

File No. 22014

Item No. 8

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE
AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

Date: December 7, 2022

<input type="checkbox"/>	Petition/Complaint	Page: <u> </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Memorandum - Deputy City Attorney	Page: <u> </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Petitioner/Complainant Supporting Documents	Page: <u>4</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Respondent's Response	Page: <u>285</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Correspondence	Page: <u> </u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order of Determination	Page: <u>2</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minutes	Page: <u> </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Administrator's Report	Page: <u> </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	No Attachments	

OTHER

Completed by: V. Young Date 12/2/22

* An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages.
The complete document is in the file on a disk

ORDER OF DETERMINATION

October 5, 2022

DATE DECISION ISSUED

September 7, 2022

CASE TITLE - File No. 22014

Complaint filed by Sergei Severinov against Lt. Lynn Reilly and the Police Department for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21(b), by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

HEARING AND ACTION ON THE COMPLAINT

On April 19, 2022, the Complaint Committee acting in its capacity to hear petitions/complaints heard the matter.

Moved by Chair Schmidt, seconded by Member Stein, to find that the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (SOTF) has jurisdiction, find that the requested records are public and to refer the matter to the SOTF for hearing and requested the SOTF determine if there is a violation of Administrative Code, Sunshine Ordinance, Sections 67.21(b) by failing to provide the requested records in a complete and timely manner and 67.21(c) by failing to assist the requestor in obtaining their records.

On September 7, 2022, the SOTF held a hearing to review the recommendation form committee and/or to review the merits of the petition/complaint.

Moved by Chair Yankee, seconded by Member Wolfe, to find that the Police Department violated Administrative Code, Sunshine Ordinance Section(s) 67.21(c) by failing to provide assistance in finding the records and 67.27 by failing to provide an explicit justification in writing for withholding records.

The SOTF ordered the Police Department to provide more extensive assistance in locating the requested records and further orders the Police Department to provide a justification or location of the existence of records for each of the 25 separate requests in the Complainant's overall request. The SOTF referred the matter to the Compliance and Amendments Committee for monitoring.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 9 - Yankee, Wolfe, Wong, Hyland, Neighbors, LaHood,
Padmanabhan, Stein, Schmidt

Noes: 0 - None

Absent: 1 - Hill

Matt Yankee, Chair
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

cc. Sergei Severinov (Complainant)
Lt. Lynn Reilly, Police Department (Respondent)

COMPLAINANT DOCUMENTS

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Complaint Summary

File No. 22014

Sergei Severinov vs Public Works

Date filed with SOTF: 2/16/22

Contact information (Complainant information listed first):

Sergei Severinov (serolga@yahoo.com) (Complainant)

Lynn Reilly and the Police Department (Respondent)

File No. 22014: Complaint filed by Sergei Severinov against Lt. Lynn Reilly and the Police Department for allegedly violating Administrator Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

Administrative Summary if applicable:

Complainant requested all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:

1. Sergei Severinov, an individual
2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,
3. Ivan Severinov, an individual
4. Vera Severinova, an individual,
6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department
7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;
8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals
9. detentions of Sergei Severinov
10. arrests of Sergei Severinov
11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova
12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova
13. detentions of Ivan Severinov
14. arrests of Ivan Severinov
15. detentions of Vera Severinova
16. arrests of Vera Severinova
17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;
18. CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.
19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco
22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco
23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco
24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of

SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.

25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

February 16, 2022 Respondent response provided:

- information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq..
- The Crime Information Services Division (“CISD”) is responsible for release of incident reports. If there is a specific incident report you are interested in obtaining, please submit your request via the Incident Report Request Portal.
- For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826.

Complaint Attached.

Leger, Cheryl (BOS)

From: Google Forms <sfbdsupvrs@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 9:34 PM
To: SOTF, (BOS)
Subject: New Response Complaint Form

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your form has a new entry.
Here are the results.

