File No. 19098 **Item No. 8**

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE
AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force **Date: October 7, 2020**

☐	**Petition/Complaint**	**Page:**
☐	**Memorandum - Deputy City Attorney**	**Page:**
☐	**Petitioner/Complainant Supporting Documents**	**Page:**
☐	**Respondent's Response**	**Page:**
☐	**Public Correspondence**	**Page:**
☐	**Order of Determination**	**Page:**
☐	**Minutes**	**Page:**
☐	**Administrator's Report**	**Page:**
☐	**No Attachments**	

OTHER

☐ _____
☐ _____
☐ _____
☐ _____
☐ _____
☐ _____
☐ _____
☐ _____
☐ _____
☐ _____

Completed by: C. Leger **Date** **10/1/20**

* An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file on a disk

Leger, Cheryl (BOS)

From: Google Forms <sfbdsupvrs@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2019 12:50 AM
To: SOTF, (BOS)
Subject: New Response Complaint Form

Your form has a new entry.

Here are the results.

Complaint against which Department or Commission	Police Department
Name of individual contacted at Department or Commission	Police Chief William Scott, Sgt. Michael Andraychak, and Custodian Does 1–20
Alleged Violation	Public Records
Sunshine Ordinance Section:	SFAC 67.21, 67.26, 67.27, Gov Code 6253 and 6253.9
Please describe	See linked complaint: https://cdn.muckrock.com/outbound_request_attachments/94383620Anonymous/76435/76435-Petition-20190912-ex.pdf , incorporated by reference herein.

alleged violation	
Name	Anonymous
Email	76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
If anonymous, please let us know how to contact you. Thank you.	76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com

Sent via Google Forms Email

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

DENNIS J. HERRERA
City Attorney

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

PEDER J. V. THOREEN
Deputy City Attorney

Direct Dial: (415) 554-3846
Email: Peder.Thoreen@sfcityatty.org

MEMORANDUM
PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

TO: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

FROM: Peder J. V. Thoreen
Deputy City Attorney

DATE: October 11, 2019

RE: Complaint No. 19098: Anonymous v. San Francisco Police Department, Chief William Scott, and Sgt. Michael Andraychak

COMPLAINT

An anonymous complainant ("Complainant") alleges that the San Francisco Police Department, Chief William Scott, and Sgt. Michael Andraychak (collectively, "Respondents"), violated the Sunshine Ordinance and the California Public Records Act ("CPRA") by failing to respond to Complainant's requests in a timely and complete manner.

COMPLAINANT FILES COMPLAINT

On September 9, 2019, Complainant filed this complaint with the Task Force, alleging that Respondents violated Administrative Code sections 67.21, 67.26, and 67.27, and CPRA sections 6253 and 6253.9.

JURISDICTION

Respondents are subject to the Sunshine Ordinance and CPRA. Respondents do not dispute jurisdiction.

APPLICABLE STATUTORY SECTION(S)

Section 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code:

- Section 67.21 governs responses to a public records request in general.
- Section 67.26 provides that withholding of public records shall be kept to a minimum.
- Section 67.27 sets forth requirements for justifying the withholding of information.

Cal. Government Code (CPRA)

- Section 6253 sets forth the general requirements for the production of records.
- Section 6253.9 governs the formats in which documents should be produced.

APPLICABLE CASE LAW

- *City of San Jose v. Superior Court* (2017) 2 Cal.5th 608 (holding that when a city employee uses a personal account to communicate about public business, the writings may be subject to disclosure under the CPRA)

MEMORANDUM
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

TO: Sunshine Ordinance Task ForceSunshine Ordinance Task Force
DATE: October 11, 2019
PAGE: 2
RE: Complaint No. 19098: Anonymous v. San Francisco Police Department, Chief William Scott, and Sgt. Michael Andraychak

BACKGROUND

On July 2, 2019, Complainant requested from the San Francisco Police Department numerous categories of documents from a variety of individuals, generally recently received or sent work-related emails or text messages, whether on City or personal email accounts.

After invoking an extension of the deadline to respond, Respondents provided documents to Complainant on a rolling basis. Some of those documents were redacted for, *inter alia*, constitutional privacy concerns and the fact that some communications related to open investigations. Additionally, on the advice of the City Attorney's Office, Respondents declined to disclose "documents (including metadata) … as doing so would, 'jeopardize or compromise the security or the original document or its data system,'" and could result in the release of confidential or privileged information. Furthermore, Respondents informed Complainant that it would provide documents in pdf format to "ensure[] the security and integrity of the original record." On September 3, 2019, Respondents informed Complainant that they had found no resposive documents on personal devices, but would provide sms/text messages from Police Department cell phones. It appears that the last document production took place on September 10, 2019.

In letters dated September 12 and 13, 2019, Complainant raised a series of complaints, some of which appear to overlap:

- That the initial responses from Respondents were allegely untimely, as they were due on July 12, 2019, but were not provided until July 15, 2019.[1]
- That, as of July 26, 2019, Respondents failed to "'determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the agency and … promptly notify the person making the request of the determination and the reasons therefor.'" (Quoting Gov't Code §6253(c)). But Complainant concedes that Respondents may have since complied with this requirement.
- That Respondents were required to produce documents in original, .msg, or .eml format, and failed to do so. Complainant notes that "Respondents do appear to have used searchable or text PDFs, but did not provide .eml, .msg, or the original format…."
- That the "[d]isclosed email records lack the original color, hyperlinks, images, metadata, email addresses, and other parts of records. In addition, at least in the case of Cmdr Daryl Fong, the actual emails requested were not disclosed."
- That "[g]eneral redaction justifications are insufficient. They must be made with particularity pointing out which redactions match to which justifications."[2]
- That Respondents withheld disclosable metadata, and had failed to justify such withholding.

[1] Respondents contend that the request, "while dated July 2, 2019, was 'created' by the SFPD Legal Division on July 15, 2019…." It is unclear what this means.

[2] On at least some of the documents produced, there are citations to statutes, apparently to justify the redactions or withholdings associated with that particular document.

MEMORANDUM
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

- That Respondents unlawfully withheld certain city employee email addresses, "in at least the emails of Sutton, Tiffany (POL), Stevenson, David (POL), McEachern, Greg (POL), Francisco Da Costa, and others...."
- That Respondents withheld disclosable "hyperlinks in the messages and attachments," in emails that were disclosed.
- That Respondents' search of personal devives did not satisfy the scope required by the law.
- That Respondents failed to disclose "names of email headers," without justification.
- That Respondents failed to disclose 116 "values of email headers in the messages."
- That Respondents failed to provide the identity of the sender and recipient of every text message that was produced.
- That Respondents failed to adequately justify all redactions in the text messages that were produced.

QUESTIONS THAT MIGHT ASSIST IN DETERMINING FACTS

- What does it mean that the request was "created" on July 15, 2019, and how does that affect Complainant's timeliness complaint?
- With respect to security and privilege concerns regarding metadata, can the relevant information be produced in part, and otherwise redacted?

LEGAL ISSUES/LEGAL DETERMINATIONS

- Did Respondents violate the Sunshine Ordinance or the CPRA by failing to provide a timely and complete response to Complainant's document requests?

CONCLUSION

THE TASK FORCE FINDS THE FOLLOWING FACTS TO BE TRUE:

THE TASK FORCE FINDS THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS TO BE **TRUE OR NOT TRUE.**

* * *

MEMORANDUM
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

TO: Sunshine Ordinance Task ForceSunshine Ordinance Task Force
DATE: October 11, 2019
PAGE: 4
RE: Complaint No. 19098: Anonymous v. San Francisco Police Department, Chief William Scott, and Sgt. Michael Andraychak

CHAPTER 67, SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (SUNSHINE ORDINANCE)

SEC. 67.21. PROCESS FOR GAINING ACCESS TO PUBLIC RECORDS; ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

(a) Every person having custody of any public record or public information, as defined herein, (hereinafter referred to as a custodian of a public record) shall, at normal times and during normal and reasonable hours of operation, without unreasonable delay, and without requiring an appointment, permit the public record, or any segregable portion of a record, to be inspected and examined by any person and shall furnish one copy thereof upon payment of a reasonable copying charge, not to exceed the lesser of the actual cost or ten cents per page.

(b) A custodian of a public record shall, as soon as possible and within ten days following receipt of a request for inspection or copy of a public record, comply with such request. Such request may be delivered to the office of the custodian by the requester orally or in writing by fax, postal delivery, or e-mail. If the custodian believes the record or information requested is not a public record or is exempt, the custodian shall justify withholding any record by demonstrating, in writing as soon as possible and within ten days following receipt of a request, that the record in question is exempt under express provisions of this ordinance.

(c) A custodian of a public record shall assist a requester in identifying the existence, form, and nature of any records or information maintained by, available to, or in the custody of the custodian, whether or not the contents of those records are exempt from disclosure and shall, when requested to do so, provide in writing within seven days following receipt of a request, a statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to a particular subject or questions with enough specificity to enable a requester to identify records in order to make a request under (b). A custodian of any public record, when not in possession of the record requested, shall assist a requester in directing a request to the proper office or staff person.

(d) If the custodian refuses, fails to comply, or incompletely complies with a request described in (b), the person making the request may petition the supervisor of records for a determination whether the record requested is public. The supervisor of records shall inform the petitioner, as soon as possible and within 10 days, of its determination whether the record requested, or any part of the record requested, is public. Where requested by the petition, and where otherwise desirable, this determination shall be in writing. Upon the determination by the supervisor of records that the record is public, the supervisor of records shall immediately order the custodian of the public record to comply with the person's request. If the custodian refuses or fails to comply with any such order within 5 days, the supervisor of records shall notify the district attorney or the attorney general who shall take whatever measures she or he deems necessary and appropriate to insure compliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

(e) If the custodian refuses, fails to comply, or incompletely complies with a request described in (b) above or if a petition is denied or not acted on by the supervisor of public records, the person making the request may petition the Sunshine Task Force for a determination whether the record requested is public. The Sunshine Task Force shall inform the petitioner, as soon as possible and within 2 days after its next meeting but in no case later than 45 days from

when a petition in writing is received, of its determination whether the record requested, or any part of the record requested, is public. Where requested by the petition, and where otherwise desirable, this determination shall be in writing. Upon the determination that the record is public, the Sunshine Task Force shall immediately order the custodian of the public record to comply with the person's request. If the custodian refuses or fails to comply with any such order within 5 days, the Sunshine Task Force shall notify the district attorney or the attorney general who may take whatever measures she or he deems necessary to insure compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors and the City Attorney's office shall provide sufficient staff and resources to allow the Sunshine Task Force to fulfill its duties under this provision. Where requested by the petition, the Sunshine Task Force may conduct a public hearing concerning the records request denial. An authorized representative of the custodian of the public records requested shall attend any hearing and explain the basis for its decision to withhold the records requested.

(f) The administrative remedy provided under this article shall in no way limit the availability of other administrative remedies provided to any person with respect to any officer or employee of any agency, executive office, department or board; nor shall the administrative remedy provided by this section in any way limit the availability of judicial remedies otherwise available to any person requesting a public record. If a custodian of a public record refuses or fails to comply with the request of any person for inspection or copy of a public record or with an administrative order under this section, the superior court shall have jurisdiction to order compliance.

(g) In any court proceeding pursuant to this article there shall be a presumption that the record sought is public, and the burden shall be upon the custodian to prove with specificity the exemption which applies.

(h) On at least an annual basis, and as otherwise requested by the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, the supervisor of public records shall prepare a tally and report of every petition brought before it for access to records since the time of its last tally and report. The report shall at least identify for each petition the record or records sought, the custodian of those records, the ruling of the supervisor of public records, whether any ruling was overturned by a court and whether orders given to custodians of public records were followed. The report shall also summarize any court actions during that period regarding petitions the Supervisor has decided. At the request of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, the report shall also include copies of all rulings made by the supervisor of public records and all opinions issued.

(i) The San Francisco City Attorney's office shall act to protect and secure the rights of the people of San Francisco to access public information and public meetings and shall not act as legal counsel for any city employee or any person having custody of any public record for purposes of denying access to the public. The City Attorney may publish legal opinions in response to a request from any person as to whether a record or information is public. All communications with the City Attorney's Office with regard to this ordinance, including petitions, requests for opinion, and opinions shall be public records.

(j) Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, the City Attorney may defend the City or a City Employee in litigation under this ordinance that is actually filed in court to any extent required by the City Charter or California Law.

(k) Release of documentary public information, whether for inspection of the original or by providing a copy, shall be governed by the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.) in particulars not addressed by this ordinance and in accordance with the enhanced disclosure requirements provided in this ordinance.

(l) Inspection and copying of documentary public information stored in electronic form shall be made available to the person requesting the information in any form requested which is available to or easily generated by the department, its officers or employees, including disk, tape, printout or monitor at a charge no greater than the cost of the media on which it is duplicated. Inspection of documentary public information on a computer monitor need not be allowed where the information sought is necessarily and unseparably intertwined with information not subject to disclosure under this ordinance. Nothing in this section shall require a department to program or reprogram a computer to respond to a request for information or to release information where the release of that information would violate a licensing agreement or copyright law.

SEC. 67.26. WITHHOLDING KEPT TO A MINIMUM.

No record shall be withheld from disclosure in its entirety unless all information contained in it is exempt from disclosure under express provisions of the California Public Records Act or of some other statute. Information that is exempt from disclosure shall be masked, deleted or otherwise segregated in order that the nonexempt portion of a requested record may be released, and keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the appropriate justification for withholding required by Section 67.27 of this Article. This work shall be done personally by the attorney or other staff member conducting the exemption review. The work of responding to a public-records request and preparing documents for disclosure shall be considered part of the regular work duties of any City employee, and no fee shall be charged to the requester to cover the personnel costs of responding to a records request.

SEC. 67.27. JUSTIFICATION OF WITHHOLDING.

Any withholding of information shall be justified, in writing, as follows:

(a) A withholding under a specific permissive exemption in the California Public Records Act, or elsewhere, which permissive exemption is not forbidden to be asserted by this ordinance, shall cite that authority.

(b) A withholding on the basis that disclosure is prohibited by law shall cite the specific statutory authority in the Public Records Act or elsewhere.

(c) A withholding on the basis that disclosure would incur civil or criminal liability shall cite any specific statutory or case law, or any other public agency's litigation experience, supporting that position.

(d) When a record being requested contains information, most of which is exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act and this Article, the custodian shall inform the requester of the nature and extent of the nonexempt information and suggest alternative sources for the information requested, if available.

CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE (CPRA)

SEC. 6253.

(a) Public records are open to inspection at all times during the office hours of the state or local agency and every person has a right to inspect any public record, except as hereafter provided. Any reasonably segregable portion of a record shall be available for inspection by any person requesting the record after deletion of the portions that are exempted by law.

(b) Except with respect to public records exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law, each state or local agency, upon a request for a copy of records that reasonably describes an identifiable record or records, shall make the records promptly available to any person upon payment of fees covering direct costs ofduplication, or a statutory fee if applicable. Upon request, an exact copy shall be provided unless impracticable to do so.

(c) Each agency, upon a request for a copy of records, shall, within 10 days from receipt of the request, determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the agency and shall promptly notify the person making the request of the determination and the reasons therefor. In unusual circumstances, the time limit prescribed in this section may be extended by written notice by the head of the agency or his or her designee to the person making the request, setting forth the reasons for the extension and the date on which a determination is expected to be dispatched. No notice shall specify a date that would result in an extension for more than 14 days. When the agency dispatches the determination, and if the agency determines that the request seeks disclosablepublic records, the agency shall state the estimated date and time when the records will be made available. As used in this section, "unusual circumstances" means the following, but only to the extent reasonably necessary to the proper processing of the particular request:

(1) The need to search for and collect the requested records from field facilities or other establishments that are separate from the office processing the request.

(2) The need to search for, collect, and appropriately examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records that are demanded in a single request.

(3) The need for consultation, which shall be conducted with all practicable speed, with another agency having substantial interest in the determination of the request or among two or more components of the agency having substantialsubject matter interest therein.

(4) The need to compile data, to write programming language or a computer program, or to construct a computer report to extract data.

(d) Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to permit an agency to delay or obstruct the inspection or copying of public records. The notification of denial of any request for records required by Section 6255 shall set forth the names and titles or positions of each person responsible for the denial.

(e) Except as otherwise prohibited by law, a state or local agency may adopt requirements for itself that allow for faster, more efficient, or greater access to records than prescribed by the minimum standards set forth in this chapter.

(f) In addition to maintaining public records forpublic inspection during the office hours of the public agency, a public agency may comply with subdivision (a) by posting any public record on its Internet Web site and, in response to a request for a public record posted on the Internet Web site, directing a member of the public to the location on the Internet Web site where the public record is posted. However, if after the public agency directs a member of the public to the Internet Web site, the member of the public requesting the public record requests a copy of the public record due to an inability to access or reproduce the public record from the Internet Web site, the public agency shall promptly provide a copy of the public record pursuant to subdivision (b).

SEC. 6253.9.

(a) Unless otherwise prohibited by law, any agency that has information that constitutes an identifiable public record not exempt from disclosure pursuant to this chapter that is in an electronic format shall make that information available in an electronic format when requested by any person and, when applicable, shall comply with the following:

(1) The agency shall make the information available in any electronic format in which it holds the information.

(2) Each agency shall provide a copy of an electronic record in the format requested if the requested format is one that has been used by the agency to create copies for its own use or for provision to other agencies. The cost of duplication shall be limited to the direct cost of producing a copy of a record in an electronic format.

(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (2) of subdivision (a), the requester shall bear the cost of producing a copy of the record, including the cost to construct a record, and the cost of

programming and computer services necessary to produce a copy of the record when either of the following applies:

(1) In order to comply with the provisions of subdivision (a), the public agency would be required to produce a copy of an electronic record and the record is one that is produced only at otherwiseregularly scheduled intervals.

(2) The request would require data compilation, extraction, or programming to produce the record.

(c) Nothing in this section shall be construed to require the public agency to reconstruct a record in an electronic format if the agency no longer has the record available in an electronic format.

(d) If the request is for information in other than electronic format, and the information also is in electronic format, the agency may inform the requester that the information is available in electronic format.

(e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to permit an agency to make information available only in an electronic format.

(f) Nothing in this sectionshall be construed to require the public agency to release an electronic record in the electronic form in which it is held by the agency if its release would jeopardize or compromise the security or integrity of the original record or of any proprietary software in which it is maintained.

(g) Nothing in this section shall be construed to permit public access to records held by any agency to which access is otherwise restricted by statute.

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
Complaint Summary

File No. 19098

Anonymous v. Police Department

Date filed with SOTF: 9/9/2019

Contacts information (Complainant information listed first):
Anonymous (76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com); (Complainant)
Kathryn Waaland (kathryn.waaland@sfgov.org) (Police Department) (Respondent)

File No. 19098: Complaint filed by Anonymous against Police Department for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Sections 67.21, 67.26 and 67.27, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

Administrative Summary if applicable:

Complaint Attached.

Complainant/Petitioners Documents Submission

SOTF 19098 - Anonymous v Scott, Andraychak, SFPD - re: email and text audit

1. July 2, 2019 - Non-IDR request for 10 most recent sent/recv emails and chat/text messages of Chief and other senior leadership, including personal devices
2. July 15 - Initial SFPD response, 14-day extension
3. August 5 - Empty email from SFPD received
4. August 26 - First records received (rolling responses continued through Dec 26, 2019)
5. Sept 13 - Complaint filed for 12 alleged violations of CPRA and Sunshine Ordinance
6. Feb 19, 2020 - SFPD indicates there are still more records to produce.
7. Sept 15, 2020 - SOTF Committee request Andraychak/SFPD to search and produce additional records

Request excerpt

"... We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.

...
A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of ... (SFPD titles) ..."

(similar requests for texts/chats, and personal accounts via *San Jose*)

Issue: Scope of personal account search insufficient

- **Sept. 15 - Committee asked for a broader search to be conducted**
- *City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)* covers communications on personal accounts/devices "about the conduct of public business"
- SFPD told its employees "If you do not use your personal email and/or mobile phone for work purposes, you would not have any responsive documents for items 2 and 3 below." The problem is that *San Jose* case covers a larger universe of records than what SFPD told its employees to search for.
- **Example**: a Commander does not use their personal email account for work purposes, but a subordinate officer emails the Commander of police malfeasance on the Commander's personal address out of fear of reprisal at work. That email message on the Commander's personal account is a public record subject to search and disclosure under *San Jose*. However, under SFPD's incorrect interpretation of *San Jose* searches, this record would never be searched for or disclosed because the Commander does not "use [their] email for work purposes."

Issue: Records provided are not copies of the original records

- SFPD physically prints and scans email records. This destroys hyperlinks, color, and other formatting that was part of the original record. Physically printing and scanning is not an exact copy of the record.

- SFPD also sometimes provides a copy of a "forward" of the original responsive email record that was requested. Forwarding an email record creates a new record, with different To/From/Sent/Cc and also prevents the public from knowing the Bcc of the original record.

Issue: SFPD did not key their redactions by footnote or clear reference to justifications

- At the time of the filing of the complaint, SFPD did not key the redactions in the then-provided records by footnote or other clear reference to the legal justification for exemption (SFAC 67.26).

- It appears, SFPD now (after the complaint) accepts this obligation and has changed their practice to provide a key of list of justifications and then match their redactions with their keys, but please confirm with SFPD.

New SFPD practice (***post-Complaint***)

Cal PRA P008260-071519

Email Correspondence of: DIR FORENSICS / SERG DIR SANCHEZ

Reasons for Redaction:

1. Privacy, Cal Constitution, Art I; SF Admin Code, 67.1(g)
2. Open Investigation, 6254(f) GC
3. Security Procedure 6254(f) GC
4. Record of Intelligence or Investigation 6254(f) GC
5. Personnel, Medical or Similar 6254 (c) GC
6. Peace Officer Personnel Record 832.7 PC
7. Criminal Offender Record Info 11105PC and/or 13300 PC
8. Privilege under Fed and/or State Law / Evidence Code 6254(k) GC
9. Contractor bids, RFPs, etc. not awarded SFAC 67.24(e)(1)
10. Recommendation of author SFAC 67.24(a)(1)
11. Other: _____

There are no responsive documents from personal email account(s).

There are no responsive documents from personal cell phone(s).

There are no responsive documents on either "official work" or "personal" accounts from the following communications platforms: Facebook Messenger, Telegram, Slack, Google Hangouts, Signal,

NO DRAFT EMAILS

From: Sanchez, John (POL)
Sent: Thursday, July 11, 2019 12:14:39 PM
To: Khan, Rahoof (POL)
Subject: Assistance requested

Sir;

Can you please assist me in providing as much of the information on this form as yo
Hopefully you have access to Ofc [redacted] emergency contact card in order to get he
such as; home address, DOB, social, etc. You can just print out the form and hand v
information and then scan or you can fill it out electronically and attach as file in em

Thank you

John Sanchez
Forensic Services Director
San Francisco Police Department
Direct: 415-671-[redacted]
Fax: 415-671-3290
Main: 415-671-3200

Issue: Withholding of email/text metadata/headers not minimal and not justified

- **Sept. 15 - Committee asked for this info to be provided, pointing to earlier rulings**
- SFPD did not provide the various header metadata for emails other than To/From/Sent/Cc, nor did they justify their withholding. (See SOTF 19044).
- SFPD did however provide some text message metadata that is not normally visible on the "face" of the text message in an electronically-processable table/spreadsheet format. So SFPD does appear to both understand it has an obligation to provide metadata, and the technical know-how to do so.
- Unfortunately while some of the more complex text message metadata was provided, it is missing the basic From/To columns so we don't know the parties in the communication.

Text message metadata provided as TSV database

```
ThreadId        MessageId       Date (UTC)      Network Message Type    AttachmentCount Body
2854114307      6459506228      7/15/19 19:29   AT&T    Message 0       """Chief... FYI ONLY.. I'm leaving at 2:30pm for
a DMV appointment in Daly City. I'm applying for that REAL ID as my CDL expires on Aug 1, 2019.👍"""
2854114307      6459600652      7/15/19 19:49   AT&T    Message 0       """Got it. Hopefully you won't be waiting to
long. """
2854114307      6459988492      7/15/19 23:18   AT&T    Message 0       """I'm finally done with applying for my REAL
ID.. sooo crazy here at the Daly City DMV!!! See you tomorrow Chief!!😴😴"""
2854114307      6459988497      7/15/19 23:18   AT&T    Message 0       """I'm finally done with applying for my REAL
ID.. sooo crazy here at the Daly City DMV!!! See you tomorrow Chief!!😴😴"""
2855989828      6459496351      7/15/19 19:24   AT&T    Message 0       """I saw your target and knew we were in trouble.
Good shooting!  But beware, I'm on your heals."""
2855989828      6459506242      7/15/19 19:29   AT&T    Message 0       """LOL, thank you.  With my eye sight these days
the distance shooting is a challenge. """
2855989828      6459507264      7/15/19 19:31   AT&T    Message 0       """Obviously a challenge you overcome."""
2856065950      6459006418      7/15/19 15:47   AT&T    Message 0       """FYI I went to the Lake by mistake. Going to
the Airport now. I may be  late """
2863928440      6459938034      7/15/19 22:54   AT&T    Message 0       """S: Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park
(3rd St/Berry St)       Current Time: 15:53:58          UPDATED -Notification Status: Update        REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN
INVESTIGATION AND/OR RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE"
2876610504      6460172256      7/16/19 0:22    AT&T    Message 0       """Chief tomorrow we will be assisting Concord PD
in serving an arrest and search warrant on a REDACTED  6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION AND/OR RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE"
2876610504      6460172258      7/16/19 0:22    AT&T    Message 0       """Chief tomorrow we will be assisting Concord PD
in serving an arrest and search warrant on a REDACTED  6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION AND/OR RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE"
2876610504      6460172257      7/16/19 0:23    AT&T    Message 0       """Copy"""
2876610504      6460174676      7/16/19 0:24    AT&T    Message 0       """Copy. Thanks"""
```

Request for Relief, Please Move:

- Find SFPD violated:
  - 67.21(b) for failing to provide copies of electronic records by printing and scanning them instead,
  - 67.21(k) (which requires complying with the CPRA), by failing to search for all personally-held public records within the scope of *City of San Jose v. Superior Court (2017)*
  - 67.26 by withholding partially text message records namely the To and From of each message and also by withholding all email metadata namely email headers
  - 67.26 by failing to key each redaction with a footnote or other clear reference to a justification,
  - 67.27 for not justifying the withholding of information in writing
- Refer the matter to Compliance Committee (per SOTF Rule E1) and order disclosure

SOTF 19098 - Anonymous v Scott, Andraychak, SFPD - re: email and text audit

1. July 2, 2019 - Non-IDR request for 10 most recent sent/recv emails and chat/text messages of Chief and other senior leadership, including personal devices
2. July 15 - Initial SFPD response, 14-day extension
3. August 5 - Empty email from SFPD received
4. August 26 - First records received (rolling responses continued through Dec 26, 2019)
5. Sept 13 - Complaint filed for 12 alleged violations of CPRA and Sunshine Ordinance
6. Feb 19, 2020 - SFPD indicates there are still more records to produce.

Request excerpt

"... We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.

…
A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of … (SFPD titles) …"

Issue: Scope of personal account search insufficient

- *City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)* covers communications on personal accounts/devices "about the conduct of public business"
- SFPD told its employees "If you do not use your personal email and/or mobile phone for work purposes, you would not have any responsive documents for items 2 and 3 below." The problem is that *San Jose* case covers a larger universe of records than what SFPD told its employees to search for.
- **Example**: a Commander does not use their personal email account for work purposes, but a subordinate officer emails the Commander of police malfeasance on the Commander's personal address out of fear of reprisal at work. That email message on the Commander's personal account is a public record subject to search and disclosure under *San Jose*. However, under SFPD's incorrect interpretation of *San Jose* searches, this record would never be searched for or disclosed because the Commander does not "use [their] email for work purposes."

Issue: Records provided are not copies of the original records

- SFPD physically prints and scans email records. This destroys hyperlinks, color, and other formatting that was part of the original record. Physically printing and scanning is not an exact copy of the record.

- SFPD also sometimes provides a copy of a "forward" of the original responsive email record that was requested. Forwarding an email record creates a new record, with different To/From/Sent/Cc and also prevents the public from knowing the Bcc of the original record.

Issue: SFPD did not key their redactions by footnote or clear reference to justifications

- At the time of the filing of the complaint, SFPD did not key the redactions in the then-provided records by footnote or other clear reference to the legal justification for exemption (SFAC 67.26).

