ORDER OF DETERMINATION
September 3, 2011

DATE THE DECISION ISSUED
August 23, 2011

PASTOR GAVIN v SUPERVISOR CHIU AND SUPERVISOR MAR (CASE NO. 11048)

FACTS OF THE CASE
Pastor Gavin and other anonymous complainants ("Complainants") allege that San Francisco Supervisor Eric Mar, Chair of the Land Use Committee of the Board of Supervisors, violated public meeting laws during the Land Use Committee meeting on May 24, 2011 when he allowed Supervisor David Chiu to introduce significant amendments to proposed legislation involving Parkmerced without providing copies of those amendments to the public and then voted on the amendments instead of continuing the item to a later meeting to provide the public opportunity to review them.

COMPLAINT FILED
On June 20, 2011, Complainants filed this complaint against Supervisor Mar, alleging violations of Sunshine Ordinance Sections 67.7 and 67.7-1.

HEARING ON THE COMPLAINT

Pastor Gavin testified that May 24th was a dark day for the City and County of San Francisco when procedures were used at the Land Use Committee hearing and subsequent Board of Supervisors meeting which violated the Sunshine Ordinance. She said a week earlier, the San Francisco Civil Grand Jury reported that the Parkmerced Project’s Mixed Use Program Development Agreement, for all its complexity, fails to mitigate the most significant risk it creates: the direct loss of statutory tenant rights by Parkmerced residents. At the Land Use meeting, Supervisor David Chiu asked committee members Supervisors Eric Mar, Malia Cohen, and Scott Wiener to add 14 pages of new revisions to the Development Agreement and forward it to the Board of Supervisors. No motion to continue the matter was made or voted on by the Committee. She said Supervisor Mar voted against adopting the amendments and he noted that there could be a possible Sunshine Ordinance violation involved. He was outvoted by Supervisors Cohen and Wiener on the motion to approve the amended item and refer it to the Board of Supervisors. Supervisor David
Campos echoed Supervisor Mar’s Sunshine Ordinance violation concern at the full Board meeting later that day.

At both meetings, she said, the public, especially Parkmerced citizen tenants, were given only a two-page summary of Supervisor Chiu’s newly proposed amendments to the Development Agreement and denied the opportunity to read the actual text of the 14 pages of amendments. She also said Deputy City Attorney Charles Sullivan told the Committee during the meeting that there was no need for additional public comment because the amendments were within the scope of the agreement noticed and the Committee had already heard comment from the public on the item. She said she disagreed with him because the item had been continued to the May 24th meeting only for purposes of considering four Environmental Impact Reports, whereas Supervisor Chiu’s new revisions concern tenant rights. The 14 pages, she added, substantially change the Development Agreement and thus meet the requirement for additional public comment and continuation of the item vote to a later meeting.

Respondent Lin-Shao Chin testified that Supervisor Mar is not the appropriate focus of the complaint because the amendments were introduced by Supervisor Chiu and, moreover, Supervisor Mar was not in possession of the amendments before the meeting and was surprised by their introduction. She said she has seen no evidence that this complaint should be filed against Supervisor Mar. She noted that Supervisor Mar voted against the amendments, in support of the residents. She also testified that she was not aware of any attempt by Supervisor Mar to continue the item.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Task Force concluded that the introduction of 14 pages of proposed amendments without providing copies or adequate review time to members of the public should have prompted Supervisor Eric Mar, as Chair of the Committee, to try to continue the meeting, but instead the members proceeded to vote on the substance of the newly amended legislation. The Task Force further found that as the Chair of the Land Use Committee Supervisor Mar was an appropriate focus of the Complaint. The Task Force further noted that Supervisor Mar was heard several times during the Committee meeting stating that he wanted public comment over quickly and requesting members of the public not use the full amount of time provided for each speaker.