Complaint against which Department or Commission	Police Department
---	-------------------

Name of individual contacted at Department or Commission	Lynn Reilly #1141
---	-------------------

Alleged Violation	Public Records
--------------------------	----------------

Please describe alleged violation	refusal to disclose any records
--	---------------------------------

Date	02/16/2022
Name	Sergei Severinov
Address	2151 Grove street
City	San Francisco
Zip	94117
Telephone	4159909062
Email	<u>serolga@yahoo.com</u>

Sent via [Google Form Notifications](#)

Immediate Disclosure Request

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as "SFPD" in the sequel);

This is a request for Information and disclosure under
California Public Records Act

and *San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance*.

I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:

1. Sergei Severinov, an individual
2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,
3. Ivan Severinov, an individual
4. Vera Severinova, an individual,
6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department
7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;
8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals
9. detentions of Sergei Severinov
10. arrests of Sergei Severinov
11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova
12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova
13. detentions of Ivan Severinov
14. arrests of Ivan Severinov
15. detentions of Vera Severinova
16. arrests of Vera Severinova
17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;
- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.
19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco
22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco
23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco
24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.
25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
POLICE DEPARTMENT
HEADQUARTERS
1245 3RD Street
San Francisco, California 94158



WILLIAM SCOTT
CHIEF OF POLICE

February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

You requested, "*Immediate Disclosure Request*"

To: *San Francisco Police Department (referred to as "SFPD" in the sequel);*

*This is a request for Information and disclosure under
California Public Records Act
and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.*

*I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to,
and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:*

- 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual*
- 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,*
- 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual*
- 4. Vera Severinova, an individual,*
- 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually,
and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of,
and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department*
- 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*
- 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals*
- 9. detentions of Sergei Severinov*
- 10. arrests of Sergei Severinov*
- 11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova*
- 12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova*
- 13. detentions of Ivan Severinov*
- 14. arrests of Ivan Severinov*
- 15. detentions of Vera Severinova*
- 16. arrests of Vera Severinova*
- 17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;*
- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.*
- 19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22,*

2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco

22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco

23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco

24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.

25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova.”

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individuals. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

Incident Reports

The Crime Information Services Division (“CISD”) is responsible for release of incident reports. If there is a specific incident report you are interested in obtaining, please submit your request via the Incident Report Request Portal. The link is below. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7226.

Incident Report Request

[https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/\(S\(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543\)\)/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDM LXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3](https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543))/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDM LXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3)

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

Re: Public Records Request :: P062599-021522

From: Sergei Severinov (serolga@yahoo.com)

To: sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net

Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:50 PM PST

Your refusal of my request is unlawful.

I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions

between myself and my family members and SFPD.

I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021

I demand that you provide all responsive records.

I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure

of Request for Immediate Disclosure.

sergei severinov

On Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:36:41 PM PST, San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net> wrote:

Attachments:

[DEM - Public records form with instructions 2021.pdf](#)

[P62599 - 2.16.22_sfpd_response.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---



February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022, Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

In response to your request, please see attached document(s).

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

Leger, Cheryl (BOS)

From: Sergei Severinov <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 4:47 PM
To: SOTF, (BOS)
Subject: Re: New Response Complaint Form,
Attachments: sfpd_response_records_denial_reilly__1141.pdf; My_reminder_Re_Public Records Request __ P062599-021522.pdf; request_records_sfpd_feb_2022.pdf; sfpd_all_records_public.pdf

Ms. Cheryl Leger

Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

I do not understand how you can request ``responsive records" given that Police Department had refused to do any search for records.

I have attached my request, the Police Department's letter stating that they refuse to search for records, my email reiterating my request and the printout from police Department website stating that all records are presumed public. Please schedule my case for the next committee hearing per San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Sergei Severinov

On Tuesday, February 22, 2022, 08:41:01 AM PST, SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Dear Mr. Severinov: I am in receipt of and thank you for your google form below. In order to process your complaint I will need your initial request and any responsive records you may have. I have opened file no. 22014 on your behalf so please use this number when corresponding with me. Thank you.