- It appears, SFPD now (after the complaint) accepts this obligation and has changed their practice to provide a key of list of justifications and then match their redactions with their keys, but please confirm with SFPD.

New SFPD practice (post-Complaint)

Cal PRA P008260-071519

Email Correspondence of: DIR FORENSICS / SERT DIR SANCHEZ

Reasons for Redaction:

1. Privacy, Cal Constitution, Art I; SF Admin Code, 67.1(g)
2. Open Investigation, 6254(f) GC
3. Security Procedure 6254(f) GC
4. Record of Intelligence or Investigation 6254(f) GC
5. Personnel, Medical or Similar 6254 (c) GC
6. Peace Officer Personnel Record 832.7 PC
7. Criminal Offender Record Info 11105PC and/or 13300 PC
8. Privilege under Fed and/or State Law / Evidence Code 6254(k) GC
9. Contractor bids, RFPs, etc. not awarded SFAC 67.24(e)(1)
10. Recommendation of author SFAC 67.24(a)(1)
11. Other: _____

There are no responsive documents from personal email account(s).

There are no responsive documents from personal cell phone(s).

There are no responsive documents on either "official work" or "personal" accounts from the following communications platforms: Facebook Messenger, Telegram, Slack, Google Hangouts, Signal,

NO DRAFT EMAILS

From: Sanchez, John (POL)
Sent: Thursday, July 11, 2019 12:14:39 PM
To: Khan, Rahoof (POL)
Subject: Assistance requested

Sir;

Can you please assist me in providing as much of the information on this form as yo
Hopefully you have access to Ofc [redacted] emergency contact card in order to get he
such as; home address, DOB, social, etc. You can just print out the form and hand v
information and then scan or you can fill it out electronically and attach as file in em

5

Thank you

John Sanchez
Forensic Services Director
San Francisco Police Department
Direct: 415-671-[redacted]
Fax: 415-671-3290
Main: 415-671-3200

Issue: Withholding of email/text metadata/headers not minimal and not justified

- SFPD did not provide the various header metadata for emails other than To/From/Sent/Cc, nor did they justify their withholding. (See SOTF 19044).

- SFPD did however provide some text message metadata that is not normally visible on the "face" of the text message in an electronically-processable table/spreadsheet format. So SFPD does appear to both understand it has an obligation to provide metadata, and the technical know-how to do so.

- Unfortunately while some of the more complex text message metadata was provided, it is missing the basic From/To columns so we don't know the parties in the communication.

Text message metadata provided as TSV database

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2854114307	6459506228	7/15/19 19:29	AT&T	Message 0		"""Chief... FYI ONLY.. I'm leaving at 2:30pm for a DMV appointment in Daly City. I'm applying for that REAL ID as my CDL expires on Aug 1, 2019.👍"""
2854114307	6459600652	7/15/19 19:49	AT&T	Message 0		"""Got it. Hopefully you won't be waiting to long. """
2854114307	6459988492	7/15/19 23:18	AT&T	Message 0		"""I'm finally done with applying for my REAL ID.. sooo crazy here at the Daly City DMV!!! See you tomorrow Chief!!😎😎"""
2854114307	6459988497	7/15/19 23:18	AT&T	Message 0		"""I'm finally done with applying for my REAL ID.. sooo crazy here at the Daly City DMV!!! See you tomorrow Chief!!😎😎"""
2855989828	6459496351	7/15/19 19:24	AT&T	Message 0		"""I saw your target and knew we were in trouble. Good shooting! But beware, I'm on your heals."""
2855989828	6459506242	7/15/19 19:29	AT&T	Message 0		"""LOL, thank you. With my eye sight these days the distance shooting is a challenge. """
2855989828	6459507264	7/15/19 19:31	AT&T	Message 0		"""Obviously a challenge you overcome."""
2856065950	6459006418	7/15/19 15:47	AT&T	Message 0		"""FYI I went to the Lake by mistake. Going to the Airport now. I may be late """
2863928440	6459938034	7/15/19 22:54	AT&T	Message 0		"""S: Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:53:58 UPDATED -Notification Status: Update REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION AND/OR RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE"
2876610504	6460172256	7/16/19 0:22	AT&T	Message 0		"""Chief tomorrow we will be assisting Concord PD in serving an arrest and search warrant on a REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION AND/OR RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE"
2876610504	6460172258	7/16/19 0:22	AT&T	Message 0		"""Chief tomorrow we will be assisting Concord PD in serving an arrest and search warrant on a REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION AND/OR RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE"
2876610504	6460172257	7/16/19 0:23	AT&T	Message 0		"""Copy"""
2876610504	6460174676	7/16/19 0:24	AT&T	Message 0		"""Copy. Thanks"""

Subject: Public Records Request :: P008260-071519
Body: July 15, 2019

Via email 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com

Anonymous

,

RE: Public Records Request, dated July 15, 2019, Reference # P008260-071519

Dear Anonymous:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated July 15, 2019, on July 15, 2019.

You requested, "*This is a follow up to a previous request:*

To Whom It May Concern:

I wanted to follow up on the following California Public Records Act request, copied below, and originally submitted on July 2, 2019. Please let me know when I can expect to receive a response.

Thanks for your help, and let me know if further clarification is needed.

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?
url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogi
francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On July 2, 2019:
Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD)
RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit

To Whom It May Concern:

*** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). ***

We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.
Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office. If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10 emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.

We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.
However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.

If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.
If you provide PDFs instead of original email files, only give a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and/or improperly withhold public records that exist on private accounts/devices you may be in violation of SF Admin Code and/or CPRA, and we may challenge your decision at the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Supervisor of Records, judicially, and/or via any other remedies available to us. I currently have pending petitions to the Task Force and Supervisor of Records to correct prior disclosure failures of electronic information from various SF agencies.

You must justify all withholding.

Provide records in a rolling fashion. Do not wait for all records to be available.

Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required free notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose. Please use email to respond.

I look forward to your prompt disclosure.

PART I - Email

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those

explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails SENT FROM EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails IN THE DRAFT or OUTBOX folder of EACH OFFICIAL government email account of the following. Please remember the special Sunshine exceptions to CPRA draft withholding under SF Admin Code 67.24(a).
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, FROM any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 2 - Chat/Messaging

As used below "Conversations" include but are not limited to any metadata records showing that a conversation had taken place but is now deleted (due to expiration for example).
Various types of apps are mentioned below.

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those

explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Telegram]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Slack]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Signal]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

F. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [SMS/MMS/text messages]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

G.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

H.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Telegram], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

I.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Slack], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

J.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

K.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Signal], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

L.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 3: all instruments used to inquire of each official as to whether they possess any responsive records above, and all of their responses

Sincerely,
Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?
url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogi
francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable."

Although SFPD has 10 calendar days to respond to your request, we are invoking an additional 14 day extension of time to respond to your request pursuant to Government Code section 6253(c) because of the need to search, collect, review, and consult with another department. Once it has been determined whether or not the information you request is responsive and subject to disclosure we will advise you as soon practicable but no later than August 8, 2019.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 415-837-7395.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Michael Andraychak
Media Relations

Office of the Mayor
City & County of San Francisco

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Requestor: Anonymous
76434-70600365@requests.muckrock.com

July 29, 2019

Re: **Amended and Supplemental Response to Public Records Request Received July 2, 2019**

Dear Anonymous:

This amends and supplements our July 26, 2019 response to your Public Records Request, attached, entitled Email and Electronic Communications Audit, received by the Office of the Mayor on July 2, 2019. We previously invoked an extension of time to continue our response under Government Code § 6253(c) and San Francisco Admin. Code § 67.25(b) for up to 14 days from the original due date because of the need for consultation with other city departments and the potential volume of requested materials. We then responded and provided responsive documents on July 26, 2019.

Amended and Supplemental Response Dated July 29, 2019

We have completed our search and consultation and are attaching herewith responsive records located in the possession of the Office of the Mayor. The documents have been provided in multiple emails due to file size. Please note that responsive emails from official city email accounts have been provided for all of the requested custodians. Additionally, responsive text messages from personal devices pertaining to city business have been provided for Communications Director Jeff Cretan, Liaison to the Board of Supervisors Sophia Kittler and Compliance Officer Hank Heckel. No such responsive texts were located for the other requested custodians. Existing messages received using Signal pertaining to city business have been provided for Chief of Staff, Sean Elsbernd. These communications are provided herewith as a supplemental production. No responsive communications in the other electronic media named were located for the requested custodians.

The responsive documents have been provided in a PDF format for its ease of transferability and accessibility, consistent with Cal. Gov. Code 6253.9(a)(1). Metadata from any native format has not been provided to avoid risks to the security and integrity of the city's data system and avoid the release of exempt confidential or privileged information. Pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code 6253.9 (f), an agency is not required to provide an electronic record in an electronic format that would jeopardize or compromise the security or integrity of the original record or its data system. The PDF format ensures the security and integrity of the original record.

Please note that certain documents have been withheld on the basis of the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine. Gov't Code § 6254(k); Evid. Code § 954; Code of Civ. Proc. § 2018.030; Gov't Code § 6276.04; Admin. Code § 67.21(k).

Please note that certain personal information such as private email addresses, phone numbers and personal addresses has been redacted to avoid an unwarranted breach of personal privacy. See Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 6254(c), 6254(k); California Constitution, Art. I, Sec. 1.

Please note that certain information has been redacted on the basis of the attorney-client privilege. Gov't Code § 6254(k); Evidence Code § 954; Admin. Code § 67.21(k).

Please also note that certain call-in information has been redacted pursuant to the official information privilege. See Cal. Evid. Code Sec. 1040(b)(2).

Please note that certain identifying information has been redacted to protect the identity of certain individuals involved in ongoing hiring processes. See Cal. Govt. Code Secs. 6254(c), Admin. Code § 67.24(c).

Please note that we are responding only on behalf of the Office of the Mayor and not on behalf of other City Departments. If you have any questions about your request or would like to submit another public records request, please feel free to contact us at mayorsunshinerequests@sfgov.org.

Best Regards,

Hank Heckel
Compliance Officer
Office of Mayor London N. Breed
City and County of San Francisco

Re: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519

Andraychak, Michael (POL)
Tue 9/3/2019 7:01 AM
To: 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com <76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com>

Hello,

We have identified no responsive records from personal devices or accounts.
We are processing the request and have sent numerous emails containing responsive records from SFGOV email accounts. Also, sms/text messages from Department cell phones are being uploaded to the GovQA records portal.

Michael Andraychak #457
Sergeant of Police
Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit
San Francisco Police Department
1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
(415) 837-7395
Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

From: 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com <76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 1, 2019 4:29:18 PM
To: Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519

San Francisco Police Department
PRA Office
1245 3rd Street
SF, CA 94158
September 1, 2019
This is a follow up to request number P008260-071519:
** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **

What is the status of this request? Have all the City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017) searches of personal property been conducted?
Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1i4ZHZ%3AGJ2FO5EGWrATI9syJcSwGzzJ43M&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dmichael.andraychak%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.
For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516
PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On Aug. 31, 2019:
Subject: P008620-071519
Attached are emails from DC of Investigations McEeachern.
Some redaction was done (private email, cell phones, desk extensions) under Cal Const., Art 1, Privacy and others under 6254(f) Open Investigations.
There are no draft emails.
Michael Andraychak #457
Sergeant of Police
Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit
San Francisco Police Department
1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
(415) 837-7395
Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

On Aug. 31, 2019:
Subject: P008620-071519
Attached are emails from Dir. Communications David Stevenson.
There were two emails in the draft folder.
Some redaction was done in accordance with Cal Const., Article 1, Privacy.
Michael Andraychak #457
Sergeant of Police
Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit
San Francisco Police Department
1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
(415) 837-7395
Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

On Aug. 31, 2019:
Subject: P008620-071519
Attached are inbox/outbox emails from Dir of Crime Strategies. There were no drafts.
Some redaction was done (personal emails, cell phone or direct phone numbers) other redaction was done in accordance with 6254(f) GC open investigation.
Michael Andraychak #457
Sergeant of Police
Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit
San Francisco Police Department
1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
(415) 837-7395
Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

On Aug. 31, 2019:
Subject: Re: P008260-071519
There were no draft files.
Michael Andraychak #457
Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit
San Francisco Police Department
1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
(415) 837-7395
Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

On Aug. 31, 2019:
Subject: P008260-071519
Attached are emails for Commander Operations/Metro Division, Darryl Fong.
Some redaction were done in accordance with Cal Const., Article 1, Privacy.
6254 (f) GC, Open Investigations, Records of Intelligence, Security Procedures.
Michael Andraychak #457
Sergeant of Police
Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit
San Francisco Police Department
1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
(415) 837-7395
Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

On July 2, 2019:
Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD)
RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit
To Whom It May Concern:
** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **
We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.
Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office.
If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10

emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.
We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.
However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.
If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.
If you provide PDFs instead of original email files, only give a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and/or improperly withhold public records that exist on private accounts/devices you may be in violation of SF Admin Code and/or CPRA, and we may challenge your decision at the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Supervisor of Records, judicially, and/or via any other remedies available to us. I currently have pending petitions to the Task Force and Supervisor of Records to correct prior disclosure failures of electronic information from various SF agencies.
You must justify all withholding.
Provide records in a rolling fashion. Do not wait for all records to be available.
Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required free notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose.
Please use email to respond.
I look forward to your prompt disclosure.
PART 1 - Email
A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director
B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly

exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails SENT FROM EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails IN THE DRAFT or OUTBOX folder of EACH OFFICIAL government email account of the following. Please remember the special Sunshine exceptions to CPRA draft withholding under SF Admin Code 67.24(a).
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, FROM any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 2 - Chat/Messaging

As used below "Conversations" include but are not limited to any metadata records showing that a conversation had taken place but is now deleted (due to expiration for example). Various types of apps are mentioned below.

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger]:

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or

group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Telegram]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Slack]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly

exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Signal]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

F. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [SMS/MMS/text messages]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

G.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

H.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Telegram], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

I.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Slack], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

J.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts],

solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

K.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Signal], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

L.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 3: all instruments used to inquire of each official as to whether they possess any responsive records above, and all of their responses

Sincerely,

Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com

E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com

Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1i4ZHZ%3AGJ2FO5EGWrATI9syJcSwGzzJ43M&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dmichael.andraychak%252540sfgov.org

Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):

MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

Subject: Public Records Request :: P008260-071519
Body:
August 05, 2019

Via email 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com

Anonymous

,

RE: Public Records Request, dated July 15, 2019, Reference # P008260-071519

Dear Anonymous:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated July 15, 2019, on August 05, 2019.

You requested, "*This is a follow up to a previous request:*

To Whom It May Concern:

I wanted to follow up on the following California Public Records Act request, copied below, and originally submitted on July 2, 2019. Please let me know when I can expect to receive a response.

Thanks for your help, and let me know if further clarification is needed.

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?
url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5vnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogi
francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On July 2, 2019:
Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD)
RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit

To Whom It May Concern:

*** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). ***

We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.
Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office. If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10 emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.

We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.
However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.

If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.
If you provide PDFs instead of original email files, only give a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and/or improperly withhold public records that exist on private accounts/devices you may be in violation of SF Admin Code and/or CPRA, and we may challenge your decision at the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Supervisor of Records, judicially, and/or via any other remedies available to us. I currently have pending petitions to the Task Force and Supervisor of Records to correct prior disclosure failures of electronic information from various SF agencies.

You must justify all withholding.

Provide records in a rolling fashion. Do not wait for all records to be available.

Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required free notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose. Please use email to respond.

I look forward to your prompt disclosure.

PART 1 - Email

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails SENT FROM EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails IN THE DRAFT or OUTBOX folder of EACH OFFICIAL government email account of the following. Please remember the special Sunshine exceptions to CPRA draft withholding under SF Admin Code 67.24(a).
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, FROM any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 2 - Chat/Messaging

As used below "Conversations" include but are not limited to any metadata records showing that a conversation had taken place but is now deleted (due to expiration for example).
Various types of apps are mentioned below.

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Telegram]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Slack]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Signal]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

F. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [SMS/MMS/text messages]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

G.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

H.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Telegram], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

I.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Slack], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

J.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

K.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Signal], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

L.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 3: all instruments used to inquire of each official as to whether they possess any responsive records above, and all of their responses

Sincerely,
Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?
url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxYrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogi
francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable."

This is an update regarding your request.

Part 1, Items A, B and C

These are being processed. We placed significant effort and time into gathering electronic copies including metadata but had technical difficulties. We subsequently received a recommendation from the City Attorney not to provide the documents in this format. As such, metadata from any native format will not be provided to avoid the risk to the security and integrity of the city's data systems and avoid the release of exempt confidential or privileged information. We are in the process of gathering and reviewing PDF forms of the requested emails.

Part 2, Item F

We placed significant effort and time into gathering electronic copies including metadata but had technical difficulties. We subsequently received a recommendation from the City Attorney to no provide the documents in this format. As such, metadata from any native format will not be provided to avoid the risk to the security and integrity of the city's data systems and avoid the release of exempt confidential or privileged information. The SFPD IT Unit is processing the request for Official SMS/MMS/Text Messages.

Part 1, Item D and E

1. Chief of Police No Responsive Documents

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff No Responsive Documents

3. Asst. Chief/Operations No Responsive Documents

4. Deputy Chief/Administration No Responsive Documents

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management No Responsive Documents

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations No Responsive Documents

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations No Responsive Documents

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations No Responsive Documents

9. Deputy Chief/Airport Pending Response

10. Commander

Admin No Responsive Documents

Risk Management No Responsive Documents

Airport No Responsive Documents

Investigations No Responsive Documents

MTA No Responsive Documents

Golden Gate No Responsive Documents

Metro No Responsive Documents

Community Engagement No Responsive Documents

11. Civilian Directors

Strategic Management No Responsive Documents

Communications Pending Response

Information Systems No Responsive Documents

Forensics No Responsive Documents

Crime Strategies No Responsive Documents

Policy & Public Affairs No Responsive Documents

Part 2, Items A, B, C, D, E – G, H, I, J, K, L

1. Chief of Police No Responsive Documents

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff No Responsive Documents

3. Asst. Chief/Operations No Responsive Documents

4. Deputy Chief/Administration No Responsive Documents

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management No Responsive Documents

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations No Responsive Documents

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations No Responsive Documents

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations No Responsive Documents

9. Deputy Chief/Airport Pending Response

10. Commander

Admin No Responsive Documents

Risk Management No Responsive Documents

Airport No Responsive Documents

Investigations No Responsive Documents

MTA No Responsive Documents

Golden Gate No Responsive Documents

Metro No Responsive Documents

Community Engagement No Responsive Documents

11. Civilian Directors

Strategic Management No Responsive Documents

Communications No Responsive Documents

Information Systems No Responsive Documents

Forensics No Responsive Documents

Crime Strategies No Responsive Documents

Policy & Public Affairs No Responsive Documents

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Michael Andraychak
Media Relations
415-837-7395

Leger, Cheryl (BOS)

From: 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2019 12:27 AM
To: SOTF, (BOS)
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
Attachments: 76435-Petition-20190912-ex.pdf

San Francisco Police Department
PRA Office
1245 3rd Street
SF, CA 94158

September 13, 2019

This is a follow up to request number P008260-071519:

Task Force,

Attached is a new complaint. Please create a file and send it and the respondant's response to me.
I will also file your Google Form.

Respondent agency: SFPD

Respondent individuals: Police Chief William Scott, Sgt. Michael Andraychak, and Custodian Does 1–20

Alleged violations: SFAC 67.21, 67.26, 67.27, Gov Code 6253 and 6253.9

Complainant: Anonymous (76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com)

Thanks,
Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly:
https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsotf%252540sfgov.org&url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1i8fzE%3ATH9YmiMx-0zp0xelS2JXkbpfcY8
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News

DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On Sept. 12, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
** Please redact your responses correctly! This is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including emails, attachments, file shares, and the disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Once you send them to us, there's no going back. **

Sgt. Andraychak is I believe OOF on Fridays. Sending to mailing list.

SFPD,

Is your response for 76435 (your #P008260-071519) complete? I would like the final determination of whether or not responsive documents exist (regardless of whether they were exempt) for each request. I would also like all of your redaction & withholding justifications. Remember SFAC 67.26 requires: "Information that is exempt from disclosure shall be masked, deleted or otherwise segregated in order that the nonexempt portion of a requested record may be released, and **keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the appropriate justification** for withholding required by section 67.27 of this article." (emphasis mine) -- you need to be clear which justification is for every redaction and withholding.

Finally I would like the full set of disclosed documents sent by email please.

Sincerely,

Anonymous

On Sept. 12, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
** Please redact your responses correctly! This is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including emails, attachments, file shares, and the disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Once you send them to us, there's no going back. **

SFPD,

Is your response for 76435 (your #P008260-071519) complete? I would like the final determination of whether or not responsive documents exist (regardless of whether they were exempt) for each request. I would also like all of your redaction & withholding justifications. Remember SFAC 67.26 requires: "Information that is exempt from disclosure shall be masked, deleted or otherwise segregated in order that the nonexempt portion of a requested record may be released, and **keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the appropriate justification** for withholding required

by section 67.27 of this article." (emphasis mine) -- you need to be clear which justification is for every redaction and withholding.

Finally I would like the full set of disclosed documents sent by email please.

Sincerely,

Anonymous

On Sept. 3, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
Acknowledged, thank you.

On Sept. 3, 2019:
Subject: Public Records Request :: P008260-071519
--- Please respond above this line ---

September 03, 2019
Via email 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com

Anonymous

,

RE: Public Records Request, dated July 15, 2019, Reference # P008260-071519

Dear Anonymous:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated July 15, 2019, on September 03, 2019.

You requested, " This is a follow up to a previous request:"

To Whom It May Concern:

I wanted to follow up on the following California Public Records Act request, copied below, and originally submitted on July 2, 2019. Please let me know when I can expect to receive a response.

Thanks for your help, and let me know if further clarification is needed.

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly:
https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On July 2, 2019:
Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD)
RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit

To Whom It May Concern:

** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **

We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.
Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office. If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10 emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.

We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best. However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.

If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.
If you provide PDFs instead of original email files, only give a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and/or improperly withhold public records that exist on private accounts/devices you may be in violation of SF Admin Code and/or CPRA, and we may challenge your decision at the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Supervisor of Records, judicially, and/or via any other remedies available to us. I currently have pending petitions to the Task Force and Supervisor of Records to correct prior disclosure failures of electronic information from various SF agencies.

You must justify all withholding.

Provide records in a rolling fashion. Do not wait for all records to be available.

Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required free notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose. Please use email to respond.

I look forward to your prompt disclosure.

PART 1 - Email

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails SENT FROM EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails IN THE DRAFT or OUTBOX folder of EACH OFFICIAL government email account of the following. Please remember the special Sunshine exceptions to CPRA draft withholding under SF Admin Code 67.24(a).
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails

regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, FROM any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 2 - Chat/Messaging

As used below "Conversations" include but are not limited to any metadata records showing that a conversation had taken place but is now deleted (due to expiration for example).
Various types of apps are mentioned below.

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Telegram]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Slack]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Signal]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

F. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [SMS/MMS/text messages]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

G.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

H.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Telegram], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

I.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Slack], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

J.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

K.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Signal], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

L.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 3: all instruments used to inquire of each official as to whether they possess any responsive records above, and all of their responses

Sincerely,
Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly:
https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAlhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-

audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

We have identified no responsive records from personal devices or accounts.
We are processing the request and have sent numerous emails containing responsive records from SFGOV email accounts. Also, sms/text messages from Department cell phones are being uploaded to the GovQA records portal.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Michael Andraychak
Media Relations
415-837-7395
To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.
(https://u8387795.ct.sendgrid.net/wf/click?upn=Ow1KccipsoIsnXbuEgm-2FN-2B3KuyZ5YHnuXVUop6SBUj6Zl2gPWQsh2hjE0JdlwH66ZMXJDGxlkhWqlkMGRI8K8RdgfchCPn5hbqdWnlrcjvklsbezoDgEiXr0fpETGrLw_RS48PfEuBadMkLlhY2xrI2uthodKUGOQzPwgXQnJV8Phu7-2F4gUOxiqjyEwuJDKFH9hTPdLxRRGK3fw-2B3znbIdN6xXkfLOu-2FAGM3m8EkzF3hUbvUKQp9n-2FuWYT2JLhewp6p1TryqRq4-2BoyXthw-2BqomVNQVDLElkw20sSPSikowCZucvnpqh8rAxUwcOCVWQO22rQy-2FHs3qAMWTJPPeHPyAlpZ7UxY7I2iruBS893tuw5scTecYQiusoIMzZJLLHfM2Nnu5OlOVX-2BFKM22sqyl8IR3nSHXwh9EqV5OB5-2BQ1xrz34fC-2B4KChAvv985tlcXGC7g13rqNHUJUNPOLYNx2Q9b-2BDL3DZMA4Zco4FA8oav7GagVLUXEmc-2FgHSIbHZhKL15JgTpoSLanP1F-2FhlCLR3g-3D-3D)
This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

On Sept. 3, 2019:
Subject: Re: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
Hello,

We have identified no responsive records from personal devices or accounts.

We are processing the request and have sent numerous emails containing responsive records from SFGOV email accounts. Also, sms/text messages from Department cell phones are being uploaded to the GovQA records portal.

Michael Andraychak #457

Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit

San Francisco Police Department

1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94158

(415) 837-7395

Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

On July 2, 2019:
Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD)
RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit

To Whom It May Concern:

** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **

We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.
Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office. If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10 emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.

We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best. However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.

If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.
If you provide PDFs instead of original email files, only give a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and/or improperly withhold public records that exist on private accounts/devices you may be in violation of SF Admin Code and/or CPRA, and we may challenge your decision at the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Supervisor of Records, judicially, and/or via any other remedies available to us. I currently have pending petitions to the Task Force and Supervisor of Records to correct prior disclosure failures of electronic information from various SF agencies.

You must justify all withholding.

Provide records in a rolling fashion. Do not wait for all records to be available.

Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required free notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose. Please use email to respond.

I look forward to your prompt disclosure.

PART 1 - Email

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails SENT FROM EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails IN THE DRAFT or OUTBOX folder of EACH OFFICIAL government email account of the following. Please remember the special Sunshine exceptions to CPRA draft withholding under SF Admin Code 67.24(a).
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, FROM any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 2 - Chat/Messaging

As used below "Conversations" include but are not limited to any metadata records showing that a conversation had taken place but is now deleted (due to expiration for example).
Various types of apps are mentioned below.

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Telegram]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Slack]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Signal]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

F. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [SMS/MMS/text messages]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

G.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

H.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Telegram], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

I.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Slack], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

J.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

K.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Signal], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

L.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 3: all instruments used to inquire of each official as to whether they possess any responsive records above, and all of their responses

Sincerely,
Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly:
https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F

%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsotf%252540sfgov.org&url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1i8fzE%3ATH9YmiMx-0zp0xelS2JXkbpfcY8
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com (Anonymous requestor)
Please use email only. I am an anonymous user of MuckRock.com, not a MuckRock representative.

Supervisor of Records and Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
City Hall, Rooms 234 and 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco CA 94102
supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org; sotf@sfgov.org
sent via email

Your ref.	Our ref.	Date
SFPD P008260-071519	#76435	2019-09-12

RE: SF Sunshine Ordinance petition against SFPD, ref 76435/P008260-071519

To the Supervisor of Records and Sunshine Ordinance Task Force:

NOTE: Every response you send or provide (including all responsive records) may be automatically and immediately visible to the general public on the MuckRock.com web service used to issue this request. (I am not a representative of MuckRock)

This is simultaneously:

- a new petition under SF Admin Code (SFAC) 67.21(d) to the Supervisor of Records for a written determination that records are public, and an order against **SFPD, Police Chief William Scott, Sgt. Michael Andraychak, and Custodian Does 1–20** (together "Respondents") for disclosure of all records determined public, and
- a new complaint under SFAC 67.21(e) to the Task Force for a hearing, an order of determination that records are public and requiring Respondents to disclose those records, and a finding that Respondents violated and are presently violating **SFAC 67.21, 67.26, 67.27, Gov Code 6253 and 6253.9**;

which orders may be enforced at Superior Court under SFAC 67.21(f). Examples of improperly withheld parts of records are provided, but I am asking that all such withholdings of each type discussed be deemed a public part of a record and disclosed. Please ask Respondents for a copy of the records as provided as they are too voluminous to include here.

Respondents include SFPD, the department head Police Chief William Scott, and the specific person who responded to my request Sgt. Michael Andraychak.

