the Fourth Estate
(The Press - Print, Broadcast, On-line), Private Or Public Citizens,
Community Based Organization/Non-Governmental Organizations, Legal Concerns
And Corporate Interests As Well As requests Made The Members Of The SF Board
Of Supervisors, Their Legislative Staffs Or Clerk Of The Boards Office.

This Request is for Copies of Any and all Public Records Request Submissions
to your Department, Offices or Employee. These requests are designed to
minimize document reproduction and document retrieval costs for all.

For This Fridays Response Please Provide Subject Public Records Requests in
Their Original Electronic Formats.

SFSM "People's" Sunshine Audit

In an ongoing effort to monitor:

1) Consistency of compliance to California Public Records laws and
ordinances with respect to access to Public Records and responses from your
department,



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>
01/27/2011 04:00 PM

To <Madeleine.Licavoli@sfgov.org>,"SF Sunshine Ordinance
Task Force" <sotf@sfgov.org>
cc
bcc

Subject IMMEDIATE DICLSURE REQUEST: Last SOTF Submission
Through 12-31-10: 01-01-11 to 01-27-11...?

Last SOTF Submission Through 12-31-10...

01-01-11 to 01-27-11...?

-----Original Message-----

From: Christian Holmer [mailto:mail@csrsf.com]
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 3:41 PM
To: 'Madeleine.Licavoli@sfgov.org'
Subject: SOTF 12-01-10 to 12-15-10

Last SOTF Submission Through 12-15-10.

Thanks Again. :-)

-----Original Message-----

From: sotf@sfgov.org [mailto:sotf@sfgov.org]
Sent: Tuesday, December 21, 2010 4:53 PM
To: Christian Holmer
Subject: SOTF 12-01-10 to 12-15-10

Christian Holmer,

Attached are emails covering Dec 1 through Dec 15.

Chris Rustom

(See attached file: 12-01-10- to 12-15-10.pdf)



SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE Public Info Requests 12-01-10- to 12-31-10.pdf



SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE Public Info Requests 12-01-10- to 12-15-10.pdf



Tenants 769NorthPoint
<tenants769np@yahoo.com>

01/27/2011 10:44 PM

To jack.song@sfgov.org

cc SOTF@SFGov.org

bcc

Subject Fw: SUNSHINE REQUEST #2 / IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE
REQUEST

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Tenants 769NorthPoint <tenants769np@yahoo.com>

To: Jack.Song@sfgov.org

Cc: sotf@sfgov.org; Kimo Crossman <kimo@webnetic.net>; rwhartzjr@sbcglobal.net;
libraryusers2004@yahoo.com

Sent: Tue, January 25, 2011 8:26:47 PM

Subject: Re: SUNSHINE REQUEST #2 / IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST

Mr, Song,

You have already plead your case, no need to do it again in your email below..

At the SOTF Hearing Case #10064 on January 20, 2011, the case was heard and the Task Force rule that the names of the Deputies in item number 3 was in fact disclosable and they cite the City Attorney's Office in violation of Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.22 (b).

In regards to city deputy attorney Franchesca Gessner's Opinion of October 6, 2010 Re: The Board of Appeals members Qualifications, item #3 reads:

#3 Who are the deputies that reviewed your opinion?

Please provide us with all the deputies names that reviewed Ms. Gessner's Opinion as stated in the official PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES FOR ISSUING CITY ATTORNEY OPINIONS.

From: "Jack.Song@sfgov.org" <Jack.Song@sfgov.org>

To: tenants769np@yahoo.com

Cc: sotf@sfgov.org

Sent: Tue, January 25, 2011 3:12:29 PM

Subject: Re: SUNSHINE REQUEST #2 / IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST

Dear Tenants 769 North Point:

Our office is in receipt of the "Immediate Disclosure Request" you sent by email on Saturday January 22, 2011. In your request, you make the following inquiry: "Please provide us with all the deputies names that reviewed Ms. Gessner's Opinion as stated in the official PROCEDURES AND GUIDELINES FOR ISSUING CITY ATTORNEY



mpetrelis@aol.com
01/28/2011 12:04 AM

To eve@sfappeal.com, brock@sfist.com
cc
bcc
Subject February 3rd: Protest in SF over murdered gay Ugandan
David Kato

Please spread the word far and wide:
February 3 = day of protest for gay Ugandans:

<http://tinyurl.com/4f8sa87>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/01/february-3-sf-protest-over-murdered-gay.html>


-
Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.

Lori
Mazzola/ADMSVC/SFGOV
01/28/2011 07:38 AM

To
cc
bcc SOTF/SOTF/SFGOV
Subject BUILDING INFORMATION-Book Swap TODAY!!-SOUTH
Light Court



John Updike
Acting Director of Real Estate



TODAY IS THE CITY HALL BOOK SWAP!!

Located in the **SOUTH Light Court**, the City Hall Book Swap will be open to ticket holders only during the "Early Access" period, from 9:00AM to 10:00AM, at which time ONE book can be exchanged for ONE ticket.

The BOOK SWAP will then open to **ALL** City Employees, **from 10:00AM to 3:00PM**, during which time the number of books one can choose will be unlimited!

Have Fun!!



"Dr. Linda Sonntag, Ph.D."
<linda@lsonntag.com>
01/28/2011 10:27 AM

To <soft@sfgov.org>
cc "Linda D. Sonntag" <linda@lsonntag.com>
bcc
Subject Attention Frank Derby- Sunshine ordinance -

Re Parking Permits on 21st Street btw Church and Sanchez

I am extremely disappointed at the way this vote was handled. There was no explanation or time for questions when the ruling was made. There are 25 residents who voted No on this. How many people voted yes?

Parking permits cause great inconvenience and make it harder to attract workers to maintain and keep our properties in pristine condition. My gardener spends 6-8 hrs at a time working in the garden, several days a week. My house keeper spends 6-8 hrs keeping my house clean and maintained and caregivers spend hours in a row taking care of children. Having them keep check of the time and constantly and move their vehicles every 2 hours is a major inconvenience for them and unsafe for children. **Please reconsider** and share the number of yes votes, and their names and address with those of us who voted NO.

With gratitude

Linda Sonntag

--

Dr. Linda Sonntag, Ph.D.
Ubuntu LLC
E-mail: linda@lsonntag.com
Tel: 415-264-0900
Fax: 415-642-0444

CONFIDENTIALITY

This e-mail may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any review, use, distribution or disclosure by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please contact the sender by reply e-mail [or at (415) 264-0900] and delete all copies of this message. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for viruses.



Tenants 769NorthPoint
<tenants769np@yahoo.com>

01/28/2011 09:45 PM

To jack.song@sfgov.org

cc Terry Francke <terry@calaware.org>, "Johnson, Hope"
<hopeannette@earthlink.net>, amwashburn
<amwashburn@comcast.net>,
bcc

Subject attachment to: Re: 5th SUNSHINE REQUEST / IMMEDIATE
DISCLOSURE

Mr. Song,

The attachment did not get attached to the previous email, its attached here.

Thank you.



Deputy City Attorney Francesca Gessner's Opinion Memo 10-6-10 - BOA qualifications Case #10064.pdf



mpetrelis@aol.com
01/29/2011 11:19 AM

To brock@sfist.com, eve@sfappeal.com,
pollo_delmar@yahoo.com
cc
bcc

Subject Feb. 3 SF rally poster for David Kato/gay Ugandans needs
publicity

**Your assistance is crucial toward helping San Francisco show solidarity
with the gay community of Uganda:**

<http://tinyurl.com/4jphztw>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/01/feb-3-s.html>

Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

01/31/2011 08:10 AM

To soft@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov
bcc

Subject Fw: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST # 4 (Scheduling
of this matter with SOTF)

1/31/2011 8:10 am PST Monday

soft@sfgov.org
rak0408@earthlink.net
Re: Scheduling of Three (3) SOTF - IDR Hearings

Dear Mr. Rustom and Chair Knee:

Please schedule this matter before the Task Force as I HAVE NOT RECEIVED RESPONSE for the IDR. Please also let me know when the IDR #2 and IDR #3 that I submitted to HAFCI and sent you a copy will also be scheduled since I HAVE NOT RECEIVED RESPONSE. Please schedule these matters ASAP and let me know ASAP.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza
1369 B. Hayes Street
San Francisco, CA 94117
jaygarza@pacbell.net

--- On Fri, 1/21/11, Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
Subject: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST # 4
To: NAbdullah@hafci.org, JEckstrom@hafci.org, JGlassford@hafci.org, soft@sfgov.org,
jaygarza@pacbell.net
Cc: Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov
Date: Friday, January 21, 2011, 7:08 PM

1/21/2011 Friday 11:00 am PST

NAbdullah@hafci.org (Director of Health Center Operations)



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

01/31/2011 09:49 AM

To sotf@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov
bcc

Subject IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST

History: This message has been replied to.

“IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST”

sotf@sfgov.org
rak0408@earthlink.net

1/31/2011 8am PST Monday

IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST

To Whom It May Concern:

Please be sure to forward this to the Custodian of Records, department head or who ever is in charge for compliance per the regulations for correct process.

Pursuant to all relevant provisions of the California Government Codes (Ralph M. Brown Act et al.) and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, California Records Act, and the Federal FOIA Act - I would like to request a copy of the following:

(A)

All documents, emails, correspondence, logs, notes of conversation, notes of phone calls regarding: (1) My Order of Determination for case # 10038 Garza vs DPH regarding Personal Medical Record Release; (2) Pursuant the order # 10038 in which I was denied by 6 votes against and 5 in favor (even though only six were present to hear the argument of which I won 5 votes in my favor) ... I request the names, email addresses, specific date of seating on this board, specific date of departure from this board of each “NO VOTE” that I received in case # 10038. Please realize that per the sunshine regulations ... you must be helpful in resolving and getting me what I specifically request. You MUST work with the requestor to clearly provide what is required. As in this instant case what is being sought by the denial and SIX (6) votes against me is the specific to “tie a voice, person or human” to this rigged and false process. So if you are unclear ... CONTACT ME IMMEDIATELY.



"SF Bay Guardian Editorial"
<promos@sfbg.com>
01/31/2011 03:56 PM

To SOTF@SFGov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Today: Free Muni for kids?

If you're having trouble viewing this email, you may [see it online](#).

Share This:

GUARDIAN

THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY GUARDIAN

Should SF offer free Muni rides to school kids?

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/31/free-muni-kids>

By Tim Redmond

Danny Glover stands up for HANC recycling program

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/30/danny-glover-dccc-stand-hanc>

By Rebecca Bowe

SFBG Radio: From Cairo to here

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/01/31/sfbg-radio-cairo-here>

By Tim Redmond

Today's hotlist:

SF Sketchfest Great Collaborators Series: *Airplane!* Tribute to Jim Abrahams, David Zucker, and Jerry Zucker - Do you like movies about gladiators? Ever seen a grown man naked? Looks like I picked the wrong week to quit sniffing glue! Yes, these are some of the funniest lines ever to be uttered on screen -- check out SF's comedy mega-festival tribute to the side-splittingest movie to come out of 1980. *7pm, \$25. Castro Theatre, 429 Castro, SF. (415) 621-6120 , www.sfsketchfest.com*

Against Me! - These Florida rockers continue to grow creatively and explore new musical territory. For proof you can turn to last year's album *White Crosses* , or the band's live show, where it provides both joyous and cathartic anthems with a wild energy level unsurpassed by many of the fools you see touring today. *8pm, \$16. Slim's, 333 11th St., SF. (415) 255-0333 , www.slims-sf.com*



mpetrelis@aol.com
01/31/2011 04:28 PM

To eve@sfappeal.com, brock@sfist.com,
luke.a.thomas@gmail.com

cc

bcc

Subject Castro biz group won't lower flag for David Kato/gay
Ugandans on Feb 3 at Milk Plaza

The gay business group for San Francisco's Castro district won't be helping show solidarity with gay Ugandans and our call for justice for David Kato on Feb 3 at Harvey Milk Plaza:

<http://tinyurl.com/4vd8fqh>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/01/castro-biz-org-wont-lower-flag-for.html>

Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



"SF Bay Guardian Editorial"
<promos@sfbg.com>
02/01/2011 03:55 PM

To SOTF@SFGov.org
cc
bcc

Subject Today: No special tax break for Twitter

If you're having trouble viewing this email, you may [see it online](#).

Share This:



Editorial: No tax breaks for Twitter

<http://www.sfbg.com/bruce/2011/02/01/editorial-no-tax-breaks-twitter>

California is rich -- even the governor knows it

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/02/01/california-rich-state>

By Tim Redmond

How not to say dumb shit about Egypt

<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/02/01/how-avoid-saying-dumb-shit-about-egypt>

By Sarah Phelan

Today's hotlist:

"Ziggy Stardust and the Spiders from Mars" - Who is David Bowie in the 21st century? Shapeshifting Sovereign on "The Venture Brothers"? Runway judge in "Zoolander"? Arcade Fire backup singer? He's been an enigma from the get, as evidenced by this 1973 concert film that captures his final performance as Ziggy Stardust, arguably his musical peak. Feb. 1-3, 7:15 and 9:15 p.m. (also Feb. 2, 2pm), \$6-9. Red Vic Movie House, 1727 Haight, SF. (415) 668-3994, www.redvicmoviehouse.com

"Literary Speed Dating" - Eschew the canned bar chats for a meaty talk about favorite and hated books -- well, as deep as you can get in five minutes. Thanks to the library for sponsoring this meet-up of lonely bookworms -- tonight's the heteros' turn, and tomorrow at the same time LGBTQ are welcome to come make halting chit-chat about Letham and Maupin. 5:45-7:45pm, pre-registration required. Main Library, 100 Larkin, SF. (415) 557-4277, www.sfpl.org





"Cal T.."
<cbtmail@earthlink.net>
02/01/2011 04:02 PM

To <Nicholas.Kinsey@sfgov.org>
cc <olive.gong@sfgov.org>, <soft@sfgov.org>
bcc
Subject Request for document

Now that the new lease has been approved, please send to me via email the Ortega response to the Stow Lake RFQ.

Thank you

Cal Tilden



"SF Bay Guardian Editorial"
<promos@sfbg.com>
02/01/2011 05:39 PM

To SOTF@SFGov.org
cc
bcc
Subject G-List: The Guardian's top to dos

If you're having trouble viewing this email, you may [see it online](#).

Share This:



ON SFBG.COM NOW

How to avoid saying dumb shit about Egypt
by Sarah Phelan

I came across this guide to avoid saying stupid things about Egypt, while communicating via Facebook with a former reporter whose husband is Egyptian.


My reporter friend told me that her husband's parents, who live in Cairo, have been able to go out and buy food and visit their relatives, and that while they live in Cairo, they are not in the downtown area, which is where most of the protests have been going on.

Read more by [clicking here](#).

Gregg Araki's **KABOOM**



SF IndieFest Opening Night Film
Thurs Feb 3, 7p at the Roxie



SF INDIEFEST PRESENTS GREGG ARAKI' OLAFUR ARNALDS AND BARN OWL



Tenants 769NorthPoint
<tenants769np@yahoo.com>

02/01/2011 08:02 PM

To jack.song@sfgov.org

cc SOTF@SFGov.org, Francesca.Gessner@sfgov.org, Kimo
Crossman <kimo@webnetic.net>, rwhartzjr@sbcglobal.net,
libraryusers2004@yahoo.com

bcc

Subject Ruling

Mr. Song,

In your email below you are mistaken when you state, "We therefore decline to provide this information on the basis of the **attorney work product privilege.**"

The Task Force determination was that the names of the deputies who reviewed CDA Gessner's Opinion of October 6, 2010 these names are not privileged. As a matter of fact Task Force Commissioner Synder is an Attorney and stated he can't see any reason why the names can't be released. Supported his statement was another Task Force Commissioner Johnson, also an Attorney, along with the remaining five Commissioners, voted a 7 - 0 unanimous vote.

Please comply with the Task Force ruling and provided these names. If the names are on documents, the law says you may redact any privileged information on the documents.

Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Tenants 769NorthPoint <tenants769np@yahoo.com>
To: jack.song@sfgov.org
Sent: Thu, January 27, 2011 9:06:05 AM
Subject: Fw: SUNSHINE REQUEST #2 / IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Tenants 769NorthPoint <tenants769np@yahoo.com>
To: Jack.Song@sfgov.org
Cc: sotf@sfgov.org; Kimo Crossman <kimo@webnetic.net>; rwhartzjr@sbcglobal.net;
libraryusers2004@yahoo.com
Sent: Tue, January 25, 2011 8:26:47 PM
Subject: Re: SUNSHINE REQUEST #2 / IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST

Mr, Song,

You have already plead your case, no need to do it again in your email below..

At the SOTF Hearing Case #10064 on January 20, 2011, the case was heard and the Task Force rule that the names of the Deputies in item number 3 was in fact disclosable and



Kimo Crossman
<kimo@webnetic.net>
Sent by:
kimocrossman@gmail.com

02/02/2011 12:14 AM

Please respond to
kimo@webnetic.net

To Threet Jerry <jerrythreet@earthlink.net>, Richard Knee
<rak0408@earthlink.net>, Allen Grossman
<grossman356@mac.com>, "Rita O'Flynn"

cc

bcc

Subject Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet - saying SF Controller
Charter Confidential exemption is valid

Mr. Threet - by your analysis - attached - SF could adopt a Charter provision making every city file exempt ignoring state law CPRA and other Chartered Cities could do likewise to ignore CPRA completely

Below is a partial analysis for why you are mistaken beside the obvious implications above.

0) The local SF Charter cannot make exempt what State CPRA already allows to be disclosed otherwise each city could pass ordinances preventing access to embarrassing records so the SF charter provision for confidential records is not valid, the "Home Rule" for charter cities cannot apply because CPRA state law weighs in on these matters so the city is not free to design it's own approach. Also state CPRA Public Records law explicitly only allows local jurisdictions to increase access to records not limit them 6253 (e) Except as otherwise prohibited by law, a state or local agency may adopt requirements for itself that allow for faster, more efficient, or greater access to records than prescribed by the minimum standards set forth in this chapter.

1) Again, they cannot invoke the Investigation exemption because they are not a law enforcement agency with Penal Power - even in real time during the Ed Jew investigation by the SF City Attorney they had to turn over the files on an open investigation and they did.

2) Also it's a closed investigation so revealing info now won't hurt the active investigation

3) If they are concerned about revealing who the Whistleblower is, they can do minimal redactions of identity - and many are anonymous anyhow.

4) They invoke the state law for the state auditor - while similar function it is not the controlling law for the local Controller local Whistleblower ordinance - it means nothing here

5) If this is a matter related to violation of Statement of Incompatible Activities by a City Employee as set forth for that department and approved by Ethics, SF Sunshine proactively provides for disclosure of Ethics related matters essentially waiving any client privilege, 67.24 (b) (1)

(iii) Advice on compliance with, analysis of, an opinion concerning liability under, or any communication otherwise concerning the California Public Records Act, the Ralph M. Brown Act, the Political Reform Act, any San Francisco governmental ethics code, or this Ordinance.

6) Invocation of the "Interests of Justice" exemption as invoked by the Official Information exemption has been ruled by the California Supreme Court the same as the Public Interest Balancing test - which we know is clearly prohibited under the Sunshine Ordinance CBS, Inc. v Block, 42 Cal. 3d 646.656 (1986)

Reference for #0

The Controller exemption is illegal - The Board of Supervisors cannot adopt laws as referenced in the Controller that weaken Sunshine or limit access to records, only increase them because the Supervisors cannot override this Ordinance - actually an Initiative - adopted by the public.

67.30 (d) In addition to the powers specified above, the Task Force shall possess such powers as the Board of Supervisors may confer upon it by ordinance or as the People of San Francisco shall confer upon it by

SEC. 67.36. SUNSHINE ORDINANCE SUPERSEDES OTHER LOCAL LAWS.

The provisions of this Sunshine Ordinance supersede other local laws. Whenever a conflict in local law is identified, the requirement which would result in greater or more expedited public access to public information shall apply.



rak0408@earthlink.net

02/02/2011 10:45 AM

Please respond to
rak0408@earthlink.net

To sotf@sfgov.org, Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
cc rak0408@earthlink.net, Rick.Caldeira@sfgov.org
bcc

Subject Re: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST

Mr. Rustom et al,

Mr. Garza already has my e-mail address.

Also, regarding Items 9 and 10 in Mr. Rustom's note: to the best of my knowledge, the Sunshine Ordinance carries no provisions of the types Mr. Garza mentioned in his records requests. Mr. Garza is, of course, welcome and encouraged to review the text of the Ordinance, which is available via the Task Force's website, <http://sfgov.org/sunshine> .