Cheryl Leger

Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Cheryl.Leger@sfgov.org

Tel: 415-554-7724

Fax: 415-554-5163

https://url.avanan.click/v2/___www.sfbos.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpiOTQ2Mjg4YzkyM2VIMWI0NTljZWFiNTAyODkzMGMwZTo1OjMxMjQ6YjViMGZmOGZkNmQwNmE0ZjRkYjk3ZDFIN2FjNTNmY2FhOGZiMzEyZTM4MmY5ZGU5ZjliMDkzMjcxMGFIYjk4Mjp0Ok4



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

1998. The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Google Forms <sfbdsupvrs@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 9:34 PM
To: SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Subject: New Response Complaint Form



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your form has a new entry.
Here are the results.

Complaint against which Police Department
Department or Commission

**Name of individual
contacted at Department
or Commission** Lynn Reilly #1141

Alleged Violation Public Records

**Please describe alleged
violation** refusal to disclose any records

Date 02/16/2022

Name Sergei Severinov

Address 2151 Grove street

City San Francisco

Zip 94117

Telephone 4159909062

Email serolga@yahoo.com

Sent via [Google Form Notifications](#)



Public Records Portal

[MENU](#)

Public Records Menu

Select Language ▼

FAQs

[See All Fast FAQs](#) 🔍[Obtain an Existing
Police Report](#)[Requesting Public
Records from the
Police Department](#)[Public Records Policy
& Procedures](#)[Fees for Public
Records](#)[Department Records
that are Subject to
Disclosure](#)

Question:

What records are subject to disclosure by the San Francisco Police Department?

Answer:

Every record made or received by the Department is presumed to be a public record that members of the public and/or press may inspect or obtain a copy of upon request. Some records in the possession of the Department are exempt from public disclosure under federal, state and/or local law. Examples of records the Department is prohibited from disclosing or may decline to disclose include: personnel, medical or similar files the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; confidential communications between the Department and lawyers for the City protected by the attorney-client privilege; and proprietary financial information submitted to the Department in response to a request for proposal prior to award of a contract. If a responsive record contains both exempt and non-exempt information, the Department will redact the exempt material and make the remainder of the record available to the requesting party.

The Department is not required to respond to interrogatories or to create a document in response to a public records request. In addition, the Department is not required to respond to prospective requests.

GovQA is an SFPD authorized third party vendor which facilitates incident report request services for CISU. SFPD accepts no liability for the accuracy of content on the linked GovQA webpages. Members of the public may still make requests using U.S. mail or email by using the Incident Report Request Form(s). Those requests will be manually entered and tracked in the Incident Report Request Portal by a member of CISU. An account on the Incident Report Request Portal can be created to track the request online.



April 10, 2022

Sergei Severinov

Complaint regarding lack of disclosure and incomplete disclosure of records
by Department of Police Accountability:

SOTF File No. **22014** scheduled for hearing on April 19, 2022

Honorable Members of the Committee
of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

We are an immigrant family in San Francisco. Myself, my wife and my children have been harassed, intimidated, insulted with racial slurs, abused and racially profiled by SFPD. We have filed multiple complaints about SFPD with San Francisco Office of Citizens Complaints and Department of Police Accountability over the years.

SFPD is known for its racism and biased policing. SFPD officers have authored multiple racist electronic communications (articles from Los Angeles Times and New York Times attached).

Having been repeatedly victimized by SFPD racism, we want to know what records SFPD keeps on us and all records of our interaction with SFPD, and we have the right to do so under California Public Records Law and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

Accordingly, I have submitted a request for records to SFPD (attached) on February 15, 2022.

In response (attached), SFPD had refused to release any records.

Their response reveals that SFPD had refused to search for any records.

To justify their refusal, SFPD had impermissively narrowed down the interpretation of my request stating that ``SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individuals.”