Respondents also include unnamed custodians (Custodian Does 1–20) named by title in my request: Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff, Asst. Chief/Operations, Deputy Chief/Administration, Executive Director/Strategic Management, Deputy Chief/Field Operations, Deputy Chief/Investigations, Deputy Chief/Special Operations, Deputy Chief/Airport, every Commander, every Civilian Director. These Does are "custodian[s] of a public record" within the meaning of the Sunshine Ordinance because they "hav[e] custody of [a] public record" that I have requested – namely their own business and personal communications (to the extent the latter are regarding the public's business).

I do not wish to negotiate with Respondents and I request all determinations, findings, and orders specified in the Sunshine Ordinance without delay. Each requested finding and determination is numbered below for your convenience. There is no mootness provision in the Sunshine Ordinance; even if the Respondents eventually turn over all public records without requiring an order, I would still like all written determinations that records are public and that Respondents violated the law.

1. Respondents violated SFAC 67.21(b) — The request was made via e-mail to SFPD on July 2, 2019. The initial responses were sent July 15, 2019. They were due July 12, 2019. Email trail is in Exhibit A.

2. Respondents violated SFAC 67.21(k), incorporating Gov Code 6253(c) — As of July 26 (24 days, with all extensions, after July 2), Respondents failed to "determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the agency and shall promptly notify the person making the request of the determination and the reasons therefor." It is unclear whether as of filing this complaint Respondents have completed this process, although they may have provided the determination finally on Sept. 3.

3. Respondents violated SFAC 67.21(l) and/or Gov Code 6253.9(a); and must disclose the .eml or .msg, or original email records — The request asks for the records in their original format, or to use .eml or .msg format. .eml or .msg formats are "easily generated" via simple file export by major brand email systems. Furthermore, Respondents were warned that, if contrary to our request, they would use PDFs, they should use searchable or text PDFs instead of image PDFs. Respondents do appear to have used searchable or text PDFs, but did not provide .eml, .msg, or the original format.

4. Respondents violated SFAC 67.21(k), incorporating Gov Code 6253(b); and must disclose exact (redacted) copies — Disclosed email records lack the original color, hyperlinks, images, metadata, email addresses, and other parts of records. In addition, at least in the case of Cmdr Daryl Fong, the actual emails requested were not disclosed. Instead Fong's emails were forwarded first and those forwarded emails were disclosed instead. Forwarding an email creates a new email record, with completely different headers and metadata. I want Fong's original emails, like many of the other custodians (partially) disclosed.

5. Respondents violated SFAC 67.26; and must justify all redactions with footnote or clear reference — General redaction justifications are insufficient. They must be made with particularity pointing out which redactions match to which justifications.

6. Respondents violated SFAC 67.27; and must justify its failure to provide original formats or .eml or .msg — No justification was provided.

7. Respondents violated SFAC 67.26 and 67.27; and must provide metadata, which are public parts of records, and/or justify its failure to provide metadata in general — No justification was provided. Respondents failed to even indicate that the metadata had in fact been withheld.

8. Respondents violated SFAC 67.26 and 67.27; and must provide city employee email addresses in the messages, which are public parts of records — Email addresses in the

From/To/Cc/Bcc were withheld in at least the emails of Sutton, Tiffany (POL), Stevenson, David (POL), McEachern, Greg (POL), Francisco Da Costa, and others. No justification was provided. Respondents failed to indicate that the email addresses had in fact been withheld.

9. **Respondents violated SFAC 67.26 and 67.27; and must provide hyperlinks in the messages and attachments, which are public parts of records** — Hyperlinks were withheld in at least the emails of Sutton, Tiffany (POL), McEachern, Greg (POL), and others and in the attachment of Chief Scott's "Implementation Memo - Executive Directive to Support People of All Gender Identities" and others. No justification was provided. Respondents failed to indicate that the hyperlinks had in fact been withheld. By printing some messages and scanning them, the hyperlinks have been withheld.

10. **Respondents violated SFAC 67.21(k), incorporating CPRA, as interpreted judicially in *City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)*; and must provide all records on personal accounts/devices that are "about the conduct of public business," which are public records** — Sgt. Andraychak requested custodians turn over only a subset of all records deemed public under *City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)*. Andraychak told custodians: "If you do not use your personal email and/or mobile phone for work purposes, you would not have any responsive documents for items 2 and 3 below. " and "IF you do not use any of these accounts for work related purposes, please reply to that effect." That is not what the precedent requires; instead the Supreme Court held "when a city employee uses a personal account to communicate *about the conduct of public business*, the writings may be subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act (CPRA or Act)." (emphasis mine) Communications *about the conduct of public business* is a wider universe of records than what Andraychak requested. Some custodians may not use their accounts for "work purposes" or "work related purposes" but may have still have communications "about the conduct of public business." The custodians must be instructed to search for all such records, and provide a determination of whether or not such records exist, whether or not they are exempt. For example, a custodian may not personally use their phone for work purposes, but a coworker may still send to their personal phone a text message about the conduct of public business. Such recipient must still search for and disclose such record.

11. **Respondents violated SFAC 67.26 and 67.27; and must provide names of email headers in the messages, which are public parts of records** — Email header names were withheld in all emails. No justification was provided. Respondents failed to indicate that the header names had in fact been withheld. Withholding header names is analogous to withholding the *name* of a form field "Social security number" instead of just redacting the SSN itself.

12. **Respondents violated SFAC 67.26 and 67.27; and must provide values of email headers in the messages, which are public parts of records** — Email header values were withheld in all emails. No justification was provided. Respondents failed to indicate that the header values had in fact been withheld. I ask that you determine one or more of the following headers are public parts of records and order their disclosure:

(1) Age
(2) Alternate-Recipient
(3) Alternates
(4) ARC-Authentication-Results

(5) ARC-Message-Signature
(6) ARC-Seal
(7) Authentication-Results
(8) Autoforwarded
(9) Auto-Submitted
(10) Autosubmitted
(11) Bcc
(12) Body
(13) CalDAV-Timezones
(14) Cc
(15) Comments
(16) Content-Description
(17) Content-Duration
(18) Content-Encoding
(19) Content-Disposition
(20) Content-Language
(21) Content-MD5
(22) Content-Type
(23) Date
(24) Date-Received
(25) Deferred-Delivery
(26) Delivery-Date
(27) Disclose-Recipients
(28) Distribution
(29) DKIM-Signature
(30) Encoding
(31) ETag
(32) Expires
(33) Followup-To
(34) Forwarded
(35) From
(36) Generate-Delivery-Report
(37) Host
(38) Importance
(39) In-Reply-To
(40) Keywords
(41) Label
(42) Language
(43) Latest-Delivery-Time
(44) List-Archive
(45) List-Id
(46) List-Owner
(47) Location
(48) Message-ID
(49) Message-Type
(50) MIME-Version
(51) Organization

(52) Original-From
(53) Original-Message-ID
(54) Original-Recipient
(55) Original-Sender
(56) Originator-Return-Address
(57) Priority
(58) Received (make a determination on each of: (a) full IP addresses, (b) (sub)networks, (c) hostnames, and (d) *timestamps of receipt.*)
(59) Received-SPF
(60) References
(61) Reply-By
(62) Reply-To
(63) Resent-Bcc
(64) Resent-Cc
(65) Resent-Date
(66) Resent-From
(67) Resent-Message-ID
(68) Resent-Reply-To
(69) Resent-Sender
(70) Resent-To
(71) Return-Path
(72) Sender
(73) Subject
(74) To
(75) Topic
(76) Xref
(77) Thread-Index
(78) Thread-Topic
(79) X-Envelope-From
(80) X-Envelope-To
(81) Delivered-To
(82) Mailing-List
(83) Accept-Language
(84) X-Originating-Ip (make a determination on: (a) full IP addresses and (b) (sub)network)
(85) X-MS-Has-Attach
(86) X-MS-Exchange-Organization-SCL
(87) X-MS-TNEF-Correlator
(88) X-MS-Exchange-Organization-MessageDirectionality
(89) X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AuthSource
(90) X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AuthAs
(91) X-MS-Exchange-Organization-AuthMechanism
(92) X-MS-Exchange-Organization-Network-Message-Id
(93) X-MS-PublicTrafficType
(94) X-MS-Exchange-Organization-ExpirationStartTime
(95) X-MS-Exchange-Organization-ExpirationStartTimeReason
(96) X-MS-Exchange-Organization-ExpirationInterval
(97) X-MS-Exchange-Organization-ExpirationIntervalReason

(98) X-MS-Office365-Filtering-Correlation-Id
(99) X-MS-Office365-Filtering-HT
(100) X-Microsoft-Antispam
(101) X-MS-TrafficTypeDiagnostic
(102) X-MS-Exchange-PUrlCount
(103) X-LD-Processed
(104) X-MS-Oob-TLC-OOBClassifiers
(105) X-Forefront-Antispam-Report
(106) X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-OriginalArrivalTime
(107) X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-FromEntityHeader
(108) X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-Id
(109) X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-Network-Message-Id
(110) X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-MailboxType
(111) X-MS-Exchange-CrossTenant-UserPrincipalName
(112) X-MS-Exchange-Transport-CrossTenantHeadersStamped
(113) X-MS-Exchange-Transport-EndToEndLatency
(114) X-MS-Exchange-Processed-By-BccFoldering
(115) X-Microsoft-Antispam-Mailbox-Delivery
(116) X-Microsoft-Antispam-Message-Info

Sincerely,

Anonymous

EXHIBIT A

From: Anonymous Person 07/02/2019

Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD) Email

RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit

To Whom It May Concern:

** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **

We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.
Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office. If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10 emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.

We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.
However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.

If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.
If you provide PDFs instead of original email files, only give a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and/or improperly withhold public records that exist on private accounts/devices you may be in violation of SF Admin Code and/or CPRA, and we may challenge your decision at the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Supervisor of Records, judicially, and/or via any other remedies available to us. I currently have pending petitions to the Task Force and Supervisor of Records to correct prior disclosure failures of electronic information from various SF agencies.

You must justify all withholding.

Provide records in a rolling fashion. Do not wait for all records to be available.

Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required free notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose. Please use email to respond.

I look forward to your prompt disclosure.

PART 1 - Email

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails SENT FROM EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails IN THE DRAFT or OUTBOX folder of EACH OFFICIAL government email account of the following. Please remember the special Sunshine exceptions to CPRA draft withholding under SF Admin Code 67.24(a).
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, FROM any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director.

PART 2 - Chat/Messaging

As used below "Conversations" include but are not limited to any metadata records showing that a conversation had taken place but is now deleted (due to expiration for example).
Various types of apps are mentioned below.

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Telegram]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Slack]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Signal]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

F. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [SMS/MMS/text messages]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

G.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

H.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Telegram], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

I.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Slack], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

J.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

K.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Signal], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

L.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 3: all instruments used to inquire of each official as to whether they possess any responsive records above, and all of their responses

Sincerely,
Anonymous

Email-Electronic-Communications-Audit-SFPD.pdf

Download

From: Muckrock Staff 07/12/2019

From: San Francisco Police Department 07/15/2019

Subject: San Francisco Police Public Records Request :: P008260-071519 Email

Dear Anonymous:
Thank you for your interest in public records of the San Francisco Police Department ("SFPD").
The San Francisco Police Department ("SFPD") received your request, dated July 15, 2019 and given the reference number P008260-071519 for tracking purposes.
Record(s) Requested: This is a follow up to a previous request:To Whom It May Concern:I wanted to follow up on the following California Public Records Act request, copied below, and originally submitted on July 2, 2019. Please let me know when I can expect to receive a response.Thanks for your help, and let me know if further clarification is needed.Filed via MuckRock.com E-mail (Preferred): requests@muckrock.com Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.orgIs this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.For mailed responses, please address (see note): MuckRock News DEPT MR 76435 411A Highland Ave

Somerville, MA 02144-2516PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

From: San Francisco Police Department 07/15/2019

Subject: Welcome to the SFPD Portal! Email

Dear Anonymous ,

Thank you for registering with the San Francisco Public Records Portal. Please log in to update any contact or password information and to track the progress of your request.
Login: requests@muckrock.com

If you have never used this system or cannot remember your password you may request a temporary password here: Request Temporary Password (https://SANFRANCISCOPD.mycusthelp.com/webapp/_rs/forgotpassword.aspx)
This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

From: San Francisco Police Department 07/15/2019

Subject: Public Records Request :: P008260-071519 Email

--- Please respond above this line ---

July 15, 2019
Via email requests@muckrock.com

Anonymous

,

RE: Public Records Request, dated July 15, 2019, Reference # P008260-071519

Dear Anonymous:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated July 15, 2019, on July 15, 2019.

You requested, " This is a follow up to a previous request:"

To Whom It May Concern:

I wanted to follow up on the following California Public Records Act request, copied below, and originally submitted on July 2, 2019. Please let me know when I can expect to receive a response.

Thanks for your help, and let me know if further clarification is needed.

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): requests@muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccou

nts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On July 2, 2019:
Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD)
RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit

To Whom It May Concern:

** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **

We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.
Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office. If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10 emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.

We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.
However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.

If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.
If you provide PDFs instead of original email files, only give a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and/or improperly withhold public records that exist on private accounts/devices you may be in violation of SF Admin Code and/or CPRA, and we may challenge your decision at the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Supervisor of Records, judicially, and/or via any other remedies available to us. I currently have pending petitions to the Task Force and Supervisor of Records to correct prior disclosure failures of electronic information from various SF agencies.

You must justify all withholding.

Provide records in a rolling fashion. Do not wait for all records to be available.

Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required free notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose. Please use email to respond.

I look forward to your prompt disclosure.

PART 1 - Email

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails SENT FROM EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails IN THE DRAFT or OUTBOX folder of EACH OFFICIAL government email account of the following. Please remember the special Sunshine exceptions to CPRA draft withholding under SF Admin Code 67.24(a).
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, FROM any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 2 - Chat/Messaging

As used below "Conversations" include but are not limited to any metadata records showing that a conversation had taken place but is now deleted (due to expiration for example).
Various types of apps are mentioned below.

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Telegram]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Slack]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the

Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Signal]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

F. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [SMS/MMS/text messages]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

G.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

H.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Telegram], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not

limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

I.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Slack], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

J.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

K.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL

account(s) of the following person in [Signal], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

L.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 3: all instruments used to inquire of each official as to whether they possess any responsive records above, and all of their responses

Sincerely,
Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): requests@muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAlhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435

411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

Although SFPD has 10 calendar days to respond to your request, we are invoking an additional 14 day extension of time to respond to your request pursuant to Government Code section 6253(c) because of the need to search, collect, review, and consult with another department. Once it has been determined whether or not the information you request is responsive and subject to disclosure we will advise you as soon practicable but no later than August 8, 2019.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 415-837-7395.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Michael Andraychak
Media Relations

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center. (https://u8387795.ct.sendgrid.net/wf/click?upn=Ow1KccipsoIsnXbuEgm-2FN-2B3KuyZ5YHnuXVUop6SBUj6Zl2gPWQsh2hjE0JdlwH66ZMXJDGxIkhWqlkMGRI8K8RdgfchCPn5hbqdWnlrcjvklsbezoDgEiXr0fpETGrLw_RS48PfEuBadMkLlhY2xrl2uthodKUGOQzPwgXQnJV8Phu7-2F4gUOxiqjyEwuJDKFH9hTPdLxRRGK3fw-2B3znbIdO-2F0Hb8Wg-2FP9H72ZfRidoAbCxdHfg5DvCVBDtEcuAEU3gIQ-2B9ALx7Nhuov50VF55TAnrwHg2bBxJX8V1y32EtuadhpqITqUnsBE8uEFyUUfLFbBAfwI0WtuRJ0ZW7Ery0p-2BuatDKpcy-2FfIlzQ1neyXg7NWxQD5Toh6n4Z5VFfaBWPVvifuYznOYP0IeDt3IXY6hLDGeoCujKzuMsRgSHuP5XPiwenwaVbOvG2cllBttc7pSwr6les4H9Lz-2BJ8DliTV72c9khoNHmMkqy9tI-2F7rypOLTA5Lhhb72o8FdXZBN6QEtNXqAbeP9hhVhXOehXWQ-3D-3D)
This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

From: San Francisco Police Department 08/05/2019

Subject: Public Records Request :: P008260-071519 Email

From: San Francisco Police Department 08/05/2019

Subject: Public Records Request :: P008260-071519 Email

From: San Francisco Police Department 08/26/2019

Subject: P008260-071519 Email

Chief Scott inbox.

Some redaction was done in accordance with Cal Constitution Article 1, Privacy and Gov Code 6254(f) open investigation/intelligence/security file information.

Michael Andraychak #457

Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit

San Francisco Police Department

1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94158

(415) 837-7395

Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

7.16.19 Public Schedule Media Advisory

Download

911 Security - US State Drone Laws and Regs in the USA by State 20190630

Download

911 Security_ U.S. State Drone Laws and Regs in the USA by State d

Download

Summer Youth Locations for 7_16_19_Redacted

Download

Suspect ID Needed_ Package Theft _$50.00_Redacted

Download

You are cordially invited to 49ers Training Camp!_Redacted

Download

Other Redacted

Download

QUESTS~1

Download

re Location for Lunch mtg 7-16-19_

Download

Request to have your staff travel with officials to Mexico City b

Download

There are too many files to display on this communication. See all files

From: San Francisco Police Department 08/29/2019

Subject: Chief Scott Outbox Messages Email

Some redaction was done in accordance with

6254(c) – Personnel, personal, medical information

6254(f) – law enforcement sensitive, investigatory

I continue to work on your request.

Some text messages have also been uploaded to the GovQA portal as they are smaller file sizes.

Michael Andraychak #457

Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit

San Francisco Police Department

1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94158

(415) 837-7395

Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

POL_Scott_PS Transmittal Letter

Download

POL_Yee (Administration)_Cont Matrix of Findings and Recommendations

Download

FAQ ED 2-19-2019

Download

Continuity Final Report

Download

Pedestrian Safety Final Report
Download

POL_Sanchez (Forensic Services)_Cont Matrix of Findings and Recommendations
Download

POL_Sanchez (Forensic Services)_Cont Transmittal Letter
Download

POL_Scott_Cont Matrix of Findings and Recommendations
Download

POL_Scott_Cont Transmittal Letter
Download

POL_Scott_PS Matrix of Findings and Recommendations
Download

There are too many files to display on this communication. See all files

From: San Francisco Police Department 08/29/2019

Subject: Chief Scott Email

Hello,

Chief Scott had not "draft/outbox" emails.

Michael Andraychak #457

Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit

San Francisco Police Department

1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94158

(415) 837-7395

Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

From: San Francisco Police Department 08/31/2019

Subject: P008260-071519 Email

Attached are emails for Commander Operations/Metro Division, Darryl Fong.

Some redaction were done in accordance with Cal Const., Article 1, Privacy.

6254 (f) GC, Open Investigations, Records of Intelligence, Security Procedures.

Michael Andraychak #457

Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit

San Francisco Police Department

1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94158

(415) 837-7395

Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

Cdr Fong GG Div inbox1_Redacted

Download

Cdr Fong GG Div sent out1_Redacted

Download

From: San Francisco Police Department 08/31/2019

Subject: Re: P008260-071519 Email

There were no draft files.

Michael Andraychak #457

Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit

San Francisco Police Department

1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94158

(415) 837-7395

Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

From: San Francisco Police Department **08/31/2019**

Subject: P008620-071519 Email

Attached are inbox/outbox emails from Dir of Crime Strategies. There were no drafts.

Some redaction was done (personal emails, cell phone or direct phone numbers) other redaction was done in accordance with 6254(f) GC open investigation.

Michael Andraychak #457

Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit

San Francisco Police Department

1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94158

(415) 837-7395

Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

Dir Crime Strategies Suton in and out Redacted

Download

From: San Francisco Police Department **08/31/2019**

Subject: P008620-071519 Email

Attached are emails from Dir. Communications David Stevenson.

There were two emails in the draft folder.

Some redaction was done in accordance with Cal Const., Article 1, Privacy.

Michael Andraychak #457

Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit

San Francisco Police Department

1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94158

(415) 837-7395

Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun


AP Style Tips_Redacted
Download


VoiceMessage
Download


Boys and girls club - Stevenson, David (POL)
Download


Re_ Subject_ Media inquiry for Commander David Lazar - Stevenson, David (POL)_Redacted
Download


Fw_ Subject_ Media inquiry for Commander David Lazar - Stevenson, David (POL)_Redacted
Download


Fw_ 26.3 - Stevenson, David (POL)_Redacted
Download


Message from David Stevenson (+14158377242) - Stevenson, David (POL)_Redacted
Download


Fw_ 26.3 - Stevenson, David (POL)_Redacted
Download


Re_ Lilly is reunited with her family - Stevenson, David (POL)_Redacted

Download

Re_ DOJ Recs - Stevenson, David (POL)_Redacted

Download

There are too many files to display on this communication. See all files

From: San Francisco Police Department 08/31/2019

Subject: P008620-071519 Email

Attached are emails from DC of Investigations McEeachern.
Some redaction was done (private email, cell phones, desk extensions) under Cal Const., Art 1, Privacy and others under 6254(f) Open Investigations.

There are no draft emails.

Michael Andraychak #457

Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit

San Francisco Police Department

1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94158

(415) 837-7395

Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

DC INVESTIGATE MCEACH INBOX1_Redacted

Download

DC INVESTIGATE MCEACH OUTBOX EMA1_Redacted

Download

From: Anonymous Person 09/01/2019

Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519 Email

** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **

What is the status of this request? Have all the City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017) searches of personal property been conducted?

From: San Francisco Police Department 09/03/2019

Subject: Re: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519 Email

Hello,

We have identified no responsive records from personal devices or accounts.

We are processing the request and have sent numerous emails containing responsive records from SFGOV email accounts. Also, sms/text messages from Department cell phones are being uploaded to the GovQA records portal.

Michael Andraychak #457

Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit

San Francisco Police Department

1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94158

(415) 837-7395

Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

From: San Francisco Police Department 09/03/2019

Subject: Public Records Request :: P008260-071519 Email

--- Please respond above this line ---

September 03, 2019
Via email requests@muckrock.com

Anonymous

,

RE: Public Records Request, dated July 15, 2019, Reference # P008260-071519

Dear Anonymous:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated July 15, 2019, on September 03, 2019.

You requested, " This is a follow up to a previous request:"

To Whom It May Concern:

I wanted to follow up on the following California Public Records Act request, copied below, and originally submitted on July 2, 2019. Please let me know when I can expect to receive a response.

Thanks for your help, and let me know if further clarification is needed.

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): requests@muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAlhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On July 2, 2019:
Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD)
RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit

To Whom It May Concern:

** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **

We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.
Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office. If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10 emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.

We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.

However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.

If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.
If you provide PDFs instead of original email files, only give a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and/or improperly withhold public records that exist on private accounts/devices you may be in violation of SF Admin Code and/or CPRA, and we may challenge your decision at the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Supervisor of Records, judicially, and/or via any other remedies available to us. I currently have pending petitions to the Task Force and Supervisor of Records to correct prior disclosure failures of electronic information from various SF agencies.

You must justify all withholding.

Provide records in a rolling fashion. Do not wait for all records to be available.

Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required free notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose. Please use email to respond.

I look forward to your prompt disclosure.

PART 1 - Email

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails SENT FROM EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails IN THE DRAFT or OUTBOX folder of EACH OFFICIAL government email account of the following. Please remember the special Sunshine exceptions to CPRA draft withholding under SF Admin Code 67.24(a).
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, FROM any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 2 - Chat/Messaging

As used below "Conversations" include but are not limited to any metadata records showing that a conversation had taken place but is now deleted (due to expiration for example).
Various types of apps are mentioned below.

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Telegram]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Slack]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Signal]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

F. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [SMS/MMS/text messages]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

G.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger], solely to the extent that such

conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

H.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Telegram], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

I.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Slack], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

J.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

K.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Signal], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

L.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 3: all instruments used to inquire of each official as to whether they possess any responsive records above, and all of their responses

Sincerely,
Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): requests@muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAlhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

We have identified no responsive records from personal devices or accounts.
We are processing the request and have sent numerous emails containing responsive records from SFGOV email accounts. Also, sms/text messages from Department cell phones are being uploaded to the GovQA records portal.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Michael Andraychak
Media Relations
415-837-7395
To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center. (https://u8387795.ct.sendgrid.net/wf/click?upn=Ow1KccipsoIsnXbuEgm-2FN-2B3KuyZ5YHnuXVUop6SBUj6Zl2gPWQsh2hjE0JdlwH66ZMXJDGxIkhWqlkMGRI8K8RdgfchCPn5hbqdWnlrcjvklsbezoDgEiXr0fpETGrLw_RS48PfEuBadMkLIhY2xrl2uthodKUGOQzPwgXQnJV8Phu7-2F4gUOxiqjyEwuJDKFH9hTPdLxRRGK3fw-2B3znbldN6xXkfLOu-2FAGM3m8EkzF3hUbvUKQp9n-2FuWYT2JLhewp6p1TryqRq4-2BoyXthw-2BqomVNQVDLElkw20sSPSikowCZucvnpqh8rAxUwcOCVWQO22rQy-2FHs3qAMWTJPPeHPyAlpZ7UxY7l2iruBS893tuw5scTecYQiusolMzZJLLHfM2Nnu5OlOVX-2BFKM22sqyl8IR3nSHXwh9EqV5OB5-2BQ1xrz34fC-2B4KChAvv985tlcXGC7g13rqNHUJUNPOLYNx2Q9b-2BDL3DZMA4Zco4FA8oav7GagVLUXEmc-2FgHSIbHZhKL15JgTpoSLanP1F-2FhlCLR3g-3D-3D)
This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

From: Anonymous Person 09/03/2019

Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519 Email

Acknowledged, thank you.

From: Anonymous Person 09/12/2019

Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519 Email

** Please redact your responses correctly! This is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including emails, attachments, file shares, and the disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Once you send them to us, there's no going back. **

SFPD,

Is your response for 76435 (your #P008260-071519) complete? I would like the final determination of whether or not responsive documents exist (regardless of whether they were exempt) for each request. I would also like all of your redaction & withholding justifications. Remember SFAC 67.26 requires: "Information that is exempt from disclosure shall be masked, deleted or otherwise segregated in order that the nonexempt portion of a requested record may be released, and **keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the appropriate justification** for withholding required by section 67.27 of this article." (emphasis mine) -- you need to be clear which justification is for every redaction and withholding.

Finally I would like the full set of disclosed documents sent by email please.

Sincerely,

Anonymous

From: Anonymous Person 09/12/2019

Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519 Email

** Please redact your responses correctly! This is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including emails, attachments, file shares, and the disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Once you send them to us, there's no going back. **

Sgt. Andraychak is I believe OOF on Fridays. Sending to mailing list.

SFPD,

Is your response for 76435 (your #P008260-071519) complete? I would like the final determination of whether or not responsive documents exist (regardless of whether they were exempt) for each request. I would also like all of your redaction & withholding justifications. Remember SFAC 67.26 requires: "Information that is exempt from disclosure shall be masked, deleted or otherwise segregated in order that the nonexempt portion of a requested record may be released, and **keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the appropriate justification** for withholding required by section 67.27 of this article." (emphasis mine) -- you need to be clear which justification is for every redaction and withholding.

Finally I would like the full set of disclosed documents sent by email please.

Sincerely,

Anonymous

Leger, Cheryl (BOS)

From: 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2019 4:14 PM
To: SOTF, (BOS)
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519

San Francisco Police Department
PRA Office
1245 3rd Street
SF, CA 94158

September 17, 2019

This is a follow up to request number P008260-071519:

Good afternoon SOTF,

Has the Sept. 13, 2019 complaint sent from this email address been captioned and sent to the respondents?
There has been additional movement on the requests that I'd like to document but I'm not sure if there is a file number.

Thanks!
Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly:
https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsotf%252540sfgov.org&url_auth_token=AAAIhq1N7pZGJZ_sdaJuP5M4fME%3A1iAMfe%3AjGT9w_QGZPfOioBKNN3TSKszcQc
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On Sept. 13, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
Supervisor of Records,

Since I sent the initial SFPD petition from this email, I have received responsive text messages as well.
Attached is an amendment adding more requests to our earlier petition, or alternatively, an additional petition (depending on how you wish to handle it), for the information withheld in those text records. Regardless, the requests are in addition to, and do not replace this morning's petition.