Sincerely,
Richard Knee, Chair
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

-----Original Message-----

>From: sotf@sfgov.org
>Sent: Feb 1, 2011 4:56 PM
>To: Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
>Cc: rak0408@earthlink.net, Rick.Caldeira@sfgov.org
>Subject: Re: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST
>
>Mr. Jason Grant Garza,
>
>I am writing in response to your Immediate Disclosure Request which I
>received on January 31, 2011.
>
>1. A copy of file #10038 is ready for you. How do you want it delivered?
>
>2. Emails related to #10038 are ready for you. How do you want it
>delivered?
>
>3. A log related to #10038 is ready for you. How do you want it delivered?
>
>4. You wanted correspondence, notes of conversation and notes of phone calls
>regarding #10038. I do not have any document responsive to your request.
>
>5. Order of Determination for file #10038. I do not have any document
>responsive to your request.
>
>6. The hearing on file #10038 concluded in this manner on November 30,
>2010:
>
>On the motion of finding a violation of Section 67.24(i) and Section 67.26:
>Ayes: Manneh, Washburn, Wolfe, Johnson, Knee
>Noes: Snyder,
>
>Motion fails.
>
>On the motion of finding a violation of Section 67.21(e):
>
>Ayes: Manneh, Wolfe, Johnson



rak0408@earthlink.net

02/02/2011 11:05 AM

Please respond to
rak0408@earthlink.net

To kimo@webnetic.net, Threet Jerry
<jerrythreet@earthlink.net>, Allen Grossman
<grossman356@mac.com>, Rita O'Flynn
cc terry@calaware.org, thomasburke@dwt.com

bcc

Subject Re: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet - saying SF
Controller Charter Confidential exemption is valid

All,

Without having opened the attachment containing Mr. Threet's instructional memorandum, I offer the following: Several months ago, I solicited opinions from several outside attorneys specializing in FOI law on whether the Charter can trump the Sunshine Ordinance, as the latter is part of the Administrative Code.

To the best of my recollection, Terry Francke, general counsel of Californians Aware, and Thomas Burke of the law firm Davis Wright Tremaine both told me that they believe the Charter does, in fact, carry supremacy within the city, but that the Charter cannot supersede state law and thus may not undercut the openness requirements prescribed in the Brown Act or the California Public Records Act.

I trust that the foregoing is helpful.

Sincerely,
Richard Knee, Chair
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

-----Original Message-----

>From: Kimo Crossman <kimo@webnetic.net>
>Sent: Feb 2, 2011 12:15 AM
>To: Threet Jerry <jerrythreet@earthlink.net>, Richard Knee
<rak0408@earthlink.net>, Allen Grossman <grossman356@mac.com>, Rita O'Flynn
<rita_august@msn.com>, David Snyder <DSnyder@sheppardmullin.com>, "Johnson,
Hope" <hopeannette@earthlink.net>, "Bruce Wolfe, MSW" <sotf@brucewolfe.net>,
SOTF <sotf@sfgov.org>, amwashburn <amwashburn@comcast.net>
>Subject: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet - saying SF Controller Charter
Confidential exemption is valid

>
>Mr. Threet - by your analysis - attached - SF could adopt a Charter
>provision making every city file exempt ignoring state law CPRA and other
>Chartered Cities could do likewise to ignore CPRA completely

>
>Below is a partial analysis for why you are mistaken beside the obvious
>implications above.

>
>
>0) The local SF Charter cannot make exempt what State CPRA already allows to
>be disclosed otherwise each city could pass ordinances preventing access
>to embarrassing records so the SF charter provision for confidential records
>is not valid, the "Home Rule" for charter cities cannot apply because CPRA
>state law weighs in on these matters so the city is not free to design it's
>own approach. Also state CPRA Public Records law explicitly only allows
>local jurisdictions to **increase** access to records not limit them 6253 (e)
>Except as otherwise prohibited by law, a state or local agency may adopt
>requirements for itself that allow for faster, more efficient, or *greater



mpetrelis@aol.com
02/02/2011 05:58 PM

To brock@sfist.com, eve@sfappeal.com

cc

bcc

Subject S.F. unites for gay Ugandans Feb 3; Trans, Srs of
Indulgence & Gays w/o Borders

Please share our press release far and wide,
and join us tomorrow at Harvey Milk Plaza:

<http://tinyurl.com/625awpo>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/02/s.html>

Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>
02/03/2011 09:08 AM

To "SFSM Information Clearinghouse / SFSMscribdarchive /
SFSM Press List" <mail@csrsf.com>, "ProSFlist /
ProSFblog / ProSFscribdarchive" <home@prosf.org>,
cc

bcc

Subject CCSF SFSM weekly WEEKLY Sunshine Audit: 01-29-11 to
02-04-11: SF BOS AllStaff and Sunshine/CPRA and
Print/Broadcast/NewMediaPress Requests: Detailed CCSF
Office Calendars: Immediate Disclosure Sunshine Request:
SFSM BOS Resolution #040684:

SFSM Public Records Press Request Audit SFSM Public Records Press Request
Audit: SFSM 01-29-11 to 02-04-11:: Working, Daily, Weekly Calendars -
Public Officials:

RECENT CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit RESPONSE From SF City Attorneys Office
Attached.

This request is Based on the California Public Records Act, San Francisco
Sunshine Ordinance, the Prop 59 California Constitutional Amendment and BOS
San Francisco Survival Manual Resolution #040684 (Attached Below).

Documents Subject to Weekly CCSF SFSM Audit For Documents City Departments
Are Required To Maintain Include Any Records Requests from the Fourth Estate
(The Press - Print, Broadcast, On-line), Private Or Public Citizens,
Community Based Organization/Non-Governmental Organizations, Legal Concerns
And Corporate Interests As Well As requests Made The Members Of The SF Board
Of Supervisors, Their Legislative Staffs Or Clerk Of The Boards Office.

This Request is for Copies of Any and all Public Records Request Submissions
to your Department, Offices or Employee. These requests are designed to
minimize document reproduction and document retrieval costs for all.

For This Fridays Response Please Provide Subject Public Records Requests in
Their Original Electronic Formats.

SFSM "People's" Sunshine Audit

In an ongoing effort to monitor:

1) Consistency of compliance to California Public Records laws and
ordinances with respect to access to Public Records and responses from your



"Christian Holmer"
<mail@csrsf.com>
02/03/2011 09:11 AM

To "SFSM Information Clearinghouse / SFSMscribdarchive /
SFSM Press List" <mail@csrsf.com>, "ProSFlist /
ProSFblog / ProSFscribdarchive" <home@prosf.org>,
cc
bcc

Subject CCSF SFSM weekly WEEKLY Sunshine Audit: 01-29-11 to
02-04-11: SF BOS AllStaff and Sunshine/CPRA and
Print/Broadcast/NewMediaPress Requests: Detailed CCSF
Office Calendars: Immediate Disclosure Sunshine Request:
SFSM BOS Resolution #040684:

SFSM Public Records Press Request Audit SFSM Public Records Press Request
Audit: SFSM 01-29-11 to 02-04-11:: Working, Daily, Weekly Calendars -
Public Officials:

RECENT CCSF SFSM Sunshine Audit RESPONSE From SF City Attorneys Office
Attached.

This request is Based on the California Public Records Act, San Francisco
Sunshine Ordinance, the Prop 59 California Constitutional Amendment and BOS
San Francisco Survival Manual Resolution #040684 (Attached Below).

Documents Subject to Weekly CCSF SFSM Audit For Documents City Departments
Are Required To Maintain Include Any Records Requests from the Fourth Estate
(The Press - Print, Broadcast, On-line), Private Or Public Citizens,
Community Based Organization/Non-Governmental Organizations, Legal Concerns
And Corporate Interests As Well As requests Made The Members Of The SF Board
Of Supervisors, Their Legislative Staffs Or Clerk Of The Boards Office.

This Request is for Copies of Any and all Public Records Request Submissions
to your Department, Offices or Employee. These requests are designed to
minimize document reproduction and document retrieval costs for all.

For This Fridays Response Please Provide Subject Public Records Requests in
Their Original Electronic Formats.

SFSM "People's" Sunshine Audit

In an ongoing effort to monitor:

1) Consistency of compliance to California Public Records laws and
ordinances with respect to access to Public Records and responses from your



mpetrelis@aol.com
02/03/2011 12:43 PM

To **brock@sfist.com, eve@sfappeal.com**
cc
bcc
Subject **B.A.R.: What gay Uganda rally tonight? SF paper's
censorship is shameful**

Censorship of the work of activists is not just happening in Egypt:

<http://tinyurl.com/4cpzp7d>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/02/bar-what-gay-uganda-rally-tonight-sf.html>

Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



mpetrelis@aol.com
02/03/2011 01:44 PM

To sanfrancisco@ap.org, bcn@pacbell.net
cc eve@sfappeal.com, brock@sfist.com
bcc
Subject 1st time ever: Milk Plaza rainbow flag, half-mast tonight for
global gays & Uganda

Change for global gay activists is coming to the Castro tonight:

<http://tinyurl.com/484uwsu>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/02/1st-time-ever-milk-plaza-rainbow-flag.html>

Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



Chris Roberts
<c.hall.roberts@gmail.com>
02/03/2011 02:39 PM

To Seth.Steward@sfgov.org, Erica Derryck
<erica.derryck@sfgov.org>, adonlan@sftc.org
cc sotf@sfgov.org
bcc
Subject Immediate Disclosure Request

Dear Seth, Erica and Ann --

This is a public records request under the Sunshine Ordinance, Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

The purpose of this public records request is to determine how many marijuana-related offenses were prosecuted in San Francisco in 2008, 2009 and 2010, and how many convictions were won in court.

This request is directed to both the District Attorney and to the Criminal Court because of uncertainty as to who is the steward of records described above.

For the purposes of this request, the term "marijuana offense" includes but is not limited to the following violations: 16020 (Cultivation, Planting), 16030 (Marijuana, Possession for Sale), and violations of sections 11359 and 11358 of the California Health & Safety Code.

Can the relevant parties provide:

How many defendants had charges of marijuana offenses brought against them 2008, 2009, 2010? How many of these charges were felonies? How many were misdemeanors?

How many of those offenses have been brought to trial, and how many convictions have been won?

Can you provide case number and defendant name, with charges, for convictions won?

Can you provide case number and defendant name for all cases and defendants charged with cultivation, as well as dates of offense, date of charges filed, and date of conviction/charges dropped?

For convicted cases, please also provide the sentence imposed by a judge.

For cultivation cases, please provide the number of the search warrant signed by a Superior Court judge.

For the sake of clarity, I would be happy to receive this information in format similar to the following:



Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi's
Office
<mirkarimistaff@sfgov.org>
02/03/2011 02:57 PM

To soft@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject February 2011 Newsletter - Ross Mirkarimi



District Five Report

February 2011 Newsletter

In this edition:

- Public Safety Town Hall Meeting Monday, February 7th 2011, 7 – 9 PM
- HANC Recycling Center Hearing – Valentine's Day February 14th
- NOPA Music Series: Live Arts at St. Cyprian's Episcopal Church
- Whole Foods Opens in the Haight Ashbury Neighborhood
- Supervisor Mirkarimi looks into SFPD Psych Emergency Training Program
- Re-entry Council Update and Expansion
- Supervisor Mirkarimi to Support Lyon-Martin Health Clinic
- The 34th America's Cup: San Francisco's Preparation Begins
- UCSF Dental Clinic Offers Free Cleanings for "Give Kids a Smile Day" February 5th
- Park Branch Library Grand Re-Opening Celebration, February 26th
- Local Hire for non-city Projects: Hearing at Land Use Committee, February 28th
- City Faces Another Year of Red Ink
- Supervisor Mirkarimi sponsors Resolution to save KUSF
- Supervisor Mirkarimi Announces Safe Drug Disposal Pilot Program
- Clean Power SF Photo Contest--Additional Prizes Announced!
- Neighbor of the Month: Roy Bennett
- February is Black History Month
- Black History Month Kicks off at City Hall!
- Friday Nights at the de Young Presents: Black History Month Celebration
- National Black HIV/AIDS Awareness Day Candlelight Vigil
- The Western Addition Beacon Center's Black History Celebration
- AfroSolo Concerts Present Harmony and Melody: A Celebration of Black History



Chris Roberts
<c.hall.roberts@gmail.com>
02/03/2011 03:17 PM

To Lyn Tomioka <lyn.tomioka@sfgov.org>, "troy.dangerfield"
<troy.dangerfield@sfgov.org>, adonlan@sftc.org
cc sotf@sfgov.org
bcc
Subject Immediate Disclosure Request

Immediate Disclosure Request

This is a public records request under the Sunshine Ordinance, Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

The purpose of this public records request is to determine how many search warrants were requested and issued to San Francisco Police Department Narcotics officers, for the purpose of determining drug use/totals in San Francisco. This information will add significantly to the public knowledge.

This request is addressed to the San Francisco Police Department, but a copy is provided to the San Francisco Superior Court, as there has been in the past confusion as to who is steward of public records that concern both departments.

Search warrants are on file with the Clerk of the Criminal Court in Room 101 at the Hall of Justice; however, in order to access these public records, a search warrant number is required. The San Francisco Police Department is steward of the search warrant numbers.

Therefore, this request instructs the relevant stewards to provide:

Copies of search warrants or the relevant search warrant numbers for ALL search warrants requested by and issued to officers assigned to the Narcotics Unit of the San Francisco Police Department in the years 2008, 2009 and 2010?

The relevant case numbers corresponding to each search warrant number?

For the sake of clarity in understanding this request, I would be happy to receive this information in the following format:

-- DATE FILED -- CASE # -- SEARCH WARRANT #

Jan 1, 2010, 110-031-567, SW# 31208

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require anything from me in processing this request.

I appreciate your time and attention in fulfilling this request and thereby contributing to the public knowledge.



Supervisor Ross Mirkarimi's
Office
<mirkarimistaff@sfgov.org>
02/03/2011 03:28 PM

To soft@sfgov.org
cc
bcc

Subject February 2011 Newsletter - Ross Mirkarimi



District Five Report

February 2011 Newsletter

In this edition:

- Public Safety Town Hall Meeting Monday, February 7th 2011, 7 – 9 PM
- HANC Recycling Center Hearing – Valentine's Day February 14th
- NOPA Music Series: Live Arts at St. Cyprian's Episcopal Church
- Whole Foods Opens in the Haight Ashbury Neighborhood
- Supervisor Mirkarimi looks into SFPD Psych Emergency Training Program
- Re-entry Council Update and Expansion
- Supervisor Mirkarimi to Support Lyon-Martin Health Clinic
- The 34th America's Cup: San Francisco's Preparation Begins
- UCSF Dental Clinic Offers Free Cleanings for "Give Kids a Smile Day" February 5th
- Park Branch Library Grand Re-Opening Celebration, February 26th
- Local Hire for non-city Projects: Hearing at Land Use Committee, February 28th
- City Faces Another Year of Red Ink
- Supervisor Mirkarimi sponsors Resolution to save KUSF
- Supervisor Mirkarimi Announces Safe Drug Disposal Pilot Program
- Clean Power SF Photo Contest--Additional Prizes Announced!
- Neighbor of the Month: Roy Bennett
- February is Black History Month
- Black History Month Kicks off at City Hall!
- Friday Nights at the de Young Presents: Black History Month Celebration
- National Black HIV/AIDS Awareness Day Candlelight Vigil
- The Western Addition Beacon Center's Black History Celebration
- AfroSolo Concerts Present Harmony and Melody: A Celebration of Black History



mzdebrabeth@att.net
<mzdebrabeth@att.net>

02/03/2011 07:24 PM

To jakugler-planning@sbcglobal.net,
central.subway@sfmta.com, soft@sfgov.org,
JKeary@cfund.or, paul.rose@sfmta.com,

cc

bcc

Subject a question

Hello good people who work at in the Mayor's Office of Community Services and Nathaniel C. Ford, Sr. and the SFMTA:

My name is Debra Netkin and I am a hard working SF citizen. I teach at Lawton School and won the mayor's teaching award last year. I hope that you read this. I have some questions about parking in this city.

Question 1:

Does SFMTA has an annual report that shows yearly profit from meter payments and meter ticketing per year?

I have written this letter before. I want to understand how the city of SF benefits from this the windfall. I am honestly curious where profits are applied. I have heard that some goes to mass transit, but when I read the news, the mass transit seems to cut service as time goes on.

Question 2:

How is the cash managed? Since meters have been until recently a cash transaction, how is it counted, stored, overseen?

Question 3:

What is the process for determining placement of parking meters?

Question 4:

Why are there no evening time slots available for citizens who work to contest tickets? I do not get many tickets, but when I have gotten tickets and believe that it was unfairly dealt, I can't miss teaching to contest the ticket. The cost of a substitute teacher is not cost effective.

A scenario: I work all day at school and teach at night at Valencia and 14th Street for SF State. My commitment is from 4:30 to 7:30. I drive there from school, park,



"mzdebrabeth@att.net"
<mzdebrabeth@att.net>
02/03/2011 07:29 PM

To jakugler-planning@sbcglobal.net,
central.subway@sfmta.com, sotf@sfgov.org,
JKeary@cfund.or, paul.rose@sfmta.com,
cc
bcc
Subject question

Hello good people who work at in the Mayor's Office of Community Services and Nathaniel C. Ford, Sr. and the SFMTA:

My name is Debra Netkin and I am a hard working SF citizen. I teach at Lawton School and won the mayor's teaching award last year. I hope that you read this. I have some questions about parking in this city.

Question 1:

Does SFMTA has an annual report that shows yearly profit from meter payments and meter ticketing per year?

I have written this letter before. I want to understand how the city of SF benefits from this the windfall. I am honestly curious where profits are applied. I have heard that some goes to mass transit, but when I read the news, the mass transit seems to cut service as time goes on.

Question 2:

How is the cash managed? Since meters have been until recently a cash transaction, how is it counted, stored, overseen?

Question 3:

What is the process for determining placement of parking meters?

Question 4:

Why are there no evening time slots available for citizens who work to contest tickets? I do not get many tickets, but when I have gotten tickets and believe that it was unfairly dealt, I can't miss teaching to contest the ticket. The cost of a substitute teacher is not cost effective.

A scenario: I work all day at school and teach at night at Valencia and 14th Street for SF State. My commitment is from 4:30 to 7:30. I drive there from school, park,



mpetrelis@aol.com
02/04/2011 01:55 AM

To brock@sfist.com, eve@sfappeal.com
cc
bcc

Subject, Gay Uganda/David Kato S.F. rally attracts 100+; DC, NYC
stage vigils


Please have a look at the pix, the vid and the report from SF's excellent protest:

<http://tinyurl.com/4btads9>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/02/david-katogay-uganda-sf-rally-attracts.html>

Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



John Monroe/SFPD/SFGOV

02/04/2011 10:23 AM

To Adultprobation 311/ADPROB/SFGOV@SFGOV, DA
VICTIMSERVICES 311/DA/SFGOV@SFGOV,
JuvenileProbation 311/JUV/SFGOV@SFGOV, Mayor

cc

bcc

Subject Bay Area Law Enforcement Black history month Luncheon

Just a reminder, On Wed. Feb. 9th at 12 noon the Officers for Justice are having their third annual Bay Area law enforcement luncheon at Circolo restaurant 500 Florida St, SF. Tickets are \$20.00 at the door. Proceeds go to the O.F.J. youth scholarship fund. Car-pool, parking can be a little tight. R.S.V.P. THE O.F.J. office at 822-2225. Thanks.



Fiona Ma for Senate
<info@fionama.com>

02/04/2011 11:02 AM

Please respond to
info@fionama.com

To sotf@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject Celebrate Fiona's birthday on March 3!

[Celebrate Fiona's birthday on March 3!](#) | [View as web page](#)

ASSEMBLY SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE **FIONA MA**
INVITES YOU TO HER ANNUAL BIRTHDAY CELEBRATION

THURSDAY, MARCH 3, 2011 5:30PM - 9PM FEATURING COLIN GILMORE!
 SPIRE RESTAURANT (WWW.SPIRESF.COM) 685 3RD STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107

LET'S BEEN ON THE GIANTS - COME EARLY, STAY LATE, GO HOME
 DRESS TO IMPRESS BY WEARING YOUR BEST ORANGE AND **BLACK** OUTFIT!