SFPD has not right no narrow my request, in which I have demanded all records dealing and/or related to myself, Sergei Severinov, my wife, Olga Tikhonnova and my children, Vera and Ivan Severinov, with me. They have also have an obligation to keep redactions and withholdings to a minimum.

San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records law presume all records to be public. SFPD is obligated under these law to search for all records, examine them and disclose all public information. It is unlawful for them to conclude that a record or records are exempt from disclosure without examining the records.

Their failure to do a search is the most egregious violation of the law.

It is also unlawful for SFPD to refuse to search and release records, such as Incident reports, by stating that they have established a different procedure for release of such records. San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records require SFPD to release all records to me in response to my request, as long as I have submitted it to an address or fax or SFPD portal and it had reached them. SFPD had no right to deny my request by referring to an additional procedure.

As an example of an existing public record which should have been disclosed by SFPD but had not been disclosed, I am attaching a record that had been created by SFPD: an Office of Citizens’ Complaints form filled out by SFPD’s officer Apodaca, #303, from Chief of Police Office, on Nov 25, 2009.

Sergei Severinov

Immediate Disclosure Request

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as ``SFPD'' in the sequel);

This is a request for Information and disclosure under

California Public Records Act

and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:

1. Sergei Severinov, an individual
2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,
3. Ivan Severinov, an individual
4. Vera Severinova, an individual,
6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department
7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;
8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals
9. detentions of Sergei Severinov
10. arrests of Sergei Severinov
11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova
12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova
13. detentions of Ivan Severinov
14. arrests of Ivan Severinov
15. detentions of Vera Severinova
16. arrests of Vera Severinova
17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;
- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.
19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco
22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco
23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco
24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.
25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova

Re: Public Records Request :: P062599-021522

From: Sergei Severinov (serolga@yahoo.com)

To: sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net

Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:50 PM PST

Your refusal of my request is unlawful.

I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions

between myself and my family members and SFPD.

I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021

I demand that you provide all responsive records.

I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure

of Request for Immediate Disclosure.

sergei severinov

On Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:36:41 PM PST, San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net> wrote:

Attachments:

[DEM - Public records form with instructions 2021.pdf](#)

[P62599 - 2.16.22_sfpd_response.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---



February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022, Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

In response to your request, please see attached document(s).

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: New Response Complaint Form
Date: Tuesday, February 22, 2022 4:47:42 PM
Attachments: [sotf_response_research_denial_reilly_1111.pdf](#)
[My_reminder_Re_Public_Records_Request_P062599-021522.pdf](#)
[openst_records_sotf_feb_2022.pdf](#)
[sotf_all_records_public.pdf](#)
[www.sotf.org](#)

Ms. Cheryl Leger

Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

I do not understand how you can request ``responsive records`` given that Police Department had refused to do any search for records.

I have attached my request, the Police Department's letter stating that they refuse to search for records, my email reiterating my request and the printout from police Department website stating that all records are presumed public. Please schedule my case for the next committee hearing per San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.
Sergei Severinov

On Tuesday, February 22, 2022, 08:41:01 AM PST, SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Dear Mr. Severinov: I am in receipt of and thank you for your google form below. In order to process your complaint I will need your initial request and any responsive records you may have. I have opened file no. 22014 on your behalf so please use this number when corresponding with me. Thank you.

Cheryl Leger


Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Cheryl.Leger@sfgov.org

Tel: 415-554-7724

Fax: 415-554-5163

https://url.avanan.click/v2/___www.sfbos.org___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6b2pOTQ2Mjg4YzkyMzVMWj0NTjZlZWFiNTAyODkzMGMwZT01OjMxMjQ6YjVIMGZmOGZkNmQwNmE0ZjRkYjk3ZDFiZjNTNmY2FhOGZlMzEyZTM4MmY5ZGU5ZjlmZDkzMjc0MGFiYjI0Mj00k4

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Google Forms <sfboardsuprvs@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2022 9:34 PM
To: SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org>
Subject: New Response Complaint Form

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Your form has a new entry.
Here are the results.