Thanks,
Anonymous

On Sept. 13, 2019:
Subject: Re: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
Please see the attached redacted Text Messages. Due to the volume and required redactions, you will received additional emails on a rolling basis for the other requested information.
Regards,
Officer Robert Rueca

On Sept. 13, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
** Please redact your responses correctly! This is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including emails, attachments, file shares, and the disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Once you send them to us, there's no going back. **

Thank you Officer Rueca. Please note I requested a statement regarding the existence or non-existence of each of the records I requested (per SFAC 67.21(c)).

On Sept. 13, 2019:
Subject: Re: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
Attached are Text Messages per your request. More emails are to come to fulfill your request on a rolling basis due to the volume and required redaction.

Officer Robert Rueca

On Sept. 13, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
Supervisor of Records,

Attached is a new 67.21(d) petition against SFPD (dated 9/12, but sent 9/13).

Thanks,
Anonymous

On July 2, 2019:
Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD)
RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit

To Whom It May Concern:

** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **

We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.
Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office. If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10 emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.

We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best. However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.

If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.
If you provide PDFs instead of original email files, only give a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and/or improperly withhold public records that exist on private accounts/devices you may be in violation of SF Admin Code and/or CPRA, and we may challenge your decision at the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Supervisor of Records, judicially, and/or via any other remedies available to us. I currently have pending petitions to the Task Force and Supervisor of Records to correct prior disclosure failures of electronic information from various SF agencies.

You must justify all withholding.

Provide records in a rolling fashion. Do not wait for all records to be available.

Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required free notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose. Please use email to respond.

I look forward to your prompt disclosure.

PART 1 - Email

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails SENT FROM EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails IN THE DRAFT or OUTBOX folder of EACH OFFICIAL government email account of the following. Please remember the special Sunshine exceptions to CPRA draft withholding under SF Admin Code 67.24(a).
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, FROM any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 2 - Chat/Messaging

As used below "Conversations" include but are not limited to any metadata records showing that a conversation had taken place but is now deleted (due to expiration for example).
Various types of apps are mentioned below.

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Telegram]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Slack]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Signal]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

F. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [SMS/MMS/text messages]:
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

G.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

H.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Telegram], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

I.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Slack], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

J.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

K.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Signal], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

L.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 3: all instruments used to inquire of each official as to whether they possess any responsive records above, and all of their responses

Sincerely,
Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly:
https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsotf%252540sfgov.org&url_auth_token=AAAIhq1N7pZGJZ_sdaJuP5M4fME%3A1iAMfe%3AjGT9w_QGZPfOioBKNN3TSKszcQc
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the

requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com (Anonymous requestor)
Please use email only. I am an anonymous user of MuckRock.com, not a MuckRock representative.

Supervisor of Records and Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
City Hall, Rooms 234 and 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
San Francisco CA 94102
supervisor.records@sfcityatty.org; sotf@sfgov.org
sent via email

Your ref.	Our ref.	Date
SFPD P008260-071519	#76435	2019-09-13

RE: SF Sunshine Ordinance petition against SFPD, ref 76435/P008260-071519

To the Supervisor of Records and Sunshine Ordinance Task Force:

NOTE: Every response you send or provide (including all responsive records) may be automatically and immediately visible to the general public on the MuckRock.com web service used to issue this request. (I am not a representative of MuckRock)

This amends my petition/complaint on Sept. 13 vs SFPD. If you do not accept amendments, please treat this as a new petition/complaint, which is in addition to, and does not replace, my initial letter.

Since my initial letter, Respondents (Officer Robert Rueca) have provided responsive text messages (Exhibit A). They were provided as tab-separated text files with certain metadata, and I converted them to PDF to include as an exhibit.

Each additional requested finding and determination is numbered below for your convenience.

13. Respondents violated SFAC 67.26 and 67.27; and must provide the identity of the sender and recipient of each text message — While much of the metadata was provided, sender or recipient identities were withheld in all emails. No justification was provided. Respondents failed to indicate that the identities had in fact been withheld. I believe all the records are from official cell phones, and so there is no privacy issue. Even if official cell phone numbers may be exempt, the text message records would indicate the name of who sent or received each message. Metadata would also indicate whether each message was sent by the custodian, or received by the custodian, regardless of whether the identity is shown.

14. Respondents violated SFAC 67.26; and must justify all text message redactions with footnote or clear reference — While some of the redactions cite law, others just say ex. "XXXX".

Sincerely,

Anonymous

EXHIBIT A

ThreadId MessageId Date (UTC) Network Message Type AttachmentCount Body
2861740466 6454166155 7/12/19 21:06 AT&T Message 0 """S: SOB Alert - Media Interest - 3RD & WILLIAMS Current Time: 14:06:32 Notification Status: New SFPD - Incident Type: Media Interest SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. C -- Bayview Station SFPD - Incident Location: 3RD & WILLIAMS SFPD - CAD Number: 191932064 SFPD - Case Number: 190504584 Date of the Incident: 07-12-2019 at 13:37:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: VEHICLE VS MUNI LRV. 2 COMPLAINTS OF PAIN FROM OCCUPANTS INSIDE VEHICLE, 1 BEING A CHILD, POSSIBLY (6 YR OLD) WITH BROKEN ANKLE. NO PASSANGERS ON LRV OTHER THAN OPERATOR. Additional Information: Investigative Unit(s) Notified: BAYVIEW Invest. Unit(s) Responding: BAYVIEW BOS - Supervisorial District: District 10 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Nancie Stockwell To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""
2861740466 6454280791 7/12/19 21:57 AT&T Message 0 """S: SOB Alert - Media Interest - 3RD & WILLIAMS Current Time: 14:57:06 UPDATED -Notification Status: Update SFPD - Incident Type: Media Interest SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. C -- Bayview Station SFPD - Incident Location: 3RD & WILLIAMS SFPD - CAD Number: 191932064 SFPD - Case Number: 190504584 Date of the Incident: 07-12-2019 at 13:37:00 UPDATED -SFPD - Preliminary Info:: VEHICLE VS MUNI LRV. 2 COMPLAINTS OF PAIN FROM OCCUPANTS INSIDE VEHICLE, 1 BEING A CHILD, POSSIBLY (6 YR OLD) WITH BROKEN ANKLE. UPDATED -Additional Information: MUNI NOTIFIED INSPECTOR RESPONDING. 3-PARTIES ALL PASSENGERS INSIDE VEH, TRANSPORTED TO SFGH, DRIVER, ADULT MALE COMPLAINT OF PAIN TO BACK, 10 YEARS OLD PASSENGER COMPLAINT OF ANKLE PAIN, 5 YEAR OLD PASSENGER TRANSPORTED FOR MEDICAL EVALUATION. PRELIMINARY REPORTS OF NO PASSANGERS ON LRV-INCORRECT. SEVERAL PARTIES NOW REPORTING THEY WERE ONBOARD MUNI AT TIME OF COLLISION. OFFICERS CONTINUING INVESTIGATION Investigative Unit(s) Notified: BAYVIEW Invest. Unit(s) Responding: BAYVIEW BOS - Supervisorial District: District 10 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Nancie Stockwell To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""
2861740466 6454380136 7/12/19 22:26 AT&T Message 0 """S: Media Interest - 3RD & WILLIAMS Current Time: 15:26:19 Notification Status: Update SFPD - Incident Type: Media Interest SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. C -- Bayview Station SFPD - Incident Location: 3RD & WILLIAMS SFPD - CAD Number: 191932064 SFPD - Case Number: 190504584 Date of the Incident: 07-12-2019 at 13:37:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: VEHICLE VS MUNI LRV. 2 COMPLAINTS OF PAIN FROM OCCUPANTS INSIDE

VEHICLE, 1 BEING A CHILD, POSSIBLY (6 YR OLD) WITH BROKEN ANKLE. Additional Information: MUNI NOTIFIED INSPECTOR RESPONDING. 3-PARTIES ALL PASSENGERS INSIDE VEH, TRANSPORTED TO SFGH, DRIVER, ADULT MALE COMPLAINT OF PAIN TO BACK, 10 YEARS OLD PASSENGER COMPLAINT OF ANKLE PAIN, 5 YEAR OLD PASSENGER TRANSPORTED FOR MEDICAL EVALUATION. PRELIMINARY REPORTS OF NO PASSANGERS ON LRV-INCORRECT. SEVERAL PARTIES NOW REPORTING THEY WERE ONBOARD MUNI AT TIME OF COLLISION. OFFICERS CONTINUING INVESTIGATION Investigative Unit(s) Notified: BAYVIEW Invest. Unit(s) Responding: BAYVIEW BOS - Supervisorial District: District 10 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: UPDATED - Message Sender: Sgt. Michael Wibunsin To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""

2861740466 6454484440 7/12/19 22:53 AT&T Message 0

"""S: Media Interest - 630 Sansome St Current Time: 15:52:51 Notification Status: New SFPD - Incident Type: Media Interest SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. A -- Central Station SFPD - Incident Location: 630 Sansome St SFPD - CAD Number: 191932282 SFPD - Case Number: 000000000 Date of the Incident: 07-12-2019 at 14:30:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: Per Cad and radio traffic, approx. 60-80 people taking the entire street, blocking NB traffic on Sansome St and 400 block of Washington St. Refused to stay on sidewalk. One protester arrested by Federal Agents. Muni rerouting. Additional Information: Investigative Unit(s) Notified: N/A Invest. Unit(s) Responding: N/A BOS - Supervisorial District: District 8 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Sgt. Michael Wibunsin To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""

2861740466 6455075842 7/13/19 0:20 AT&T Message 0

"""S: Media Interest - 630 Sansome St Current Time: 17:20:01 UPDATED -Notification Status: Close SFPD - Incident Type: Media Interest SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. A -- Central Station SFPD - Incident Location: 630 Sansome St SFPD - CAD Number: 191932282 SFPD - Case Number: 000000000 Date of the Incident: 07-12-2019 at 14:30:00 UPDATED -SFPD - Preliminary Info:: Update: 400 has ended. No other incident besides one protester cited and released by federal agent. Per Cad and radio traffic, approx. 60-80 people taking the entire street, blocking NB traffic on Sansome St and 400 block of Washington St. Refused to stay on sidewalk. One protester arrested by Federal Agents. Muni rerouting. Additional Information: Investigative Unit(s) Notified: N/A Invest. Unit(s) Responding: N/A UPDATED -BOS - Supervisorial District: District 3 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Sgt. Michael Wibunsin To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES

to confirm receipt."""
2861740466 6457446849 7/14/19 9:22 AT&T Message 0
"""S: SOB Alert - Traffic Collision w/Critical Injuries or Fatal - LINCOLN WAY & 7TH AVE Current Time: 02:22:04 Notification Status: New SFPD - Incident Type: Traffic Collision w/Critical Injuries or Fatal SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: Traffic Collision w/Critical injuries or Fatal SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. F -- Park Station SFPD - Incident Location: LINCOLN WAY & 7TH AVE SFPD - CAD Number: 191950155 SFPD - Case Number: 190508702 Date of the Incident: 07-14-2019 at 01:07:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: PEDESTRIAN RAN ACROSS STREET, DRIVER/RP ACCIDENTLY HIT PED. PED SUFFERED TBI, CRITICAL CONDITION. Additional Information: Investigative Unit(s) Notified: TCIU Invest. Unit(s) Responding: TBD BOS - Supervisorial District: N/A SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Edward Wong To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""
2861740466 6457591753 7/14/19 11:29 AT&T Message 0
"""S: SOB Alert - Well Being Check - Multiple Overdose Victims - 240 COLUMBUS AVE Current Time: 04:29:24 Notification Status: New SFPD - Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. A -- Central Station SFPD - Incident Location: 240 COLUMBUS AVE SFPD - CAD Number: 191950469 SFPD - Case Number: 190509001 Date of the Incident: 07-14-2019 at 03:59:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: 3 MALES FOUND UNCONSCIOUS, POSSIBLE FENTANYL OVERDOSE. SFFD MEDICS ON SCENE. Additional Information: Investigative Unit(s) Notified: TBD Invest. Unit(s) Responding: TBD BOS - Supervisorial District: N/A SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Edward Wong To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""
2861740466 6457610788 7/14/19 11:49 AT&T Message 0
"""S: SOB Alert - Well Being Check - Multiple Overdose Victims - 240 COLUMBUS AVE Current Time: 04:49:08 UPDATED -Notification Status: Close SFPD - Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. A -- Central Station SFPD - Incident Location: 240 COLUMBUS AVE SFPD - CAD Number: 191950469 SFPD - Case Number: 190509001 Date of the Incident: 07-14-2019 at 03:59:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: 3 MALES FOUND UNCONSCIOUS, POSSIBLE FENTANYL OVERDOSE. SFFD MEDICS ON SCENE. UPDATED - Additional Information: 2 VICTIMS REVIVED ON SCENE. 1 VICTIM REVIVED AT HOSPITAL. Investigative Unit(s) Notified: TBD Invest. Unit(s) Responding: TBD BOS - Supervisorial District: N/A SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Edward Wong To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""
2861740466 6457635184 7/14/19 12:43 AT&T Message 0
"""S: SOB Alert - Traffic Collision w/Critical Injuries or

Fatal - LINCOLN WAY & 7TH AVE Current Time: 05:43:35 UPDATED -Notification Status: Close SFPD - Incident Type: Traffic Collision w/Critical Injuries or Fatal SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: Traffic Collision w/Critical injuries or Fatal SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. F -- Park Station SFPD - Incident Location: LINCOLN WAY & 7TH AVE SFPD - CAD Number: 191950155 SFPD - Case Number: 190508702 Date of the Incident: 07-14-2019 at 01:07:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: PEDESTRIAN RAN ACROSS STREET, DRIVER/RP ACCIDENTLY HIT PED. PED SUFFERED TBI, CRITICAL CONDITION. UPDATED -Additional Information: LAST UPDATE FROM OFFICER, PED IN STABLE CONDITION. Investigative Unit(s) Notified: TCIU Invest. Unit(s) Responding: TBD BOS - Supervisorial District: N/A SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Edward Wong To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""

2861740466 6459932705 7/15/19 22:45 AT&T Message 0

"""S: SOB Alert - Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:45:43 Notification Status: New SFPD - Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. B -- Southern Station SFPD - Incident Location: Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) SFPD - CAD Number: 191962631 SFPD - Case Number: TBD Date of the Incident: 07-15-2019 at 15:42:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: Per Dispatch, Suspicious package taped to Giants Dugout Store door.REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION/ RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE . Southern Units enroute. Additional Information: Investigative Unit(s) Notified: 3B13D Invest. Unit(s) Responding: 3B13D BOS - Supervisorial District: N/A SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Andres Abarca To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""

2861740466 6459938092 7/15/19 22:54 AT&T Message 0

"""S: Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:53:58 UPDATED -Notification Status: Update SFPD - Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. B -- Southern Station SFPD - Incident Location: Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) SFPD - CAD Number: 191962631 SFPD - Case Number: TBD Date of the Incident: 07-15-2019 at 15:42:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: Per Dispatch, Suspicious package taped to Giants Dugout Store door. REDACTED 6254(F) OPEN INV/RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE . Southern Units enroute. UPDATED -Additional Information: No merit to suspicious package. REDACTED 6254(F) OPEN INV. Investigative Unit(s) Notified: 3B13D Invest. Unit(s) Responding: 3B13D UPDATED -BOS - Supervisorial District: District 6 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach:

Message Sender: Andres Abarca To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2855834247	6459701350	7/15/19 20:24	AT&T	Message	0	"""I have a 130. Come up after to touch base?"""
2855834247	6459701483	7/15/19 20:26	AT&T	Message	0	"""I have a 2:00. I am free after that """
2855834247	6459701427	7/15/19 20:26	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy"""
2855834247	6459701425	7/15/19 20:26	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy"""
2855834247	6459883599	7/15/19 21:51	AT&T	Message	0	"""Free?"""
2855834247	6459883587	7/15/19 21:52	AT&T	Message	0	"""I will be in about 15"""
2855834247	6459883541	7/15/19 21:52	AT&T	Message	0	"""I will be in about 15"""
2855834247	6459883597	7/15/19 21:52	AT&T	Message	0	"""I'll head up then"""
2859164482	6459883591	7/15/19 21:52	AT&T	Message	0	"""Confirmed, no one from 1421 group has gotten any priority list"""
2859164482	6459883596	7/15/19 21:52	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy"""
2863535659	6459937980	7/15/19 22:54	AT&T	Message	0	"""S: Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:53:58 UPDATED -Notification Status: Update SFPD - Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. B -- Southern Station SFPD - Incident Location: Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) SFPD - CAD Number: 191962631 SFPD - Case Number: TBD Date of the Incident: 07-15-2019 at 15:42:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: Per Dispatch, Suspicious package taped to Giants Dugout Store door. REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INV/ RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE . Southern Units enroute. UPDATED -Additional Information: No merit to suspicious package REDACTED 6254(F) OPEN INV. Investigative Unit(s) Notified: 3B13D Invest. Unit(s) Responding: 3B13D UPDATED -BOS - Supervisorial District: District 6 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Andres Abarca To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""

ThreadId MessageId Date (UTC) Network Message Type AttachmentCount Body

2852166274 6459938065 7/15/19 22:54 AT&T Message 0
"""S: Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:53:58 UPDATED -Notification Status: Update SFPD - Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. B -- Southern Station SFPD - Incident Location: Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) SFPD - CAD Number: 191962631 SFPD - Case Number: TBD Date of the Incident: 07-15-2019 at 15:42:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: Per Dispatch, Suspicious package taped to Giants Dugout Store door. Redacted 6254 (f) Open Investigation / Intelligence Record. Southern Units enroute. UPDATED -Additional Information: No merit to suspicious package. Redacted 6254(f) Open Inv. Investigative Unit(s) Notified: 3B13D Invest. Unit(s) Responding: 3B13D UPDATED -BOS - Supervisorial District: District 6 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Andres Abarca To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""

2852166274 6459938066 7/15/19 22:55 AT&T Message 0
"""Yes"""

2856949792 6460000211 7/15/19 23:21 AT&T Message 0
"""Hey Mike SID will reach out to you tomorrow morning to get a signature for an XXXX for a XXXX Redacted 6254(f) Security Plan/ Procdure. "

2856949792 6460012145 7/15/19 23:25 AT&T Message 0
"""Copy. """

2869432929 6459893440 7/15/19 22:10 AT&T Message 0
"""I came by your office just to say hi cuz I figured nobody visits you but you were not there"""

2869432929 6459893431 7/15/19 22:10 AT&T Message 0
"""Nothing at all I just came by to BS with you cuz you're one of the few normal people left in the whole Police Department"""

2869432929 6459893435 7/15/19 22:13 AT&T Message 0
"""Thanks appreciate it sorry I missed you!!!! I feel the same!!"""

2869432929 6459903845 7/15/19 22:26 AT&T Message 0
"""I just had a meeting in the building and was dropping by"""

2924046992 6459033602 7/15/19 16:12 AT&T Message 0
"""Hi Commander I know you're at the range right now there was an email sent asking if you can attend the 2 o'clock meeting today in place of Dc McEachern which is the By-weekly meeting with the ACs DC's executive director and SDU. It's in room 5175 at Phq """

2924046992 6459033645 7/15/19 16:13 AT&T Message 0
"""Yes I'll be going to that I confirm with them"""

2924046992 6459036668 7/15/19 16:19 AT&T Message 0
"""Copy Commander And I printed out Dc calendar for the next two weeks and put it in your inbox"""

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2854159641	6460442330	7/16/19 3:39	AT&T	Message	0	"""All reports, today's and the missing one from the 8th 98 to you via Lt Hamilton's email. The reports from 7/1 was sent to Commander Fong. We can send that to you as well. Thanks for your patience """
2854159641	6460455449	7/16/19 3:55	AT&T	Message	0	"""Thank you Joe I only need the one for this week. Thanks"""
2854159641	6460482821	7/16/19 3:58	AT&T	Message	0	"""The July 1st was supposed to go to Commander Fong so that was good. Thank you"""
2854159641	6460482775	7/16/19 3:58	AT&T	Message	0	"""👍"""
2854279642	6458849973	7/15/19 14:49	AT&T	Message	0	"""Good morning Ann. I believe you are back today As a reminder we have the Command staff qualification at the airport range this morning at 0900 Hope you had a great vacation"""
2854279642	6458849995	7/15/19 14:49	AT&T	Message	0	"""Good morning Ann. I believe you are back today As a reminder we have the Command staff qualification at the airport range this morning at 0900 Hope you had a great vacation"""
2854279642	6459785358	7/15/19 21:21	AT&T	Message	0	"""In t bFrom Delgandio: Just FYI protest in front of Amazon. 525 Market St. about 100 people. Peaceful. Spoke with the organizer, no plans to march. Just plan on being here for the lunch hour then dispersing. """
2854279642	6460144474	7/15/19 23:55	AT&T	Message	0	"""No merit o. The suspicious package at the Giants dugout. It was a REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION AND/OR RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE"
2854279642	6460144461	7/15/19 23:55	AT&T	Message	0	"""No merit o. The suspicious package at the Giants dugout. It was a REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION AND/OR RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE"
2854279642	6460283092	7/16/19 1:42	AT&T	Message	1	
2854279642	6460283102	7/16/19 1:42	AT&T	Message	0	"""This is the note Lt Morales first said it was nothing. I asked about the note and he sent me this, it appears to be an 800 but they will do a report and make notifications """

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2854114307	6459506228	7/15/19 19:29	AT&T	Message	0	"""Chief... FYI ONLY.. I'm leaving at 2:30pm for a DMV appointment in Daly City. I'm applying for that REAL ID as my CDL expires on Aug 1, 2019.👍"""
2854114307	6459600652	7/15/19 19:49	AT&T	Message	0	"""Got it. Hopefully you won't be waiting to long. """
2854114307	6459988492	7/15/19 23:18	AT&T	Message	0	"""I'm finally done with applying for my REAL ID.. sooo crazy here at the Daly City DMV!!! See you tomorrow Chief!!😸😸"""
2854114307	6459988497	7/15/19 23:18	AT&T	Message	0	"""I'm finally done with applying for my REAL ID.. sooo crazy here at the Daly City DMV!!! See you tomorrow Chief!!😸😸"""
2855989828	6459496351	7/15/19 19:24	AT&T	Message	0	"""I saw your target and knew we were in trouble. Good shooting! But beware, I'm on your heals."""
2855989828	6459506242	7/15/19 19:29	AT&T	Message	0	"""LOL, thank you. With my eye sight these days the distance shooting is a challenge. """
2855989828	6459507264	7/15/19 19:31	AT&T	Message	0	"""Obviously a challenge you overcome."""
2856065950	6459006418	7/15/19 15:47	AT&T	Message	0	"""FYI I went to the Lake by mistake. Going to the Airport now. I may be late """
2863928440	6459938034	7/15/19 22:54	AT&T	Message	0	"""S: Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:53:58 UPDATED -Notification Status: Update REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION AND/OR RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE"
2876610504	6460172256	7/16/19 0:22	AT&T	Message	0	"""Chief tomorrow we will be assisting Concord PD in serving an arrest and search warrant on a REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION AND/OR RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE"
2876610504	6460172258	7/16/19 0:22	AT&T	Message	0	"""Chief tomorrow we will be assisting Concord PD in serving an arrest and search warrant on a REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION AND/OR RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE"
2876610504	6460172257	7/16/19 0:23	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy"""
2876610504	6460174676	7/16/19 0:24	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy. Thanks"""

ThreadId MessageId Date (UTC) Network Message Type AttachmentCount Body

2854279636 6459938018 7/15/19 22:54 AT&T Message 0
"""S: Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:53:58 UPDATED -Notification Status: Update SFPD - Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. B -- Southern Station SFPD - Incident Location: Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) SFPD - CAD Number: 191962631 SFPD - Case Number: TBD Date of the Incident: 07-15-2019 at 15:42:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: Per Dispatch, Suspicious package REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INV/RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE . Southern Units enroute. UPDATED -Additional Information: No merit to suspicious package. REDACTED 6254(F) OPEN INV Investigative Unit(s) Notified: 3B13D Invest. Unit(s) Responding: 3B13D UPDATED -BOS - Supervisorial District: District 6 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Andres Abarca
To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email.
Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""

2854279636 6460039910 7/15/19 23:26 AT&T Message 0
"""Yes"""

2858154687 6459226537 7/15/19 17:33 AT&T Message 0
"""Morning Cmdr. When you can, please talk to Bernadette about the pilot program at Bayview. She's starting to ask questions.... thank you!"""

2858154687 6459226575 7/15/19 17:36 AT&T Message 0
"""Sorry to bother you about this. She just seems a bit concerned why she didn't know about it. I'm trying to keep things neutral."""

2858154687 6459274341 7/15/19 17:49 AT&T Message 0
"""Will do"""

2858154687 6459274458 7/15/19 17:50 AT&T Message 0
"""Thank you! I'm being short and brief with my answers and told her that you will reach out to her."""

2866170423 6459699361 7/15/19 20:22 AT&T Message 0
"""Cmdr. The hot water heater Is down at central we have a crew there working on it now. We are working on getting a ETA on when hot water will be restored"""

2866170423 6459765204 7/15/19 21:00 AT&T Message 0
"""Thank you """

2866170423 6459765232 7/15/19 21:01 AT&T Message 0
"""ETA is Thursday. The crew ran into 20ft of asbestos insulation that needs to be abated before moving forward with the water heater replacement."""