HOMERUN (VIP) - \$500/PERSON
5 COURSE - SIT DOWN DINNER
FROM 5:30PM-7PM

FAN CLUB (RECEPTION) - \$100/PERSON
APPETIZERS, BEER/WINE
FROM 7PM-9PM

TICKETS CAN ONLY BE PURCHASED ONLINE AT
WWW.FIONAMA.COM/BIRTHDAY2011
FOR QUESTIONS OR RSVP CONTACT CLEM CLARKE AT 415-572-0890

FIONA MA

Paid for by Fiona Ma for Senate 2014

[Click here to unsubscribe](#)



alvinjohnson@comcast.net
02/04/2011 03:01 PM

To Board of Supervisors <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>, angela.calvillo@sfgov.org
cc alvinjohnson@comcast.net, edwin.lee@sfgov.org, civilservice@sfgov.org, ethics.commission@sfgov.org, sotf@sfgov.org
bcc

Subject Re: Response: Response: Fw: Civil Service Commission
Prevailing Wages - December 1999

Ms Calvillo, I am asking you to copy the entire BOS on these emails and the Mayor, this issue is not for you and Dennis to decide alone. He likes to think that the buck starts and stops with him, **DON'T BE STUPID**, he is not god, do not praise him, do not worship him, he is an ordinary crook.

1. What would have been the cost if the documents had not been removed in the first place, and instead copied to CD? Think before you answer please!! Before you send another set of boxes to GRM storage for (2005) why don't you scan them on to a CD right then, that way the city has everything on hand and you can still pay (since the city likes wasting money) for GRM to store useless paper copies. That way request are handled immediately and do not require your involvement whatsoever. No more writing emails with bullcrap policies that don't stick the moment you utter them.

2. How do I know if these documents were not already at your office when I requested them and that you are not just trying to earn revenue for the Clerk of the BOS?

3. You already stated that these request are saved until the end of the week, now all of the sudden, viola, you have the documents in hand, can I ask you "what the heck you were you talking about" when you gave me what you thought was the GRM policy and document retrieval procedures for the BOS. Can you spell bullcrap Ms. Calvillo. I did place a call to GRM (I have to follow up on everything that Dennis makes people say on his behalf), and from this I would like to discuss the BOS' so-called document retention practices and cost. **AS IN PAYING FOR STORAGE WHILE CHARGING THE PUBLIC BECAUSE YOU DONT HAVE ENOUGH SPACE. (What a Racket)** Did you re-read your own statements or did Dennis provide you with the exact wording. I hate to sound like a broken record but don't you see the traces of the same personality who writes (modifies) the Sunshine Ordinance and this contorted mess of a records management process? This is a mindless policy or set of practices, its a hodgepodge approach designed by the Dennis, which he cannot justify. Why don't you just put him on the phone so that he can defend his crap and not you.

Suppose these files were there all along, and you were buying time until the Dennis reviewed the docs and lined up his defense for what is revealed in them or just altered them, as he apparently is permitted to do, how would anyone know Ms Calvillo? There are no mechanism which protects the public from rogue attorney's of the city, none. Dennis and his staff are the wolves in the henhouse, and everyone seems to think that they wont eat every single hen if they get hungry enough. Are you blind to corruption Ms Calvillo, or has the Sunshine Ordinance just turned the lights out to everything this guy



"Bruce Wolfe, Vice-Chair,
Seat 8"
<sotf@brucewolfe.net>

02/05/2011 01:55 PM

To rak0408@earthlink.net, kimo@webnetic.net, Threet Jerry
<jerrythreet@earthlink.net>, Allen Grossman
<grossman356@mac.com>, Rita O'Flynn
cc terry@calaware.org, thomasburke@dwt.com

bcc

Subject Re: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet - saying SF
Controller Charter Confidential exemption is valid

My take is the SOvoter initiative is directive and instructional to the charter.

The real question is whether the SO is actually enumerated in the correct place so that it is clear what/where its authority and jurisdiction.

Bruce

Sent from Samsung mobile

rak0408@earthlink.net wrote:

>All,

>

>Without having opened the attachment containing Mr. Threet's instructional memorandum, I offer the following: Several months ago, I solicited opinions from several outside attorneys specializing in FOI law on whether the Charter can trump the Sunshine Ordinance, as the latter is part of the Administrative Code.

>

>To the best of my recollection, Terry Francke, general counsel of Californians Aware, and Thomas Burke of the law firm Davis Wright Tremaine both told me that they believe the Charter does, in fact, carry supremacy within the city, but that the Charter cannot supersede state law and thus may not undercut the openness requirements prescribed in the Brown Act or the California Public Records Act.

>

>I trust that the foregoing is helpful.

>

>Sincerely,

>Richard Knee, Chair

>Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

>

>

>-----Original Message-----

>>From: Kimo Crossman <kimo@webnetic.net>

>>Sent: Feb 2, 2011 12:15 AM

>>To: Threet Jerry <jerrythreet@earthlink.net>, Richard Knee <rak0408@earthlink.net>, Allen Grossman <grossman356@mac.com>, Rita O'Flynn <rita_august@msn.com>, David Snyder <DSnyder@sheppardmullin.com>, "Johnson, Hope" <hopeannette@earthlink.net>, "Bruce Wolfe, MSW" <sotf@brucewolfe.net>, SOTF <sotf@sfgov.org>, amwashburn <amwashburn@comcast.net>

>>Subject: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet - saying SF Controller Charter Confidential exemption is valid

>>

>>Mr. Threet - by your analysis - attached - SF could adopt a Charter provision making every city file exempt ignoring state law CPRA and other Chartered Cities could do likewise to ignore CPRA completely

>>

>>Below is a partial analysis for why you are mistaken beside the obvious



Rita August O'Flynn
 <rita_august@msn.com>
 02/05/2011 10:04 PM

To <eric.l.mar@sfgov.org>
 cc <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, <hotline@hudoig.gov>, <ethics.commission@sfgov.org>, <info@sfdemocrats.org>, <soft@sfgov.org>
 bcc
 Subject Re: Myrna Melgar, aide to Supervisor Eric Mar, and Ongoing Ethics Commission Investigation

Dear Supervisor Mar:

I understand that, following her departure from the Mayor's Office of Housing (MOH), Myrna Melgar is now employed by your office as your aide. Attached please find a referral from the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force to the San Francisco District Attorney's Office and San Francisco Ethics Commission regarding the destruction of e-mail evidence to and from Melgar while employed by MOH. As you will see, the destruction of Melgar's e-mail has been found to be in violation of San Francisco Administrative Codes. The City has been non-responsive to the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force's Orders of Determination to restore and provide to me the destroyed e-mail of Melgar and the San Francisco Ethics Commission is actively investigating this matter at this time.

Should you wish to do so, you may contact me at 415-260-7608 with any questions regarding this matter or matters related to the City Attorney's ongoing efforts to recoup over \$1 million in missing HUD Lead Hazard Funding from the now defunct ReHab Financial escrow company during Melgar's tenure as MOH's Program Manager for HUD Lead Programs. Among other things, I am in possession of documentation of the authorization of removal of HUD funds from an escrow account for my property by Melgar without the written consent of my husband or me as the legal borrowers of these funds and without the removal/remediation of lead hazards from my property as is required for the disbursement of these HUD funds.

With Kind Regards,

Rita O'Flynn

#09039_Rita O'Flynn v Mayor's Office of Housing

> To: rita_august@msn.com; myrna.melgar@sfgov.org; douglas.shoemaker@sfgov.org; Oliver.Hack@sfgov.org

> From: soft@sfgov.org

> Date: Tue, 16 Feb 2010 14:19:31 -0800

>

>

> Attached are letters referring the above mentioned matter to the Ethics Commission and the District Attorney.

>

> (See attached file: 09039_Ethics_Referral_ELC.pdf)(See attached file: 09039_DA_Referral_ELC.pdf)

>

> Chris Rustom

> Sunshine Ordinance Task Force



09039_Ethics_Referral_ELC.pdf 09039_DA_Referral_ELC.pdf



mpetrelis@aol.com
02/06/2011 11:00 AM

To brock@sfrist.com, eve@sfappeal.com
cc
bcc
Subject N.Y.T. omits Iraqi body count from review of Rumsfeld book

Why did the New York Times omit the 108,000 Iraqi civilian deaths from its review of scummy Rumsfeld's new book?:

<http://tinyurl.com/4qslb77>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/02/nyt-omits-iraqi-body-count-from.html>

-
Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.

=



mpetrelis@aol.com
02/06/2011 02:38 PM

To brock@sfist.com, eve@sfappeal.com
cc
bcc
Subject Castro Supe Wiener = MIA on Uganda vigil; Bay Times:
Keep flag at half mast

Why was the Castro's Supervisor MIA on David Kato?;
Ann Rostow has a good idea about the Milk Plaza flag:

<http://tinyurl.com/45sedhn>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/02/castro-sup.html>

-
Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



mpetrelis@aol.com
02/07/2011 01:07 PM

To c.laird@ebar.com, eve@sfappeal.com, brock@sfist.com
cc
bcc
Subject B.A.R.-backed S.F. rally for David Kato attracts crowd of only
5 people

The BAR has made no effort to clear up the confusion they created about a rally for David Kato that was a big bust on Sunday:

<http://tinyurl.com/4qoqlv4>

or

<http://mpetrelis.blogspot.com/2011/02/bar-backed-sf-david-kato-non-rally.html>

Clicks = bucks. Please click on the ads on my site.
Donate via Paypal to support my blog and advocacy.
If you don't want to receive these mailings, please say so.



Jerry
Threet/CTYATT@CTYATT
02/07/2011 02:06 PM

To rak0408@earthlink.net, kimo@webnetic.net, Allen Grossman
<grossman356@mac.com>, Rita O'Flynn
<rita_august@msn.com>, David Snyder

cc

bcc

Subject *Confidential: Fw: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet -
saying SF Controller Charter Confidential exemption is valid

Mr. Crossman -

Please respect my privacy and do not send an email regarding City legal advice to Task Force members with a cc to other members of the public, which includes my personal email address. my work email is readily available on all matters I prepare for the Task Force, including the advice memo that you misconstrued in your "analysis."

Sincerely,

Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney
Neighborhood and Resident Safety Division
Counsel to Sunshine Task Force
Office of City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera
1390 Market Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Direct: (415) 554-3914
Fax: (415) 437-4644
jerry.threet@sfgov.org

The information contained in this electronic message may be confidential and may be subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify me by telephone at (415) 554-3914, and delete the original message from your email system. Thank you.

----- Forwarded by Jerry Threet/CTYATT on 02/07/2011 02:03 PM -----

From: Jerry Threet <jerrythreet@earthlink.net>
To: Jerry Threet <Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org>
Date: 02/07/2011 01:55 PM
Subject: Fw: Re: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet - saying SF Controller Charter Confidential exemption is valid

-----Forwarded Message-----

>From: "Bruce Wolfe, Vice-Chair, Seat 8" <sotf@brucewolfe.net>
>Sent: Feb 5, 2011 1:55 PM



Kimo Crossman
<kimo@webnetic.net>
Sent by:
kimocrossman@gmail.com

02/07/2011 03:00 PM

Please respond to
kimo@webnetic.net

To Jerry Threet <Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org>

cc Allen Grossman <grossman356@mac.com>, SOTF
<sotf@sfgov.org>, rak0408@earthlink.net, amwashburn
<amwashburn@comcast.net>, "Johnson, Hope"

bcc

Subject Re: *Confidential: Fw: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet
- saying SF Controller Charter Confidential exemption is valid

Oh sure, that was not intended, autofill in my email program must have selected it. I dont know how your personal email adresss is in my email system.

If your only purpose here was that, it seems a private email to only me would suffice yet your choice to reply all played poorly for you.

I look forward to a reponse to my legal concerns, since you are fully aware of the matter now, it should be a simple short task

Regards

On Feb 7, 2011 2:07 PM, "Jerry Threet" <Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org> wrote:

> Mr. Crossman -

>

> Please respect my privacy and do not send an email regarding City legal
> advice to Task Force members with a cc to other members of the public,
> which includes my personal email address. my work email is readily
> available on all matters I prepare for the Task Force, including the
> advice memo that you misconstrued in your "analysis."

>

> Sincerely,

>

> _____
> Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney
> Neighborhood and Resident Safety Division
> Counsel to Sunshine Task Force
> Office of City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera
> 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor
> San Francisco, CA 94102
> Direct: (415) 554-3914
> Fax: (415) 437-4644
> jerry.threet@sfgov.org

>

>

> The information contained in this electronic message may be confidential
> and may be subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney
> work product doctrine. It is intended only for the use of the individual
> or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient,




Jerry
Threet/CTYATT@CTYATT
02/07/2011 03:04 PM

To kimo@webnetic.net

cc amwashburn <amwashburn@comcast.net>, David Snyder
<DSnyder@sheppardmullin.com>, Allen Grossman
<grossman356@mac.com>, "Johnson, Hope"

bcc

Subject *Confidential: Re: Fw: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet
- saying SF Controller Charter Confidential exemption is valid


Mr. Crossman -

As the matter was withdrawn, I don't believe it in the interest of the Task Force for me to spend time responding to a non-party's criticism of legal advice. If the Task Force as a whole requests that I do so, I will.

Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney
Neighborhood and Resident Safety Division
Counsel to Sunshine Task Force
Office of City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera
1390 Market Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Direct: (415) 554-3914
Fax: (415) 437-4644
jerry.threet@sfgov.org

The information contained in this electronic message may be confidential and may be subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify me by telephone at (415) 554-3914, and delete the original message from your email system. Thank you.

From: Kimo Crossman <kimo@webnetic.net>
To: Jerry Threet <Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org>
Cc: Allen Grossman <grossman356@mac.com>, SOTF <sotf@sfgov.org>, rak0408@earthlink.net, amwashburn <amwashburn@comcast.net>, "Johnson, Hope" <hopeannette@earthlink.net>, "Rita O'Flynn" <rita_august@msn.com>, kimo@webnetic.net, David Snyder <DSnyder@sheppardmullin.com>
Date: 02/07/2011 03:01 PM
Subject: Re: *Confidential: Fw: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet - saying SF Controller Charter Confidential exemption is valid
Sent by: kimocrossman@gmail.com

Oh sure, that was not intended, autofill in my email program must have selected it. I dont know



"SF Bay Guardian Editorial"
<promos@sfbg.com>
02/07/2011 05:44 PM

To SOTF@SFGov.org
cc
bcc

Subject Today: Why the Huffington Post deal sucks

If you're having trouble viewing this email, you may [see it online](#).

Share This:



SFBG Radio: Why the Huffington Post deal is bad for everyone (except Arianna)
<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/02/07/sfbg-radio-why-huffpo-deal-sucks>

I solved the state's budget problem
<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/02/07/i-solved-states-budget-problem>
By Tim Redmond

Richard Johns and the developers
<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/02/07/richard-johns-closer-developers-preservationsts>
By Steven T. Jones


Today's hotlist:

"Critical Mass and Radical Politics" - The monthly bike parade and social protest that began in SF and has spread like color-coordinated wheel walls around the globe can mean different things to you depending on which way your handlebars curve. Well not really, but check out this group discussion of what the ride still means, if anything.

7-9pm, free. Station 40, 3030B 16th St., SF. Facebook: Critical Mass and Radical Politics

Replikaaa Silent Happening - Reserve your free ticket online, then shut your mouth -- to exercise the "other" senses, this chic silent cocktail party invites guests to practice the art of nonverbal communication, connecting without words until the event's preview screening of Tayeb Al-Hafez's mysterious-futuristic silent film about DNA and organ traffickers.

7pm, free. Z Space, 450 Florida, SF. (415) 891-9544 , www.replikaathemovie.com



Promotions Dept. | San Francisco Bay Guardian | 135 Mississippi Street | San Francisco, CA 94107
www.sfbg.com/promo | promos@sfbg.com

This email was sent to SOTF@SFGov.org. To ensure that you continue receiving our emails, please add us to your



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

02/08/2011 07:46 AM

To soft@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov

bcc

Subject IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUESTS (Scheduling of
these matters with SOTF)

History:  This message has been replied to.

2/8/2011

Mr. Rustom and Mr. Knee

Re: Scheduling of HAFCI IDR Sunshine Complaints #2, 3 & 4.

Dear Sirs:

Please schedule these hearings ASAP as I had previously requested. (Please see below.) Please contact me ASAP over this.

Thanks,

Jason Grant Garza
jaygarza@pacbell.net
415-922-7781

P.S. I received your response to my SOTF IDR and have been ill ... I will respond as soon as I can. Thanks.

--- On Mon, 1/31/11, Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
Subject: Fw: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST # 4 (Scheduling of this matter with SOTF)
To: soft@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net, jaygarza@pacbell.net
Cc: Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov
Date: Monday, January 31, 2011, 4:11 PM

1/31/2011 8:10 am PST Monday

soft@sfgov.org
rak0408@earthlink.net
Re: Scheduling of Three (3) SOTF - IDR Hearings

Dear Mr. Rustom and Chair Knee:



Rita August O'Flynn
<rita_august@msn.com>
02/08/2011 12:56 PM

To: <jerry.threet@sfgov.org>, <kimo@webnetic.net>
cc: <amwashburn@comcast.net>,
<dsnyder@sheppardmullin.com>,
<grossman356@mac.com>, <hopeannette@earthlink.net>,
bcc

Subject RE: *Confidential: Re: Fw: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet - saying SF Controller Charter Confidential exemption is valid

Dear Mr. Threet:

As I am one of the parties involved and I am contemplating re-submitting my complaint to the SOTF, I would be appreciative of a response from you to the concerns raised by Mr. Cossman.

With Kind Regards,

Rita O'Flynn 415-386-8224 Cell: 415-260-7608

To: kimo@webnetic.net
CC: amwashburn@comcast.net; DSnyder@sheppardmullin.com; grossman356@mac.com;
hopeannette@earthlink.net; kimo@webnetic.net; kimocrossman@gmail.com; rak0408@earthlink.net;
rita_august@msn.com; sotf@sfgov.org
Subject: *Confidential: Re: Fw: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet - saying SF Controller Charter
Confidential exemption is valid
From: Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org
Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2011 15:04:54 -0800

Mr. Crossman -

As the matter was withdrawn, I don't believe it in the interest of the Task Force for me to spend time responding to a non-party's criticism of legal advice. If the Task Force as a whole requests that I do so, I will.

Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney
Neighborhood and Resident Safety Division
Counsel to Sunshine Task Force
Office of City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera
1390 Market Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Direct: (415) 554-3914
Fax: (415) 437-4644
jerry.threet@sfgov.org

The information contained in this electronic message may be confidential and may be subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify me by telephone at (415) 554-3914, and delete the original



Jerry
Threet/CTYATT@CTYATT
02/08/2011 01:08 PM

To Rita August O'Flynn <rita_august@msn.com>@SFGOV

cc amwashburn@comcast.net, dsnyder@sheppardmullin.com,
grossman356@mac.com, hopeannette@earthlink.net,
kimo@webnetic.net, kimocrossman@gmail.com,
bcc

Subject *Confidential: RE: Re: Fw: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry
Threet - saying SF Controller Charter Confidential exemption
is valid

Ms. O'Flynn -

I advise the task force and if they, as a body, request such a response, I will be glad to provide it to them.

Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney
Neighborhood and Resident Safety Division
Counsel to Sunshine Task Force
Office of City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera
1390 Market Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102
Direct: (415) 554-3914
Fax: (415) 437-4644
jerry.threet@sfgov.org

The information contained in this electronic message may be confidential and may be subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify me by telephone at (415) 554-3914, and delete the original message from your email system. Thank you.

From: Rita August O'Flynn <rita_august@msn.com>
To: <jerry.threet@sfgov.org>, <kimo@webnetic.net>
Cc: <amwashburn@comcast.net>, <dsnyder@sheppardmullin.com>, <grossman356@mac.com>,
<hopeannette@earthlink.net>, <kimocrossman@gmail.com>, <rak0408@earthlink.net>, <sof@sfgov.org>
Date: 02/08/2011 12:57 PM
Subject: RE: *Confidential: Re: Fw: Flawed Analysis by SOTF Jerry Threet - saying SF Controller Charter Confidential exemption is valid

Dear Mr. Threet:

As I am one of the parties involved and I am contemplating re-submitting my complaint to the SOTF, I would be appreciative of a response from you to the concerns raised by Mr. Cossman.



"SF Bay Guardian
Promotions"
<promos@sfbg.com>
02/08/2011 04:37 PM

To SOTF@SFGov.org
cc
bcc
Subject G-List: The Guardian's top to dos

If you're having trouble viewing this email, you may [see it online](#).

Share This:

GUARDIAN

THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY GUARDIAN

Valentine's To-Do's

Grab your girlfriends and join the Toss girls to celebrate Valentine's Day their way...

With bubbly, cupcakes, shopping, raffle prizes, and giveaways!