Complaint against which Department or Commission	Police Department
Name of individual contacted at Department or Commission	Lynn Reilly #1141
Alleged Violation	Public Records
Please describe alleged violation	refusal to disclose any records
Date	02/16/2022
Name	Sergei Severinov
Address	2151 Grove street
City	San Francisco
Zip	94117
Telephone	4159909062
Email	serolga@yahoo.com

Sent via [Google Form Notifications](#)

Immediate Disclosure Request

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as ``SFPD'' in the sequel);

This is a request for Information and disclosure under

California Public Records Act

and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:

1. Sergei Severinov, an individual
2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,
3. Ivan Severinov, an individual
4. Vera Severinova, an individual,
6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department
7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;
8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals
9. detentions of Sergei Severinov
10. arrests of Sergei Severinov
11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova
12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova
13. detentions of Ivan Severinov
14. arrests of Ivan Severinov
15. detentions of Vera Severinova
16. arrests of Vera Severinova
17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;
- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.
19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco
22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco
23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco
24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.
25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova



Public Records Portal

[MENU](#)

Public Records Menu

Select Language ▼

FAQs

[See All Fast FAQs](#) 🔍[Obtain an Existing Police Report](#)[Requesting Public Records from the Police Department](#)[Public Records Policy & Procedures](#)[Fees for Public Records](#)[Department Records that are Subject to Disclosure](#)

Question:

What records are subject to disclosure by the San Francisco Police Department?

Answer:

Every record made or received by the Department is presumed to be a public record that members of the public and/or press may inspect or obtain a copy of upon request. Some records in the possession of the Department are exempt from public disclosure under federal, state and/or local law. Examples of records the Department is prohibited from disclosing or may decline to disclose include: personnel, medical or similar files the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; confidential communications between the Department and lawyers for the City protected by the attorney-client privilege; and proprietary financial information submitted to the Department in response to a request for proposal prior to award of a contract. If a responsive record contains both exempt and non-exempt information, the Department will redact the exempt material and make the remainder of the record available to the requesting party.

The Department is not required to respond to interrogatories or to create a document in response to a public records request. In addition, the Department is not required to respond to prospective requests.

GovQA is an SFPD authorized third party vendor which facilitates incident report request services for CISU. SFPD accepts no liability for the accuracy of content on the linked GovQA webpages. Members of the public may still make requests using U.S. mail or email by using the Incident Report Request Form(s). Those requests will be manually entered and tracked in the Incident Report Request Portal by a member of CISU. An account on the Incident Report Request Portal can be created to track the request online.



From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: SOTF - Complaint Committee hearing, April 19, 2022; 5:30 PM; remote meeting; PLEASE CONFIRM YOUR APPEARANCE AT THE COMMITTEE HEARING
Date: Monday, April 4, 2022 4:32:19 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sheryl,

I am writing to request changes in the announcement and the agenda for April 19, 2022 hearing that you have just emailed.

(1) My complaint 22014 is based on Sunshins Ordinance Section(s) 67.21(b).

The letter ``b" is missing in your agenda. It is important since to add this to distinguish this complaint from my complaint 22020 which is based on section (c).

(2) My complaint 22020 has a different allegation from the one that you have listed. AS I have stated on my original intake form, my allegations in this complaint are:

(i) failure to assist in identifying the existence, form, and nature of any records or information responsive to my request maintained by, available to, or in custody of SFPD whether or not the contents of those records are exempt from disclosure;
(ii) failure to provide in writing within seven days following receipt of a request, a statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to my request.

Please make these two corrections to your records and the agenda.

Thanks

sergei severinov

(1) failure to assist in identifying the existence, form, and nature of any records or information responsive to my request maintained by, available to, or in custody of SFPD whether or not the contents of those records are exempt from disclosure; (2) failure to provide in writing within seven days following receipt of a request, a statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to my request.