2866170423 6459767802 7/15/19 21:02 AT&T Message 0
"""Ok. All measures being taken to mitigate exposure to asbestos? """

2866170423 6459777752 7/15/19 21:08 AT&T Message 0
"""Yes the crews earliest availability is Wednesday to abate

and Thursday to install"""
2866170423 6459777775 7/15/19 21:13 AT&T Message 0
"""Thank you, please ensure Captain Yick at Central is informed of situation a d advised of repair schedule. """
2866170423 6459777768 7/15/19 21:13 AT&T Message 0
"""Thank you, please ensure Captain Yick at Central is informed of situation a d advised of repair schedule. """

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2856529870	6457996423	7/14/19 23:41	AT&T	Message	0	"""Daryl have you heard from your Captains regarding the status of the foot race or the AIDS walk?"""
2856529870	6457996439	7/14/19 23:44	AT&T	Message	0	"""No I can check in with them. """
2856529870	6458004937	7/14/19 23:53	AT&T	Message	0	"""No reporter issues per A/Captain Pera. """
2856529870	6458004953	7/14/19 23:54	AT&T	Message	0	"""Reported"""
2856529870	6458009435	7/14/19 23:56	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copythanks"""
2863079479	6459771456	7/15/19 21:04	AT&T	Message	0	"""Funeral service in Bayview over. Repass in Taraval green as attendees just setting up"""
2863079479	6460232690	7/16/19 0:42	AT&T	Message	0	"""Chief. Funeral Repass is over. Code 4"""
2863079479	6460273452	7/16/19 1:39	AT&T	Message	0	"""Thx"""
2914991675	6458004945	7/14/19 23:50	AT&T	Message	0	"""No problems reported to the station. Unit will respond to area and check it out. I will update you once I hear back from them."""
2914991675	6458004943	7/14/19 23:51	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy thanks """
2914991675	6458025459	7/15/19 0:30	AT&T	Message	0	"""No problems....GGP looks good. Numbers are the same as any other Sunday. """

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	AttachmentCount	Body
2919077961	6456354285	7/13/19 20:00	AT&T	0	"""I have preliminary information that XXXXXX may have expired on a Jet Blue flight. Still waiting on confirmation"""
2919077961	6456400728	7/13/19 20:36	AT&T	0	"""Who is that?"""
2919077961	6456400736	7/13/19 20:36	AT&T	0	"""Who is that?"""
2919077961	6456659351	7/14/19 0:18	AT&T	0	"""Retired member. He worked in the old investigations bureau as the Deputy Chief assistant"""
2924079672	6458769437	7/15/19 14:34	AT&T	0	"""Good morning Commander. I am SP today. Lt. Daniels is the LWLP captain. Thank you. """
2924079672	6458769379	7/15/19 14:34	AT&T	0	"""Good morning Commander. I am SP today. Lt. Daniels is the LWLP captain. Thank you. """
2924079672	6459325782	7/15/19 18:24	AT&T	0	"""Copy """
2932418178	6456745704	7/14/19 0:33	AT&T	0	"""Be advised that retired member XXXX passed away on a Jet Blue flight while enroute to SFO. NFI """
2932418178	6456800399	7/14/19 0:40	AT&T	0	"""Copy thank you """
2932418178	6456839512	7/14/19 0:47	AT&T	0	"""Copy, thanks for letting us know. """

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2855989828	6459496351	7/15/19 19:24	AT&T	Message	0	"""I saw your target and knew we were in trouble. Good shooting! But beware, I'm on your heals."""
2855989828	6459506242	7/15/19 19:29	AT&T	Message	0	"""LOL, thank you. With my eye sight these days the distance shooting is a challenge. """
2855989828	6459507264	7/15/19 19:31	AT&T	Message	0	"""Obviously a challenge you overcome."""
2860564412	6456800420	7/14/19 0:39	AT&T	Message	0	"""Be advised that retired member REDACTED PERSONNEL / PRIVACY passed away on a Jet Blue flight while enroute to SFO. NFI """
2860564412	6456873221	7/14/19 0:50	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy thanks Chief."""
2863582357	6459938056	7/15/19 22:54	AT&T	Message	0	"""S: Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:53:58 UPDATED -Notification Status: Update SFPD - Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. B -- Southern Station SFPD - Incident Location: Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) SFPD - CAD Number: 191962631 SFPD - Case Number: TBD Date of the Incident: 07-15-2019 at 15:42:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: Per Dispatch, Suspicious package REDACTED 6254(F) GC OPEN INVESTIGATION/ RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE. Southern Units enroute. UPDATED - Additional Information: No merit to suspicious packageREDACTED 6254(F) OPEN IVNESTIGATION RECORD OF INTELLLIGENCE. Investigative Unit(s) Notified: 3B13D Invest. Unit(s) Responding: 3B13D UPDATED -BOS - Supervisorial District: District 6 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Andres Abarca To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""
2911489198	6456681714	7/14/19 0:20	AT&T	Message	0	"""I have preliminary information that REDACTED PERSONNEL MATTER / PRIVACY died on a Jet Blue flight. He is a retired member."""
2911489198	6456681656	7/14/19 0:21	AT&T	Message	0	"""I know XXXX well. Thx. Please let me know when confirmed. """
2911489198	6456681722	7/14/19 0:26	AT&T	Message	0	"""Leo Capovilla just confirmed the info"""
2911489198	6456681724	7/14/19 0:26	AT&T	Message	0	"""Thanks"""
2932418178	6456745704	7/14/19 0:33	AT&T	Message	0	"""Be advised that retired member REDACTED PRIVACY / PERSONNEL passed away on a Jet Blue flight while enroute to SFO. NFI """
2932418178	6456800399	7/14/19 0:40	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy thank you """

2932418178	6456839512	7/14/19 0:47	AT&T	Message	0
"""Copy, thanks for letting us know. """					
2932418178	6456839497	7/14/19 0:50	AT&T	Message	0
"""Copy. Thanks"""					
2932418178	6457017721	7/14/19 1:20	AT&T	Message	0
"""Copy. Thanks for the heads up """					
2932418178	6457228367	7/14/19 5:53	AT&T	Message	0
"""Thanks Mikail"""					

ThreadId MessageId Date (UTC) Network Message Type AttachmentCount Body
2854987823 6459932706 7/15/19 22:45 AT&T Message 0
"""" SFPD - Incident Location: Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) SFPD - CAD Number: 191962631 SFPD - Case Number: TBD Date of the Incident:"""
2854987823 6459932707 7/15/19 22:45 AT&T Message 0
"""S: SOB Alert - Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:45:43 Notification Status: New SFPD - Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. B -- Southern Station SFPD - Incident Location: Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) SFPD - CAD Number: 191962631 SFPD - Case Number: TBD Date of the Incident: 07-15-2019 at 15:42:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: Per Dispatch, Suspicious package taped to Giants Dugout Store door. REDACTED 6254 (F) OPEN INV / RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE . Southern Units enroute. Additional Information: Investigative Unit(s) Notified: 3B13D Invest. Unit(s) Responding: 3B13D BOS - Supervisorial District: N/A SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Andres Abarca To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""
2854987823 6459932629 7/15/19 22:45 AT&T Message 0
"""il. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""
2854987823 6459938043 7/15/19 22:54 AT&T Message 0
"""S: Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:53:58 UPDATED -Notification Status: Update SFPD - Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. B -- Southern Station SFPD - Incident Location: Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) SFPD - CAD Number: 191962631 SFPD - Case Number: TBD Date of the Incident: 07-15-2019 at 15:42:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: Per Dispatch, Suspicious package taped to Giants Dugout Store door. REDACTED 6254(f) OPEN INVESTIGATION RECORD OF INTELLIGENCE . Southern Units enroute. UPDATED -Additional Information: No merit to suspicious package. REDACTED 6254 (f) GC OPEN INV Investigative Unit(s) Notified: 3B13D Invest. Unit(s) Responding: 3B13D UPDATED -BOS - Supervisorial District: District 6 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Andres Abarca To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email. Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""
2854987823 6459937992 7/15/19 22:55 AT&T Message 0
"""Yes """
2856248370 6460199084 7/16/19 0:28 AT&T Message 0
"""Help! I need DOJ contact"""
2856248370 6460199214 7/16/19 0:28 AT&T Message 0
"""Please"""
2856248370 6460199212 7/16/19 0:29 AT&T Message 0

"""I do not have one but I can talk to our person tommorow morning. Mannix sign off on your events stuff ? I told her you and Mary need it done. """

2856248370 6460199082 7/16/19 0:31 AT&T Message 0

"""I have heard nothing. Can you ask her pretty please. """

2856248370 6460199104 7/16/19 0:31 AT&T Message 0

"""  """

2932461277 6459047479 7/15/19 16:24 AT&T Message 0

"""We are all 98 to airport range"""

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2867998123	6385755057	6/20/19 17:07	AT&T	Message	0	"""Good luck today. """
2867998123	6386723262	6/20/19 21:16	AT&T	Message	0	"""Still waiting! This is crazy town!!"""
2867998123	6405632561	6/26/19 15:30	AT&T	Message	0	"""My EA Maria Garcia sent you the SOB surveillance lists the day before due date 1. Did you get it ? """
2867998123	6406352901	6/26/19 18:38	AT&T	Message	0	"""Yes I just got both email and internal mail"""
2867998123	6406352927	6/26/19 18:38	AT&T	Message	0	"""Yes I just got both email and internal mail"""
2867998123	6406373018	6/26/19 18:42	AT&T	Message	0	"""Thanks """
2915616505	6402169016	6/25/19 21:50	AT&T	Message	0	"""Hey, I am told by the station Captain that Sup Brown plans to complain to Mayor about police staffing in her district. Have you heard anything? """
2915616505	6402221028	6/25/19 22:03	AT&T	Message	0	"""Kind of? Went I went in to harang them about her comments in committee, shakirah mentioned that they feel like they keep pulling foot beat officers out if the area, pushing Homeless persons from downtown to D5. Mostly they feel like resources get pulled away from where they should be. There's also that video of the car bumping a bicyclist; remski said they called the station / captain and didn't get a good response but that was yesterday and it was remski only"""
2915616505	6402756961	6/25/19 23:52	AT&T	Message	0	"""I am going to double check to see if we have pulled officers -- as I thi k we have added,"""
2915616505	6406379382	6/26/19 18:43	AT&T	Message	0	"""We are in with Sean I'll call you soon"""
2927540203	6386207724	6/20/19 19:03	AT&T	Message	0	"""Chris Corgas, OEWD"""

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	AttachmentCount	Body
2919077961	6456354285	7/13/19 20:00	AT&T	0	"""I have preliminary information that XXXXXX may have expired on a Jet Blue flight. Still waiting on confirmation"""
2919077961	6456400728	7/13/19 20:36	AT&T	0	"""Who is that?"""
2919077961	6456400736	7/13/19 20:36	AT&T	0	"""Who is that?"""
2919077961	6456659351	7/14/19 0:18	AT&T	0	"""Retired member. He worked in the old investigations bureau as the Deputy Chief assistant"""
2924079672	6458769437	7/15/19 14:34	AT&T	0	"""Good morning Commander. I am SP today. Lt. Daniels is the LWLP captain. Thank you. """
2924079672	6458769379	7/15/19 14:34	AT&T	0	"""Good morning Commander. I am SP today. Lt. Daniels is the LWLP captain. Thank you. """
2924079672	6459325782	7/15/19 18:24	AT&T	0	"""Copy """
2932418178	6456745704	7/14/19 0:33	AT&T	0	"""Be advised that retired member XXXX passed away on a Jet Blue flight while enroute to SFO. NFI """
2932418178	6456800399	7/14/19 0:40	AT&T	0	"""Copy thank you """
2932418178	6456839512	7/14/19 0:47	AT&T	0	"""Copy, thanks for letting us know. """

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2854944637	6459404047	7/15/19 18:54	AT&T	Message	0	"""No need to send - you sent to me for our staffing analysis inputs. """
2854944637	6459408411	7/15/19 18:54	AT&T	Message	0	"""Ok no problem. Just sent anyway """
2854944637	6459408426	7/15/19 18:55	AT&T	Message	0	"""Thanks! Again!!"""
2854944637	6459408562	7/15/19 18:55	AT&T	Message	0	"""Thanks! Again!!"""
2858515257	6454020503	7/12/19 20:17	AT&T	Message	0	"""I made a mistake on Chief's calendar today. Can you come at 3 pm instead? I don't know how I missed his meeting with public Defender at 2 pm. """
2858515257	6454288820	7/12/19 22:01	AT&T	Message	0	"""He is ready"""
2858515257	6454313910	7/12/19 22:06	AT&T	Message	0	"""Coming sorry!"""
2862319549	6450057249	7/11/19 16:43	AT&T	Message	0	"""Have you begun?"""
2862319549	6450057198	7/11/19 16:44	AT&T	Message	0	"""Im in but it said pleass wait for your conference to begin """
2862319549	6454003594	7/12/19 20:08	AT&T	Message	0	"""Hi, commander. I asked Capt Ford to reach out to you to see how you did not receive the reschedule notice. """

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2864391120	6349197514	6/12/19 18:00	AT&T	Message	0	"""In with the chief. Let's check in this afternoon - 1?"""
2864391120	6349200912	6/12/19 18:01	AT&T	Message	0	"""Ok"""
2864391120	6349200911	6/12/19 18:02	AT&T	Message	0	"""Ok"""
2864391120	6410485574	6/27/19 16:22	AT&T	Message	0	"""Good morning. We are in the fiscal conference room"""
2875592848	6400885984	6/25/19 15:44	AT&T	Message	0	"""Shall I get you a coffee?"""
2875592848	6410733201	6/27/19 17:06	AT&T	Message	0	"""Are you coming?"""
2928758987	6401782811	6/25/19 19:55	AT&T	Message	0	"""Hi, all! This is catherine. I'm texting in case you don't see your email - we are cancelling today's CRI weekly meeting. Sorry for the late notice and see you next week. """
2928758987	6401783467	6/25/19 19:59	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy that Catherine"""
2928758987	6401881260	6/25/19 20:45	AT&T	Message	0	""" 👍"""
2928758987	6402091658	6/25/19 21:07	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy thanks """
2928758987	6402117676	6/25/19 21:24	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy"""

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2856241819	6446498028	7/10/19 17:24	AT&T	Message	0	"""just got off phone w David stevenson he had questions about saek numbers that are being presented tonight specifically if there were any issues. I stated there were none that I was aware of but that I can only speak to processing of evidence. he seemed concerned that we report numbers from late December in this round. I tried to make it as simple as possible for him that he should really focus on the 120 days nunber not being exceeded but I am not confident he accepts that"""
2856241819	6446499601	7/10/19 17:27	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy. I'll talk to him about it. I'm going to present tonight and I have no issue with the report and the difference. """
2856241819	6446502332	7/10/19 17:27	AT&T	Message	0	"""copy that, you still want me there correct??"""
2856241819	6446502334	7/10/19 17:28	AT&T	Message	0	"""Yes. You don't get off that easy but I admire you for trying. """
2856241819	6446529010	7/10/19 17:38	AT&T	Message	0	"""lol, c'mon I already cancelled beer night tonight w some of my buds. """
2856241819	6447101146	7/10/19 20:59	AT&T	Message	0	"""just attempted to see Sgt Kisel, GFD. I will leave him a voice mail as soon as I get back to 606 """
2856241819	6447217591	7/10/19 21:32	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy"""
2856241819	6447280922	7/10/19 21:54	AT&T	Message	0	"""kiesel out til monday, spoke to Penny Si who said she and Kiesel spoke about the situation today. they are trying to determine if this is going to be a wc claim. they are awaiting a Dr note from REDACTED PERSONNEL RECORD 832.7 PC innorder to make the properr determination. penny is sending an email out to myself and Sgt Kiesel to memorialize what is happening and to prepare me for having to potentially fill out the form 439 or dp packet."""
2856241819	6447370194	7/10/19 22:38	AT&T	Message	0	""" Copy. Thx"""
2856241819	6450664791	7/11/19 20:28	AT&T	Message	0	"""just had a DNA crim resign. fortunately we had interviews scheduled for next week on another open req in DNA so the hope is we can get another off that list. still takes at least 6 months to get them up to speed on our process and be of any use to productivity. Down 3 currently with a new hire scheduled starting the 22nd and an additional member on leave until Jan 20. I still am expecting DNA tat """
2856241819	6450664694	7/11/19 20:28	AT&T	Message	0	"""Chief sorry did we have a meeting at 1300? """

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	Sender	Recipients	AttachmentCount	Body
2915551318	6458931628	7/15/19 15:13	AT&T	Message	14152405828	14159646146	0	"""Good morning tiffany. Word for the day and our prayer. Lord, following your way is not an easy job. Give me the wisdom to stay on your path. Amen John """
2919042693	6458786132	7/15/19 14:36	AT&T	Message	14157996405	14159646146	0	"""1130 still good today?"""
2919042693	6458786165	7/15/19 14:38	AT&T	Message	14159646146	14157996405	0	"""GM- Yes I will come to public safety building """
2919042693	6458817346	7/15/19 14:41	AT&T	Message	14157996405	14159646146	0	"""Yes good morning....Sounds good. See you then."""
2919042693	6459325771	7/15/19 18:24	AT&T	Message	14159646146	14157996405	0	"""Running a few minutes late, but on my way """
2919042693	6459326004	7/15/19 18:24	AT&T	Message	14157996405	14159646146	0	"""Ok...."""
2932644037	6459750492	7/15/19 20:47	AT&T	Message	14152355343	14159646146	0	"""Hi!"""
2932644037	6459763803	7/15/19 20:56	AT&T	Message	14152355343	14159646146	0	"""It's Melissa- my email address is melissa_dawson@cand.uscourts.gov- looking forward to catching up soon!"""
2932644037	6459763806	7/15/19 20:56	AT&T	Message	14159646146	14152355343	0	"""So good seeing u today """
2932644037	6459763764	7/15/19 20:57	AT&T	Message	14152355343	14159646146	0	"""😊"""

ThreadId MessageId Date (UTC) Network Message Type AttachmentCount Body

2855612343 6459892216 7/15/19 22:05 AT&T Message 0
"""Is Steve Ford the NOBLE selection. """

2855612343 6459972382 7/15/19 23:10 AT&T Message 0
"""No. Its wil williams. Nora has the letters. Chaplin also going with mea funds. """

2864402462 6459938029 7/15/19 22:54 AT&T Message 0
"""S: Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:53:58 UPDATED -Notification Status: Update SFPD - Incident Type: Other (See Description Below) SFPD - Secondary Incident Type: SFPD - District of Occurrence: Co. B -- Southern Station SFPD - Incident Location: Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) SFPD - CAD Number: 191962631 SFPD - Case Number: TBD Date of the Incident: 07-15-2019 at 15:42:00 SFPD - Preliminary Info:: Per Dispatch, REDACTED 6254 (F) GC. Open invesigation / intelligence. . Southern Units enroute. UPDATED -Additional Information: No merit to suspicious package. Redacted 6254(f) GC. Investigative Unit(s) Notified: 3B13D Invest. Unit(s) Responding: 3B13D UPDATED -BOS - Supervisorial District: District 6 SFPD - Command Post Location: SFPD - Safe Avenue of Approach: Message Sender: Andres Abarca
To view any attachments or photos, please, check your email.
Reply with YES to confirm receipt."""

2932398769 6458912556 7/15/19 15:07 AT&T Message 0
"""FYi- Chief Scott needs to be back for a 10:45 last minute Appt. Can he be in the first group to shoot? """

2932398769 6458912529 7/15/19 15:07 AT&T Message 0
"""FYi- Chief Scott needs to be back for a 10:45 last minute Appt. Can he be in the first group to shoot? """

2932398769 6458925081 7/15/19 15:07 AT&T Message 0
"""You got it"""

2932398769 6458925042 7/15/19 15:08 AT&T Message 0
"""Thank you Cap. """

2932679038 6460229335 7/16/19 0:35 AT&T Message 0
"""Id like to pick up some frozen treats from trader joes. Just checking with you to make sure im not doubling up on an item you have already. """

2932679038 6460229412 7/16/19 0:35 AT&T Message 0
"""👍"""

2932679038 6460229381 7/16/19 0:36 AT&T Message 0
"""Copy. Ill get some. """

2932679039 6460229356 7/16/19 0:38 AT&T Message 0
"""Hi Thao. If you have a car. Could you give me a lift to trader joes to pick up some frozen treats for tonight. Greg"""

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2854310135	6459036680	7/15/19 16:17	AT&T	Message	0	"""Vaswani said there's no one at the Airport Range. Are you guys some place else first? Let me know, thanks."""
2854310135	6459036573	7/15/19 16:17	AT&T	Message	0	"""Vaswani said there's no one at the Airport Range. Are you guys some place else first? Let me know, thanks."""
2854310135	6459036557	7/15/19 16:17	AT&T	Message	0	"""So that I can let him know."""
2854310135	6459036575	7/15/19 16:19	AT&T	Message	0	"""We are at the Coast Guard training station for a pre brief. We will be going to the Range in a few minutes """
2854310135	6459036591	7/15/19 16:19	AT&T	Message	0	"""Okay I'll let him know, thanks."""
2854310135	6459333206	7/15/19 18:28	AT&T	Message	0	"""Chief.. you have an email from Janine Oliker. I'll wait for you to review. Then advise on direction if you'd like for me to forward it to Ben, Alicia, and Jonathan Y for review. Thanks..."""
2854310135	6459333217	7/15/19 18:28	AT&T	Message	0	"""Chief.. you have an email from Janine Oliker. I'll wait for you to review. Then advise on direction if you'd like for me to forward it to Ben, Alicia, and Jonathan Y for review. Thanks..."""
2854310135	6459506233	7/15/19 19:28	AT&T	Message	0	"""Reminder.... I'm off at 2:30pm for DMV appointment """
2854310135	6459506235	7/15/19 19:29	AT&T	Message	0	"""Copy. David can come by now """
2854310135	6459506240	7/15/19 19:30	AT&T	Message	0	"""Okily dokily """
2854310135	6460156767	7/16/19 0:07	AT&T	Message	0	"""It was a zoo at DMV...😷😷😷"""

ThreadId MessageId Date (UTC) Network Message Type AttachmentCount Body

2854279642 6458849973 7/15/19 14:49 AT&T Message 0
"""Good morning Ann. I believe you are back today As a reminder we have the Command staff qualification at the airport range this morning at 0900 Hope you had a great vacation"""

2854279642 6458849995 7/15/19 14:49 AT&T Message 0
"""Good morning Ann. I believe you are back today As a reminder we have the Command staff qualification at the airport range this morning at 0900 Hope you had a great vacation"""

2854279642 6459785358 7/15/19 21:21 AT&T Message 0
"""In t bFrom Delgandio: Just FYI protest in front of Amazon. 525 Market St. about 100 people. Peaceful. Spoke with the organizer, no plans to march. Just plan on being here for the lunch hour then dispersing. """

2854279642 6460144474 7/15/19 23:55 AT&T Message 0
"""No merit o. The suspicious package at the Giants dugout. It was xxxxxxxx"""

2854279642 6460144461 7/15/19 23:55 AT&T Message 0
"""No merit o. The suspicious package at the Giants dugout. It was a xxxxxx"""

2854279642 6460283092 7/16/19 1:42 AT&T Message 1

2854279642 6460283102 7/16/19 1:42 AT&T Message 0
"""This is the note Lt Morales first said it was nothing. I asked about the note and he sent me this, it appears to be an 800 but they will do a report and make notifications """

2854279642 6460283097 7/16/19 1:43 AT&T Message 0
"""This is the note that was left on the building in the Southern. It has a REDACTED 6254(f) GC Open Investigation and or record of intelligence. A report is being written as well as a notification in email which I will forward to you when I receive"""

2854279642 6460340262 7/16/19 2:21 AT&T Message 0
"""Are you still traveling?"""

2854279642 6460340233 7/16/19 2:21 AT&T Message 0
"""Are you still traveling?"""

2863079479 6459771456 7/15/19 21:04 AT&T Message 0
"""Funeral service in Bayview over. Repass in Taraval green as attendees just setting up"""

2863079479 6460232690 7/16/19 0:42 AT&T Message 0
"""Chief. Funeral Repass is over. Code 4"""

2863079479 6460273452 7/16/19 1:39 AT&T Message 0
"""Thx"""

2864402445 6459932669 7/15/19 22:45 AT&T Message 0
"""S: SOB Alert - Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry St) Current Time: 15:45:43 Notification Status: New REDACTED 6254(f) GC Open Investigation and or record of intelligence. "

2864402445 6459938049 7/15/19 22:54 AT&T Message 0
"""S: Other (Suspicious Package) - Oracle Park (3rd St/Berry

St) Current Time: 15:53:58 UPDATED -Notification Status:
Update REDACTED 6254(f) GC Open Investigation and or record of
intelligence. "
2904921409 6459887946 7/15/19 22:00 AT&T Message 0
"""I am walking over to Gus'. Do u want anything?"""
2904921409 6460144497 7/15/19 23:56 AT&T Message 0
"""No, but thanks"""
2931914026 6458270431 7/15/19 7:37 AT&T Message 0
"""Who is Blake Loeb?"""
2931914026 6458270433 7/15/19 7:37 AT&T Message 0
"""Who is Blake Loeb?"""

ThreadId	MessageId	Date (UTC)	Network	Message Type	AttachmentCount	Body
2854336805	6452222401	7/12/19 6:07	AT&T	Message	0	"""I'm trying to get my wife out of there. Helping her look for flights right now. """
2854336805	6452222393	7/12/19 6:07	AT&T	Message	0	"""I'm trying to get my wife out of there. Helping her look for flights right now. """
2854336805	6452362545	7/12/19 7:37	AT&T	Message	0	"""Delta's have taken o er Burben street. """
2855706472	6452173799	7/12/19 5:16	AT&T	Message	0	"""The demo at ICE was code four with no issues. I asked Bobby Yick To send officers by the building on ransome A few times tonight and tomorrow morning To determine if any demonstration on Kampmann activity is underway. This was declared by some a week of action against ICE. There is one additional protests scheduled for Friday afternoon We hav a step one squad available in the central."""
2855706472	6452187051	7/12/19 5:38	AT&T	Message	0	"""Great call"""
2855706472	6453342096	7/12/19 15:27	AT&T	Message	0	"""Chief good morning. Yesterday in the mission The group of skate boarders Held what they call a Hill bomb. In years past this has been an organized event. However the organizers do not seek any permits nor communicate with the department regarding this event To give us the opportunity to facilitate There were no incidents connected to the event that were reported to us. No police action was taken. The group size exceeded At least 250 people Including a group that came out of Dolores park a spectator's. I spoke with Gaetano about it. It would have taken likely REDACTED 6254(F) SECURITY FILE To open the roadway and shut down the event."""
2855706472	6453397885	7/12/19 15:27	AT&T	Message	0	"""Chief good morning. Yesterday in the mission The group of skate boarders Held what they call a Hill bomb. In years past this has been an organized event. However the organizers do not seek any permits nor communicate with the department regarding this event To give us the opportunity to facilitate There were no incidents connected to the event that were reported to us. No police action was taken. The group size exceeded At least 250 people Including a group that came out of Dolores park a spectator's. I spoke with Gaetano about it. It would have taken likely two platoons To open the roadway and shut down the event."""
2855706472	6453344231	7/12/19 15:30	AT&T	Message	0	"""There were no issues encampments are other signs of protest established overnight in front of the ICE building on Sansome street """
2858154682	6452148826	7/12/19 4:53	AT&T	Message	0	"""The victim appears to be stable. they are taking his photograph so they can identify him. He is John Doe right now."""

2858154682 6452164475 7/12/19 5:08 AT&T Message 0
"""Copy Dan"""
2858461906
2858461906
2858461906
2858461906
2926297883
2926297883
2926297883
2926297883
2926297883
2926297883
2926297883
2932418178
2932418178
2932418178
2932418178
2932418178
2932418178
2932469818

SOTF File No 19098

Original Request Follows:

This is a follow up to a previous request:

To Whom It May Concern:

I wanted to follow up on the following California Public Records Act request, copied below, and originally submitted on July 2, 2019. Please let me know when I can expect to receive a response.

Thanks for your help, and let me know if further clarification is needed.

Filed via MuckRock.com

E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com

Upload documents directly:
https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAlhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org

Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):

MuckRock News

DEPT MR 76435

411A Highland Ave

Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On July 2, 2019:

Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD)

RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit

To Whom It May Concern:

*** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). ***

We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.

Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office. If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10 emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.

We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.

However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.

If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.

If you provide PDFs instead of original email files, only give a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and/or improperly withhold public records that exist on private accounts/devices you may be in violation of SF Admin Code and/or CPRA, and we may challenge your decision at the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Supervisor of Records, judicially, and/or via any other remedies available to us. I currently have pending petitions to the Task Force and Supervisor of Records to correct prior disclosure failures of electronic information from various SF agencies.

You must justify all withholding.

Provide records in a rolling fashion. Do not wait for all records to be available.

Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required free notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose. Please use email to respond.

I look forward to your prompt disclosure.

PART 1 - Email

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of

1. *Chief of Police*
2. *Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff*
3. *Asst. Chief/Operations*
4. *Deputy Chief/Administration*
5. *Executive Director/Strategic Management*
6. *Deputy Chief/Field Operations*
7. *Deputy Chief/Investigations*
8. *Deputy Chief/Special Operations*
9. *Deputy Chief/Airport*
10. *every Commander*
11. *every Civilian Director*

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails SENT FROM EACH OFFICIAL government email account of

1. *Chief of Police*
2. *Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff*
3. *Asst. Chief/Operations*
4. *Deputy Chief/Administration*
5. *Executive Director/Strategic Management*
6. *Deputy Chief/Field Operations*
7. *Deputy Chief/Investigations*
8. *Deputy Chief/Special Operations*
9. *Deputy Chief/Airport*
10. *every Commander*
11. *every Civilian Director*

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails IN THE DRAFT or OUTBOX folder of EACH OFFICIAL government email account of the following. Please remember the special Sunshine exceptions to CPRA draft withholding under SF Admin Code 67.24(a).

1. *Chief of Police*
2. *Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff*
3. *Asst. Chief/Operations*
4. *Deputy Chief/Administration*
5. *Executive Director/Strategic Management*
6. *Deputy Chief/Field Operations*
7. *Deputy Chief/Investigations*
8. *Deputy Chief/Special Operations*
9. *Deputy Chief/Airport*
10. *every Commander*
11. *every Civilian Director*

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. *Chief of Police*
2. *Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff*
3. *Asst. Chief/Operations*
4. *Deputy Chief/Administration*
5. *Executive Director/Strategic Management*
6. *Deputy Chief/Field Operations*
7. *Deputy Chief/Investigations*
8. *Deputy Chief/Special Operations*
9. *Deputy Chief/Airport*
10. *every Commander*
11. *every Civilian Director*

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, FROM any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. *Chief of Police*
2. *Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff*
3. *Asst. Chief/Operations*
4. *Deputy Chief/Administration*
5. *Executive Director/Strategic Management*
6. *Deputy Chief/Field Operations*
7. *Deputy Chief/Investigations*
8. *Deputy Chief/Special Operations*
9. *Deputy Chief/Airport*
10. *every Commander*
11. *every Civilian Director*

PART 2 - Chat/Messaging

As used below "Conversations" include but are not limited to any metadata records showing that a conversation had taken place but is now deleted (due to expiration for example).

Various types of apps are mentioned below.