All you need is Love...and a few little luxuries!

Thursday, February 10th from 5pm - 8pm @ Toss, 2185 Chestnut Street

Personality Hotel's "Snuggie Love" Package

Have you ever seen the TV commercials for Snuggies, the blanket with sleeves, and thought to yourself how comfortable, but ridiculous it looks? Well get ready to snuggle up in style with your Valentine because this package includes everything you need to find out how sexy and fun Snuggies really are. The package includes: overnight accommodations at a choice of three Personality Hotels (Hotel Union Square, Hotel Diva or Kensington Park), a monkey Snuggie, "The Snuggie Sutra" book, a four pack of SKYY flavored mini bottles, and late check out. For more information or reservations visit www.personalityhotels.com.

Making Inns Meet

WeekendSherpa.com has the ingredients for a romantic afternoon:

Combine one Marin icon (Mount Tam), two historic inns, your favorite hiking partner, and wine and cheese for seasoning for one of a kind inn-to-inn scenic hike and picnic.

The hike starts behind the elegantly rustic Mountain Home Inn and ascends the Hogback fire road, with incredible views of Muir Woods, Mill Valley, and the coast. The path levels out onto the Old Railroad Grade fire road, which leads to your destination, The West Point Inn. Once a stopping point on the old Mount Tam railroad, this 104-year-old inn can only be reached by hiking trails. Snacks, coffee, tea, and hot chocolate are available there, but you can also pack in your own food and drinks. From the picnic grounds and wooden deck of the inn, toast the sweeping views of the Pacific and San Francisco Bay. For a different return route, take Nora's Trail and Matt Davis Trail.

In tomorrow's Guardian



Chris Roberts
<c.hall.roberts@gmail.com>
02/09/2011 01:53 PM

To Paul.Henderson@sfgov.org
cc sotf@sfgov.org
bcc
Subject Immediate Disclosure Request

Mr Henderson --

This is a public records request under the Sunshine Ordinance, Section 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

Section 67.24, PUBLIC INFORMATION MUST BE DISCLOSED, subsection (d) reads:

"The District Attorney, Chief of Police and Sheriff are encouraged to cooperate with the press and other members in the public in allowing access to local records pertaining to investigations, arrests, and other law enforcement activity."

"Records pertaining to any investigation, arrest or other law enforcement activity shall be disclosed to the public once the District Attorney or court determines that a prosecution will not be sought against the subject involved, or once the statute of limitations for filing charges has expired, whichever occurs first."

According to the index of public records on file with the City Administrator, the District Attorney is required to keep records of "felony court case files."

Please provide all "felony court case files" pertaining to marijuana felony offenses from the calendar years 2010, 2009, and 2008.

Please also provide any "records pertaining to any investigation, arrest or other law enforcement activity" relating to marijuana offenses from those same calendar years.

Thank you,
Chris Roberts

--
Christopher Roberts
4244 Judah #1
SF CA 94122
415.525.1034
SF Appeal archives: <http://tinyurl.com/yen4oee>
SF Weekly archives: <http://bit.ly/78gliP>



Duncan Wainwright
<baytechvision@gmail.com>

02/09/2011 02:56 PM

To cityattorney@sfgov.org, Ed.Lee@sfgov.org, soft@sfgov.org,
dennis.herrera@sfgov.org, city.administrator@sfgov.org

cc

bcc

Subject New DT CIO - A Search Process which Warrants Careful
Consideration

Hello,

Before the City and County of San Francisco chooses a new CIO to run DT, it is our hope that they look long and hard internally as well as externally to find a replacement for outgoing administrator Chris Vein. See trail below. The City should not hand this position over to someone that may have been scrutinized in the past in another life, or go down the path of other Cities and Counties in other parts of the Country that put their organizations at risk and jeopardy and gave their administrative process a major black eye. Such as a standing Deputy or someone who may be a President or head of some committee. The Sunshine Process is always an option to dig deep for any cautious speculations. Who can explain away the fiscal boondoggle of 200 Paul and the needless seating of hundreds of thousands of dollars of gear that has sat idle in an empty building for the past year. How did that sail through Procurement so easily and without scrutiny? As a private consultant in the Bay Area for a number of years I have watched closely these missteps and unchecked and misguided direction. Atsk questions, find out what's going on behind the scenes, be vigilant. Stay out of Matier and Ross. Just a thought to mull over.

DW

*The Hard Sell - **

<http://www.metroactive.com/papers/metro/01.19.05/fly-0503.html>*<

<http://www.metroactive.com/papers/metro/01.19.05/fly-0503.html>>

* *

*It seemed harmless enough, sending an email to City Hall, but now it looks as though** JON WALTON might be hit with as much as a \$5,000 fine. His crime? Transmitting information. Walton was a San Jose deputy chief information officer who quit city government in September 2003 to market products for Unisys, a technology services and hardware company based in Blue Bell, Pa. On Feb. 27, he sent an email to deputy chief information officer WENDY WALKER to introduce Walker to the company's new organizational management software called 3D Visible Enterprise. Walton's memo wasn't a hard sell. He merely sent her a video file and said that the city manager and council would probably like the software. But because Walton's departure from the city was less than a year old, he was still susceptible to the city's revolving-door restrictions. In a response to city investigators, Unisys said the material Walton sent to Walker was available to the public



Kimo Crossman
<kimo@webnetic.net>
Sent by:
kimocrossman@gmail.com

02/09/2011 04:49 PM

Please respond to
kimo@webnetic.net

To "buck.delventhal" <buck.delventhal@sfgov.org>, Paul
Zarefsky <Paul.Zarefsky@sfgov.org>, James Chaffee
<chaffeej@pacbell.net>, MARTIN L MACINTYRE

cc

bcc

Subject Federal judge orders release of document metadata

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **News Media Update** <update@rcfp.org>

Date: Wed, Feb 9, 2011 at 4:17 PM

Subject: News Media Update: Wed, Feb 9

To: kimo@webnetic.net

news media update

The free press and freedom of information news service of the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press

New York · February 9, 2011 · [Freedom of information](#)

Federal judge orders release of document metadata

Keywords: · Metadata

For the first time, a federal court has ruled that metadata -- information related to the history, tracking or management of an electronic document -- must be released if requested under the Freedom of Information Act. A federal judge in New York City made the ruling Monday in *National Day Laborer Organizing Network v. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency*.

Share:

· [Facebook](#)

· [LinkedIn](#)

· [Email](#)

[Print](#)

[Link](#)

National Day Laborer Organizing Network requested numerous records in electronic form from the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency. After significant delay, the agency provided the records, but did so by putting the them into a large, unsearchable PDF that lacked distinction within and lacked metadata. The court held that this was unacceptable. The two major issues in this case were the format the records were provided in and the lack of metadata, such as file dates, names, attachment data and other identifying information.



"martin.macintyre@juno.com"
<martin.macintyre@juno.com>
>

02/09/2011 05:57 PM

To kimo@webnetic.net

cc buck.delventhal@sfgov.org, Paul.Zarefsky@sfgov.org,
chaffeej@pacbell.net, tredmond@sfbg.com,
rak0408@earthlink.net, bruce@sfbg.com,

bcc

Subject Re: Federal judge orders release of document metadata

Kimo, I see that you cc's those who made the same "lame" arguments and even worse. Right over Arrogance

Marty

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **News Media Update** <update@rcfp.org>

Date: Wed, Feb 9, 2011 at 4:17 PM

Subject: News Media Update: Wed, Feb 9

To: kimo@webnetic.net

□

news media update

The free press and freedom of information news service of the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press

□ New York □ February 9, 2011 □ Freedom of information

Federal judge orders release of document metadata

Keywords: □ Metadata

For the first time, a federal court has ruled that metadata -- information related to the history, tracking or management of an electronic document -- must be released if requested under the Freedom of Information Act. A federal judge in New York City made the ruling Monday in *National Day Laborer Organizing Network v. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency*.

Share:

□ Facebook

□ LinkedIn

□ Email

Print

Link

National Day Laborer Organizing Network requested numerous records in electronic form from the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency. After significant delay, the agency provided the records, but did so by putting the them into a large, unsearchable PDF that lacked distinction within and lacked metadata. The court held that this was unacceptable. The two major issues in this case were the format the records were provided in and the lack



tomas picarello
<t_picarello@yahoo.com>
02/10/2011 09:12 AM

To: SOTF <sotf@sfgov.org>
cc
bcc
Subject: Fw: IDR HSA FILE

Attached per my SOTF complaint of 2-10-11.

--- On **Thu, 1/27/11**, tomas picarello <t_picarello@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: tomas picarello <t_picarello@yahoo.com>
Subject: IDR HSA FILE
To: "Pamela.Tebo@sfgov.org" <Pamela.Tebo@sfgov.org>
Date: Thursday, January 27, 2011, 12:28 PM

Hi Pam,

Happy new year. I need a copy of my HSA file. Specifically, I need my application and rejection for SSI benefits processed through the HSA office last year. Please consider this a Sunshine Ordinance IDR. If you are unable to satisfy my request, please advise who can.

You can respond with the documents by PDR.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Tomas Picarello



"Cal T.." <cbtmail@earthlink.net>
02/10/2011 10:14 AM

To <nicholas.kinsey@sfgov.org>, <olive.gong@sfgov.org>
cc "RPD" <recpark.commission@sfgov.org>, <sotf@sfgov.org>
bcc
Subject STOW LAKE SUNSHINE REQUEST

NICK:

As you are aware, on Tuesday, February 8, 2011 at 11:55 AM, I faxed a 24 hour Sunshine Request letter to Olive Gong and followed with a copy to you about an hour later. A copy of that request is attached to this email.

This morning, I opened an email from you sent Wednesday evening in response to my request as noted above. The attachment to your email only contained two exhibits from Cloudless Skies Park Company response to the Stow Lake RFQ and therefore does not fulfill the request as set forward in my letter of February 8, 2011.

I trust this was just a mouse click error and would appreciate the complete document sent to me immediately considering you are well past the 24 hour requirement. I do hope we will not have to spend another long evening before the Sunshine Task Force.

Cal Tilden
cbtmail@earthlink.net



Cc Via Fax (Nick & Olive) [SUNSHINE FEBRUARY 8, 2011.doc](#)



Nicholas Kinsey/RPD/SFGOV

02/10/2011 10:33 AM

To "Cal T.." <cbtmail@earthlink.net>

cc olive.gong@sfgov.org, "RPD"
<recpark.commission@sfgov.org>, sotf@sfgov.org

bcc

Subject Re: STOW LAKE SUNSHINE REQUEST

Cal,

I sent you six emails with all of the requested information yesterday. I will resend right now. If the files are too large to be received by your email. You are more than welcome to come here and pick up a hard copy.

Thanks,
Nick

Nicholas A. Kinsey
Assistant Director of Property and Concession Management
San Francisco Recreation and Park Department
McLaren Lodge
501 Stanyan Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

Tel. (415) 831-2774

Fax (415) 831-2099

From: "Cal T.." <cbtmail@earthlink.net>

To: <nicholas.kinsey@sfgov.org>, <olive.gong@sfgov.org>

Cc: "RPD" <recpark.commission@sfgov.org>, <sotf@sfgov.org>

Date: 02/10/2011 10:14 AM

Subject: STOW LAKE SUNSHINE REQUEST

NICK:

As you are aware, on Tuesday, February 8, 2011 at 11:55 AM, I faxed a 24 hour Sunshine Request letter to Olive Gong and followed with a copy to you about an hour later. A copy of that request is attached to this email.

This morning, I opened an email from you sent Wednesday evening

in response to my request as noted above. The attachment to your email only contained two exhibits from Cloudless Skies Park Company response to the Stow Lake RFQ and therefore does not fulfill the request as set forward in my letter of February 8, 2011.

I trust this was just a mouse click error and would appreciate the complete document sent to me immediately considering you are well past the 24 hour requirement. I do hope we will not have to spend another long evening before the Sunshine Task Force.

Cal Tilden
cbtmail@earthlink.net


Cc Via Fax (Nick & Olive)[attachment "SUNSHINE FEBRUARY 8, 2011.doc" deleted by Nicholas Kinsey/RPD/SFGOV]

Lori
Mazzola/ADMSVC/SFGOV
02/10/2011 03:28 PM

To
cc
bcc SOTF/SOTF/SFGOV
Subject IMPORTANT BUILDING INFORMATION--Film Activity
February 11th



John Updike
Acting Director of Real Estate



Please be advised of filming activity taking place on **Grove Street**, between Larkin and Polk Streets,

on **Friday, February 11th, from 7:00AM to 7:00PM**. During this time the street will be closed and staged

with various emergency vehicles, tents, and lines of actors. SFPD officers will be on location to ensure safety during the filming.

While little or no impact will affect City Hall tenants, be prepared to see emergency vehicles and personnel in the

filming area.

Please take note that Rec & Park will **relocate the "Off The Grid" food trucks** to the **eastern side of the plaza** on this day.

Please contact Building Management with questions or concerns.

Thank you.



"SF Bay Guardian Editorial"
<promos@sfbg.com>
02/10/2011 05:26 PM

To SOTF@SFGov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Today: Twitter deal could help big landlord

If you're having trouble viewing this email, you may [see it online](#).

Share This:



Twitter tax-cut deal could help well-connected landlord
<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/02/10/twitter-tax-break-could-help-well-connected-landlord>
By Steven T. Jones

The Ed Lee documents: Behind the city contracts corruption
<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/02/10/ed-lee-documents>
By Tim Redmond

The confession app: Have you masturbated lately?
<http://www.sfbg.com/politics/2011/02/10/confession-app-have-you-masturbated>
By Tim Redmond

Today's hotlist:

"Oilpocalypse Now" - The Gulf oil spill has slipped from the headlines, but this event featuring eye-witness accounts of the disaster and a screening of *Black Wave: The Legacy of the Exxon Valdez* will reawaken your passion for enviro-justice.

7pm, \$10-20 sliding scale. Grand Lake Theater, 3200 Grand Lake, Oakl. ([510\) 452-3556](tel:5104523556) , www.summerburkes.wordpress.com

Ensiferum - From the atmospheric texture of folk metal to the exultation and catharsis of thrash, these Finnish metallers take on the best of every genre they encompass.

7:30pm, \$25. DNA Lounge, 375 11th St., SF. ([415\) 626-2532](tel:4156262532) , www.dnalounge.com

Promotions Dept. | San Francisco Bay Guardian | 135 Mississippi Street | San Francisco, CA 94107
www.sfbg.com/promo | promos@sfbg.com

This email was sent to SOTF@SFGov.org. To ensure that you continue receiving our emails, please add us to your address book or safe list.


[manage](#) your preferences | [opt out](#) using TrueRemove®.

Lori
Mazzola/ADMSVC/SFGOV
02/11/2011 12:19 PM

To
cc
bcc SOTF/SOTF/SFGOV
Subject IMPORTANT BUILDING INFORMATION-Limited Access to
Goodlett and Grove Entrances



John Updike
Acting Director of Real Estate



Please be advised that in conjunction with the filming activity currently taking place on Grove Street,

across from the Bill Graham Civic Auditorium, access to both the Goodlett Place and Grove Street building entrances/exits will be

intermittently limited within the next hour, between 12:30PM to 1:30PM.

During this time, guests and employees entering or exiting City Hall through the Goodlett and/or Grove Street entrances/exits

may be asked to use an alternate entrance/exit or to wait for a period of 2-3 minutes. This may happen as many as 3-5 times

within the hour. Film Commission Staff will be located at each entrance to assist with this intermittent foot traffic control during

the filming and to ensure that as little impact as possible occurs to these building access points.

Please contact Building Management with questions or concerns.



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

02/11/2011 01:10 PM

To soft@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthkink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov

bcc

Subject Fw: Re: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST (2-11 My
response to your response)

2/11/2011 Friday 1:15pm PST

Dear Mr. Rustom:

Thank you for your diligence in trying to aid in getting me what I specifically requested; however, I believe that you might have mis-construed what I was seeking. Please forgive my delay in response but as my prior email informed ... I am not feeling very well.

On item: (per your numbering system below)

1: I will set a time to pick up the documents and to review the information with you.

2: Same as #1.

3: Same as #1.

4: As per our conversations regarding the receipt of my Order of Determination for case #10038 that you state was concluded November 30, 2010 ... I have repeatedly asked for the Order in order to pursue all my options and you stated that it was not ready (even though you told me I would get it before Christmas 2010) and that it (the Order of Determination) was being run by the city attorney. When I asked if this was normal procedure ... you stated yes. Therefore, there must be correspondence, notes of conversation and notes of phone calls regarding #10038 with the city attorney and possible other agencies. Please explain and confirm that NOT only has it been over 60 days without receiving the Order but that also your statement to me regarding the city attorney and procedure is in fact what you stated.

5: When will I receive the Order of Determination for case # 10038 in order to avail myself of my rights to appeal, seek reconsideration, or other options etc?

6: Thank you for the written part of how the hearing concluded (supporting my facts of 5 yes and 1 no) ... however, I specifically asked for information of ALL "THE NO VOTES" regarding seating, duration, etc to "tie a voice, person or human" to this rigged and false process ... when can I come and get this information for a fact that happened in the past (the 6 " NO VOTES.") While I do NOT understand your concern over members (seat holders) information ... the information I seek is very very very specific. Please call or contact me ASAP if you still do not understand.



Dana Wolfenbarger
<danawolfenbarger@hotmail.com>

02/11/2011 03:02 PM


To <sotf@sfgov.org>

cc

bcc

Subject Sunshine Ordinance - SF Juvenile Justice Commission

History:

 This message has been replied to.

Hello,

My name is Dana Wolfenbarger and I am a San Francisco Juvenile Justice Commissioner. I am also a graduate student at San Francisco State University studying social work, advocacy, and public policy. I am currently working on a research proposal to explore Juvenile Justice Commission best practices and I have a question about the Sunshine Ordinance. It is my understanding that the San Francisco Juvenile Justice Commission does not fall under the Sunshine Ordinance. Is this correct? Can you help me understand why?

Thank you so much,
Dana



"SF Bay Guardian Editorial"
<promos@sfbg.com>
02/11/2011 04:53 PM

To SOTF@SFGov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Today: Why payroll tax breaks are stupid

If you're having trouble viewing this email, you may [see it online](#).

Share This:



Why payroll tax breaks are stupid

By Tim Redmond

More questions about the state's death drug

By Tim Redmond

Today's hotlist:

"On the Edge" Erotic Photography - Last minute V-Day shoppers, here's the ace up your sleeve: an exhibit of world-class kinster art available for purchase for that oh-so-perfect pervert on your list. *Fri/11 5-9pm; Sat/12 3-9pm; Sun/13 noon-3pm, free. The Artist's Alley, 863 Mission, SF.*

www.eroticaretvents.com

Black Choreographers Festival - For the next three weekends, the spotlight will be firmly focused on the Bay's African American voices in dance, featuring the likes of Raissa Simpson, Deborah Vaughan, and Paco Gomes.

Fri/11-Sat/12 8pm; Sun/13 4pm, \$10-20. Also Feb. 17-20 and 25-27. Laney College, 900 Fallon, Oakl. 1-888-819-9106, www.bcfcereandnow.com

Promotions Dept. | San Francisco Bay Guardian | 135 Mississippi Street | San Francisco, CA 94107
www.sfbg.com/promo | promos@sfbg.com

This email was sent to SOTF@SFGov.org. To ensure that you continue receiving our emails, please add us to your address book or safe list.

[manage](#) your preferences | [opt out](#) using TrueRemove®.

Got this as a forward? [Sign up](#) to receive our future emails.



Richard Knee
<rak0408@earthlink.net>
02/12/2011 09:19 AM

To Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
cc sotf@sfgov.org, jaygarza@pacbell.net, SFCityAtty_Threet
Jerry <Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org>
bcc
Subject Re: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUESTS (Scheduling of
these matters with SOTF) (#10071 Tabling until proper
process and response)

Dear Mr. Garza,

As you know, two of the eleven seats on the Task Force are vacant. I have at least twice contacted the Board of Supervisors Rules Committee about filling them, but the Committee has not indicated when that will occur.

Furthermore, even when all eleven Task Force seats are filled, there is no guarantee from one month to the next that all members will attend a given meeting. This is because members serve on a voluntary basis and have other commitments, such as family and work, that might keep them away.

I trust that the foregoing information is helpful. Thank you for your kind attention and for your participation in the sunshine process.