DECISION AND ORDER OF DETERMINATION

The Task Force finds that Supervisor Eric Mar violated Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.7(b) for not providing the public with copies of the amendments to the Development Agreement, which were provided to the policy body in connection with an agenda item; and Section 67.15(a) and (b) for failing to adequately notice the substance of the relevant agenda item based on the last minute and substantive change to the item created by the introduction of the 14 pages of amendments. The Task Force continues this complaint to the September 27, 2011 Task Force meeting and names Board President David Chiu and Land Use Committee members Supervisor Scott Wiener and Supervisor Malia Cohen as respondents to the original complaint.
This Order of Determination was adopted by the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force on August 23, 2011 by the following vote: (Knee/Costa)
Ayes: 6 - Snyder, Knee, Washburn, Costa, West, Johnson
Excused: 5 - Cauthen, Manneh, Knoebber, Wolfe, Chan

Hope Johnson, Chair
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

David Snyder, Esq., Member, Seat #1*
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

c: Pastor Gavin, Complainant
Supervisor Eric Mar, Respondent
Lin-Shao Chin, Respondent
Supervisor David Chiu
Supervisor Malia Cohen
Supervisor Scott Wiener
Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney

*Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Seat #1 is a voting seat held by an attorney specializing in sunshine law.
ORDER OF DETERMINATION

November 1, 2011

DATE THE DECISION ISSUED
September 27, 2011

PASTOR GAVIN v SUPERVISOR CHIU, SUPERVISOR WIENER, AND SUPERVISOR COHEN (CONTINUATION OF CASE NO. 11048)

FACTS OF THE CASE

On August 23, 2011, the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force ("Task Force") heard Complaint No. 11048, Pastor Gavin v. Supervisor Mar. The Task Force found that, during the May 24, 2011 Board of Supervisor’s Land Use and Economic Development Committee meeting, San Francisco Supervisor Eric Mar, Chair of the Land Use Committee, violated several sections of the Sunshine Ordinance by failing to provide the public with copies of 14 pages of amendments to the Parkmerced Development Agreement, which were provided to the policy body by Board President David Chiu in connection with an agenda item, and by allowing the introduction of the last-minute and substantive changes to the relevant agenda item without adequate notice.

The Task Force continued the complaint to its September 27, 2011 meeting and named the other two Land Use Committee members, Supervisors Scott Wiener and Malia Cohen, and Board President David Chiu as respondents to the complaint.

COMPLAINT FILED

The original complaint was filed on June 20, 2011, alleging violations of Sunshine Ordinance Sections 67.7 and 67.7-1.

HEARING ON THE COMPLAINT

On September 27, 2011, Pastor Gavin and her supporters presented their case to the Task Force. Judson True, legislative aide to President Chiu, Gillian Gillette, legislative aide to Supervisor Wiener, and Andrea Bruss, legislative aide to Supervisor Cohen, presented the response.

Pastor Gavin testified that she and her Parkmerced neighbors learned at the May 24th Land Use Committee meeting of the introduction of 14 pages of amendments to the Parkmerced Development Agreement. She said many residents did not know how the 14 pages correlated with the entire document. She also said they were not allowed to provide
public comment on a document that would directly affect their homes. Several of Pastor Gavin’s supporters told the Task Force that members of the public were provided with only a two-page summary of the amendments, not the full 14 pages.

Pastor Gavin said it is chilling and disturbing for an American citizen and a San Francisco resident to watch the video of the meeting and see what transpired. She said every time she watches the tape she notices something new, such as Supervisor Cohen admitting that she had not seen the revisions prior to the meeting and that she only spoke briefly about it with Michael Yarney of the Office of Economic and Workforce Development.

Pastor Gavin noted that none of the Land Use Committee members had seen the revisions, only Board President Chiu and Deputy City Attorney Charles Sullivan who wrote the document. The issue, she said, is about the loss of homes for 5,000 residents, the destruction of 1,000 trees, habitat destruction, the violation of agenda requirements under the Sunshine Ordinance, and the unpatriotic way the elected officials behaved to push through the document to the Board of Supervisors which was meeting two hours later.