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger]:

1. *Chief of Police*
2. *Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff*
3. *Asst. Chief/Operations*
4. *Deputy Chief/Administration*
5. *Executive Director/Strategic Management*
6. *Deputy Chief/Field Operations*

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Telegram]:

1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Slack]:

1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts]:

1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Signal]:

1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

F. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [SMS/MMS/text messages]:

1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

G.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

H.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Telegram], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

I.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Slack], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

J.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

K.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Signal], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

L.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police

2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations

4. Deputy Chief/Administration

5. Executive Director/Strategic Management

6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations

7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations

9. Deputy Chief/Airport

10. every Commander

11. every Civilian Director

PART 3: all instruments used to inquire of each official as to whether they possess any responsive records above, and all of their responses

Sincerely,

Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com

E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com

Upload documents directly:
https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%25252540sfgov.org

Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):

MuckRock News

DEPT MR 76435

411A Highland Ave

Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

Response:

This request, while dated July 2, 2019, was "created" by the SFPD Legal Division on July 15, 2019 and assigned to the SFPD Media Relations Unit for processing. Due to the volume of documents requested from numerous members of the SFPD command staff, the request that documents be provided in electronic format with "metadata," the need to figure out how to obtain electronic versions with "metadata" and the need to review and potentially redact any responsive documents, Sgt. Andraychak filed for an extension on July 15, 2019 (copy of letter to "Anonymous" provided).

Sgt. Andraychak contacted the SFPD IT Unit for assistance in obtaining requested documents in electronic format with metadata. The SFPD IT Unit worked for several weeks to obtain requested documents including metadata (including call to SF City IT) but were not able to produce the documents. On August 1, 2019 Sgt. Andraychak received communication from DCA Alicia Cabrera that included an opinion that documents (including metadata) should not be provided as doing so would, "jeopardize or compromise the security or the original document or its data system."

> "Metadata from any native format has not been provided to avoid risks to the security and integrity of the city's data system and avoid the release of exempt confidential or privileged information. Pursuant to Cal. Gov. Code 6253.9 (f), an agency is not required to provide an electronic record in an electronic format that would jeopardize or compromise the security or integrity of the original record or its data system. The PDF format ensures the security and integrity of the original record."

On August 5, 2019, Sgt. Andraychak sent a letter to "Anonymous" (copy provided) informing Anonymous of this decision to not provide electronic format w/metadata, and updated the status of the request. Since SFPD IT would not be providing electronic copies of the documents, it was necessary to contact the twenty-two members of the SFPD Command Staff to further the request.

This request is extensive and included requests for responsive documents from work cell phones, personal cell phones, work / personal emails (10 messages from every account and from each the inbox, outbox and draft folder if applicable) as well as messages (10) from work / personal messenger services such as Facebook messenger, Slack, etc.

Sgt. Andraychak updated Anonymous that SFPD IT was no longer working to obtain electronic/metadata messages and was focusing on producing SMS-Text messages for each member. Sgt. Andraychak also updated Anonymous as results already obtained on some of Anonymous' inquires, namely Par 1, Items D and E which consisted of electronic chat platforms.

Sgt. Andraychak continued to work on the request weekly and, in addition to working on the request during normal office hours (note Sgt. Andraychak has many duties and responsibilities beyond processing Sunshine / PRAs) also worked on this request during off duty time as

information and documents were coming in from various command staff members at different intervals and the Sergeant was attempting to keep the process moving in order to provide documents on a rolling basis.

On August 22, August 31, September 10, 2019 Sgt. Andraychak uploaded Command Staff text messages as per the request, to the SFPD PRA system (GovQA). These were made available to Anonymous. Messages contained some redaction that were noted directly in / on the response including the relevant code section(s) justifying the redaction(s). These documents will be included with this response to SOTF.

On August 26, 2019 Sgt. Andraychak sent via email to Anonymous responsive documents from Chief Scott's SFGOV inbox. On August 29, 2019 Sgt Andraychak sent via email to Anonymous responsive documents from Chief Scott SFGOV outbox as well as notice that there were no responsive documents in his draft folder. Further, general description of redaction was provided [6254(c) personnel / 6254(f) investigatory files / Cal Constitution Article 1, Privacy].

On August 31, 2019 Sgt. Andraychak sent via email to Anonymous responsive documents from Director Sutton's (Crime Strategies) SFGOV inbox an outbox, there were no drafts and a note explaining that some redaction was done relative to personal email addresses, cell phone numbers (Cal Const, Article 1, Privacy and open investigation under 6254(f) GC).

On August 31, 2019 Sgt. Andraychak sent via email to Anonymous responsive documents from Director Stevenson's (Communications) SFGOV inbox an outbox, there were no drafts and a note explaining that some redaction was done relative to personal email addresses, cell phone numbers (Cal Const, Article 1, Privacy).

On August 31, 2019 Sgt. Andraychak sent via email to Anonymous responsive documents from Commander Mc Eachern (Investigations) SFGOV inbox an outbox, there were no drafts and a note explaining that some redaction was done relative to personal email addresses, cell phone numbers (Cal Const, Article 1) and open investigations (GC 6254(f)).

On August 31, 2019 Sgt. Andraychak sent via email to Anonymous responsive documents from Commander Fong (Operations / Golden Gate) SFGOV inbox an outbox, there were no drafts and a note explaining that some redaction was done relative to personal email addresses, cell phone numbers (Cal Const, Article 1) and open investigations or record of intelligence and security procedures (GC 6254(f)).

On September 3, 2019 Sgt. Andraychak replied to an email from Anonymous and updated Anonymous on the status of the request.

No responsive documents were found on any personal devices or personal accounts (email or otherwise).

We are continuing to process the (extensive) request and had already sent to Anonymous via email (SFGOV) responsive documents from SFGOV email accounts.

SMS/Text messages were uploaded to the PRA system GOVQA and were available to Anonymous.

On September 13, 2019, Anonymous sent an email to Sgt. Andraychak who was on his regular day off. Anonymous expressed via email that Anonymous could not be forced to use SFPD's PRA system and requested documents be emailed to him. Officer Rueca of the SFPD PIO staff sent the documents to Anonymous via standard SFGOV email.

In a letter dated September 12, 2019 at 7:49PM, Anonymous requested that redactions be explained for each item redacted, rather than a general summary/description of redaction. Sgt Andraychak is in the process of reviewing responsive documents already sent to Anonymous and preparing a more detailed explanation of redaction.

Sgt. Andraychak continues to gather emails from various Command Staff members and will prepare responses including itemized details on redactions.

Emails to Anonymous containing responsive documents in PDF form will be sent separately and individually to SOTF due to the voluminous nature of the request and file size of attachments.

Leger, Cheryl (BOS)

From:	Anonymous <arecordsrequestor@protonmail.com>
Sent:	Saturday, October 19, 2019 11:24 PM
To:	SOTF, (BOS)
Subject:	SOTF 19047, 19091, 19097, 19098 - Documentation to add
Attachments:	LOC-EML.pdf; LOC-MSG.pdf

Please enter the attached documents into the following file numbers, along with this transmittal email.

19047, 19091, 19097, 19098

The attached documents are the US Library of Congress's description of .EML and .MSG email file formats.

In addition, please let me know the new divided File # for the email metadata issues split out from 19091, and also add the attached documents to whatever that new file number is.

An acknowledgment would be appreciated.

Thanks,

Anonymous

Sustainability of Digital Formats: Planning for Library of Congress Collections

Email (Electronic Mail Format)

>> Back

Table of Contents

Format Description Properties

- ID: fdd000388
- Short name: EML
- Content categories: text, email
- Format Category: file-format
- Other facets: unitary, binary, structured, symbolic
- Last significant FDD update: 2014-04-01
- Draft status: Full

Identification and description

Full name	Email (Electronic Mail Format)
Description	EML, short for electronic mail or email, is a file extension for an email message saved to a file in the Internet Message Format protocol for electronic mail messages. It is the standard format used by Microsoft Outlook Express as well as some other email programs. Since EML files are created to comply with industry standard RFC 5322, EML files can be used with most email clients, servers and applications. See IMF for a description of the message syntax. EML files typically store each message as a single file (unlike MBOX which concatenates all the messages from a folder into one file), and attachments may either be included as MIME content in the

	message or written off as a separate file, referenced from a marker in the EML file.
Relationship to other formats	
Defined via	IMF, Internet Mail Format

Local use

LC experience or existing holdings	
LC preference	

Sustainability factors

Disclosure	Partially documented through RFC 5322 but documentation about EML specifically is not readily available.
Documentation	There is no known specification that defines EML as a file format to store email messages on a file system although it is commonly considered to be an extension of IMF as defined in RFC 5322.
Adoption	Besides the Microsoft Outlook Express, EML files can be opened using most email clients, such as Microsoft Outlook, Microsoft Entourage, Mozilla Thunderbird, Apple Mail, or IncrediMail. Since EML files are plain text and formatted much like MHT (MIME HTML) files, they can also be opened directly in the Internet Explorer, Mozilla Firefox and Opera, by first changing the file extension from .eml to .mht. It is also possible to view EML files using notepad or any other text editor. Windows 8, however, does not natively support EML in the built in Mail application so an EML Viewer was developed in order to support reading EML files. Prom reports that MBOX and EML have "achieved a certain status as de facto standards because most modern email clients and servers can import and export one or both of the formats" including Thunderbird, Apple Mail, Outlook and Eudora. In addition, external programs such as Aid4Mail, Emailchemy and Xena can convert between the two formats and numerous proprietary formats. Once in an MBOX or EML format, the data can be parsed into XML using standardized schemas. Harvard University Libraries' Electronic Archiving System (EAS) normalizes email messages to EML.
Licensing and patents	None
Transparency	EML files are usually simple text files and can be opened in Notepad or a web browser, either by changing the extension from eml to txt or HTML, or by changing the file association to Notepad.
Self-documentation	See IMF

External dependencies	None
Technical protection considerations	None

Quality and functionality factors

File type signifiers and format identifiers

Tag	Value	Note
Filename extension	eml	
Internet Media Type	message/rfc822	This is the common MIME type for all formats based on RFC 822.
Pronom PUID	See note.	No corresponding PUID because EML is based on IMF
Wikidata Title ID	See note.	See IMF

Notes

General	
History	

Format specifications

- See IMF

Useful references

URLs

- PRONOM entry for fmt/278 (http://nationalarchives.gov.uk/PRONOM/fmt/278). Information in PRONOM from the UK National Archives about Internet Message Format which defines EML. PUID: fmt/278
- Internet mail message header format (http://cr.yp.to/immhf.html). Describes format of an Internet mail message header
- Archivematica Email Preservation (https://wiki.archivematica.org/Email_preservation). Brief formats descriptions including EML
- Wikipedia Email (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Email). Includes discussion of many email formats including EML
- EML file extension - Email message file (http://www.file-extensions.org/eml-file-extension).

- Preserving Email: DPC Technology Watch report 2011 by Christopher J. Prom (http://dx.doi.org/10.7207/twr11-01). Excellent coverage of issues with preserving email.

Last Updated: 06/06/2018

Digital Preservation Home | Digital Formats Home

Sustainability of Digital Formats: Planning for Library of Congress Collections

Microsoft Outlook Item (MSG)

>> Back

Table of Contents

Format Description Properties

- ID: fdd000379
- Short name: MSG
- Content categories: text, email
- Format Category: file-format
- Other facets: unitary, binary, structured, symbolic
- Last significant FDD update: 2014-03-28
- Draft status: Full

Identification and description

Full name	Microsoft Outlook Item (MSG)
Description	The Outlook Item MSG (.msg) file format is a syntax for storing a single Message object, such as an email, an appointment, a contact, a task, and so on, in a file. Any properties that are present on the Message object, including Attachment objects, are also present in the MSG file. MSG is based on the CFB_3 format which implements a simplified file system through a hierarchical collection of storage objects and stream objects which behave as directories and files, respectively within a single file. Message files contain objects which contain properties and collections of properties. For all intents and purposes,

	objects are represented by storages and properties are represented and reside in streams. MSG specifies five storage elements, each representing one major component of the Message object and a number of streams are contained within those storages, each stream representing a property (or a set of properties) of that component. The storages are: • Recipient object storage • Attachment object storage • Embedded Message object storage • Custom attachment storage • Named property mapping storage The numbers and types of storages and streams present in a MSG file depend on the type of Message object, the number of Recipient objects and Attachment objects it has, and other properties. Properties define attributes of the object like the sender email, whether a read receipt was requested by the sender, whether this message was auto forwarded, an attachment's filename, etc. String properties in MSG must be either Unicode or non-Unicode. The .msg File Format does not allow the presence of both simultaneously.
Production phase	MSG files provide a mechanism for the storage of an email message, an appointment, a contact, or a task within a file system.
Relationship to other formats	
Defined via	CFB_3, Compound File Binary File Format, Version 3
Defined via	CFB_4, Compound File Binary File Format, Version 4

Local use [i]

LC experience or existing holdings	
LC preference	

Sustainability factors [i]

Disclosure	Fully documented. Proprietary file format developed by Microsoft.
Documentation	[MS-OXMSG]: Outlook Item (.msg) File Format specification available from Microsoft.
Adoption	MSG is implemented in the following Microsoft products: Microsoft Exchange Server 2003-2013 and Microsoft Office Outlook 2003-2013.
Licensing and patents	The MSG format specification is covered by the Microsoft Interoperability Program. See Useful references below. Microsoft

	claims no patents in the MSG format. Patents and licenses may apply to some operations and protocols that are used by Microsoft in its electronic mail products and that the MSG format is designed to support. In late 2015, the only patents listed by Microsoft as associated with the related protocol specifications listed in this format description are associated with operational systems for managing messages according to a retention policy: US 8620869 B2 -- Techniques to manage retention policy tags; and US 20140095641 A1 -- Techniques to manage retention policy tags.
Transparency	A .msg file can be saved in Outlook or compatible email client and then viewed in an hex editor or binary file parser.
Self-documentation	See CFB_3
External dependencies	None
Technical protection considerations	None

Quality and functionality factors

File type signifiers and format identifiers

Tag	Value	Note
Filename extension	msg	From specification
Internet Media Type	application/vnd.ms-outlook	Not registered with IANA but listed on MIME Types by Content Type.
File signature		See CFB_3

Notes

General	Microsoft reports that there are scenarios for which storing a Message object in the MSG format would not be advisable: • Maintaining a large standalone archive. A better option would be a more full-featured format that can render views more efficiently. • Sending information to an unknown receiver. In this scenario, it is possible that the format is not supported by the receiver or that information that is private or irrelevant might be transmitted. MSG provides some security mechanisms for ensuring that clients read the correct number of bytes from constituent streams. • In the case of multiple-valued variable length properties, the length stream contains the lengths of each value. Clients can

	compare the lengths obtained from there with the actual length of the value streams. If they are not in sync, it can be assumed that there is data corruption. • In case of the strings, stream entries are stored prefixed with their lengths; and if any inconsistency is detected, clients can assume that there is data corruption.
History	

Format specifications

- [MS-OXMSG]: Outlook Item (.msg) File Format (https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/cc463912.aspx). Format specification from Microsoft. Document covered by Microsoft Interoperability Program. No patents are associated with this specification..
- Property schemas for MSG Message objects are defined by separate documents. These protocol specifications are covered by the Microsoft Interoperability Program. See Useful References below. The only associated patents listed by Microsoft relate to active operation of a mail system that uses tags to manage and expire messages in line with a retention policy.
  - [MS-OXCMSG]: Message and Attachment Object Protocol (https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/cc463900.aspx). Specifies the basic property schema for a Message object
  - [MS-OXPROPS]: Exchange Server Protocols Master Property List (https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/cc433490.aspx). Specifies the basic property schema for a Message object and the default property schema for a Folder object

Useful references

URLs

- Helpful blog series from Microsoft Open Specifications Support Team Blog on MSG format
  - .MSG File Format (Part 1) (http://blogs.msdn.com/b/openspecification/archive/2009/11/06/msg-file-format-part-1.aspx). Overview of the MSG format
  - .MSG File Format, Rights Managed Email Message (Part 2) (http://blogs.msdn.com/b/openspecification/archive/2010/06/20/msg-file-format-rights-managed-email-message-part-2.aspx). General exploration of rights managed MSG email messages
  - .MSG File Format, Rights Managed Email Message (Part 3) (http://blogs.msdn.com/b/openspecification/archive/2011/06/14/msg-file-format-rights-managed-email-message-part-3.aspx). More detail about rights managed MSG email messages
- Links related to the Microsoft Interoperability Program, a documentation program designed in connection with the 2009 Interoperability Undertaking between Microsoft and the European Commission. Covers Exchange-Outlook protocols documentation.
  - Microsoft Interoperability Program. (https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/gg134029.aspx).
  - Microsoft Statement on European Commission Decision. December 2009. (http://news.microsoft.com/2009/12/16/microsoft-statement-on-european-commission-decision/).
  - Persistent Microsoft link to Microsoft Statement on European Commission Decision. December 2009. (http://go.microsoft.com/fwlink/?LinkId=179741).
  - Microsoft Open Specifications Programs: Patent Promises and Patents (https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/openspecifications/dn750984). Includes an interactive table that enables identification of any Microsoft patents or patent applications that Microsoft believes to be associated with a published specification.

- Microsoft Interoperability Program (MIP): Patent License and Covenant Agreements (https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/openspecifications/dn646762). See Patent Pledge for Open Source Developers.

- See also CFB_3

Last Updated: 05/18/2018

Digital Preservation Home | Digital Formats Home

Leger, Cheryl (BOS)

From: 81412-71801448@requests.muckrock.com
Sent: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 1:57 PM
To: SOTF, (BOS)
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P009438-101619
Attachments: P943820-20responsive201_Calendar_09.30to10.07.19_wC9OAyQ.pdf; P943820-202019.10.2320sfpd20response_29kcqQg.pdf; request-and-19047-minutes.pdf

October 23, 2019

This is a follow up to request number P009438-101619:

REF# SFPD P009438-101619 - NEW SFAC 67.21(e) Complaint/Petition

SOTF,

** Note that all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Redact your responses correctly - once you send them to us there is no going back. **

Complainant: Anonymous (81412-71801448@requests.muckrock.com)
Respondents: Police Department, Chief of Police William Scott, Lt. R. Andrew Cox #287
Allegations: SFAC 67.21, 67.26, 67.27

(Not related to metadata)

SFPD is withholding calendar entries under Prop G, but Prop G simply cannot exempt any records that already exist but don't fall under Prop G.
SOTF already held in 19047 Anonymous v Mayor London Breed that this is unlawful.
(Please consult the Supervisor of Records' petition response of Sept. 6, and the SOTF ruling against the Mayor in SOTF 19047 Anonymous v Mayor London Breed, et al. regarding these issues.).

Attached is the request, response, responsive records, and the minutes for SOTF 19047 Oct. 2.

Sec 67.29-5 does not exempt any records that are owned, retained, prepared, or used, by the agency.
SFPD has no legal authority to delay production of any record, even a Prop G calendar, for any time.
All calendar records owned, retained, prepared, or used by the agency must be disclosed, unless exempt.
67.29-5 is not even written as an exemption section - only the exemptions in the CPRA can even apply, the Sunshine Ordinance can only ban exemptions it is not even allowed to create new exemptions.

I want all responsive records, including all Prop G (future or past) and all non-Prop G calendars, whether on government or personal property (City of San Jose v Superior Court), for my request turned over immediately as public records, except with specific redactions and justifications.
The format/metadata of these records is not currently at issue in this petition.

I incorporate by reference all arguments I made in case 19047
(https://sfgov.org/sunshine/sites/default/files/sotf_100219_item7.pdf).

Sincerely,
Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 81412-71801448@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly:
https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAIhurUIivYH6n0IYOjBnIjGM0%3A1iNNgw%3A58dn4O9YtFBbI7-aJhRSJ1p9nJY&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Fcalendars-and-meetings-immediate-disclosure-request-81412%252F%253Femail%253Dsotf%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 81412
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On Oct. 23, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P009438-101619
REF# SFPD P009438-101619 - New SFAC 67.21(d) petition

Supervisor of Records,

** Note that all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Redact your responses correctly - once you send them to us there is no going back. **

SFPD is withholding calendar entries under Prop G, but Prop G simply cannot exempt any records that already exist but don't fall under Prop G (Please consult your own Supervisor of Records' petition response of Sept. 6, and the SOTF ruling against the Mayor in SOTF 19047 Anonymous v Mayor London Breed, et al. regarding these issues.).

Attached is the request, response, and responsive records.

Sec 67.29-5 does not exempt any records that are owned, retained, prepared, or used, by the agency.
SFPD has no legal authority to delay production of any record, even a Prop G calendar, for any time.
All calendar records owned, retained, prepared, or used by the agency must be disclosed, unless exempt.
67.29-5 is not even written as an exemption section - only the exemptions in the CPRA can even apply, the Sunshine Ordinance can only ban exemptions it is not even allowed to create new exemptions.

I want a written determination under 67.21(d) no matter what happens after filing of this petition.
I want all Prop G (future or past) and all non-Prop G calendars responsive to my request turned over immediately as public records, except with specific redactions and justifications.
The format/metadata of these records is not currently at issue in this petition.

Sincerely,
Anonymous

On Oct. 23, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P009438-101619
REF# P009438-101619

Sec 67.29-5 does not exempt any records that are owned, retained, prepared, or used, by your agency.
You have no legal authority to delay production of record for any time.
Please consult the Supervisor of Records' petition response of Sept. 6, and the SOTF ruling against the Mayor in SOTF 19047 Anonymous v Mayor London Breed, et al. regarding these issues.

I will immediately appeal your response.

On Oct. 23, 2019:
Subject: Public Records Request :: P009438-101619
Attachments:
P9438 - 2019.10.23 sfpd response.pdf (https://u8387795.ct.sendgrid.net/wf/click?upn=Ow1KccipsoIsnXbuEgm-2FN-2B3KuyZ5YHnuXVUop6SBUj6Zl2gPWQsh2hjE0JdlwH66QdMJzrpYUZ4H0oDUn9cqKrPktPrLi0CPeV6JhPmxHQqhE8SBiIByC-2FmFUDCw9sWTQZ9Nv6UT1hZ7nQ0ImqRFBRCFgwO4a5pcndfUp73CX7up5EuDLV9TSbGouEoBoPVO-2BDFkIXFmZayWv0CaKtag1bQY-2BJ7z0-2FPtHCjga8ix3es-3D_xvw-2Fq5Qf9Z0xuMyoz7A9OyA4BnKd7iiC2u2QRAa4wUP8BClCPavJGuOXm5ue-2BZ9mxtkQgZo905zN2pdo6HGtL-2FkXO87QJhQNU5TMXL8AXnCGRR5PgxwRpZZEsj3n9Vv6IlkI9fU67Sx0QC9cSMMFdnNtADxd70hZYtGrIjQAeiDvqMFenDF9pmua97FqcoJnOMVNK3w2o5DWg-2BnkrBlEac178O1SBza3rWfRcBYhkMu46ZisEbG-2F6CulvdT9Pn4Y9DLNXYV-2FpbAGzuzMLVNwpNEW3F9vE4SMs1dJUsDVOfDEXR27dy85u5b7HJ65jUNGOXmYo-2BoqTs8FlQQc6s-2BIMuS-2BCSsGkb-2FsVX8dtSc-2FQUDNrB9SdykXo2v-2Ft7lIToMKQjDoZElfzVtqTruWStsECg-3D-3D)
P9438 - responsive 1_Calendar_09.30to10.07.19.pdf
(https://u8387795.ct.sendgrid.net/wf/click?upn=Ow1KccipsoIsnXbuEgm-2FN-2B3KuyZ5YHnuXVUop6SBUj6Zl2gPWQsh2hjE0JdlwH66QdMJzrpYUZ4H0oDUn9cqKrPktPrLi0CPeV6JhPmxHQqhE8SBiIByC-2FmFUDCw9sWTc71HY7EWtLJlwhvswG9GVX5cNQwhcl-2Bms-2BQulcrtsTQ7lqtUqWo9zxxz-2BwCRzakeqXmdNSKfQI-2Bx4vF1jVs0p9IPSY1xUwqDeG7A1hoMVYc-3D_xvw-2Fq5Qf9Z0xuMyoz7A9OyA4BnKd7iiC2u2QRAa4wUP8BClCPavJGuOXm5ue-2BZ9mxtkQgZo905zN2pdo6HGtL-2FkXO87QJhQNU5TMXL8AXnCGRR5PgxwRpZZEsj3n9Vv6IlkI9fU67Sx0QC9cSMMFdnNtADxd70hZYtGrIjQAeiDvqMFenDF9pmua97FqcoJnOMVNK3w2o5DWg-2BnkrBlEac178O1SBza3rWfRcBYhkMu46ZisEbG-2F6CulvdT9Pn4YfD9ayBpsfrdqXPNwNxtyLYuEEPoCKSbz-2BFOGSRxfc-2Fkuz74EHrDmGWSY7TiB8CyqsuC6R1Jpd1xc10Ry-2BnbAiRAuoV0PDEUejydQ-2F1esZTvo9igXyL8nvWbUe5Q5w-2FT7k5mextt2FFbYcw0UeFP2Pg-3D-3D)

--- Please respond above this line ---

October 23, 2019 Via email 81412-71801448@requests.muckrock.com
Anonymous
Somer, MA 02144-2516
RE: Public Records Request, dated October 16, 2019, Reference # P009438-101619
Dear Anonymous:
In response to your request, please see attached documents.

Thank you.
Sincerely,
Lieutenant R. Andrew Cox #287
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division
To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.
(https://u8387795.ct.sendgrid.net/wf/click?upn=Ow1KccipsoIsnXbuEgm-2FN-2B3KuyZ5YHnuXVUop6SBUj6Zl2gPWQsh2hjE0JdlwH66ZMXJDGxlkhWqlkMGRI8K8Y4-2BoObP4Hl0zB8wvvSoDxwWJGu9nPvz75NA05BZvdNv_xvw-2Fq5Qf9Z0xuMyoz7A9OyA4BnKd7iiC2u2QRAa4wUP8BClCPavJGuOXm5ue-2BZ9mxtkQgZo905zN2pdo6HGtL-2FkXO87QJhQNU5TMXL8AXnCGRR5PgxwRpZZEsj3n9Vv6lIkI9fU67Sx0QC9cSMMFdnNtADxd70hZYtGrIjQAeiDvqMFenDF9pmua97FqcoJnOMVNK3w2o5DWg-2BnkrBlEac178O1SBza3rWfRcBYhkMu46ZisEbG-2F6CulvdT9Pn4Y7nfegdrPXK28-2BiTbUBTkTrWryoLHsr8wXTCo9kYjtD-2BSTBCPX7b1rgX5foEYzARcU8GD3clevzKCfe13dYOaB9X9AQ3rWeFuJB-2BgZxTYN2bQvEhrWSwfESI8dfK2JPNad0Xc8N8-2FleV5dBwELMCUwA-3D-3D)
This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

On Oct. 16, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request: Calendars and Meetings - Immediate Disclosure Request
Why does this say you received the email Oct 15?