Sincerely,
Richard Knee
Task Force Chair

C: Chris Rustom, Task Force Administrator
Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney

2/12/2011 8:30 am PST

Dear Mr. Rustom:

Thank you for your response and I will work on filling and filing the other three IDR complaints regarding Haight Ashbury Free Clinics Inc with you as stated below with corresponding paperwork in order to schedule ASAP. I will try to get it emailed to you next week,

On the issue of # 10071 ... your chair tabled this matter with my outstanding notification that I had filed DEMANDING it be heard in front of the FULL BOARD. At this last hearing ... I was not answered as why this did not happen nor when the FULL BOARD would next sit again in order to hear this matter. As a matter of fact ... please send me the last time the FULL BOARD was present at a TASK FORCE MEETING (that is all eleven seats with actual live bodies ... as the true definition of the FULL BOARD.)



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

02/12/2011 11:45 AM

To sotf@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST (12/22/2010)
HAFCI IDR # 2

2/12/2011 11:50 am PST

Dear Mr. Rustom:
Re: HAFCI IDR #2 Complaint Scheduling

As per your previous email which I received today:

“Mr. Jason Grant Garza,

A complaint has to be filed before I can schedule a hearing. As in #10038 and #10071, the form is available at <http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=5559> or let me know if you need assistance. “ ...

informing me that I would need to fill out a complaint form ... please explain this from your website:

“Summary of the Complaint Procedures

1.

You may fill out a complaint form online or access a form at sfgov.org/site/sunshine, or you may send your own letter filing a formal complaint. File the complaint with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102-4689; or you may send it by fax to (415) 554-7854 or email to sotf@sfgov.org.”

Did not this prior email (letter) serve as such this email was dated 12/24 for my IDR #2 against HAFCI addressed to you. Please explain before I spend more time in a false rigged process if what is stated on the website is NOT TRUE.

Still clear as mud if you won't follow your own procedure.



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

02/12/2011 11:48 AM

To: sotf@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net

cc

bcc

Subject: Fw: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE VIOLATION Number 3 by
HAFCI against Jason Grant Garza

2/12/2011 11:55 am PST

Dear Mr. Rustom:

Re: HAFCI IDR #3 Complaint Scheduling

As per your previous email which I received today:

“Mr. Jason Grant Garza,

A complaint has to be filed before I can schedule a hearing. As in #10038 and #10071, the form is available at <http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=5559> or let me know if you need assistance. “ ...

informing me that I would need to fill out a complaint form ... please explain this from your website:

“Summary of the Complaint Procedures

1.

You may fill out a complaint form online or access a form at sfgov.org/site/sunshine, or you may send your own letter filing a formal complaint. File the complaint with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102-4689; or you may send it by fax to (415) 554-7854 or email to sotf@sfgov.org.”

Did not this prior email (letter) serve as such this email was dated 1/14 for my IDR #3 against HAFCI addressed to you. Please explain before I spend more time in a false rigged process if what is stated on the website is NOT TRUE.

Still clear as mud if you won't follow your own procedure.



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

02/12/2011 02:48 PM

To sotf@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net

cc

bcc.

Subject 2-12 Response to Mr. Knee continuing to point out false process.

2/12/11

Dear Mr. Knee:

No, I DO NOT KNOW " two of the eleven seats on the Task Force are vacant." How do these EMPTY seats vote (with whose HUMAN VOICE) ?. When did the empty seats occur? Does that mean that the rigged process of "NO VOTES" against me in # 10038 (six) did not occur? Explain to me how EMPTY SEATS have a VOICE to VOTE. As you know by my ample discussion at the last meeting ... how is the process fair if all the votes are not present ?

So in keeping with my prior notification and your tabling of matter # 10071 since it was NOT being heard front of a FULL BOARD ... when will the FULL BOARD be available to hear the matter and remember the 45 day rule. This is the rigged process that I have amply brought up before. Also please send me the DATE of the last meeting of the FULL BOARD to fully illustrate the context of my statements and confirmation of the false process.

So as usual when I am confronted with less than the TRUTH (your claim that you wish the foregoing is helpful) would only be valid if you explained the contradiction and rigged process that I have informed you of repeatedly ("NO" votes, process stacked against me in a rigged false process) and I can not thank you for the "gamesmanship."

Please let me know when per correct fair process I will have all eleven seated members available to hear my case # 10071 since there will be 11 votes. Please realize that this DOES NOT extend the 45 day time limit that I questioned you on while you were tabling the matter. Do not forget at the last meeting I gave you the opportunity to decide with the seven members present ... I asked if I got 4 members' "YES" vote if I would win ... you stated I needed 6. This is how I was screwed by false process in case #10038 to which I STILL have NOT received my ORDER OF DETERMINATION. How many empty seats were there when my case # 10038 was heard?

Please let me ASAP.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza



Richard Knee
<rak0408@earthlink.net>
02/12/2011 03:24 PM

To Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
cc sotf@sfgov.org, jaygarza@pacbell.net, SFCityAtty_Threet
Jerry <Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org>
bcc
Subject Re: 2-12 Response to Mr. Knee continuing to point out false
process.

Dear Mr. Garza,

Task Force records indicate that Seat #7 became vacant in June, 2010, and Seat #11 became vacant in December, 2010.

For reasons stated in an earlier e-mail today, there is no way to know when those seats will be filled or when all eleven members of the Task Force will be present for a given meeting.

Regarding your notation that an unfilled seat or a member's absence from a meeting constitutes a "no" vote, that is correct when it comes to substantive matters before the Task Force. This is because of quorum and majority-vote rule interpretations from the City Attorney's Office. The Task Force plans to explore the issue as soon as possible, though I cannot predict the result. Even if the Task Force votes to change quorum and majority-vote rules, it is possible that a legal challenge to that decision will emerge.

I trust that the foregoing is helpful. Thank you for your kind attention and, again, for your participation in the sunshine process.

Sincerely,
Richard Knee
Task Force Chair

C: Chris Rustom, Task Force Administrator
Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney

2/12/11

Dear Mr. Knee:

No, I DO NOT KNOW " two of the eleven seats on the Task Force are vacant." How do these EMPTY seats vote (with whose HUMAN VOICE) ?. When did the empty seats occur? Does that mean that the rigged process of "NO VOTES" against me in # 10038 (six) did not occur? Explain to me how EMPTY SEATS have a VOICE to VOTE. As you know by my ample discussion at the last meeting ... how is the process fair if all the votes are not present ?

So in keeping with my prior notification and your tabling of matter # 10071 since it was NOT being heard front of a FULL BOARD ... when will the FULL BOARD be available to hear the matter and remember the 45 day rule. This is the rigged process that I have amply brought up



Richard Knee
<rak0408@earthlink.net>
02/12/2011 03:48 PM

To Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
cc soft@sfgov.org, jaygarza@pacbell.net, SFCityAtty_Threet
Jerry <Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org>
bcc
Subject Re: Fw: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST (12/22/2010)
HAFCI IDR # 2

Dear Mr. Garza,

Pursuant to your query, perhaps we can expedite this matter if you will respond by e-mailing the following information:

1. Respondent(s)

- A. Agency named in your complaint.
- B. Individual(s) you believe violated your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance, the state Public Records Act or the Brown (state open meetings) act.

2. Violation(s)

- A. Please describe the specific violation(s).
- B. Please list the date(s), time(s) and location(s) of these violations.
- C. If possible, please identify the specific provision(s) of local or state sunshine law that you believe were violated.

3. Hearings

- A. Do you wish a pre-hearing on this matter?
- B. Do you wish a full hearing on this matter?

4. Please provide whatever contact information that you are willing to have be a matter of public record.

5. Please indicate if you request anonymity.

Once you have provided the information requested above, the Task Force Administrator will be able to schedule hearings before the Complaint Committee, before the Task Force (if jurisdiction is found), and before the Compliance and Amendments Committee or the Education, Outreach and Training Committee (if a violation is found). Thank you for your kind attention.

Sincerely,
Richard Knee
Task Force Chair



Richard Knee
<rak0408@earthlink.net>
02/12/2011 03:49 PM

To: Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
cc: sotf@sfgov.org, jaygarza@pacbell.net, SFCityAtty_Threet
Jerry <Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org>
bcc:
Subject: Re: Fw: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE VIOLATION Number 3
by HAFCl against Jason Grant Garza

Dear Mr. Garza,

Pursuant to your query, perhaps we can expedite this matter if you will respond by e-mailing the following information:

1. Respondent(s)

A. Agency named in your complaint.

B. Individual(s) you believe violated your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance, the state Public Records Act or the Brown (state open meetings) act.

2. Violation(s)

A. Please describe the specific violation(s).

B. Please list the date(s), time(s) and location(s) of these violations.

C. If possible, please identify the specific provision(s) of local or state sunshine law that you believe were violated.

3. Hearings

A. Do you wish a pre-hearing on this matter?

B. Do you wish a full hearing on this matter?

4. Please provide whatever contact information that you are willing to have be a matter of public record.

5. Please indicate if you request anonymity.

Once you have provided the information requested above, the Task Force Administrator will be able to schedule hearings before the Complaint Committee, before the Task Force (if jurisdiction is found), and before the Compliance and Amendments Committee or the Education, Outreach and Training Committee (if a violation is found). Thank you for your kind attention.

Sincerely,
Richard Knee
Task Force Chair



Richard Knee
<rak0408@earthlink.net>
02/12/2011 03:52 PM

To Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
cc sotf@sfgov.org, jaygarza@pacbell.net, SFCityAtty_Threet
Jerry <Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org>
bcc
Subject Re: Fw: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST # 4
(Scheduling of this matter with SOTF)

Dear Mr. Garza,

Pursuant to your query, perhaps we can expedite this matter if you will respond by e-mailing the following information:

1. Respondent(s)

A. Agency named in your complaint.

B. Individual(s) you believe violated your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance, the state Public Records Act or the Brown (state open meetings) Act.

2. Violation(s)

A. Please describe the specific violation(s).

B. Please list the date(s), time(s) and location(s) of these violations.

C. If possible, please identify the specific provision(s) of local or state sunshine law that you believe were violated.

3. Hearings

A. Do you wish a pre-hearing on this matter?

B. Do you wish a full hearing on this matter?

4. Please provide whatever contact information that you are willing to have be a matter of public record.

5. Please indicate if you request anonymity.

Once you have provided the information requested above, the Task Force Administrator will be able to schedule hearings before the Complaint Committee, before the Task Force (if jurisdiction is found), and before the Compliance and Amendments Committee or the Education, Outreach and Training Committee (if a violation is found). Thank you for your kind attention.

Sincerely,
Richard Knee
Task Force Chair



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

02/12/2011 05:26 PM

To sotf@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Re: Fw: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST
(12/22/2010) HAFCI IDR # 2 (My response to Mr. Knee)

2/12/2011 5:30pm PST

Dear Mr. Knee:

Ok let's try this again here's a quote from the website under documents--> policies and procedures ---> Complaint Procedure which states " B. Filing a Complaint with the SOTF

1. A letter or complaint form may be submitted to the SOTF via mail, fax or electronic mail (email), or in person. If a complaint letter is received, the Administrator shall complete a complaint form and send a copy to the complainant for their review."

So please in explain again why when my email letter below was received, the Administrator didn't complete a complaint form and send a copy to the complainant.

Now you claim to be sending this in order to expedite ... was not the letter (email) notification sent in 12/24 and why was is not expedited then? So what you have done has cleverly tried to evade the question by trying to assure me of expedited help now. You have not answered my question questioning your procedure since it is STILL CLEAR AS MUD. Please explain this. Remember the email is dated 12/24 ... that was six (6) weeks ago ... please expedite your answer to me regarding this failure in stated procedure as quote above.

In fact what this has done is HARM, delay and continue the inhumane treatment.

I await your answer and will work on responding to your emails regarding IDR # 3 and # 4.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza
jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com
415-922-7781

P.S. Maybe we should ask the city attorney what his interpretation is ... ha, ha, ha. Or maybe you can expedite me an ANSWER to when the next FULL TASK FORCE BOARD MEETING will occur with all eleven (11) members present ... ha, ha, ha ... another convenient illusion in a rigged process.



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

02/12/2011 06:52 PM

To soff@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Re: Fw: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE VIOLATION
Number 3 by HAFCI against Jason Grant Garza (My
response to Mr. Knee)

2/12/2011 6:55 pm PST

Dear Mr. Knee:

Ok let's try this again here's a quote from the website under documents--> policies and procedures ---> Complaint Procedure which states " B. Filing a Complaint with the SOTF

1. A letter or complaint form may be submitted to the SOTF via mail, fax or electronic mail (email), or in person. If a complaint letter is received, the Administrator shall complete a complaint form and send a copy to the complainant for their review."

So please in explain again why when my email letter below was received, the Administrator didn't complete a complaint form and send a copy to the complainant.

Now you claim to be sending this in order to expedite ... was not the letter (email) notification sent in 1/14 and why was is not expedited then? So what you have done has cleverly tried to evade the question by trying to assure me of expedited help now. You have not answered my question questioning your procedure since it is STILL CLEAR AS MUD. Please explain this. Remember the email is dated 1/14 ... that was four (4) weeks ago ... please expedite your answer to me regarding this failure in stated procedure as quote above.

Oh and let's not forget what this TIDBIT in this letter/email dated 1/14/2011 stated:

"Dear Mr. Rustom;

Please aware the TASK FORCE that we now have a third unaccountable violation by HAFCI. I am glad that they were there on Tuesday to learn that yes you do have jurisdiction and that they receive 4 Million in funding from the city; however, DO NOT KNOW WHAT SUNSHINE IS or what is required. Too bad they are required to sign acknowledging that they do ... too bad the FRAUD will become evident ... and too bad that I must continue while knowing exactly how corrupt and unaccountable the system is.

As always ... please contact ASAP for the scheduling of this matter and ALL ITS



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.co
m>

02/12/2011 07:12 PM

To sotf@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net

cc

bcc

Subject Fw: Re: Fw: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST # 4
(Scheduling of this matter with SOTF)

2/12/2011 7:15 pm PST

Dear Mr. Knee:

Ok let's try this again here's a quote from the website under documents--> policies and procedures
--> Complaint Procedure which states " B. Filing a Complaint with the SOTF

1. A letter or complaint form may be submitted to the SOTF via mail, fax or electronic mail (email), or in person. If a complaint letter is received, the Administrator shall complete a complaint form and send a copy to the complainant for their review."

So please in explain again why when my email letter below was received, the Administrator didn't complete a complaint form and send a copy to the complainant.

Now you claim to be sending this in order to expedite ... was not the letter (email) notification sent in 1/31 and why was is not expedited then? So what you have done has cleverly tried to evade the question by trying to assure me of expedited help now. You have not answered my question questioning your procedure since it is STILL CLEAR AS MUD. Please explain this. Remember the email is dated 1/31 ... that was two (2) weeks ago ... please expedite your answer to me regarding this failure in stated procedure as quote above.

Oh and let's not forget what this TIDBIT in this letter/email dated 1/31/2011 stated:

"Dear Mr. Rustom and Chair Knee:

Please schedule this matter before the Task Force as I HAVE NOT RECEIVED RESPONSE for the IDR. Please also let me know when the IDR #2 and IDR #3 that I submitted to HAFCI and sent you a copy will also be scheduled since I HAVE NOT RECEIVED RESPONSE. Please schedule these matters ASAP and let me know ASAP."

So Mr. Knee ... this one is actually more DISTRESSING since it was addressed to you also ... please explain. I will await your responses and please note that this does NOT extent the time limit.

Oh, and as far as expedite ... I still await my ORDER OF DETERMINATION on case # 10038 ... talk about faulty process.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

02/12/2011 07:53 PM

To: sotf@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net

cc

bcc

Subject: Fw: Sunshine Task Force - IDR Complaint

2/12/2011 7:55 pm PST

Dear Mr. Knee:

Below is something that is interesting while I await regarding scheduling of IDR #2,3 & 4. This is my letter regarding IDR #1 (10071) ...

Please send me a copy of the form as indicated by Mr. Rustom's 2/12 email where Mr. Rustom states; " A complaint has to be filed before I can schedule a hearing. As in #10038 and #10071, the form is available at <http://www.sfbos.org/index.aspx?page=5559> or let me know if you need assistance."

I await your answer ... and please note " THE FULL BOARD REQUIREMENT " constant refrain.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza
jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com
415-922-7781

— On Thu, 12/9/10, Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
Subject: Sunshine Task Force - IDR Complaint
To: sotf@sfgov.org, jaygarza@pacbell.net
Cc: NAbdullah@hafci.org, JEckstrom@hafci.org
Date: Thursday, December 9, 2010, 5:29 PM

12/9/2010

Chris Rustom
Sunshine Task Force
415-554-7724

Dear Mr. Rustom:

I am forwarding this as a complaint that I wish to file with the Sunshine Task Force regarding an IDR. Please process this IMMEDIATELY and notify me. Also I would DEMAND and



Peter Heinecke
<pheinecke@gmail.com>
02/13/2011 01:11 PM

To sotf@sfgov.org
cc
bcc
Subject Response Requested

History: This message has been replied to.

To Whom It May Concern:

Please let me know whether anybody monitors this e-mail address and, if so, when I should expect a response to the inquiry below. That inquiry was sent a week ago and was a follow up to an inquiry sent three weeks ago. I have not received a response to either e-mail.

Thanks,

Peter

On Mon, Feb 7, 2011 at 11:23 AM, Peter Heinecke <pheinecke@gmail.com> wrote:
To the Sunshine Task Force:

The San Francisco Planning Department has failed to respond in a timely manner to the attached request. If you could please investigate and compel compliance with the law, that would be most appreciated.

Thanks,

Peter

Peter S. Heinecke

30 Hill St.

San Francisco, CA 94110

pheinecke@gmail.com

From: Peter Heinecke [mailto:pheinecke@gmail.com]



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

02/14/2011 07:42 AM

To soft@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov

bcc

Subject Fw: Re: 2-12 Response to Mr. Knee continuing to point out
false process. (My response to Mr. Knee and MORE
QUESTIONS)

2/14/2011

7:45 am PST Monday

Dear Mr. Knee:

Thank you for your quick response and admitting that "an unfilled seat or a member's absence from a meeting constitutes a "no" vote, that is correct when it comes to substantive matters before the Task Force." This is what I have stated all along and pointed out as false and rigged and now I have your admission that an unfilled seat or member's absence constitutes a "no."

Please explain how this is NOT RIGGED and fair, proper process. To simply state the blithe remark BECAUSE that is the city attorney's interpretation does NOT point out, clear up or absolve the fact that it makes the entire process rigged and unfair. What it does point to is more deceit, clever manipulation of false process, faulty excuses, and lastly the banality of "EVIL" when it comes to moral and ethical responsibility due to the citizen and exhibited by this very case, setup or whatever other clever description you might choose to give it. However, the TRUTH remains that the process is false, rigged, and inhumane. Where in the SUNSHINE ORDINANCE does it state (as I asked before now that you have admitted the false rigged "NO" votes) that I have to participate with your false illusion as to the representation of a fair and just hearing? Please take NOTE that I am not participating in your false illusion of proper process when you just admitted my point in how it is rigged and just because the city attorney says no ... does not mean it is not. So please point out to me how I find this knowledge (your admission) helpful if I am still thwarted by false process and no accountability? Accountable means proving and standing behind what you believe ... how is no member or absent members "NO VOTES" fair and NOT rigged? Please explain this and if you can not adequately explain other than to say because the city attorney said so that does not support or validate your assertion that your response is correct, adequate or fair. In other words ... where is your evidence and facts ... my evidence that it is rigged has been confirmed by you ... please explain the false motto of caring if the procedure is rigged. By the way, is this not SUNSHINE where we can sun sunshine in on this very fact (the rigged procedure, the genuflecting to the city attorney, the denial of due process resulting from the aforementioned acquiescing, etc , etc, etc.)

By the way, with your excuse being reliant on the city attorney's interpretation ... please ask him if this is not the same city attorneys office in San Francisco that signed a Settlement Agreement



"jaygarza@pacbell.net"
<jaygarza@pacbell.net>

02/14/2011 08:47 AM

Please respond to
jaygarza@pacbell.net

To sotf@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov

bcc

Subject FW: EC referral letter: #10038_Jason Grant Garza vs Dept of
Public Health

2/14/2011 8:45 am PST Monday

Dear Mr. Knee:

Here is something that is interesting ... where is the followup to this escalation, what were the penalties, remember RIGGED is as RIGGED does.

By the way, I am still searching my computer for the assertion that Mr. Rustom made regarding filling out a form in case # 10038 and # 10071 ... I have already sent you regarding case # 10071 and asked for the copy of the form I filled out per Mr. Rustom's assertions. Now I am looking for the one for case # 10038 please send me the filled out form per Mr. Rustom's assertions since this case and # 10071 proceeded; HOWEVER, NOT in FRONT OF THE FULL BOARD.