Mr. True said the agenda for the Land Use Committee on May 24, 2011, included a proposed ordinance approving the Parkmerced Development Agreement and a copy of the 200-page contract between the City and the developer. The contents of the revised 14 pages, he said, further strengthened the protections provided to residents. Mr. True said he personally distributed copies of the amendments as well as a two-page summary to whoever requested copies at both the Land Use meeting and the following full Board meeting. The Supervisors have to seek the advice of the City Attorney and in this case the advice was that the revisions were within the scope of the agreement that was noticed and could be forwarded to the full Board without the need for additional public comment. He added that changes to an underlying document do not trigger a continuance or public comment as was the case in several matters before the Supervisors such as the Hunters Point Shipyard project. In response to Task Force inquiries, Mr. True said he could think of no reason that the Committee could not have continued the hearing to provide opportunity for the public to review the amendments, other than the upcoming budget process.

Ms. Gillette said the Supervisors did not violate the Sunshine Ordinance by following the advice of the City Attorney. She said the Task Force appears to be suggesting it could cite a supervisor for an action the City Attorney has deemed legal. She said the Task Force cannot tell the Supervisors how to vote and what motions to make because the Supervisors are accountable only to the voters. She said the transcript of the Board meeting will show that statements made by Deputy City Attorney Cheryl Adams at the Committee meeting are reaffirmed by Deputy City Attorney Charles Sullivan, specifically that this is a revision to a contract. She said Deputy City Attorney Adams also added that the noticing was broad and did not require the need for additional public comment.

Ms. Bruss said Supervisor Cohen does not think she and the other Supervisors on the Land Use Committee violated the Sunshine Ordinance by not continuing the hearing on the agreement. She said copies of the amendments were made available to anyone who wanted the document. The Supervisors based their decisions on the advice of the City Attorney, she said.
FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the testimony it received, the Task Force found that neither the members of the Land Use Committee nor the public had sufficient time to adequately review and analyze the 14 pages of amendments. The Task Force further found that the amendments worked a significant enough change in the meeting agenda to warrant a new notice and a continued meeting. Based on respondent Mr. True’s testimony, the Task Force further found that the Land Use Committee had no reason that the hearing could not have been continued. The Task Force concluded that the 14 pages of amendments to the Development Agreement were not adequately noticed in the agenda. The Task Force further concluded that, given the late introduction of significant changes to the content of the Land Use Committee’s meeting, Board President Chiu and members of the Committee should have continued the hearing so that it could be properly noticed as required under the Sunshine Ordinance.

DECISION AND ORDER OF DETERMINATION

The Task Force found that President David Chiu, Supervisor Scott Wiener, and Supervisor Malia Cohen violated Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.7(b) for not providing the public with copies of the amendments to the Development Agreement which were provided to the policy body in connection with an agenda item, and Sections 67.15(a) and (b) for failing to adequately notice the substance of the relevant agenda item based on the last minute and substantive change to the item created by the introduction of the 14 pages of amendments. The two Orders of Determination in this complaint are to be referred to the Ethics Commission and the District Attorney for willful failure and official misconduct.

This Order of Determination was adopted by the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force on September 27, 2011 by the following vote: (Washburn/Manneh)

Ayes: Snyder, Knee, Cauthen, Manneh, Washburn, Wolfe, Chan, Johnson
Excused: Costa, West
Absent: Knoebber

Hope Johnson, Chair
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

David Snyder, Esq., Member, Seat #1*
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

cc: Pastor Gavin, Complainant
    Supervisor David Chiu
    Supervisor Malia Cohen
    Supervisor Scott Wiener
    Judson True, legislative aide to President Chiu
    Andrea Bruss, legislative aide to Supervisor Cohen
    Gillian Gillette, legislative aide to Supervisor Wiener
    Jerry Threet, Deputy City Attorney

*Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Seat #1 is a voting seat held by an attorney specializing in sunshine law.