On Monday, April 4, 2022, 03:36:18 PM PDT, SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Good Afternoon:

Notice is hereby given that the Complaint Committee (Committee) of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (Task Force) shall hold hearings on complaints listed below to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the Task Force. ***Please confirm your appearance at the Committee hearing.***

Date: April 19, 2022

Location: Remote Meeting

Time: 5:30 p.m.

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance, the custodian of records or a representative of your department, who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.

1. **File No. 20063:** Complaint filed by Anonymous against Paul Henderson and the Department of Police Accountability for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Sections 67.26 for not providing legal justification for redactions and 67.29.5 for not providing the place and issues discussed in Prop G calendar.
2. **File No. 20064:** Complaint filed by Anonymous against the Police Commission or allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section 67.21(b) by failing to respond to a records request in a timely manner and 67.25(b) declaration of 14-day instead of a 10-day extension.
3. **File No. 22014:** Complaint filed by Sergei Severinov against Lt. Lynn Reilly and the Police Department for allegedly violating Administrator Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.
4. **File No. 22020:** Complaint filed by Sergei Severinov against the Police Department for allegedly violating Administrator Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21(c), by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely

and/or complete manner.

5. **File No. 22022:** Complaint filed by Stiliyan Bejanski against the Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector for allegedly violating Administrator Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.25 and Government Code, Section 6253(c), by failing to respond to an Immediate Disclosure Request in a timely and/or complete manner.

6. **File No. 22015:** Complaint filed by Anonymous (MUC) against the Police Department for allegedly violating Administrator Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.25(d), 67.69-7(a); and 67.34, by failing to respond to an Immediate Disclosure Request in a timely and/or complete manner; failing to provide records on a rolling basis; failing to preserve and maintain records; and willfully violating the Sunshine Ordinance.

7. **File No. 22018:** Complaint filed by Peter Drekmeier against the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission for allegedly violating Administrator Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

Documentation (evidence supporting/disputing complaint)

For a document to be considered, it must be received at least five (5) working days before the hearing (see attached Public Complaint Procedure).

For inclusion in the agenda packet, supplemental/supporting documents must be received by **5:00 pm, April 14, 2022.**

Cheryl Leger

Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Tel: 415-554-7724



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

April 10, 2022

Sergei Severinov

Complaint regarding lack of disclosure and incomplete disclosure of records
by Department of Police Accountability:

SOTF File No. **22014** scheduled for hearing on April 19, 2022

Honorable Members of the Committee
of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

We are an immigrant family in San Francisco. Myself, my wife and my children have been harassed, intimidated, insulted with racial slurs, abused and racially profiled by SFPD. We have filed multiple complaints about SFPD with San Francisco Office of Citizens Complaints and Department of Police Accountability over the years.

SFPD is known for its racism and biased policing. SFPD officers have authored multiple racist electronic communications (articles from Los Angeles Times and New York Times attached).

Having been repeatedly victimized by SFPD racism, we want to know what records SFPD keeps on us and all records of our interaction with SFPD, and we have the right to do so under California Public Records Law and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

Accordingly, I have submitted a request for records to SFPD (attached) on February 15, 2022.

In response (attached), SFPD had refused to release any records.

Their response reveals that SFPD had refused to search for any records.

To justify their refusal, SFPD had impermissively narrowed down the interpretation of my request stating that ``SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individuals.”

SFPD has not right no narrow my request, in which I have demanded all records dealing and/or related to myself, Sergei Severinov, my wife, Olga Tikhonnova and my children, Vera and Ivan Severinov, with me. They have also have an obligation to keep redactions and withholdings to a minimum.

San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records law presume all records to be public. SFPD is obligated under these law to search for all records, examine them and disclose all public information. It is unlawful for them to conclude that a record or records are exempt from disclosure without examining the records.

Their failure to do a search is the most egregious violation of the law.