I await your answer and naturally the copy of the filled form for cases # 10038 and 10071.

Have a NICE DAY and GOD BLESS,

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza
jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com
415-922-7781

cc copies:

Donald White - Office of Inspector General
Kathleen Sebelius - Office of Health and Human Services

P.S. Ah, the banality of EVIL with false process, no accounting, no followup and follow thru, no measurement of outcome or result; yet the ILLUSION continues.

P.P.S. I STILL AWAIT MY ORDER OF DETERMINATION regarding # 10038 ... wow, first it could not be heard within the 45 day timeline for prompt quick resolution ... but NOW at least per Mr. Rustom 70 days after the RIGGED vote? Comments???

Original Message:

From: sotf@sfgov.org
Date: Thu, 23 Sep 2010 14:19:37 -0700
To: jaygarza@pacbell.net, Mitch.Katz@sfdph.org, Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org
Subject: EC referral letter: #10038_Jason Grant Garza vs Dept of Public Health



"jaygarza@pacbell.net"
<jaygarza@pacbell.net>

02/14/2011 09:16 AM

Please respond to
jaygarza@pacbell.net

To sotf@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov

bcc

Subject Sunshine Complaint Received: #10038_Jason Grant Garza
vs Dept of PublicHealth (2/14)

2/14/2011 9 am PST Monday

Dear Mr. Knee:

Here is what I found regarding case # 10038. I believe Mr. Rustom filled out the form based on my letters/emails.

How does this tie in with my statements regarding the fact that SOTF CAN NOT even follow it procedure and what hope is there in this RIGGED PROCESS. Remember RIGGED is as RIGGED does ... please send me your answers ASAP.

I await answers and have much much much more documentation

Please send me IMMEDIATELY the name. email address and phone number for your ADA coordinator ...

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza
jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com
415-922-7781

cc copies:


Donald white - Office of Inspector General
Kathleen Sebelius - Office of Health and Human Services

Original Message:

From: sotf@sfgov.org
Date: Mon, 19 Jul 2010 14:25:50 -0700
To: jaygarza@pacbell.net, Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org
Subject: Sunshine Complaint Received: #10038_Jason Grant Garza vs Dept of PublicHealth

This e-mail is to confirm that the attached complaint and supporting documents have been received. The Department is required to submit a response to the charges to the Task Force within five business days of receipt of this notice. Please refer to complaint number #10038 when submitting any new information and/or supporting documents pertaining to this complaint.

If the Department contests jurisdiction or if the parties request a




Chris Rustom/BOS/SFGOV
02/14/2011 10:10 AM

To soft@sfgov.org
cc
bcc

Subject Fw: Documents regarding January 28, 2011 Sunshine Ordinance Task Force "Decision and Order of Determination"

----- Forwarded by Chris Rustom/BOS/SFGOV on 02/14/2011 10:11 AM -----



Howard
Lazar/ARTSCOM/SFGOV
02/11/2011 10:55 AM

To Bill and Bob Clark <billandbobclark@access4less.net>
cc Luis Cancel/ARTSCOM/SFGOV@SFGOV, Jill Manton/ARTSCOM/SFGOV@SFGOV, SanSan Wong/ARTSCOM/SFGOV@SFGOV, Kan Htun/ARTSCOM/SFGOV@SFGOV, Virginia Dario Elizondo/CTYATT@CTYATT, Adine Varah/CTYATT@CTYATT, Alyssa Licouris/ARTSCOM/SFGOV@SFGOV, Chris Rustom/BOS/SFGOV@SFGOV

Subject Documents regarding January 28, 2011 Sunshine Ordinance Task Force "Decision and Order of Determination"

Mr. William J. Clark
Mr. Robert J. Clark

Relative to the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force's "Decision and Order of Determination" from its hearing on Complaint #10069, William and Robert Clark v. Arts Commission, please see the attached letter informing you that I have completed the redaction process of confidential personnel information from all of the recently discovered documents of which I informed you on February 4, 2011 and am now mailing in hard copy the redacted copies to you.



Clark021111.doc

Sincerely,

Howard Lazar
Street Artists Program Director
San Francisco Arts Commission
415.252.2583

Website: http://www.sfartscommission.org/street_artists_program/index.html



Richard Knee
<rak0408@earthlink.net>
02/14/2011 10:47 AM

To jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc sotf@sfgov.org, SFCityAtty_Threet Jerry
<Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org>

bcc

Subject Re: Sunshine Complaint Received: #10038_Jason Grant
Garza vs Dept of PublicHealth (2/14

Dear Mr. Garza,

If by "ADA" you mean the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Task Force does not have a member or staff person assigned to handle ADA-related issues. Thank you for your kind attention.

Sincerely,
Richard Knee
Task Force Chair

C: Chris Rustom, Task Force Administrator
Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney

> 2/14/2011 9 am PST Monday

>

> Dear Mr. Knee:

>

> Here is what I found regarding case # 10038. I believe Mr. Rustom filled
> out the form based on my letters/emails.

>

> How does this tie in with my statements regarding the fact that SOTF
> CAN NOT even follow it procedure and what hope is there in this RIGGED
> PROCESS. Remember RIGGED is as RIGGED does ... please send me your answers
> ASAP.

>

> I await answers and have much much much more documentation

>

> Please send me IMMEDIATELY the name. email address and phone number for
> your ADA coordinator ...

>

> Sincerely,

>

> Jason Grant Garza
> jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com
> 415-922-7781

>

> cc copies:

>

> Donald white - Office of Inspector General
> Kathleen Sebelius - Office of Health and Human Services

>

>

>

>

>

> Original Message:

> -----

> From: sotf@sfgov.org
> Date: Mon, 19 Jul 2010 14:25:50 -0700
> To: jaygarza@pacbell.net, Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org
> Subject: Sunshine Complaint Received: #10038_Jason Grant Garza vs Dept of



"jaygarza@pacbell.net"
<jaygarza@pacbell.net>

02/14/2011 03:18 PM

Please respond to
jaygarza@pacbell.net

To rak0408@earthlink.net, sotf@sfgov.org,
jaygarza@pacbell.net

cc Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov

bcc

Subject My response to Mr. Knee's ADA response on 2/14/2011 -
Still awaiting other elements.

2/14/2011 3:15 pm PST Monday

Dear Mr. Knee:

Yes, you are correct when I request ADA help ... I mean Americans with Disabilities Act ... thank you for informing me that the Task Force does not have a member or staff person assigned to handle ADA-related issues ... therefore, please send me the appropriate DEFAULT person in this context (ADA Coordinator) for your agency as I can ONLY HOPE that the city has a standby for its agencies that don't have the required ADA Compliance person/officer.

Please realize that I do wish to avail myself of my rights under ADA and as such am properly inquiring who I speak to in your division regarding my ADA issues. Please if I have not made myself clear ... I will be happy to clarify.

Also note that I am still awaiting the rest of the requested documentation as outlined in the below email that you partially responded to.

Have a NICE DAY and GOD BLESS !!!!

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza
jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com
415-922-7781

cc copies:

Donald white - Office of Inspector General
Kathleen Sebelius - Office of Health and Human Services

Original Message:

From: Richard Knee rak0408@earthlink.net
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 10:48:31 -0800
To: jaygarza@pacbell.net, sotf@sfgov.org, Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: Sunshine Complaint Received: #10038_Jason Grant Garza vs
Deptof PublicHealth (2/14

Dear Mr. Garza,

If by "ADA" you mean the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Task Force does not have a member or staff person assigned to handle ADA-related issues. Thank you for your kind attention.



Richard Knee
<rak0408@earthlink.net>
02/14/2011 03:38 PM

To jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc soft@sfgov.org, SFCityAtty_Threet Jerry
<Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org>

bcc

Subject Re: My response to Mr. Knee's ADA response on 2/14/2011 -
Still awaiting other elements.

Dear Mr. Garza,

Thank you for informing the Task Force that you will require ADA assistance during your appearances before the Task Force and its Committees. Please specify as soon as possible the type(s) of assistance that you will require; the Task Force will do its best to comply with your wishes.

Also, please be informed that to the best of my knowledge, the type of documentation you have requested, relative to a "rigged process," does not exist.

Sincerely,
Richard Knee
Task Force Chair

C: Chris Rustom, Task Force Administrator
Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney

2/14/2011 3:15 pm PST Monday

Dear Mr. Knee:

Yes, you are correct when I request ADA help ... I mean Americans with Disabilities Act ... thank you for informing me that the Task Force does not have a member or staff person assigned to handle ADA-related issues ... therefore, please send me the appropriate DEFAULT person in this context (ADA Coordinator) for your agency as I can ONLY HOPE that the city has a standby for its agencies that don't have the required ADA Compliance person/officer.

Please realize that I do wish to avail myself of my rights under ADA and as such am properly inquiring who I speak to in your division regarding my ADA issues. Please if I have not made myself clear ... I will be happy



Joaquin
Torres/MAYOR/SFGOV
02/14/2011 04:36 PM

To
cc
bcc SOTF/SOTF/SFGOV
Subject Fw: Invitation: City Hall Lunar New Year Celebration -
Tuesday, February 15, 2011

Dear Friends,

*Mayor Edwin Lee
President of the Board of Supervisors David Chiu
Supervisors Carmen Chu, Eric Mar and Jane Kim
and
Assessor-Recorder Phil Ting*

*request the pleasure of your company
at the civic celebration of*

**Lunar New Year
Year of the Hare**

*Tuesday, February 15, 2011
at 5:30 pm*

*The Rotunda
San Francisco City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102*

Light refreshments will be served in the North Light Court

Joaquin Torres
Office of Mayor Edwin M. Lee
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 160



"jaygarza@pacbell.net"
<jaygarza@pacbell.net>

02/15/2011 07:15 AM

Please respond to
jaygarza@pacbell.net

To rak0408@earthlink.net, sotf@sfgov.org,
jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov.

bcc

Subject 2/15 - My response to Mr. Knee's ADA response on
2/14/2011 for ADA information.

History: This message has been replied to.

2/15/2011 7 am PST Tuesday

Dear Mr. Knee:

Thank you for response regarding ADA. Now with your obvious concern, I know I will get the requested information ASAP. Please send me the name, email address, phone number for the ADA Coordinator (or the default one) as repeatedly asked. I will speak with this individual regarding my ADA needs. Again thanks for your concern.

As far as what I am trying to repeatedly state that the process is rigged ... where is my ORDER OF DETERMINATION for case # 10038; what was the outcome of pushing up to ETHICS case # 10038; how do non-present, non-alive, non-humans vote as they did in case # 10038; where is the completed form per Mr. Rustom's assertion for cases # 10038 and # 10071; what does the term "consisting" means when by your own admission the task force has NOT had eleven members (as I had asked in previous email); when will the next FULL BOARD MEETING (eleven members alive, present and breathing) be; oh, I could just go on and on. I still await these answers and remember RIGGED is as RIGGED does.

Please send me the Order, the outcome results from Ethics, the ADA information, the completed forms for # 10038 & 10071, explanation of nonhuman votes, etc, etc, etc.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza
jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com
415-922-7781

email cc:

Donald White - Office of Inspector General
Kathleen Sebelius - Office of Health and Human Services

Original Message:

From: Richard Knee rak0408@earthlink.net
Date: Mon, 14 Feb 2011 15:39:17 -0800
To: jaygarza@pacbell.net, sotf@sfgov.org, Jerry.Threet@sfgov.org
Subject: Re: My response to Mr. Knee's ADA response on 2/14/2011 - Still awaiting other elements.

Dear Mr. Garza,



"jaygarza@pacbell.net"
<jaygarza@pacbell.net>

02/15/2011 10:35 AM

Please respond to
jaygarza@pacbell.net

To madeleine.licavoli@sfgov.org, sotf@sfgov.org,
rak0408@earthlink.net, jaygarza@pacbell.net

cc

bcc

Subject Confirmation of ADA Coordinator as received from SOTF.

2/15/2011 10:30 am PST Tuesday
415-554-7722

Dear Madeleine Licavoli:

Thank you for our conversation moments ago regarding your position and title. I told you that I would be emailing you to verify that indeed you were the ADA Coordinator and as you told me you were for the Board of Supervisors. I also stated that I was glad as I could have someone to talk to about my ADA issues, my past and current experiences with DPH, the Sunshine Task Force, MOD, etc. Please verify that you are the ADA Coordinator and then we can begin the long paper trail to insure that my rights as an ADA person were not violated. Please be aware that I intend to have the state and federal level of ADA look into this also. Also please ask the Department of Public Health regarding my disability (Adjustment Disorder - Can Not Adjust to Disorder - A Well Adjusted Individual in a Mal-Adjusted Society) and what is required in handling it with me; however, I should not have to make you aware of this.

Again, Thanks ...

Oh, I prefer email ... that way there can be no he said ... she said ... risk management later.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza
jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com
415-922-7781

Original Message:

From: sotf@sfgov.org
Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 08:47:17 -0800
To: jaygarza@pacbell.net, rak0408@earthlink.net
Subject: Re: 2/15 - My response to Mr. Knee's ADA response on 2/14/2011 for ADA information.

Mr. Jason Grant Garza,

Madeleine Licavoli is the ADA contact person for the Clerk's Office. She can be reached at madeleine.licavoli@sfgov.org or at (415) 554-7722. Please discuss your ADA needs with her.

Chris Rustom



Kai Wilson
<wilson.kai@hotmail.com>
02/08/2011 04:33 PM

To <sof@sfgov.org>, <dinanomtlcbd@att.net>,
<nomtlcbd@att.net>, <clint_ladine@yahoo.com>
cc
bcc
Subject Please Add To Case Files & Give To Committee Members
Regarding North of Market Issue

Greetings-


I just wanted to add to the record that my continued mention of jurisdiction during the "Were they the correct documents?" hearing was not to add to, or support the issue of the Order of Determination, but rather to show that these people are liars.

Mr. Rustom, please let me know when the above statement has been given to the committee members and added to this public case file.

Thank you,

Kai Wilson

Jonas Ionin/CTYPLN/SFGOV
01/05/2011 08:30 AM

To tsangt123@yahoo.com
cc sotf@sfgov.org
bcc
Subject 2642-44 Hyde Street NPR 

Ms. Tsang,
Attached are the documents you requested at the Jan. 4, 2011 SOTF hearing.



2644 Hyde - S.Vellve.pdf 2644 Hyde St - J.Ionin.pdf 2644 Hyde St No. 2 - J.Ionin.pdf

SOTF,
Please forward this email with attachments to your Commissioners.

Sincerely,

Jonas P. Ionin,
PIC Manager, SF Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103
415.558.6309 phone
415.558.6409 facsimile

<http://www.sf-planning.org>



PLANNING DEPARTMENT

City and County of San Francisco • 1660 Mission Street, Suite 500 • San Francisco, California • 94103-2414

MAIN NUMBER
(415) 558-6378

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
PHONE: 558-6411

AUTHOR'S FAX
558-6409

ZONING ADMINISTRATOR
PHONE: 558-6350

MAJOR ENVIRONMENTAL
PHONE: 558-5990

PLANNING INFORMATION
PHONE: 558-6377

INTERNET WEB SITE
WWW.SFGOV.ORG/PLANNING

NOTICE OF PLANNING DEPARTMENT REQUIREMENTS

June 27, 2006

Jeremy Paul
Quickdraw Permits
60 Otis Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

PROPERTY ADDRESS **2642 + 2644 Hyde Street**
ASSESSOR'S PARCEL # **Blk 0027 Lot 017**
ZONING DISTRICT **RH-2**
PERMIT APPLICATION NO. **2004.11.20.8353**
CASE NO. **2004.1312V**

The subject permit application is under Planning Department review. The application is now on hold, until we receive the information, revisions and/or materials listed below, and verify their accuracy.

1. **Plans:** Please provide the following information on the plans:
 - The full adjacent lots and building footprints with lot depths and easement where applicable.
 - Provide each dimension separately for the subject property: lot depth, front setback, building depth, rear yard and easement. Please ensure all dimensions are accurate and consistently scaled.
 - Dimension of balcony projection from rear of structure on each side elevation.
 - Photos.
 - Section 311 labels for neighborhood notification.
- **Please provide the information, revisions and/or materials requested above within thirty days of the date of this Notice. This application may be sent to the Department of Building Inspection for cancellation if a complete response is not received in that time.**
- You may file any plan revisions responding to this notice at the Plans Approval Division, 1660 Mission Street, 2nd Floor, at no extra charge. (Please do not submit plans directly to the Planning Department – they will not be accepted.) Also, be advised that failure to address all the items listed above, leading to additional requests for revisions beyond those filed in response to this notice, may require a Back Check Fee for Permit Revisions Planning Code Section 355(a)(2) and Administrative Code Section 10F.1). If you file additional plan revisions in the future, those plan revisions may be subject to the Back Check Fee.
- All plans submitted must be to an appropriate scale, e.g., plot plan 1" = 10' or 20'; floor plans 1/8" or 1/4" = 1' with the uses of all rooms and spaces labeled. Plans must be signed and stamped by the architect or engineer who prepared them, in accord with Department of Building Inspection requirements.
- Please label photos, 3-R reports, and similar (non-plan) documents with the project address and mail them to 1660 Mission St, Fifth Floor, San Francisco 94103-2414, or submit them to the Planning Department Receptionist on the Fifth Floor, in either case directed to the attention of the Planner named below.

Please contact **Planner Sara Velve** at **(415) 558-6263** or **Sara.Velve@sfgov.org** with any questions concerning this notice.



PLANNING DEPARTMENT

City and County of San Francisco • 1660 Mission Street, Suite 500 • San Francisco, California • 94103-2414

MAIN NUMBER
(415) 558-6378

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
PHONE: 558-6411

AUTHOR'S FAX
558-6409

ZONING ADMINISTRATOR
PHONE: 558-6350

MAJOR ENVIRONMENTAL
PHONE: 558-5990

PLANNING INFORMATION
PHONE: 558-6377

INTERNET WEB SITE
WWW.SFGOV.ORG/PLANNING

NOTICE OF PLANNING DEPARTMENT REQUIREMENTS No.1

Date: November 23, 2004

Applicant: Kristina Vogeltrust
Address: C/O Billie Cayot
715 46th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94121

PROPERTY ADDRESS 2644 Hyde Street
ZONING DISTRICT RH-3

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL No. Blk 0027 Lot 017
PERMIT APPLICATION No. 2004.11.02.8353

The subject permit application is under Planning Department review. The application is now on hold, until we receive the information, revisions and/or materials listed below, and verify their accuracy.

- The application to abate the Notice of Violation will require a variance hearing before the Zoning Administrator. The rear most portion of your building extends approximately four feet into the required rear yard (15 feet measured from the rear property line). As a result, that portion of your building is considered legal, but noncomplying. Pursuant to Section 188 of the Planning Code, you cannot add a permitted obstruction (the balcony) per Section 136 to an already noncomplying structure. However, you may apply for and justify a variance or remove the balcony.
- Please provide the information, revisions and/or materials requested above within thirty days of the date of this Notice. This application may be sent to the Department of Building Inspection for cancellation if a complete response is not received in that time.
- You may file any plan revisions responding to this notice at the Plans Approval Division, 1660 Mission Street, 2nd Floor, at no extra charge. **DO NOT** submit plans directly to the Planning Department – they will not be accepted.)
- Please be advised that failure to address all the items listed above, leading to additional requests for revisions beyond those filed in response to this notice, will require a Back Check Fee for permit revisions of \$86.17 per hour pursuant to Planning Code Section 355(a)(2) and Administrative Code Section 10F.1). If you file additional plan revisions in the future, those plan revisions may be subject to the Back Check Fee.
- All plans submitted must be to an appropriate scale, e.g., plot plan 1" = 10' or 20'; floor plans 1/8" or 1/4" = 1' with the uses of all rooms and spaces labeled. Plans must be signed and stamped by the architect or engineer who prepared them, in accord with Department of Building Inspection requirements.
- Please label photos, 3-R reports, and similar (non-plan) documents with the project address and mail them to 1660 Mission St, Fifth Floor, San Francisco 94103-2414, or submit them to the Planning Department Receptionist on the Fifth Floor, in either case directed to the attention of the Planner named below.
- The Planning Commission adopted the 2003 Residential Design Guidelines on December 4, 2003 with their effective date on January 1, 2004. All residential permit applications in the RH and RM zoning districts filed or reviewed after January 1, 2004 are subject to these Guidelines. You can download a copy of the Guidelines from our website at <http://www.sfgov.org/planning> or purchase for \$3.00 per copy at the Planning Department office, Ground Floor Lobby or 5th floor.

Please contact **Planner Jonas P. Ionin at (415) 558-6309** with any questions concerning this notice. Thank you for your attention to this notice. An early and complete response on your part will help expedite our review of your permit application.