On Oct. 16, 2019:
Subject: Public Records Request :: P009438-101619
Attachments:
P9438 - 2019.10.16 sfpd response.pdf (https://u8387795.ct.sendgrid.net/wf/click?upn=Ow1KccipsoIsnXbuEgm-2FN-2B3KuyZ5YHnuXVUop6SBUj6Zl2gPWQsh2hjE0JdlwH66QdMJzrpYUZ4H0oDUn9cqKrPktPrLi0CPeV6JhPmxHQqhE8SBilByC-2FmFUDCw9sWTg0giEndtmx83FTgqVkJ-2FkXvW8Htg3P-2BeiQK9-2BiSDROeWEJmo1mKVXoKoggoli4pIRFKVR-2FpGE5aKHsG-2FZzo6PVtyF4j5dEK-2BVCPqiirXWZw-3D_xvw-2Fq5Qf9Z0xuMyoz7A9OyA4BnKd7iiC2u2QRAa4wUP8BClCPavJGuOXm5ue-2BZ9mxtkQgZo905zN2pdo6HGtL-2BuSBEM9G-2BcwEBVUfuWiYQX-2FGkfOv1pDbICEsPPCdHZLq6eu16owk28dl2IlBGK-2BpIeZ4Ne73OAnvZbO7cmAs6UEQXIWtiNRavIYwp8QUD7CxM0SLpjLVfCVghzwoCZkC3SraZy-2BcP8aiYRWcKrHIu1h2RG9KJy1mK42T7tBRwy6MTJ9SeRPmB67-2BbizinVCP2ZR8betwkuL3rVjsDOnHAenO8DbZauvlvyjuJFBEQeIUT29RfJ7VDcTFRbe-2F7pC8U4ye835cWTDMgB8SNK7HVFnVr9ulRRgXbiRSbeibeMmiu3qnd9VAJ4uw2jQeHMDZQ-3D-3D)

--- Please respond above this line ---

October 16, 2019 Via email 81412-71801448@requests.muckrock.com
Anonymous
Somer, MA 02144-2516
RE: Public Records Request, dated October 16, 2019, Reference # P009438-101619
Dear Anonymous:
In response to your request, please see attached document.
Thank you.
Sincerely,
Lieutenant R. Andrew Cox #287
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division
To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.
(https://u8387795.ct.sendgrid.net/wf/click?upn=Ow1KccipsoIsnXbuEgm-2FN-

2B3KuyZ5YHnuXVUop6SBUj6Zl2gPWQsh2hjE0JdlwH66ZMXJDGxIkhWqlkMGRI8K8Y4-
2BoObP4Hl0zB8wvvSoDxwWJGu9nPvz75NA05BZvdNv_xvw-
2Fq5Qf9Z0xuMyoz7A9OyA4BnKd7iiC2u2QRAa4wUP8BClCPavJGuOXm5ue-2BZ9mxtkQgZo905zN2pdo6HGtL-
2BuSBEM9G-2BcwEBVUfuWiYQX-2FGkfOv1pDbICEsPPCdHZLq6eu16owk28dl2llBGK-
2BpIeZ4Ne73OAnvZbO7cmAs6UEQXIWtiNRavIYwp8QUD7CxM0SLpjLVfCVghzwoCZkC3SraZy-
2BcP8aiYRWcKrHIu1h2RG9KJy1mK42T7tBRwy628UlKCcT4HfNdq3bPWyvlNhkY-
2Be0VZihO1KypjX2exRVThTEx7nN4FfGuLBffqUKiqDfZiSFS8Ujr8ktSgr-2Fge0hqokaZpMo-
2FexFzXrOIko88yjxNReLAJlmxTj8-2BCiTAZw0IbvhkbUEcuE56yLTkA-3D-3D)
This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

On Oct. 8, 2019:
Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Calendars and Meetings - Immediate Disclosure Request
SFPD,

** Note that all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Redact your responses correctly - once you send them to us there is no going back. **

This is a new Immediate Disclosure Request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and the CPRA, made on October 8, 2019 re: your department head's calendars. This is also a 67.21(c) request for the statement of quantity, nature, and form (even if exempt!) for each of #1, 2, and 3, within 7 days without extension. For the quantity of #1, I would like the number of meetings, each of which is an item being requested.

All calendars, whether Prop G/67.29-5 or not, that your agency prepared, owned, used, or retained re: the public's business are public records (see SOTF 19047; Sup. of Records response of Sept. 6; and Good Government Guide).

All records must be provided in rolling fashion. If you use a web portal, you must make all records open without login or terms and conditions; or you may provide records as attachments.

Please read carefully the exact wording of my request as it is different than my prior ones. Please follow the Ordinance precisely as I am auditing your agency's public records regimen; as you are well aware, every violation of the Sunshine Ordinance will be appealed.

Please provide:
1a). IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE: an electronic copy of the department head's *prospective/expected* calendar or schedule, with all expected events/items, from Oct 21 to Oct 28, 2019 (inclusive). Calendar items must include (but are not limited to): the exact start and end time of the meeting, the location, the title, all invitees and whether they accepted or not, attachments, inline images, if they exist in the record. We are specifically requesting ALL calendar/scheduling items, individually, for the department head, whether the department head themselves possesses them or their staff, whether they are labeled "Prop G" or not, and whether they are on a computer or in physical form (such as a diary, a physical calendar on a wall, etc.). You are welcome to virtually print/export each item (not the summary view) directly to .PDF form in Outlook and redact them. Do not cutoff information like long text that does not fit on the screen - that would be unjustified withholding. In order to ensure immediacy of disclosure, in this and only this request, .ics format and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided immediately). Do NOT physically print and re-scan records

1b). IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE: an electronic copy of the department head's calendar or schedule, with all events/items, from Sep 30 to Oct 7, 2019 (inclusive). Calendar items must include (but are not limited to): the exact start and end time of the meeting, the location, the title, all invitees and whether they accepted or not, attachments, inline images, if they exist in the record. We are specifically requesting ALL calendar/scheduling items, individually, for the department head,

whether the department head themselves possesses them or their staff, whether they are labeled "Prop G" or not, and whether they are on a computer or in physical form (such as a diary, a physical calendar on a wall, etc.). You are welcome to virtually print/export
each item (not the summary view) directly to .PDF form in Outlook and redact them. Do not cutoff information like long text that does not fit on the screen - that would be unjustified withholding. In order to ensure immediacy of disclosure, in this and only this request, .ics format and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided immediately). Do NOT physically print and re-scan records.

2. REGULAR DISCLOSURE: If the department head or any of the department head's staff uses any invitation/guestlist tracking systems on behalf of the department head (such as Outlook's invite mechanism OR regular emails), those items are included within the scope of this request #2, for the date range in #1. In order to ensure rapid disclosure, in this and only this request, particular formats and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided rapidly).

3. REGULAR DISCLOSURE: Furthermore, I request that a City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017) search be performed of the department head, their senior-most deputy, their chief of staff (or equivalent, and deputy chiefs), and all personal/secretarial/administrative assistants, such that each such official either provide all records responsive to #1 that are present on their personal accounts/devices/property (solely to the extent the record or portion thereof relates to the public's business), or provide a declaration/affidavit that no such records exist. All such affidavits are also requested. In order to ensure rapid disclosure, in this and only this request, particular formats and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided rapidly).

Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose.

I look forward to your immediate disclosure.

Sincerely,
Anonymous

Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 81412-71801448@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly:
https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAIhurUlivYH6n0IYOjBnIjGM0%3A1iNNgw%3A58dn4O9YtFBbI7-aJhRSJ1p9nJY&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Fcalendars-and-meetings-immediate-disclosure-request-81412%252F%253Femail%253Dsotf%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 81412
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT
CHIEF WILLIAM SCOTT
HIGHLIGHTS FOR MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 30

0900 hours MEETING: City Department Human Resources
Re: Personnel Issue
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE CONF. ROOM

1000 hours MEETING: Staff
Re: Personnel Issue
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE CONF. ROOM

1130 hours MEETING: Community Group
Wealth & Disparities in the Black Community
Phelicia Jones, Jean Bridges
Location: PHQ, FIRST FLOOR ROOM #1025

1300 hours MEETING: Staff
Re: Operations Briefing
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE

1400 hours MEETING: Staff
Re: Policy Briefing
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE

1530 hours ATTEND: Meeting with SF Travel
Invited by Mayor's Office
Location: CITY HALL, MAYOR'S OFFICE

1800 hours ATTEND: Youth Town Hall and Youth Summit
Location: CORNERSTONE BAPTIST CHURCH
3RD STREET AND GILLMAN

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT
CHIEF WILLIAM SCOTT
HIGHLIGHT FOR TUESDAY, OCTOBER 1

0900 hours **TRAINING: Leadership Development Cohort Working Group (ALL DAY)**
Location: PRESIDIO, 1299 STOREY AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT
CHIEF WILLIAM SCOTT
HIGHLIGHTS FOR WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 2

0900 hours MEETING: Staff
Re: Personnel Issue
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE CONF. ROOM

1000 hours MEETING: Staff
Re: Projects Update
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE

1100 hours MEETING: Staff
Re: Crime Trends Briefing
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE CONF. ROOM

1300 hours MEETING: Allied Universal Event Services
Doug Morse
Re: Cadet Hiring Program
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE CONF. ROOM

1400 hours EVENT: Pink Patch Project Kick Off Event
Location: IN FRONT OF PHQ

1500 hours MEETING: Staff
Re: Personal Development
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE

1600 hours MEETING: Sean Elsbernd
Re: Chief of Staff Briefing
Location: CITY HALL, ROOM 200

1630 hours MEETING: Mayor London Breed
Re: Mayoral Briefing
Location: CITY HALL, ROOM 200

1730 hours ATTEND: Police Commission Meeting
Location: CITY HALL, ROOM 400

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT
CHIEF WILLIAM SCOTT
HIGHLIGHTS FOR THURSDAY, OCTOBER 3

0930 hours ATTEND: Event Preparedness Briefing
Re: 2019 Citywide Fleet Week
Location: 1011 TURK STREET

1030 hours ATTEND: Press Event
RE: Fleet Week
Location: 1011 TURK STREET

1115 hours ATTEND: HSOC Principals Policy Group
Location: CITY HALL, ROOM 201

1400 hours ATTEND: Event
Re: Chief Toney Chaplin's Swearing-In Ceremony
Location: HAYWARD CITY HALL ROTUNDA
777 B STREET, HAYWARD

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT
CHIEF WILLIAM SCOTT
HIGHLIGHTS FOR FRIDAY, OCTOBER 4

0800 hours MEETING: Commissioner Robert Hirsch
Re: Weekly Briefing
Location: CONFERENCE CALL

1030 hours MEETING: Center for Policing Equity
Re: Discussion of National Justice Database Project
Ms. Krista Dunn
Location: CONFERENCE CALL

1330 hours ATTEND: Staff Discussion
Re: Collaborative Review Initiative Weekly Meeting
Location: PHQ, 5th Floor

1500 hours MEETING: Employee Group
Re: Monthly Discussion
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE CONF. ROOM

1600 hours MEETING: Staff
Re: Weekly Briefing
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE

1630 hours MEETING: Staff
Re: Community Engagement Updates
Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT
CHIEF WILLIAM SCOTT
HIGHLIGHT FOR SATURDAY, OCTOBER 5

1130 hours ATTEND: Community Event
Potrero Hill Community Awareness Resources Entity (CARE) Barbecue
Location: IN THE CLEAR AREA BEHIND
107 DAKOTA STREET

****

NO PUBLIC EVENTS FOR SUNDAY, OCTOBER 6

SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT
CHIEF WILLIAM SCOTT
HIGHLIGHTS FOR MONDAY, OCTOBER 7

0900 hours	ATTEND: Full-Scale Exercise RE: 2019 SF Fleet Week DSCA Disaster Debris and Route Reopening Location: PIER 27, EMBARCADERO SF
1030 hours	ATTEND: Mayor's Press Event Re: Fleet Week Kick-Off Location: PIER 27, EMBARCADERO SF
1230 hours	MEETING: Staff RE: Personnel Issue Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE CONF. ROOM
1330 hours	MEETING: Staff RE: Command Staff Briefing Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE
1400 hours	MEETING: Staff RE: Operations Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE
1500 hours	MEETING: Labor Group Re: Monthly Briefing Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE CONF. ROOM
1600 hours	MEETING: Staff Re: Personnel Issue Location: PHQ, CHIEF'S OFFICE CONF. ROOM

1800 hours ATTEND: Community Meeting
Community Awareness Resources Entity (CARE)
Location: CARE OFFICE, 107 DAKOTA STREET

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
POLICE DEPARTMENT
HEADQUARTERS
1245 3RD Street
San Francisco, California 94158

LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

WILLIAM SCOTT
CHIEF OF POLICE

October 23, 2019

Via email 81412-71801448@requests.muckrock.com

Anonymous
Somer, MA 02144-2516

RE: Public Records Request, dated October 8, 2019, Reference # P009438-101619

Dear Anonymous:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD), Legal Division received your Public Records Act request on October 15, 2019.

You requested, * * "Note that all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Redact your responses correctly - once you send them to us there is no going back. * *

This is a new Immediate Disclosure Request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and the CPRA, made on October 8, 2019 re: your department head's calendars.

This is also a 67.21 (c) request for the statement of quantity, nature, and form (even if exempt!) for each of #1, 2, and 3, within 7 days without extension. For the quantity of #1, I would like the number of meetings, each of which is an item being requested.

All calendars, whether Prop G/67.29-5 or not that your agency prepared, owned, used, or retained re: the public's business are public records (see SOTF 19047; Sup. of Records response of Sept. 6; and Good Government Guide).

All records must be provided in rolling fashion. If you use a web portal, you must make all records open without login or terms and conditions; or you may provide records as attachments.

Please read carefully the exact wording of my request as it is different than my prior ones. Please follow the Ordinance precisely as I am auditing your agency's public records regimen; as you are well aware, every violation of the Sunshine Ordinance will be appealed.

Please provide:

1a). IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE: an electronic copy of the department head's *prospective/expected* calendar or schedule, with all expected events/items, from Oct 21 to Oct

28, 2019 (inclusive). Calendar items must include (but are not limited to): the exact start and end time of the meeting, the location, the title, all invitees and whether they accepted or not, attachments, inline images, if they exist in the record. We are specifically requesting ALL calendar/scheduling items, individually, for the department head, whether the department head themselves possesses them or their staff, whether they are labeled "Prop G" or not, and whether they are on a computer or in physical form (such as a dial y, a physical calendar on a wall, etc.). You are welcome to virtually print/export each item (not the summary view) directly to .PDF form in Outlook and redact them. Do not cutoff information like long text that does not fit on the screen - that would be unjustified withholding. In order to ensure immediacy of disclosure, in this and only this request, .ics format and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided immediately). Do NOT physically print and re-scan records

lb). IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE: an electronic copy of the department head's calendar or schedule, with all events/items, from Sep 30 to Oct 7, 2019 (inclusive). Calendar items must include (but are not limited to): the exact start and end time of the meeting, the location, the title, all invitees and whether they accepted or not, attachments, inline images, if they exist in the record. We are specifically requesting ALL calendar/scheduling items, individually, for the department head, whether the department head themselves possesses them or their staff, whether they are labeled "Prop G" or not, and whether they are on a computer or in physical form (such as a diary, a physical calendar on a wall, etc.). You are welcome to virtually print/export each item (not the summary view) directly to .PDF form in Outlook and redact them. Do not cutoff information like long text that does not fit on the screen - that would be unjustified withholding. In order to ensure immediacy of disclosure, in this and only this request, .ics format and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided immediately). Do NOT physically print and re-scan records.

2.REGULAR DISCLOSURE: If the department head or any of the department head's staff uses any invitation/guestlist tracking systems on behalf of the department head (such as Outlook's invite mechanism OR regular emails), those items are included within the scope of this request #2, for the date range in #1. In order to ensure rapid disclosure, in this and only this request, particular formats and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided rapidly).

3.REGULAR DISCLOSURE: Furthermore, I request that a City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017) search be performed of the department head, their senior-most deputy, their chief of staff (or equivalent, and deputy chiefs), and all personal/secretarial/administrative assistants, such that each such official either provide all records responsive to #1 that are present on their personal accounts/devices/property (solely to the extent the record or portion thereof relates to the public's business), or provide a declaration/affidavit that no such records exist. All such affidavits are also requested. In order to ensure rapid disclosure, in this and only this request, particular formats and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided rapidly)."

On the same date, SFPD informed you that your request was not simple, routine, or otherwise readily answerable and it was considered a standard public records request that allows SFPD up to 10 days to respond.

Responsive records are included in this correspondence. Please note that certain information has been withheld in these materials under the following:

Govt. Code Sec. 6254(c) – personal information on the basis of privacy.

Admin Code 67.29-5(b) – attendees who fall under this section have been withheld including labor/employee groups and organizations, as well as members of the public who wished to remain anonymous.

Per Admin Code 67.29-5(e) – names of Department members are not provided when all attendees at the meeting are in the official's City department.

As for your request for the calendar for date range October 21 – 28, these records are not being provided per Admin Code 67.29-5(a). "Such calendars shall be public records and shall be available to any requester three business days subsequent to the calendar entry date." The SFPD will provide you with an update by October 29.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lt. R. Andrew Cox #287
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division

Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Calendars and Meetings - Immediate Disclosure Request
From: 81412-71801448@requests.muckrock.com
To: sfpdlegal@sfgov.org
Date: Tue, 08 Oct 2019 18:43:47 -0000

SFPD,

** Note that all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Redact your responses correctly - once you send them to us there is no going back. **

This is a new Immediate Disclosure Request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and the CPRA, made on October 8, 2019 re: your department head's calendars. This is also a 67.21(c) request for the statement of quantity, nature, and form (even if exempt!) for each of #1, 2, and 3, within 7 days without extension. For the quantity of #1, I would like the number of meetings, each of which is an item being requested.

All calendars, whether Prop G/67.29-5 or not, that your agency prepared, owned, used, or retained re: the public's business are public records (see SOTF 19047; Sup. of Records response of Sept. 6; and Good Government Guide).

All records must be provided in rolling fashion. If you use a web portal, you must make all records open without login or terms and conditions; or you may provide records as attachments.

Please read carefully the exact wording of my request as it is different than my prior ones. Please follow the Ordinance precisely as I am auditing your agency's public records regimen; as you are well aware, every violation of the Sunshine Ordinance will be appealed.

Please provide:
1a). IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE: an electronic copy of the department head's *prospective/expected* calendar or schedule, with all expected events/ items, from Oct 21 to Oct 28, 2019 (inclusive). Calendar items must include (but are not limited to): the exact start and end time of the meeting, the location, the title, all invitees and whether they accepted or not, attachments, inline images, if they exist in the record. We are specifically requesting ALL calendar/scheduling items, individually, for the department head, whether the department head themselves possesses them or their staff, whether they are labeled "Prop G" or not, and whether they are on a computer or in physical form (such as a diary, a physical calendar on a wall, etc.). You are welcome to virtually print/export
each item (not the summary view) directly to .PDF form in Outlook and

redact them. Do not cutoff information like long text that does not fit on the screen - that would be unjustified withholding. In order to ensure immediacy of disclosure, in this and only this request, .ics format and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided immediately). Do NOT physically print and re-scan records

1b). IMMEDIATE DISCLOSURE: an electronic copy of the department head's calendar or schedule, with all events/items, from Sep 30 to Oct 7, 2019 (inclusive). Calendar items must include (but are not limited to): the exact start and end time of the meeting, the location, the title, all invitees and whether they accepted or not, attachments, inline images, if they exist in the record. We are specifically requesting ALL calendar/scheduling items, individually, for the department head, whether the department head themselves possesses them or their staff, whether they are labeled "Prop G" or not, and whether they are on a computer or in physical form (such as a diary, a physical calendar on a wall, etc.). You are welcome to virtually print/export
each item (not the summary view) directly to .PDF form in Outlook and redact them. Do not cutoff information like long text that does not fit on the screen - that would be unjustified withholding. In order to ensure immediacy of disclosure, in this and only this request, .ics format and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided immediately). Do NOT physically print and re-scan records.

2. REGULAR DISCLOSURE: If the department head or any of the department head's staff uses any invitation/guestlist tracking systems on behalf of the department head (such as Outlook's invite mechanism OR regular emails), those items are included within the scope of this request #2, for the date range in #1. In order to ensure rapid disclosure, in this and only this request, particular formats and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided rapidly).

3. REGULAR DISCLOSURE: Furthermore, I request that a City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017) search be performed of the department head, their senior-most deputy, their chief of staff (or equivalent, and deputy chiefs), and all personal/secretarial/administrative assistants, such that each such official either provide all records responsive to #1 that are present on their personal accounts/devices/ property (solely to the extent the record or portion thereof relates to the public's business), or provide a declaration/affidavit that no such records exist. All such affidavits are also requested. In order to ensure rapid disclosure, in this and only this request, particular formats and headers are NOT specifically requested (though you are welcome to provide them if it can be provided rapidly).

Please provide only those copies of records available without any

fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose.

I look forward to your immediate disclosure.

Sincerely,
Anonymous

7. **File No. 19047:** Complaint filed by Anonymous against Mayor London Breed, Hank Heckel and the Office of the Mayor for allegedly violating Administrative Code, (Sunshine Ordinance) Sections 67.21 and 67.26 and 67.27 and Government Code (CPRA) 6253.9, 6253, and 6255, by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

Anonymous (Petitioner) provided a summary of the complaint and requested the Committee to find a violation. Anonymous provided an overview of the submitted presentation. Anonymous stated that the Office of the Mayor refused to provide documents in the requested format and metadata, objected to the redactions to the calendar and stated that the ICS version of the calendar was not provided. Anonymous stated that the Office of the Mayor did not provide the Mayor's non-Prop G or 2nd calendar account until months later, and those non-Prop G calendars are public records.

Hank Heckel (Mayor's Office) and Michael Makstman (Chief Information Security Officer) (Respondent), provided a summary of the department's position. Mr. Heckel referenced California Government Code, Sections 6252.9(f) and 6254.19, and Sunshine Ordinance, Section 67.21(l). Mr. Heckel stated that the format requested is not easily generated and would also create a security risk. Mr. Makstman provided information regard metadata and possible security risks.

Deputy City Attorney Peder Thoreen provided information and responded to questions from the SOTF.

A question and answer period occurred. The parties were provided an opportunity for rebuttals.

Action: Moved by Member Yankee, seconded by Member Cate, to find that Mayor London Breed, Hank Heckel and the Office of the Mayor violated Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Sections 67.21, 67.26 and 67.27, by failing to provide records in a timely and/or complete manner, keep withholdings to a minimum, and justify the withholding of records.

Public Comment:
None.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 7 - Yankee, Martin, LaHood, Cate, Hyland,, J. Wolf, B. Wolfe
Noes: 0 - None
Absent: 2 - Cannata, Chopra
Excused: 2 - Tesfai, Hinze

IN THE SAN FRANCISCO
SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

Anonymous v. Chief William Scott, Sgt. Michael Andraychak, San Francisco Police Department	Update Dec. 10, 2019 SOTF No. **19098**

STATUS OF COMPLAINT as of Dec 10[1]

On Sept 13, I alleged Respondents violated SFAC 67.21, 67.26, 67.27, Gov Code (CPRA) 6253, and 6353.9 in failing to respond correctly to a July 2 request for various electronic communications. On Sept 23, Respondents formally responded to SOTF. Due to the numerous subsequent communications, disclosures, and negotiations, for clarity I am updating the status of the complaint herein. Note that the alleged sections in this restated complaint remain only SFAC 67.21, 67.26, 67.27, Gov Code (CPRA) 6253 and 6353.9.

PROCEDURAL REQUESTS TO THE DEC. 17 COMMITTEE

1. If the SOTF wishes to delay hearing of metadata (and related) issues, I ask you to divide allegations numbered #A3, #A6, #A7, #A11, and #A12 regarding email metadata, headers, and the .EML/.MSG electronic formats into a new case number so that this case 19098 may continue.

2. Please ask Respondents to send the remainder of their supplemental responses/records made after the date of complaint to SOTF for inclusion in the file so we can discuss examples at the full hearing as needed.

UPDATED TIMELINE AS OF DEC. 6, 2019

Date	Party	Facts (and some[2] of the Allegations in **bold**)
July 2	Anon.	Emailed records request for certain emails, text messages, instant messages, and other communications (in electronic formats and with metadata, and

[1] Respondent Does 1-20 are dismissed.
[2] Allegations listed in the timeline are non-exclusive examples; they are not exhaustive. Allegations are fully listed in parts A, B, and C below. Section numbers without a name are in SF Admin Code.

July 2 (cont.)		with personal property searches) of the SFPD senior leadership. Rolling responses requested. Partial Native Email: From nobody Tue Jul 2 03:02:22 2019 Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary="===============8443228908772817962==" MIME-Version: 1.0 Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD) From: 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com To: sfpdmediarelations@sfgov.org Date: Tue, 02 Jul 2019 07:02:22 -0000 Message-ID: <20190702070222.2686.52851@c82f7b7e-0b82-4517-9c55-8bffcf96dec8.prvt.dyno.rt.heroku.com> --===============8443228908772817962== Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="===============2088498062960899733==" MIME-Version: 1.0 --===============2088498062960899733== Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8" MIME-Version: 1.0 Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit San Francisco Police Department PRA Office 1245 3rd Street SF, CA 94158 July 2, 2019 RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit To Whom It May Concern: ** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the ...
July 12	Anon.	Sent 10-day auto-reminder email to same email address. **67.21 (Untimely) - 10-day Response was due, but not received. An extension must be asserted within 10 days.**

July 12 (cont.)		From nobody Fri Jul 12 05:00:47 2019 Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="==========8848370342403425148==" MIME-Version: 1.0 Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD) From: 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com To: sfpdmediarelations@sfgov.org Date: Fri, 12 Jul 2019 09:00:47 -0000 Message-ID: <20190712090047.4692.60632@76bde4e6-e011-4e6b-be2e-9b2c144efa07.prvt.dyno.rt.heroku.com> --==========8848370342403425148== Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8" MIME-Version: 1.0 Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit San Francisco Police Department PRA Office 1245 3rd Street SF, CA 94158 July 12, 2019 This is a follow up to a previous request: To Whom It May Concern: I wanted to follow up on the following California Public Records Act request, copied below, and originally submitted on July 2, 2019. Please let me know when I can expect to receive a response. Thanks for your help, and let me know if further clarification is needed. ...
July 15	SFPD	First response/acknowledgment. Supposed extension asserted until Aug 8. Sgt Andraychak states (emphasis mine): "The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, **dated July 15, 2019**, on July 15, 2019. ... Although SFPD has 10 calendar days to respond to your request, we are invoking an additional 14 day extension of time to respond to your request pursuant to Government Code section 6253(c) because of the need to search, collect, review, and consult with another department. Once it has been determined whether or not the information you request is responsive and subject to disclosure we will advise you as soon practicable but no later than **August 8, 2019**." **67.21 (Untimely)** - adding 10-day and 14-day extensions means the extended deadline is July 26, not Aug 8. No email from me was ever dated July 15 - there were July 2 and July 12 pre-opening-of-business-day emails. **CPRA §6253(c)** - "No notice shall specify a date that would result in an extension for more than 14 days."
July 26	---	**CPRA Govt Code §6253(c)** requires within 10-days (plus 14-day extension) that SFPD must "determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks

		copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the agency and ... promptly notify the person making the request of the determination and the reasons therefor"
Aug 5	SFPD	I received two *apparently completely empty* followup emails from SFPD's automated records portal: "San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>". SFPD claims in its response that these emails supposedly notified me of the withholding of metadata and justifications thereof, and also gave the (late) formal GC §6253(c) determination. I had never received the supposed bodies of these responses until the SFPD put them in its Sept 23 formal response, which I got from SOTF Clerk further later. Example:   Even if you take SFPD's assertion as true (which I dispute), Aug 5 is still after the July 26 extended due date.
Aug 26	SFPD	**55 days after request, first records disclosed,** with redactions. Generic Justification: "Some redaction was done in accordance with Cal Constitution Article 1, Privacy and Gov Code 6254(f) open investigation/intelligence/ security file information." **67.26 (No clear reference)** - Each redaction must be "keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the appropriate justification." They did not do this.
Aug 29	SFPD	Email Disclosure set #2 with redactions, again with various generic justifications **67.26 (No clear reference, see above)**
Aug 31	SFPD	Email Disclosure set #3 with redactions, again with various generic justifications **67.26 (No clear reference, see above)**
Sep 1	Anon.	Follow-up for status, asking whether *City of San Jose* searches of personal property were conducted.
Sep 3	SFPD	Status report provided. Indicated no personal records found as of that time.
Sep 12	Anon.	Another follow-up. Reminded SFPD of their SFAC 67.26 obligations. I asked for the required determination of whether SFPD possesses any responsive records AND whether they had withheld any records in full.
Sep 13	Anon.	Filed SOTF complaint 19098, and Sup. of Records petition. I alleged SFAC 67.21, 67.26, 67.27, Gov Code (CPRA) 6253 and 6253.9.

Sep 13	SFPD	Text messages disclosed in a text-database-style format **67.27 (Must justify withholding using a law/case)** - Some redactions had no legal justification at all, example: "2911489198 6456681656 7/14/19 0:21 AT&T Message 0 """I know XXXX well. Thx. Please let me know when confirmed. """) **67.27 (Must justify using a law/case)** - For unknown reasons, the identity of the parties sending/receiving the text messages was withheld.
Sep 17	SOTF	Formal notice to SFPD re: my complaint.
Sep 23	SFPD	Formal response to SOTF re: my complaint.
Sep 28	SFPD	Accepts requirement to giving footnotes/references to justification for each redaction Nov 14, and starts producing them going forward
Nov 14	SFPD	Certain hyperlinks and attachments previously withheld now provided. **67.26** - Certain images like logos and footers in emails they are apparently technologically simply unable to provide. Not sure why.
Sep 28 thru Dec 4	SFPD	Further disclosures, now with footnotes to justifications (drawn on with pencil or pen on electronic records). All of these documents appear to have been printed and scanned multiple times, sometimes to the point of incomprehensibility. **67.26, 67.27** - Non-metadata information like color/full quality images is still being withheld without justification.

A. EXAMPLES OF VIOLATIONS OCCURRING PRIOR TO TIME OF INITIAL COMPLAINT

The following are examples of violations that occurred prior to the time of the initial complaint. The allegation numbers match the numbers in my original complaint, prefixed with "A," and now with examples.