It is also unlawful for SFPD to refuse to search and release records, such as Incident reports, by stating that they have established a different procedure for release of such records. San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records require SFPD to release all records to me in response to my request, as long as I have submitted it to an address or fax or SFPD portal and it had reached them. SFPD had no right to deny my request by referring to an additional procedure.

As an example of an existing public record which should have been disclosed by SFPD but had not been disclosed, I am attaching a record that had been created by SFPD: an Office of Citizens’ Complaints form filled out by SFPD’s officer Apodaca, #303, from Chief of Police Office, on Nov 25, 2009.

Sergei Severinov

February 24, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

On February 16, SFPD acknowledged and provided a response to your Immediate Disclosure request.

On the same date, you sent a follow up response, as follows:

“Your refusal of my request is unlawful. I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions between myself and my family members and SFPD. I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021I demand that you provide all responsive records. I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure of Request for Immediate Disclosure.”

You requested, *“Immediate Disclosure Request*

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as “SFPD” in the sequel);

*This is a request for Information and disclosure under
California Public Records Act
and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.*

*I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to,
and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:*

- 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual*
- 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,*
- 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual*
- 4. Vera Severinova, an individual,*
- 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually,
and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of,
and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department*
- 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*
- 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals*

9. detentions of Sergei Severinov
10. arrests of Sergei Severinov
11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova
12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova
13. detentions of Ivan Severinov
14. arrests of Ivan Severinov
15. detentions of Vera Severinova
16. arrests of Vera Severinova
17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;
- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.

19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco
22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco
23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco
24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.
25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova.”

SFPD stands by it's initial response of February 16. As for your request for records on the above-referenced individuals, SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

As for your requests relating to a specific incident that occurred on September 22, 2021, based on the information you provided for that incident, SFPD was unable to locate a responsive incident report. However, you may submit a Public Records request with DEM for any calls for service.

Incident Reports

The Crime Information Services Division (“CISD”) is responsible for release of incident reports. For any specific incident reports you are interested in obtaining, please submit your request via the Incident Report Request Portal. The link is below. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7226.

Incident Report Request

[https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/\(S\(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543\)\)/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3](https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543))/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3)

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

Re: Public Records Request :: P062599-021522

From: Sergei Severinov (serolga@yahoo.com)

To: sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net

Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:50 PM PST

Your refusal of my request is unlawful.

I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions

between myself and my family members and SFPD.

I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021

I demand that you provide all responsive records.

I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure

of Request for Immediate Disclosure.

sergei severinov

On Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:36:41 PM PST, San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net> wrote:

Attachments:

[DEM - Public records form with instructions 2021.pdf](#)

[P62599 - 2.16.22_sfpd_response.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---



February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022, Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

In response to your request, please see attached document(s).

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

ADVERTISEMENT

CALIFORNIA

More racist text messages uncovered among San Francisco police officers

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). Close

SUBSCRIBE |



Former San Francisco Police Chief Greg Suhr, who resigned earlier this year. (Eric Risberg / Associated Press)

BY JOSEPH SERNA

APRIL 27, 2016 10:55 AM PT



Newly disclosed text messages in which a trio of San Francisco Police Department officers referred to minorities as “barbarians,” “cockroaches” and other slurs should trigger an even larger review of past criminal cases for signs of racial bias, according to the city’s public defender.

At a Tuesday news conference, San Francisco Public Defender Jeff Adachi revealed details of text messages traded among

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

[RELATED: Top L.A. County sheriff's official sent emails mocking Muslims, blacks, Latinos and women](#)

The messages were discovered during a recent internal affairs investigation and add to a growing list of racist emails and texts traded among department officers. Already, the racist communications have resulted in the dismissal of 13 pending criminal cases.

“When we’re talking about bias policing and racial profiling, there is a direct connection to these associations being made by officers,” Adachi said. “That’s the kind of mentality that tells you it’s OK to shoot, OK to kill, OK to arrest” people of color.