Cc: File
Robert Mittelstadt, 1736 Stockton St., S.F. CA 94133



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

NOTICE OF PLANNING DEPARTMENT REQUIREMENTS No. 2

February 2, 2009

Robert Mittelstadt, Architect
1736 Stockton Street, Studio 4
San Francisco, CA 94133

PROPERTY ADDRESS **2642-2644 Hyde Street**
 ASSESSOR'S PARCEL # **Blk 0027 Lot 017**
 ZONING DISTRICT **RH-3 and Northern Waterfront SUD No. 2/ 40-X**
 PERMIT APPLICATION NO. **2004.11.02.8353 SR-10**

The subject permit application is under Planning Department review. The application is now on hold, until we receive the information, revisions and/or materials listed below, and verify their accuracy.

1. The submitted revision does not comply with the Variance Decision Letter issued to legalize the subject balcony and reducing its depth.
 2. The attached Variance Decision Letter grants a replacement balcony approximately 12 feet wide, 3 feet deep, and setback approximately 5 feet from each side property line. Please comply with said Decision Letter.
 3. Please include the full address of the subject property in the title (2642-2644 Hyde Street).
 4. The accuracy of the rear elevation has been disputed. Please correct the rear elevation if not accurate, and submit a picture of the rear elevation for verification.
- Please provide the information, revisions and/or materials requested above within thirty days of the date of this Notice. This application may be sent to the Department of Building Inspection for cancellation if a complete response is not received in that time.
 - You may file any plan revisions responding to this notice at the Plans Approval Division, 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor, at no extra charge. (Please do not submit plans directly to the Planning Department – they will not be accepted.)
 - Please be advised that failure to address all the items listed above, leading to additional requests for revisions beyond those filed in response to this notice, will require a **Back Check Fee for permit revisions** per Planning Code Section 355(a)(2) and Administrative Code Section 10F.1). If you file additional plan revisions in the future, those plan revisions may be subject to the Back Check Fee.
 - All plans submitted must be to an appropriate scale, e.g., plot plan 1" = 10' or 20'; floor plans 1/8" or 1/4" = 1' with the uses of all rooms and spaces labeled. Plans must be signed and stamped by the architect or engineer who prepared them, in accord with Department of Building Inspection requirements.

1650 Mission St.
 Suite 400
 San Francisco,
 CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
 Information:
415.558.6377

- Please label photos, 3-R reports, and similar (non-plan) documents with the project address and mail them to 1650 Mission St, Suite 400, San Francisco 94103-2414, or submit them to the Planning Department Receptionist on the Fourth Floor, in either case directed to the attention of the Planner named below.
- The Planning Commission adopted the **2003 Residential Design Guidelines** on December 4, 2003 with their effective date on January 1, 2004. All residential permit applications in the RH and RM zoning districts filed or reviewed after January 1, 2004 are subject to these Guidelines. You can download a copy of the Guidelines from our website at <http://www.sfgov.org/planning> or purchase for \$3.00 per copy at the Planning Department office, Ground Floor Lobby or 5th floor.

Please contact Planner Jonas P. Ionin at (415) 558-6309
with any questions concerning this notice.



Ellen Tsang
<tsangt123@yahoo.com>
01/12/2011 12:31 PM

To Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org
cc soft@sfgov.org, ellentsang28@yahoo.com
bcc
Subject Fw: Re: 2642-44 Hyde Street NPR

Planner Jonas Ionin:

I forward the public record requested on May 4, 2010. My request was emailed to you on January 9, 2011.

Thank you.

Ellen Tsang

Mr. Rustom:

Please forward this email to all the commissioners.
Thank you.
Ellen Tsang

--- On Sun, 1/9/11, Ellen Tsang <tsangt123@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Ellen Tsang <tsangt123@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: 2642-44 Hyde Street NPR
To: Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org
Cc: soft@sfgov.org, "kimo" <kimo@webnetic.net>, "Allen Grossman" <grossman356@mac.com>, "Ray Hartz Jr" <rwhartzjr@sbcglobal.net>, tenants769np@yahoo.com, tsangt123@yahoo.com
Date: Sunday, January 9, 2011, 10:05 PM

Planner Jonas Ionin:

Thank you for providing me with the attached public records that I requested on May 4, 2010. However, I am 100% sure there is a public record named "Notice of Planning Department Requirements" from Planner Sarah Velve to Permit Expediter and his company Quickdrawn Permit Consulting dated February 1, 2005. This public record is still being withhold by you and Planning Department.

Please email me this public record in PDF.

Thank you.

Ellen Tsang

SOTF:
Please forward this email to all the commissioners.
Thank you.
Ellen Tsang

--- On Wed, 1/5/11, Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org <Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org> wrote:

From: Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org <Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org>

Subject: 2642-44 Hyde Street NPR
To: tsangt123@yahoo.com
Cc: sotf@sfgov.org
Date: Wednesday, January 5, 2011, 8:30 AM

Ms. Tsang,
Attached are the documents you requested at the Jan. 4, 2011 SOTF hearing.

(See attached file: 2644 Hyde - S.Vellve.pdf)(See attached file: 2644 Hyde
St - J.Ionin.pdf)(See attached file: 2644 Hyde St No. 2 - J.Ionin.pdf)

SOTF,
Please forward this email with attachments to your Commissioners.

Sincerely,

Jonas P. Ionin,
PIC Manager, SF Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103
415.558.6309 phone
415.558.6409 facsimile

<http://www.sf-planning.org>



Ellen Tsang
 <tsangt123@yahoo.com>
 01/09/2011 10:49 PM

To Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org
 cc soft@sfgov.org, tsangt123@yahoo.com
 bcc

Subject Re: 2642-44 Hyde Street NPR

Planner Jonas Ionin:

Thank you for providing me with the attached documents that I requested on May 4, 2010. However, I am 100% sure there is a public record named "Notice of Planning Department Requirements" from Planner Sarah Velve to Permit Expediter and his company Quickdrawn Permit Consulting dated February 1, 2005. This public record is still being withhold by you and Planning Department.

Please email me this public record in PDF.

Thank you.

Ellen Tsang

SOTF:

Please forward this email to all the commissioners.

Thank you.

Ellen Tsang

--- On **Wed, 1/5/11**, Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org <Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org> wrote:

From: Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org <Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org>
 Subject: 2642-44 Hyde Street NPR
 To: tsangt123@yahoo.com
 Cc: soft@sfgov.org
 Date: Wednesday, January 5, 2011, 8:30 AM

Ms. Tsang,

Attached are the documents you requested at the Jan. 4, 2011 SOTF hearing.

(See attached file: 2644 Hyde - S.Velve.pdf)(See attached file: 2644 Hyde St - J.Ionin.pdf)(See attached file: 2644 Hyde St No. 2 - J.Ionin.pdf)

SOTF,

Please forward this email with attachments to your Commissioners.

Sincerely,

Jonas P. Ionin,
 PIC Manager, SF Planning Department
 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
 San Francisco, CA 94103
 415.558.6309 phone
 415.558.6409 facsimile

<http://www.sf-planning.org>

Jonas Ionin/CTYPLN/SFGOV
01/18/2011 11:07 AM

To tsangt123@yahoo.com
cc sotf@sfgov.org
bcc

Subject Fw: Failure Notice and request for document

The document you requested is attached below.

Jonas P. Ionin,
PIC Manager, SF Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103
415.558.6309 phone
415.558.6409 facsimile

<http://www.sf-planning.org>

----- Forwarded by Jonas Ionin/CTYPLN/SFGOV on 01/18/2011 11:07 AM -----



Sara Vellve/CTYPLN/SFGOV
01/18/2011 11:01 AM

To Jonas Ionin/CTYPLN/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc

Subject Re: Fw: Failure Notice and request for document 



February 1 2005 NDPR.pdf

Sara Vellve, Northwest Team
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103
P: 415.558.6263
F: 415.558.6409
Hours: M-W 8:45 to 1:00, Th 8:45 to 4:00

Jonas Ionin/CTYPLN/SFGOV

Jonas Ionin/CTYPLN/SFGOV
01/18/2011 10:39 AM

To Sara Vellve/CTYPLN/SFGOV@SFGOV
cc

Subject Fw: Failure Notice and request for document

Sara,
Do you have a NPR for 2642 Hyde St. to Jeremy Paul dated Feb. 1, 2005? If you do, please forward electronically to me.

Thanks,

Jonas P. Ionin,
 PIC Manager, SF Planning Department
 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
 San Francisco, CA 94103
 415.558.6309 phone
 415.558.6409 facsimile

<http://www.sf-planning.org>

----- Forwarded by Jonas Ionin/CTYPLN/SFGOV on 01/18/2011 10:39 AM -----

Brian Smith/CTYPLN/SFGOV



01/18/2011 09:42 AM

To: Jonas Ionin/CTYPLN/SFGOV@SFGOV

cc

Subject: Fw: Failure Notice and request for document

FYI

Brian Smith
 Director IT / Operations
 San Francisco Planning Department
 1650 Mission St Suite 400
 415-575-6835
 Brian.Smith@SFgov.org

----- Forwarded by Brian Smith/CTYPLN/SFGOV on 01/18/2011 09:42 AM -----

From: Ellen Tsang <tsangt123@yahoo.com>
 To: soft@sfgov.org
 Cc: John.Rahaim@sfgov.org, Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org, Brian.Smith@sfgov.org, tsangt123@yahoo.com
 Date: 01/14/2011 03:32 PM
 Subject: Fw: Failure Notice and request for document

Mr. Rustom:

I emailed my request for documents to planner Jonas Ionin twice and emails were returned.

Please forward my email below to Planner Jonas Ionin, planning department and the commissioners.

Thank you.

Ellen Tsang

[Flag this message](#)

Re: 2642-44 Hyde Street NPR

Sunday, January 9, 2011 10:05 PM

From:

"Ellen Tsang" <tsangt123@yahoo.com>

[Add sender to Contacts](#)

To:
 Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org
 Cc:
 soff@sfgov.org, "kimo" <kimo@webnetic.net>, "Allen Grossman" <grossman356@mac.com>, "Ray Hartz Jr" <rwhartzjr@

Planner Jonas Ionin:

Thank you for providing me with the attached public records that I requested on May 4, 2010.
 However, I am 100% sure there is a public record named "Notice of Planning Department Requirements" from Planner S
 2005. This public record is still being withhold by you and Planning Department.

Please email me this public record in PDF.

Thank you.

Ellen Tsang

SOTF:
 Please forward this email to all the commissioners.
 Thank you.
 Ellen Tsang

--- On Tue, 1/11/11, MAILER-DAEMON@nm13.bullet.mail.sp2.yahoo.com <MAILER-DAEMON@nm13.bullet.mail.s

From: MAILER-DAEMON@nm13.bullet.mail.sp2.yahoo.com <MAILER-DAEMON@nm13.bullet.mail.sp2.yahoo.com>
 Subject: Failure Notice
 To: tsangt123@yahoo.com
 Date: Tuesday, January 11, 2011, 11:58 PM

Sorry, we were unable to deliver your message to the following address.

<jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>:
 Message expired for domain sfgov.org. Remote host said: 452 Too many recipients received this hour [RCPT_TO]

--- Below this line is a copy of the message.

Received: from [98.139.91.66] by nm13.bullet.mail.sp2.yahoo.com with NNFMP; 10 Jan 2011 06:05:53 -0000
 Received: from [98.139.91.6] by tm6.bullet.mail.sp2.yahoo.com with NNFMP; 10 Jan 2011 06:05:53 -0000
 Received: from [127.0.0.1] by omp1006.mail.sp2.yahoo.com with NNFMP; 10 Jan 2011 06:05:53 -0000
 X-Yahoo-Newman-Property: ymail-3
 X-Yahoo-Newman-Id: 500509.66527.bm@omp1006.mail.sp2.yahoo.com
 Received: (qmail 14332 invoked by uid 60001); 10 Jan 2011 06:05:53 -0000
 DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed; d=yahoo.com; s=s1024; t=1294639553; bh=l2EEgz9vdhnVnRi
 h=Message-ID:X-YMail-OSG:Received:X-Mailer:Date:From:Subject:To:Cc:MIME-Version:Content-Type;
 b=wsm4seHbOvK6Y5VmSvGkbtTvEqZo7koPgdEugr+2Rq7a57s3tJhWU8bUixXNTdPZ995ofi1crLRQ+Fy0H7AHtEH29
 DomainKey-Signature:a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=noFWS;
 s=s1024; d=yahoo.com;
 h=Message-ID:X-YMail-OSG:Received:X-Mailer:Date:From:Subject:To:Cc:MIME-Version:Content-Type;

b=jTOAGdPR5rSUUMZeh+mVr+2oK1Ne35Siezj5FY5+h/KNDmUjai4T16ngA5cjURlqt3w4wTyl9KJzaicv/oiXGBFOTRjzCBY=;

Message-ID: <318671.10289.qm@web46102.mail.sp1.yahoo.com>

X-YMail-OSG: V3YeKQkVM1lzphyBGFVsG0AZXBEyOk3nS1bqDeboNyllIOJ
jnryJL8o9tU68sQnve_.Yy8vWKYFFdXhMaOYaQ4QUopYc_tEPxvgpLP8j2R.
ro3w17Mc_HC1BhaRgloNthClbn8JrDY1.SQU6EcGG8XKNCgopiS6P_jlpXjJ
M6KvMBQeGI76vb0lbPAtLKQSymqCMSD_HKnLFDLjdE65_ibSwdfhmLKDRaOO
pQVPMqnFAtb6tVQB2M37G0eV4laNC7u9sQYB27Rholn450g--

Received: from [69.228.198.1] by web46102.mail.sp1.yahoo.com via HTTP; Sun, 09 Jan 2011 22:05:53 PST

X-Mailer: YahooMailClassic/11.4.20 YahooMailWebService/0.8.107.285259

Date: Sun, 9 Jan 2011 22:05:53 -0800 (PST)

From: Ellen Tsang <tsangt123@yahoo.com>

Subject: Re: 2642-44 Hyde Street NPR

To: Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org

Cc: soft@sfgov.org, kimo <kimo@webnetic.net>,

Allen Grossman <grossman356@mac.com>,

Ray Hartz Jr <rwhartzjr@sbcglobal.net>, tenants769np@yahoo.com,

tsangt123@yahoo.com

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="0-69221199-1294639553=:10289"

--0-69221199-1294639553=:10289

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Planner Jonas Ionin:

Thank you for providing me with the attached public records that I requested on May 4, 2010.

However, I am 100% sure there is a public record named "Notice of Planning Department Requirements" from Planner Sarah Velve to Permit Expediter and his company Quickdrawn Permit Consulting dated February 1, 2005. This public record is still being withheld by you and Planning Department.

Please email me this public record in PDF.

Thank you.

Ellen Tsang

SOTF:

Please forward this email to all the commissioners.

Thank you.

Ellen Tsang

--- On Wed, 1/5/11, Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org <Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org> wrote:

From: Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org <Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org>

Subject: 2642-44 Hyde Street NPR

To: tsangt123@yahoo.com

Cc: soft@sfgov.org

Date: Wednesday, January 5, 2011, 8:30 AM

Ms. Tsang,
Attached are the documents you requested at the Jan. 4, 2011 SOTF hearing.

(See attached file: 2644 Hyde - S.Vellve.pdf)(See attached file: 2644 Hyde St - J.Ionin.pdf)(See attached file: 2644 Hyde St No. 2 - J.Ionin.pdf)

SOTF,
Please forward this email with attachments to your Commissioners.

Sincerely,

Jonas P. Ionin,
PIC Manager, SF Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103
415.558.6309 phone
415.558.6409 facsimile

<http://www.sf-planning.org>
--0-69221199-1294639553=:10289
Content-Type: text/html; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

<table cellpadding=3D"0" cellspacing=3D"0" border=3D"0" ><tr><td valign=3D"top" style=3D"font: inherit;"><div id=3D"yiv776917408"><table id=3D"yiv776917408bodyDrftID" class=3D"yiv776917408" border=3D"0" cellpadding=3D"0" cellspacing=3D"0"><tbody><tr><td id=3D"yiv776917408drftMsgContent" style=3D"font-style: inherit; font-variant: inherit; font-weight: inherit; line-height: inherit; font-size-adjust: inherit; font-stretch: inherit; font-family: arial; font-size: 10pt;"><div id=3D"yiv776917408yiv68351400"><table id=3D"yiv776917408yiv68351400bodyDrftID" class=3D"yiv776917408yiv68351400" border=3D"0" cellpadding=3D"0" cellspacing=3D"0"><tbody><tr><td id=3D"yiv776917408yiv68351400drftMsgContent" style=3D"font-style: inherit; font-variant: inherit; font-weight: inherit; line-height: inherit; font-size-adjust: inherit; font-stretch: inherit; font-family: arial; font-size: 10pt;"><div id=3D"yiv776917408yiv965916454"><table id=3D"yiv776917408yiv68351400yiv965916454bodyDrftID" class=3D"yiv776917408yiv68351400yiv965916454" border=3D"0" cellpadding=3D"0" cellspacing=3D"0"><tbody><tr><td id=3D"yiv776917408yiv68351400yiv965916454drftMsgContent" style=3D"font-style: inherit; font-variant: inherit; font-weight: inherit; line-height: inherit; font-size-adjust: inherit; font-stretch: inherit; font-family: arial; font-size: 10pt;">Planner Jonas Ionin:

Thank you for providing me with the attached public records that I requested on May 4, 2010. However, I am 100% sure there is a public record named "Notice of Planning Department Requirements" from Planner Sarah Vellve to Permit Expediter and his company Quickdrawn Permit Consulting dated February 1, 2005. This public record is still being withheld by you and Planning Department. Please email me this public record in PDF. Thank you. Ellen Tsang

SOTF: Please forward this email to all the commissioners. Thank you. Ellen Tsang

--- On Wed, 1/5/11, Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org <i><Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org></i> wrote:
<blockquote style=3D"border-left: 2px solid rgb(16, 16, 255); margin-left: 5px; padding-left: 5px;">
From: Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org <Jonas.Ionin@sfgov.org

ov.org>
Subject: 2642-44 Hyde Street NPR
To: tsangt123@yahoo.com
Cc: soft@sfgov.org
Date: Wednesday, January 5, 2011, 8:30 AM

<div class="yiv776917408yiv68351400yiv965916454plainMail">Ms. Tsang,
Attached are the documents you requested at the Jan. 4, 2011 SOTF hearing.

(See attached file: 2644 Hyde - S.Velve.pdf)(See attached file: 2644 Hyde St - J.Ionin.pdf)(See attached file: 2644 Hyde St No. 2 - J.Ionin.pdf)

SOTF,
Please forward this email with attachments to your Commissioners.

Sincerely,

Jonas P. Ionin,
PIC Manager, sp; SF Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103
415.558.6309 phone
415.558.6409 facsimile

http://www.sf-planning.org</div></blockquote></td></tr></tbody></table></div><=</td></tr></tbody></table></div></td></tr></tbody></table>
=0A=0A
--0-69221199-1294639553=:10289--



PLANNING DEPARTMENT

City and County of San Francisco • 1660 Mission Street, Suite 500 • San Francisco, California • 94103-2414

MAIN NUMBER (415) 558-6378	DIRECTOR'S OFFICE PHONE: 558-6411 4TH FLOOR FAX: 558-6426	ZONING ADMINISTRATOR PHONE: 558-6350 5TH FLOOR FAX: 558-6409	PLANNING INFORMATION PHONE: 558-6377 MAJOR ENVIRONMENTAL FAX: 558-5991	COMMISSION CALENDAR INFO: 558-6422 INTERNET WEB SITE
--------------------------------------	---	--	--	---

NOTICE OF PLANNING DEPARTMENT REQUIREMENTS

February 1, 2005

Eve Hodson
Quickdraw Permit Consulting
60 Otis Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

RE: 2642 – 2644 Hyde Street (Address of Permit Work)

2004.1312V (Case Number)

The Planning Department has received your application for review. Per our review process, your application is being held because the following information is required before it is accepted as complete. Time limits for review of your project will not commence until we receive the requested information or materials and verify their accuracy.

In order to proceed with your building application, the following is required:

1. **Plans:** Please include all lot lines and building footprints that relate to the adjacent structures and lots. Please indicate the following items on the plans:
 - a. Specific features subject to the variance request;
 - b. The solid fire wall required for the stairs;
 - c. The required rear setbacks.
 2. **Photo:** Please provide a photo of the side notch area where the proposed stairs would be constructed.
 3. **Building Permit:** The Department suggests that the building permit and variance proposals be processed concurrently. This would allow the neighborhood to comment on the proposal as a whole and allow both the variance and potential discretionary review process to be concurrent. As the variance hearing is currently scheduled for May 25, 2005 there is ample time to process the building permit.
- The applicant must provide the requested information indicated in the boxes above within thirty (30) days.
 - All plans submitted must be to an appropriate scale; plot plan 1"=10' or 20'; floor plans 1/8" or 1/4" to 1' with the use of all space labeled.