A.1. **SFAC 67.21(b), untimely response** - First response was on July 15, past the 10-day deadline after July 2 request. First record was provided on August 26, which is 55 days after July 2.

A.2. **SFAC 67.21(k), Gov Code 6253(c)** requires within 10-days (plus 14-day extension) that SFPD must "determine whether the request, in whole or in part, seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the agency and ... promptly notify the person making the request of the determination and the reasons therefor." This was due on or before July 26 (10 + 14 days after July 2). Respondents provided this determination either on Aug 5 (from their records, but not mine) OR Sept. 3 (from my records) - either way, this is untimely.

A.3. **SFAC 67.21(l) and/or Gov Code 6253.9(a)** - the request stated:

We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.

However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.

If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.

Neither the original electronic format, nor the "easily generated" .EML or .MSG formats were provided. (Please note that some records have been provided in text PDF, but others are clearly printed/scanned. It is not known why these discrepancies exist.)

A.4. **SFAC 67.21(k), Gov Code 6253(b);** failed to disclose exact copies:

A.4.1. Disclosed records lack the originals' colors, hyperlinks, images (sometimes images are provided but with very low quality), ~~metadata~~ (moved to A.7), email addresses, and other parts of records. It is unknown why they were produced in such a fashion.

A.4.2. Cmdr Daryl Fong did not disclose copies of his emails. Instead for each responsive email he forwarded that email to someone else, and then copied those forwards which alters the email. It is unknown why this was done - most of the other custodians produced the emails themselves. To be clear, this is not *just* an alteration of the metadata, but also alters the printed or "face" of the record, and is thus not an "exact copy."

A.5. **SFAC 67.26** - every withholding/redaction must be "keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the appropriate justification." Please note also that *all* withholding must be justified, so every piece of information withheld in A.4 is also a 67.26 violation. (Some justification was later provided)

A.6. **SFAC 67.27** - the withholding of the original electronic formats, or .eml, or .msg was not justified.

A.7. **SFAC 67.26** - all email metadata was withheld, **SFAC 67.27** without justification

A.8. **SFAC 67.26/67.27** - city employee email addresses were withheld within certain messages were withheld. No justification.

A.9. **SFAC 67.26/67.27** - hyperlinks in messages / attachments were withheld without justification. (some were later provided)

A.10. **SFAC 67.21(k), incorporating CPRA, as interpreted judicially in City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017);** and must provide all records on personal accounts/devices that are "about the conduct of public business," which are public records — Sgt. Andraychak

requested custodians turn over only a subset of all records deemed public under City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). Andraychak told custodians: "If you do not use your personal email and/or mobile phone for work purposes, you would not have any responsive documents for items 2 and 3 below. " and "IF you do not use any of these accounts for work related purposes, please reply to that effect." That is not what the precedent requires; instead the Supreme Court held "when a city employee uses a personal account to communicate about the conduct of public business, the writings may be subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act (CPRA or Act)." (emphasis mine) Communications about the conduct of public business is a wider universe of records than what Andraychak requested. Some custodians may not use their accounts for "work purposes" or "work related purposes" but may have still have communications "about the conduct of public business." The custodians must be instructed to search for all such records, and provide a determination of whether or not such records exist, whether or not they are exempt. For example, a custodian may not personally use their phone for work purposes, but a coworker may still send to their personal phone a text message about the conduct of public business. Such recipient must still search for and disclose such record.

Why this matters, consider this hypothetical:

A commanding officer never uses their personal email for work purposes, but a subordinate, uncomfortable with informing the CO on work email, informs the CO on personal email about some mismanagement at the department. This email is a public record and must be disclosed.

If asked to search pursuant to the whole scope of *San Jose*, we would get this email. But pursuant to Sgt. Andraychak's search terms, we would not get this email.

A.11. **67.26/67.27** - Withheld Email header names without justification

A.12. **67.26/67.27** - Withheld Email header values without justification

C. EXAMPLES OF CONTINUED VIOLATIONS THRU DEC 10

The following[3] are new examples (not exhaustive) of the alleged failures to completely respond (SFAC 67.21), non-minimal withholding (SFAC 67.26), withholding/redaction without clear reference to justification (SFAC 67.26), failing to provide a statutory/case law exemption that is not prohibited in the City (SFAC 67.27), or failure to provide exact copies (SFAC 67.21(k), GC 6253(b)) in Respondents' latest disclosures.

C.1. The sender/receiver names of text messages has not been disclosed. This is information you can see on the "face" of the text message.

C.2. Due to the extreme degradation of records through the repeated printing/scanning process used, I also allege ***all*** records provided are not exact copies.

C.3. Numerous **City** or **government** phone numbers are partially or completely redacted under "Privacy, Cal Constitution, Art I; SF Admin Code, 67.1(g)" - all are challenged. This information is not in fact exempt under the law cited. Examples:

John Sanchez
Forensic Services Director
San Francisco Police Department
Direct: 415-[redacted]

R.KHAN #6359
Supervisor
Criminal Identification Bureau. Rm. 475
SF Police Department.
850 Bryant Street, SF, Ca.94103
Office: (415) 553-1416
Direct: (415)553-[redacted]
Fax: (415)553-1316
email-Rahoof.khan@sfgov.org

Officer Samuel Fung #42
San Francisco Police Department
CSI - Multimedia Evidence Unit
415-553-1[redacted] (work)
415-589[redacted] (work cell)

San Francisco, CA 94158
patrick.n.leung@sfgov.org
415-837-[redacted](work)
415-[redacted]

C.4. Cdr_Lazar_CED_1.pdf

C.4.1. page 12 - an unintelligible B/W copy of a photo of an incident provided by one city employee to another (therefore information has been withheld, and also not an exact copy)

C.4.2. page 22, bottom of last email cut off after "Thank you," (unjustified withholding)

C.4.3. page 19, bottom of last email cut off after "Thank you," (unjustified withholding)

C.5. Dir_Forensic_Snchz_IN1.pdf

[3] **The Supervisor of Records is requested to treat these items as a further SFAC 67.21(d) petition for written determinations whether the records or any parts thereof withheld are public.**

C.5.1. page 2, the name of a person is redacted in the body yet is disclosed in the subject

Mr. Gordon
This is just for your information. [redacted] was working on the book desk , Darcy and Joanne are working in Print Room. At approximately 1150hours Darcy walked to the book desk and saw [redacted] crying. Darcy came to me and informed me . I approached [redacted] and noticed an uncontrollable crying but [redacted] would not let me know as to what the problem was . I asked [redacted] if she wanted to go home and she just shook her head indication "Yes". I have sent her home.
Enjoy your vacation.

C.5.2. Page 3, named attachment withheld / no justification

From:	Fung, Samuel (POL)
To:	Sanchez, John (POL)
Subject:	Re: Printer replacement request
Date:	Friday, July 12, 2019 12:56:43 PM
Attachments:	Printer replacement memo approved.pdf

C.5.3. Page 11, named attachment withheld / no justification

From:	Heather Conner
To:	Sanchez, John (POL)
Subject:	RE: A Request
Date:	Monday, July 15, 2019 7:39:08 AM
Attachments:	8651127 (1).docx

C.5.4. Page 25 has fully redacted a message about some contract issue under SFAC 67.24(e)(1) and SFAC 67.21(a)(1). The sole potential exemption in 67.24(e)(1) is "a private person's or organization's net worth or other proprietary financial data submitted for qualification for a contract or other benefit until and unless that person or organization is awarded the contract or benefit." The sole potential exemption in 67.24(a)(1) is "the recommendation of the author" only under the circumstance when the "document is not normally kept on file *and would otherwise be disposed of*;" furthermore, "its factual content is not exempt." Respondent misconstrues these exemptions to be all information about contracts not rewarded and all recommendations of authors - that is not true. Only the minimal portions of this page that fall under the above categories may be redacted.

C.6. DC_AIR_ALI_SENT_Redacted.pdf

C.6.1. Page 12, 15 - unintelligible copy (note that only *part* of the photos were withheld for privacy)

PROPOSED COMPROMISE

I agree to withdraw[4] all allegations in this complaint 19098 except metadata-related allegations #A3, #A6, #A7, #A11, and #A12, if Respondent Chief Scott states in a letter signed by him, addressed to myself, the Task Force, and cc-ed to the Police Commission, and published as a public record on the SFPD public website, as a communication to the Police Commission, and in an SOTF agenda as a communication to the Task Force, that:

(1) SFPD admits it violated SF Admin Code (Sunshine Ordinance) 67.21, 67.26, and 67.27 and Gov Code (CPRA) 6253 by: (i) providing an untimely and incomplete response to a records request, (ii) failing to provide exact copies of records, (iii) withholding more than the minimum exempt portions of public records, and (iv) failing to identify by footnote or clear reference a statutory or case law justification for every redaction and withholding; in SOTF Case 19098 regarding emails and other electronic communications of SFPD's leadership, and

(2) SFPD publishes on its public website an email address to receive public records requests pursuant to SFAC 67.21(b), and

(3) SFPD commits to disclosing all non-exempt portions of public records, providing exact copies of records, and providing clear reference, such as by footnote, to statutory or case law justification for each and every redaction or withholding, in every response to a public records request, in accordance with the Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records Act, and will update its training and procedures to reflect these requirements, and

(3) SFPD shall publish Scott's letter, my complaint, my request, this document, and all (lawfully and correctly redacted) records responsive to this request, on the SFPD public website.

I intend to proceed to the full Task Force and seek an SOTF Order of Determination regarding all of the Respondents' violations in this case, unless Respondents commit, as specified above, to strict compliance with the Sunshine Ordinance going forward. This compromise does not involve the metadata-related allegations #A3, #A6, #A7, #A11, and #A12, as I understand SFPD is not willing at this time to provide the metadata.

Respectfully submitted,

ANONYMOUS
Complainant/Petitioner

[4] I do not agree to waive any other rights including for future, past, or other pending complaints before SOTF, nor do I waive any other rights whatsoever, including but not limited to rights of appeal before the Supervisor of Records or a court of law for this or any other complaint or records request. The sole offer is that I withdraw the specified allegations of this complaint from SOTF.

EXHIBIT A - Nov 13, Supervisor of Records Petition #2

Supervisor of Records,

** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **

Thank you for your response. There is no Exhibit A, but I will assume it is the same Exhibit A in your other responses. We do not concede any, and will contest all, of your arguments at SOTF and/or Court when the time comes.

However, some of the issues remain pending even under your analysis. You may consider this another 67.21(d) petition for a written determination whether any part of the records described below are public.

Re #4, 8, 9, 12 - If these records were simply produced properly even in PDF format, most of these issues would be solved, as PDFs can easily handle hyperlinks, color, images and formatting. I know the City knows how to produce proper PDFs of emails since most agencies do it, even the Police Commission itself. Unfortunately SFPD did not actually convert to PDF - they printed these electronic records on physical paper and scanned them back in, which destroys much of the information. For example, take a look at pg 27 of the record identified by SFPD as "Cdr_Inv_inbox1" - it is completely unintelligible due to the fact it is printed and scanned, and thus is not an exact copy of even the "screen" visible portion of the email. Disclosing all email copies as converted directly to PDF is completely practicable and must be done to produce additional public parts of the records, including but not limited to the unintelligible images on that pg 27.

Re #4 - You did not respond to the issue re: Cmdr Fong's records. His emails were not even provided, even in the supplemental production (see SFPD supplemental records "Cdr_GG_Fong_out1" and "Cdr_GG_Fong_in1"). Instead each responsive email was forwarded, creating a new record that does not have the same content as the original record. A copy of that forwarded email was then provided to us. This is not an "exact copy" of the responsive records as required under the CPRA for at least the following reasons:
(1) the forwarded email is a distinct record with a date *after* our request date,
(2) they are between Fong and Andraychak, but not between the original parties,
(3) they include additional descriptive comments by Fong in their body, and
(4) forwarding does not preserve all parts of the original email.
Please disclose a copy of the Fong responsive records, which at this point are still therefore being withheld. We know copies of emails are "practicable" as opposed to copies of forwards of emails, since other custodians provided them (subject to the other caveats throughout the petition).

Re #9, You have asked that I identify the hyperlinks further: I would like every hyperlink in each of the emails that were responsive to this request. They are public parts of these records, and I do not need to list them one by one. You, not I, are required to determine if *any part* of the records are public since of course *I cannot even know* which apparently underlined text may or may not be a hyperlink in the original record. I requested these emails in the original request, and the hyperlinks are parts of the responsive emails. Hyperlink URLs are generally typed in manually by the author of the email thus there can be no doubt that these are "writings" and thus a public record, regardless of the City's legal strategy against metadata. For example, to make a hyperlink you would generally highlight some part of the text, click a menu item to add a hyperlink, and then type in the link URL. I am not asking for the web pages at the

other end of the link, just the link URL itself (the content of the target webpages are not responsive records per my request). If the City just uses proper PDFs this problem should be solved.

Re #4 & 12 - You have argued that the PDF format is an acceptable reason to exclude metadata. However, we recently learned the City *does* produce PDF email public records with metadata in a fully redactable PDF form. So it is possible for SFPD to produce in the PDF format but still produce at least some public metadata. See for example Police Commission records request P008994-091119 for responsive PDF emails with fully accessible email addresses, hyperlinks, email headers, and other metadata. The City must produce directly converted PDFs of the emails which may at least include some additional metadata (including government email addresses).

Re #11 & 12 - you have miscited Gov Code 6253(a) because you have excluded the context of the limitation re: reasonable segregation. Your cited clause limits inspection (in person, during business hours), not copying, of records to reasonable segregable portions. As you know, inspection and receiving a copy are distinct rights the public has with respect to records under the CPRA. Furthermore, the Sunshine Ordinance (SFAC 67.26) requires minimal withholding, not reasonable segregation, regardless. I requested only copies.

Re #13 - Below is an example of a portion of the text message record "Cdr_Pete_Walsh_Risk_Management" (from pg 8 of the supplement).
Any normal user view of a text message would show who sent each of these messages. Was it Walsh or the other party? Who is the other party(ies)? This problem applies to all of the produced text messages. We have the identity of one party, but not the other parties, and we don't know which party sent which of the messages. We need these public part of a record for all disclosed text messages.

Example:
ThreadId MessageId Date (UTC) Network Message Type
AttachmentCount Body
2855834247 6459701350 7/15/19 20:24 AT&T Message 0
"""I have a 130. Come up after to touch base?"""
2855834247 6459701483 7/15/19 20:26 AT&T Message 0
"""I have a 2:00. I am free after that """
2855834247 6459701427 7/15/19 20:26 AT&T Message 0
"""Copy"""
2855834247 6459701425 7/15/19 20:26 AT&T Message 0
"""Copy"""
2855834247 6459883599 7/15/19 21:51 AT&T Message 0
"""Free?"""

Sincerely,
Anonymous

Respondent's Responsive Documents Exceed 200 Pages Please the SOTF Administrator For Complete Record

From: Andraychak, Michael (POL)
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 7:50 AM
Cc: CABRERA, ALICIA (CAT) <Alicia.Cabrera@sfcityatty.org>; Louie, Gerald (POL) <gerald.louie@sfgov.org>; Rueca, Robert (POL) <Robert.M.Rueca@sfgov.org>; Tomlinson, Joseph (POL) <Joseph.S.Tomlinson@sfgov.org>; Lobsinger, Adam (POL) <Adam.Lobsinger@sfgov.org>
Subject: Cal PRA for electronic communications P008260-071519

Chiefs, Commanders and Directors,

The Media Relations Unit was assigned a **new** CalPRA/Sunshine from "Anonymous" requesting for various forms of electronic communications from your work (and personal accounts when used for work purposes).

1. The PRA specifically requests the last 10 messages in your SFGOV email (received, sent, and outbox/draft) to be delivered in *electronic format including, meta data, headers, time stamps, attachments, etc.* AND the last 10 SMS/Text messages from your official government mobile phone account *including meta data.*

Due to the technical aspects of this request, Officer Gerald Louie of IT/Telecom is processing this portion of the request. Media Relations will check in with you upon receipt of the reports from Officer Louie.

The other portion of this request requires your attention. Please read the specific requests below to determine if you have responsive documents.

Item 2 below pertains to messages sent to/from your personal email accounts that are addressed to or received from any sfgov.org email address. Item 3 pertains to any SMS/text message on your personal account that are work related. If you do not use your personal email and/or mobile phone for work purposes, you would not have any responsive documents for items 2 and 3 below. IF this is the case, please reply and state that you have no responsive documents for items 2 and/or 3.

Items 4 and 5 request work related messages sent/received from any official or personal messaging services listed (Facebook Messenger, Telegram, Slack, Google Hangouts, and Signal. IF you do not use any of these accounts for work related purposes, please reply to that effect.

2. An electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable undrelevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM OR RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

and,

3. An electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

and,

4. An electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger, Telegram, Slack, Google Hangouts, Signal,]:

and,

5. An electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger, Telegram, Slack, Google Hangouts, Signal,], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

If you **do** have documents that are responsive to items 2-4, please advise me and I will work with IT to determine the best way to capture the electronic record complete with requested meta data.

Thanks for your time and assistance.

Michael Andraychak #457

Sergeant of Police

Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit

San Francisco Police Department

1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94158

(415) 837-7395

Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

Re: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519

Rueca, Robert (POL)
Fri 9/13/2019 3:42 PM

To: 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com <76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com>
Cc: Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>

22 attachments (114 KB)

AC Chaplain FOB x.txt; Ann Mannix redacted x.txt; Cdr GG Fong redacted. x.txt; Cdr Lazar CED redacted x.txt; Cdr MTA T Ewins x.txt; Cdr Pete Walsh Risk Management x.txt; Cdr Vaswani Investigations x.txt; Cdr. Dan Perea Texts x.txt; Chief Scott redacted x.txt; Commander ADMIN OSullivan x.txt; Daryl Fong redacted x.txt; David Stevenson redacted x.txt; DC Ali Airport Bureau SMS Text x.txt; DC REDMOND sob x.txt; Deirdre Hussey redacted. x.txt; Denise Flaherty redacted x.txt; Dir Maguire Strategic Mgmt x.txt; Dir Merritt CIO Technology x.txt; Dir Sanchez Forensics x.txt; Dir Sutton Crime Strategies x.txt; Greg Yee DC Admin x.txt; Robert Moser redacted x.txt;

Attached are Text Messages per your request. More emails are to come to fulfill your request on a rolling basis due to the volume and required redaction.

Officer Robert Rueca

From: SFPDMediaRelations, (POL) <sfpdmediarelations@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2019 8:54 AM
To: Rueca, Robert (POL) <Robert.M.Rueca@sfgov.org>; Andraychak, Michael (POL) <michael.andraychak@sfgov.org>; Lobsinger, Adam (POL) <Adam.Lobsinger@sfgov.org>; Tomlinson, Joseph (POL) <Joseph.S.Tomlinson@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fw: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519

From: 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com <76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2019 7:49 PM
To: SFPDMediaRelations, (POL) <sfpdmediarelations@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519

San Francisco Police Department
PRA Office
1245 3rd Street
SF, CA 94158

September 12, 2019
This is a follow up to request number P008260-071519:
** Please redact your responses correctly! This is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including emails, attachments, file shares, and the disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Once you send them to us, there's no going back. **
Sgt. Andraychak is I believe OOF on Fridays. Sending to mailing list.
SFPD,
Is your response for 76435 (your #P008260-071519) complete? I would like the final determination of whether or not responsive documents exist (regardless of whether they were exempt) for each request. I would also like all of your redaction & withholding justifications. Remember SFAC 67.26 requires: "Information that is exempt from disclosure shall be masked, deleted or otherwise segregated in order that the nonexempt portion of a requested record may be released, and **keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the appropriate justification** for withholding required by section 67.27 of this article." (emphasis mine) -- you need to be clear which justification is for every redaction and withholding.
Finally I would like the full set of disclosed documents sent by email please.
Sincerely,
Anonymous
Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1i8beI%3AC2xbu9rt3TyjO9lML-wzUEdGFLU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.
For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516
PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On Sept. 12, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
** Please redact your responses correctly! This is a public mailbox, and all of your responses

(including emails, attachments, file shares, and the disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Once you send them to us, there's no going back. **
SFPD,
Is your response for 76435 (your #P008260-071519) complete? I would like the final determination of whether or not responsive documents exist (regardless of whether they were exempt) for each request. I would also like all of your redaction & withholding justifications. Remember SFAC 67.26 requires: "Information that is exempt from disclosure shall be masked, deleted or otherwise segregated in order that the nonexempt portion of a requested record may be released, and **keyed by footnote or other clear reference to the appropriate justification** for withholding required by section 67.27 of this article." (emphasis mine) -- you need to be clear which justification is for every redaction and withholding.
Finally I would like the full set of disclosed documents sent by email please.
Sincerely,
Anonymous

On Sept. 3, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
Acknowledged, thank you.

On Sept. 3, 2019:
Subject: Public Records Request :: P008260-071519
--- Please respond above this line ---
September 03, 2019
Via email 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Anonymous
,
RE: Public Records Request, dated July 15, 2019, Reference # P008260-071519
Dear Anonymous:
The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated July 15, 2019, on September 03, 2019.
You requested, " This is a follow up to a previous request:"
To Whom It May Concern:
I wanted to follow up on the following California Public Records Act request, copied below, and originally submitted on July 2, 2019. Please let me know when I can expect to receive a response.
Thanks for your help, and let me know if further clarification is needed.
Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-

francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.
For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516
PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

On July 2, 2019:
Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD)
RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit
To Whom It May Concern:
** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **
We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.
Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office.
If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10 emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.
We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.
However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the generally used From/To/Subject/Sent/etc. For the chat apps, a screenshot or print-out is acceptable.
If you use PDF, use properly redacted searchable or text pdfs. Please don't use image PDFs to make it harder to analyze the records.
If you provide PDFs instead of original email files, only give a few of the headers or lacking attachments/images, and/or improperly withhold public records that exist on private accounts/devices you may be in violation of SF Admin Code and/or CPRA, and we may challenge your decision at the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Supervisor of Records, judicially, and/or via any other remedies available to us. I currently have pending petitions

to the Task Force and Supervisor of Records to correct prior disclosure failures of electronic information from various SF agencies.
You must justify all withholding.
Provide records in a rolling fashion. Do not wait for all records to be available.
Please provide only those copies of records available without any fees. If you determine certain records would require fees, please instead provide the required free notice of which of those records are available and non-exempt for inspection in-person if we so choose.
Please use email to respond.
I look forward to your prompt disclosure.
PART 1 - Email
A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails RECEIVED BY EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director
B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails SENT FROM EACH OFFICIAL government email account of
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director
C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails IN THE DRAFT or OUTBOX folder of EACH OFFICIAL government email account of the following. Please remember the special Sunshine exceptions to CPRA draft withholding under SF Admin Code 67.24(a).

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) SENT FROM EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, TO/CC/BCC any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 emails regarding the public's business (specifically those disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017)) RECEIVED BY EACH PERSONAL email account(s) of the following officials, FROM any sfgov.org email address. If NO such emails exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

PART 2 - Chat/Messaging

As used below "Conversations" include but are not limited to any metadata records showing that a conversation had taken place but is now deleted (due to expiration for example). Various types of apps are mentioned below.

A. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger]:

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

B. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Telegram]:

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

C. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Slack]:

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff

3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

D. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts]:

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

E. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [Signal]:

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

F. an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all OFFICIAL government account(s) of the following person in [SMS/MMS/text messages]:

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

G.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Facebook Messenger], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

H.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Telegram], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations

8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

I.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Slack], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

J.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Google Hangouts], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.

1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director

K.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or

group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [Signal], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director
L.an electronic copy, in the original electronic format, with all headers, metadata, timestamps, attachments, appendices, exhibits, and inline images, except those explicitly exempted by the Ordinance, of the most recent 10 conversations (whether individual or group chats) of all PERSONAL account(s) of the following person in [text/SMS/MMS messaging], solely to the extent that such conversations are regarding the public's business and disclosable under relevant statute and case law, including but not limited to City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017). If NO such conversations exist for each entry, remember you must state under Govt Code 6253(c) that there are no responsive records.
1. Chief of Police
2. Asst. Chief/Chief of Staff
3. Asst. Chief/Operations
4. Deputy Chief/Administration
5. Executive Director/Strategic Management
6. Deputy Chief/Field Operations
7. Deputy Chief/Investigations
8. Deputy Chief/Special Operations
9. Deputy Chief/Airport
10. every Commander
11. every Civilian Director
PART 3: all instruments used to inquire of each official as to whether they possess any responsive records above, and all of their responses
Sincerely,
Anonymous
Filed via MuckRock.com
E-mail (Preferred): 76435-93915115@requests.muckrock.com
Upload documents directly: https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?url_auth_token=AAAIhlTo97b9_LHR4GSfxVrJz9g%3A1hlrQ5%3AwP7oGkTVY5wnLxdkReQflr06gyU&next=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login%252Fsan-

francisco-police-department-367%252Femail-and-electronic-communications-audit-sfpd-76435%252F%253Femail%253Dsfpdmediarelations%252540sfgov.org
Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.
For mailed responses, please address (see note):
MuckRock News
DEPT MR 76435
411A Highland Ave
Somerville, MA 02144-2516
PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.
We have identified no responsive records from personal devices or accounts.
We are processing the request and have sent numerous emails containing responsive records from SFGOV email accounts. Also, sms/text messages from Department cell phones are being uploaded to the GovQA records portal.
Thank you for your attention.
Sincerely,
Michael Andraychak
Media Relations
415-837-7395
To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center. (https://u8387795.ct.sendgrid.net/wf/click?upn=Ow1KccipsoIsnXbuEgm-2FN-2B3KuyZ5YHnuXVUop6SBUj6Zl2gPWQsh2hjE0JdlwH66ZMXJDGxIkhWqlkMGRI8K8RdgfchCPn5hbqdWnlrcjvklsbezoDgEiXr0fpETGrLw_RS48PfEuBadMkLIhY2xrl2uthodKUGOQzPwgXQnJV8Phu7-2F4gUOxiqjyEwuJDKFH9hTPdLxRRGK3fw-2B3znbIdN6xXkfLOu-2FAGM3m8EkzF3hUbvUKQp9n-2FuWYT2JLhewp6p1TryqRq4-2BoyXthw-2BqomVNQVDLElkw20sSPSikowCZucvnpqh8rAxUwcOCVWQO22rQy-2FHs3qAMWTJPPeHPyAlpZ7UxY7I2iruBS893tuw5scTecYQiusoIMzZJLLHfM2Nnu5OlOVX-2BFKM22sqyl8lR3nSHXwh9EqV5OB5-2BQ1xrz34fC-2B4KChAvv985tlcXGC7g13rqNHUJUNPOLYNx2Q9b-2BDL3DZMA4Zco4FA8oav7GagVLUXEmc-2FgHSIbHZhKL15JgTpoSLanP1F-2FhlCLR3g-3D-3D)
This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

On Sept. 3, 2019:
Subject: Re: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
Hello,
We have identified no responsive records from personal devices or accounts.
We are processing the request and have sent numerous emails containing responsive records from SFGOV email accounts. Also, sms/text messages from Department cell phones are being uploaded to the GovQA records portal.

Michael Andraychak #457
Sergeant of Police
Officer in Charge - Media Relations Unit
San Francisco Police Department
1245 - 3rd Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
(415) 837-7395
Regular Days Off: Fri, Sat, Sun

On Sept. 1, 2019:
Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request #P008260-071519
** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **
What is the status of this request? Have all the City of San Jose v Superior Court (2017) searches of personal property been conducted?

On July 2, 2019:
Subject: California Public Records Act Request: Email and Electronic Communications Audit (SFPD)
RE: Email and Electronic Communications Audit
To Whom It May Concern:
** NOTE: this is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the MuckRock.com service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). **
We request under the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance (Ordinance) and the California Public Records Act (CPRA) the following items from the SFPD.
Similar requests were recently made of the Board of Supervisors, Clerk, and Mayor's Office.
If a person has multiple email addresses (including but not limited to email aliases), 10 emails from each are requested. For example the Mayor may have a public-facing email alias and also an email address she uses to do business internally- 10 from each are requested. Please do not include spam or product advertisement emails.
We remind you of your obligations to provide electronic records in the original format you hold them in. Therefore, e-mails exported in the .eml or .msg format with all non-exempt headers, metadata, attachments, etc. are best.
However, if you choose to convert emails, for example, to PDF or printed format, to easily redact them, you must ensure that you have preserved the full content of the original email record (as specified in request "A"), which contains many detailed headers beyond the