Please direct any questions concerning this notice to **Sara Velve** at (415) 558-6263. Thank you for your attention to this notice. An early and complete response on your part will help expedite our review of your permit application.



Eileen Shields/DPH/SFGOV

02/17/2011 02:58 PM

To SOTF/SOTF/SFGOV@SFGOV

cc Barbara Garcia/DPH/SFGOV@SFGOV, Elizabeth Jacobi/DPH/SFGOV@SFGOV, jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com

bcc

Subject Need assistance in helping to respond to public records requests

Dear Sunshine Task Force:

I am writing to the members of Sunshine Task Force asking for assistance in helping me understand Mr. Garza's recent series of public records requests. (See e-mail series below) I have read his series of requests many times and, despite my asking for clarification from Mr. Garza, I still cannot determine what it is he is asking for.

Two items I have identified: I have provided him with a contact for complaints regarding services. Currently I am awaiting a copy of the City's MOU with Haight Ashbury Free Clinic as it relates to the Lifetime Clinical Record. Beyond that, I am at a loss to identify his additional records requests.

Please let me know if your office can be of assistance in helping me clarify what it is that Mr. Garza wants. I am willing to make myself available to any member of the Task Force at a time convenient to Mr. Garza and the Task Force to assist me in responding to Mr. Garza's requests.

Thank you for your consideration.



----- Forwarded by Eileen Shields/DPH/SFGOV on 02/17/2011 01:55 PM -----



Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

02/17/2011 11:30 AM

To Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org, sotf@sfgov.org, rak0408@earthlink.net, jaygarza@pacbell.net

cc Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov

Subject Fw: Re: Fw: Clarification (My 2nd response to Eileen)

2/17/2011 11:30 am PST Thursday

Eileen:

If your best is NOT ANSWERING the question, playing to my sympathies, claiming ignorance or incompetence ... you still have not ACCOUNTED for the lateness of your confusion. I await

PROPER response and not further harm ... please realize my disability ... your lack of proper response and note that it was you that FALSELY LABELED ME RUDE and HOSTILE (I can tell you this childish prank has NOT gone over well with me - see your response to SOTF # 10038) ... please provide the correct response and as I stated I am more than happy to reply. I still await the PROSECUTING information and the ADA Coordinator's name for DPH and SFGH oh, and if you look at the form you'll see at the bottom ... Confidential ... made for Mark Sears ...SFGH Clin Lab 1901 Potrero, SF, CA, 94110 ...Lab Dir: E. Fiebig, M.D.

Who is E. Fiebig, M.D.? So as you can specifically see my need for answers and the SFGH ADA Coordinator inclusive of DPH's.

Please answer:

"how is your concerning for part of my IDR exhibited by this late need for clarification expressing to me JUXAPOSED to the task of the IDR and its immediacy. Put more simply... if you had a question, should you NOT have ASKED it long ago? Please explain this and please remember my disorder (the one that cannot adjust to disorder) and requires ADA handling.

Please know that I have your answer readily available but am awaiting this answer since it does regard IMMEDIACY."

I await your response and remember my disability (I can not adjust to disorder) so please do not feed any. If you have made an error, not properly responded, etc ... please acknowledge ... do the right thing (EXPLAIN) and we can go forward.

I await your response ...

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza
jasongrantgraza@yahoo.com
415-922-7781

email copies:

Donald White - Office of the Inspector General
Kathleen Sebelius - Office of Health and Human Services

--- On Thu, 2/17/11, Eileen Shields <Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org> wrote:

From: Eileen Shields <Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org>
Subject: Re: Fw: Clarification (My response to Eileen)
To: "Jason Grant Garza" <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
Cc: Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, jaygarza@pacbell.net, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov,

rak0408@earthlink.net, sotf@sfgov.org
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2011, 6:52 PM

I am really sorry. I don't understand what it is you are asking me.

I just need to know where to find your reference to this request:

(2) An explanation as to who 134171 is on this attachment (medical record from DPH to Mark Sears dated 10/28/2011 - see attachment labeled "sotfresponse0001.jpeg".)

I am doing my best to help you.
(Embedded image moved to file: pic17171.jpg)

Jason Grant Garza
<jasongrantgarza@
yahoo.com> To
Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org,
02/17/2011 10:44 sotf@sfgov.org,
AM rak0408@earthlink.net,
jaygarza@pacbell.net
cc
Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov,
Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov
Subject
Fw: Clarification (My response to
Eileen)

2/17/2011 10:40 am PST Thursday

Dear Eileen:

Sorry but I am a bit confused ... the spirit of the IDR was prompt

response ... if my question was not clear when you received it 2/14, why am I only now getting a request for clarification? Please answer this question ASAP since the spirit of the IDR is IMMEDIACY. Please do NOT for a moment OR think that this is a pass on this issue and question and that it will become moot after I send you your answer. I will happily provide you with your answer as soon as I get mine regarding timely compliance ... how is your concerning for part of my IDR exhibited by this late need for clarification expressing to me JUXAPOSED to the task of the IDR and its immediacy. Put more simply... if you had a question, should you NOT have ASKED it long ago? Please explain this and please remember my disorder (the one that cannot adjust to disorder) and requires ADA handling.

Please know that I have your answer readily available but am awaiting this answer since it does regard IMMEDIACY.

I NOW NEED YOUR CLARIFICATION ...Oh, and while you're at composing your response please including the PROSECUTING information I seek. In the meantime I will bring this up to the SOTF's Chair.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza
jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com
415-922-7781

email copies:

Donald White - Office of Inspector General
Kathleen Sebelius - Office of Health and Human Services

--- On Thu, 2/17/11, Eileen Shields <Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org> wrote:

From: Eileen Shields <Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org>
Subject: Clarification
To: "Jason Grant Garza" <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>
Cc: sotf@sfgov.org
Date: Thursday, February 17, 2011, 5:58 PM

Dear Mr. Garza:

Can you please provide me with more information on this portion of your request:

(2) An explanation as to who 134171 is on this attachment (medical record from DPH to Mark Sears dated 10/28/2011 - see attachment labeled

“sotfresponse0001.jpeg”.)

I could not find that reference on the attachment.

(Embedded image moved to file: pic02474.jpg)

Jason Grant Garza

<jasongrantgarza@

yahoo.com>

To

Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org,

02/17/2011 08:34 sotf@sfgov.org,

AM rak0408@earthlink.net,

jaygarza@pacbell.net

cc

Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov,

Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov

Subject

Immediacy of RESPONSE.

2/17/2011 8:35 am PST Thursday

Dear Ms. Shields:

I am again writing in regard to my IDR in which below you stated that you needed more time to fill and listed the reasons why. I responded by asking specific questions and notified you that I would verify your assertions as to what the ordinance stated regarding timely compliance.

Before we get started and before I forget ... please send me the names, email addresses and phone numbers for the ADA Coordinators for DPH and SFGH that I might interface with and bring my case and its handling forward to them. Please respond to this ASAP.

Moving on:

This is what I found in the SUNSHINE ordinance that I believe needs an explanation since the terms, definitions, time limitations, etc are important especially for an IDR and the spirit in which the law was written to insure correct compliance most especially about the non delay "rolling basis" aspect of it.

"SEC. 67.25. IMMEDIACY OF RESPONSE.

(a) Notwithstanding the 10-day period for response to a request permitted in Government Code Section 6256 and in this Article, a written request for information described in any category of non-exempt public information

shall be satisfied no later than the close of business on the day following the day of the request. This deadline shall apply only if the words "Immediate Disclosure Request" are placed across the top of the request and on the envelope, subject line, or cover sheet in which the request is transmitted. Maximum deadlines provided in this article are appropriate for more extensive or demanding requests, but shall not be used to delay fulfilling a simple, routine or otherwise readily answerable request.

(b) If the voluminous nature of the information requested, its location in a remote storage facility or the need to consult with another interested department warrants an extension of 10 days as provided in Government Code Section 6456.1, the requester shall be notified as required by the close of business on the business day following the request.

(c) The person seeking the information need not state his or her reason for making the request or the use to which the information will be put, and requesters shall not be routinely asked to make such a disclosure. Where a record being requested contains information most of which is exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act and this article, however, the City Attorney or custodian of the record may inform the requester of the nature and extent of the non-exempt information and inquire as to the requester's purpose for seeking it, in order to suggest

alternative sources for the information which may involve less redaction or to otherwise prepare a response to the request.

(d) Notwithstanding any provisions of California Law or this ordinance, in response to a request for information describing any category of non-exempt public information, when so requested, the City and County shall produce any and all responsive public records as soon as reasonably possible on an incremental or "rolling" basis such that responsive records are produced as soon as possible by the end of the same business day that they are reviewed and collected. This section is intended to prohibit the withholding of public records that are responsive to a records request until all potentially responsive documents have been reviewed and collected. Failure to comply with this provision is a violation of this article. (Added by Ord. 265-93, App. 8/18/93; amended by Proposition G, 11/2/99)

SEC. 67.26. WITHHOLDING KEPT TO A MINIMUM.

No record shall be withheld from disclosure in its entirety unless all information contained in it is exempt from disclosure under express provisions of the California Public Records Act or of some other statute. Information that is exempt from disclosure shall be masked, deleted or otherwise segregated in order that the nonexempt portion of a requested record may be released, and keyed by footnote or other clear reference to

the appropriate justification for withholding required by section 67.27 of this article. This work shall be done personally by the attorney or other staff member conducting the exemption review. The work of responding to a public-records request and preparing documents for disclosure shall be considered part of the regular work duties of any city employee, and no fee shall be charged to the requester to cover the personnel costs of responding to a records request. (Added by Ord. 265-93, App. 8/18/93; amended by Proposition G, 11/2/99)

SEC. 67.27. JUSTIFICATION OF WITHHOLDING.

Any withholding of information shall be justified, in writing, as follows:

(a) A withholding under a specific permissive exemption in the California Public Records Act, or elsewhere, which permissive exemption is not forbidden to be asserted by this ordinance, shall cite that authority.

(b) A withholding on the basis that disclosure is prohibited by law shall cite the specific statutory authority in the Public Records Act or elsewhere.

(c) A withholding on the basis that disclosure would incur civil or criminal liability shall cite any specific statutory or case law, or any other public agency's litigation experience, supporting that position.

(d) When a record being requested contains information, most of which is

exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act and this Article, the custodian shall inform the requester of the nature and extent of the nonexempt information and suggest alternative sources for the information requested, if available. (Added by Ord. 265-93, App. 8/18/93; amended by Proposition G, 11/2/99)”

So Ms. Shields the section states:

“(a) Notwithstanding the 10-day period for response to a request permitted in Government Code Section 6256 and in this Article, a written request for information described in any category of non-exempt public information shall be satisfied no later than the close of business on the day following the day of the request. This deadline shall apply only if the words "Immediate Disclosure Request" are placed across the top of the request and on the envelope, subject line, or cover sheet in which the request is transmitted. Maximum deadlines provided in this article are appropriate for more extensive or demanding requests, but shall not be used to delay fulfilling a simple, routine or otherwise readily answerable request.”

Please explain the why you seek the maximum deadline when I believe it is NOT appropriate for a simple, routine otherwise readily answerable request. Is it not routine to get a signed authorization per your HIPPA

assertion? Do you not have a MERS (Medical Electronic Record System) in which patients records are scanned in? How can one form (required by law per your definition) be extensive or demanding?

On the subject of who 131471 is ... is this too an extensive or demanding request?

What about the subject of MOU or paperwork regarding Medical Record release and patient privacy. I am confused because when the city "RISK MANAGED" me earlier in my EMTALA complaint in which the city left its DEAD VINDICATED VICTIM for dead ... I got those policies, rules, etc ... right away since it was on a computer. I hope you see my confusion and also realize that I sent the IDR in 2/14 and am still following up on your assertions.

Then the ordinance goes further to state "rolling basis" service:

"(d) Notwithstanding any provisions of California Law or this ordinance, in response to a request for information describing any category of non-exempt public information, when so requested, the City and County shall produce any and all responsive public records as soon as reasonably possible on an incremental or "rolling" basis such that responsive records are produced as soon as possible by the end of the same business day that they are reviewed and collected. This section is intended to prohibit the withholding of public records that are responsive to a records request

until all potentially responsive documents have been reviewed and collected. Failure to comply with this provision is a violation of this article. (Added by Ord. 265-93, App. 8/18/93; amended by Proposition G, 11/2/99).”

So please explain what I consider a delay ... that is to say, what I consider simple, routine or otherwise readily answerable question ... a copy of one form to me on “rolling basis” or the answer to 131471 on a “rolling basis” or what should computerized policies (Medical Record Release and Privacy).

In addition, just in case I am right and as you stated a FEDERAL offense would be committed ... please send me the PROSECUTING office information for just such an possibility. Since you state that it would be a CRIME ... who PROSECUTES? Please let me know ASAP.

Please respond as I still await your response to my prior email sent in 2/15/2011.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza

jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com

415-922-7781

email copies:

Donald White - Office of Inspector General

Kathleen Sebelius - Office of Health and Human Services

--- On Tue, 2/15/11, Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: Jason Grant Garza <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

Subject: Fw: Re: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST (My response to Shields)

To: Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org, rak0408@earthlink.net, sotf@sfgov.org,

jaygarza@pacbell.net

Cc: Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov, Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov

Date: Tuesday, February 15, 2011, 9:53 PM

2/15/2011 1:45 pm PST Tuesday

Dear Ms. Shields:

Thank you for quick response my IDR concerning my medical records and their release. Please find attached a PDF named 10038 Ethics Referral in which your letter (included) states:

“As I understand the public records aspect of Mr. Garza's e-mail, the complainant alleges that DPH is refusing to provide him with copies of

documents/records relating to a problem accessing health care services at Tom Waddell Health Center. Because any engagement on behalf of an individual with a DPH clinic is, by definition a medical matter, then any records that were created as a result of his visiting the clinic are confidential and require a federally-approved form for release.

In response to his request following what he describes as a failure to get emergency services at Tom Waddell Health Center, I sent Mr. Garza a form that the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) mandates we use before releasing any protected health information. Mr. Garza refused to sign this. In the absence of his refusal, the Department cannot legally release the records.

Explained another way: The Department can no more release Mr. Garza's medical records though the Sunshine Ordinance than if any other member of the public asked to obtain those records. This violates HIPAA standards.”

As you can tell this does pose questions since as you put it ... Mr. Garza refused to sign. Now please don't tell me and the Sunshine Task Force that you can not find such a record (my signed release as requested in the IDR) therefore you can not produce something you do not have. This would be the same tactics used before by DPH regarding the REQUIRED Medical Screening Examination that was NOT PRODUCED in SUNSHINE. Your above statement makes it very clear that you can not release with my

signed release and that it would be illegal; therefore as you can tell the attachment softresponse0001.jpeg requires explanation. Oh, and let us NOT forget what you stated above ... “Because any engagement on behalf of an individual with a DPH clinic is, by definition a medical matter, then any records that were created as a result of his visiting the clinic are confidential and require a federally-approved form for release.”

Again, I do not understand the delay in filling the IDR and on a rolling basis at bare least ... how long does it take to find a signed release as an example? Please explain this to me as I will look to verify your assertions as to what the ordinance states and allows. I await your response.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza

jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com

415-922-7781

email cc:

Donald White - Office of Inspector General

Kathleen Sebelius - Office of Health and Human Services

--- On Tue, 2/15/11, Eileen Shields <Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org> wrote:

From: Eileen Shields <Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org>

Subject: Re: IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST

To: "Jason Grant Garza" <jasongrantgarza@yahoo.com>

Cc: jaygarza@pacbell.net, sof@sfgov.org

Date: Tuesday, February 15, 2011, 7:51 PM

Dear Mr. Garza:

The purpose of the immediate disclosure request is to expedite the City's response to a simple, routine, or otherwise readily answerable request.

For more extensive or demanding requests, the maximum deadlines for responding to a request apply. Admin. Code § 67.25(a). Therefore, this department will adhere to the time deadlines governing standard requests

—
an initial 10-day period for response, plus a possible extension of up to 14 additional days. I will send you records as I receive them.

Thank you for your patience.

Eileen Shields, PIO

Jason Grant Garza

<jasongrantgarza@

yahoo.com>

To

Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org,

02/14/2011 12:38 publicrecords.dph@sfdph.org,

PM jaygarza@pacbell.net,

sotf@sfgov.org

cc

Donald.White@oig.hhs.gov,

Kathleen.Sebelius@hhs.gov

Subject

IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST

“IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST”

Eileen.Shields@sfdph.org

publicrecords.dph@sfdph.org

2/14/2011 12:40 pm PST Monday

IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE REQUEST

To Whom It May Concern:

Please be sure to forward this to the Custodian of Records, department head or who ever is in charge for compliance per the regulations for correct process.

Please note the attached paperwork in this email IDR. In June of 2010, I went to Tom Waddell Clinic and was denied medical services ... I then sunshined DPH and “risk management kicked into full gear” and responded

that I had to sign a HIPPA form in order to get my own medical records.

Naturally, when it comes to Justice delayed ... Justice denied ... I

still

have not got the ORDER of Determination from SUNSHINE over this issue.

Remarkably, when I went Haight Ashbury and was denied medical care ...

I

once again SUNSHINED and to my dismay and astonishment ... part of their

response included this medical record (see attachment -

sotfresponse0001.jpeg) . This is a copy of MY medical record which to

date

and their (DPH's) incorrect denial/assertion (that I need to sign a form

to get my own records) ... still have not received from DPH.

Therefore:

Pursuant to all relevant provisions of the California Government Codes

(Ralph M. Brown Act et al.) and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance,

California Records Act, and the Federal FOIA Act - I would like to

request

a copy of the following:

All documents, emails, correspondence, logs, notes of conversation,
notes

of phone calls regarding: (1) a copy of my SIGNED release/authorization

for HAFCI to receive my medical record from DPH as was submitted as
part

of HAFCI's pdf response to Sunshine case # 10071 (since DPH denied
me

medical records without signing a release ... how could they release

medical information without me signing a release?); (2) An explanation
as

to who 134171 is on this attachment (medical record from DPH to Mark
Sears

dated 10/28/2011 - see attachment labeled "softresponse0001.jpeg");

(3)

"MOU" Memo of Understanding or whatever document allows access and

information (medical) retrieval by HAFCI on the city's computer and

database as exhibited by "softresponse0001.jpeg" (DPH medical records)

to

be released and under what conditions, rules and laws apply in order to

protect records and privacy of patient.

This request includes all paperwork sent, received, emailed or any other

form of transmittal to all involved. This request includes all paperwork

sent, received, emailed or any other form of transmittal from all

involved. This request also includes all internal documentation generated

by this sunshine request matter also. (From inception to present date)

...

for example any documentation, notes, logs, tapes, emails, etc from any

individual to any other individual regarding any matter concerning this

matter, its handling, deposition, etc.

Please realize that per the sunshine regulations ... you must be helpful

in resolving and getting me what I specifically request. You MUST work

with the requestor to clearly provide what is required.

List of Attachments:

sotfresponse0001.jpeg - Copy of Medical record provide by Haight
Ashbury

in SOTF case # 10071.

Hippadph2.jpeg - Copy of IDR to DPH regarding denial at Tom Waddell and

DPH response.

Sincerely,

Jason Grant Garza

1369 B. Hayes Street

San Francisco, CA 94117

jaygarza@pacbell.net

email cc:

Kathleen Sebelius - Secretary of Health & Human Services

Donald White - Office of Inspector General

Get your own web address.

Have a HUGE year through Yahoo! Small Business.(See attached file:

hippadph2.jpg)(See attached file: sotfresponse0001.JPG)

Don't be flakey. Get Yahoo! Mail for Mobile and

always stay connected to friends.

(See attached file: hippadph2.jpg)(See attached file:
sotfresponse0001.JPG)

(See attached file: 10038_Ethics_Referrall_Revised_JC_DS_RK.pdf)

(See attached file: pic02474.jpg)(See attached file: hippadph2.jpg)(See
attached file: sotfresponse0001.JPG)(See attached file:
10038_Ethics_Referrall_Revised_JC_DS_RK.pdf)



pic17171.jpg



pic02474.jpg



hippadph2.jpg



sotfresponse0001.JPG



10038_Ethics_Referrall_Revised_JC_DS_RK.pdf