ADVERTISEMENT

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

The department said the latest texts were uncovered during a department investigation into allegations that an officer had committed a sexual assault while off-duty. The officer, Jason Lai, has been charged with misdemeanor counts of unlawful access and use of criminal and motor vehicle databases.

Police Chief Greg Suhr said the three officers mentioned at Tuesday's news conference have left the department. A fourth officer is also facing discipline, officials said.

In addition to the other slurs, Lai also used a derogatory term in Chinese to refer to black people and followed that up with a text message referring to them as "a pack of wild animals on the loose."

[Join the conversation on Facebook >>](#)

"Anytime an officer presents him or herself to be this way, they will be gone," Suhr said. "We will not have this in the San Francisco police force."

Suhr pledged to have all officers undergo training for implicit bias -- the theory that they are unconsciously treating or viewing a certain population differently without realizing it. Adachi said that responsibility in changing the department's culture starts with its officers, not its chief.

"You can have the chief say 'We need officers themselves,'" Adachi said. "I r

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

The texts were the second time an investigation has unearthed blatant racism among San Francisco police officers. A court filing by federal prosecutors last year revealed that 14 other officers had either sent or received [text messages](#) in previous years.

The previous scandal led to the dismissal of 13 pending criminal cases and a [review of 3,000](#) more. Suhr tried to fire several of the 14 officers, but a judge ruled that the department had waited too long to discipline them. That ruling is now on appeal.

Adachi said Tuesday that the recent texts will require at least 207 criminal cases to be reviewed.

For breaking California news, follow [@JosephSerna](#) on Twitter.

ALSO

[LAUSD police officer charged with attempted sex trafficking of a child](#)

[Chyna died of accidental overdose of Valium and Ambien, her manager says](#)

[Police recover \\$600,000 in \\$1 bills during arrest of suspected skid row drug kingpin](#)

CALIFORNIA




The sto

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

[SIGN ME UP](#)

You may occasionally receive promotional content from the Los Angeles Times.



Joseph Serna

[Twitter](#)[Instagram](#)[Email](#)[Facebook](#)

Joseph Serna is the deputy editor of culture and talent at the Los Angeles Times and helps oversee its career training and recruitment efforts.

SUBSCRIBERS ARE READING

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

CALIFORNIA

17 L.A. gangs have sent out crews to follow and rob city’s wealthiest, LAPD says

TRAVEL

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

What’s happening in Joshua Tree is a ‘dream’ — and possibly a curse

REAL ESTATE

What \$500,000 buys in five L.A. County communities

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

SUBSCRIBERS ARE READING >

WORLD & NATION

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

A transgender psychologist has helped hundreds of teens transition. But rising numbers have her concerned

CALIFORNIA

17 L.A. gangs have sent out crews to follow and rob city's wealthiest, LAPD says

TRAVEL

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

What's happening in Joshua Tree is a 'dream' — and possibly a curse

REAL ESTATE

What \$500,000 buys in five L.A. County communities

DODGERS

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

Baseball reveres Jackie Robinson, but Robinson didn't revere baseball. Here's why

ADVERTISEMENT

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

**SUBSCRIBE |**

LATEST CALIFORNIA >

CALIFORNIA

A San Diego County school chief's comments about Asian students spur backlash, apology

1 hour ago

CALIFORNIA

After 'Real Housewives' scandal, scathing audit says California fails to stop corrupt lawyers

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Riverside County teacher arrested on suspicion of sending explicit photos to student

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Two men in Maserati are struck in drive-by shooting in South L.A.

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Garcetti vows a 'safer city' in final State of the City speech

46 minutes ago

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

Subscribe for unlimited access

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). Close

SUBSCRIBE |

- eNewspaper
- Coupons
- Find/Post Jobs
- Place an Ad
- Media Kit: Why the L. A. Times?
- Bestcovery

Copyright © 2022, Los Angeles Times | [Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [CA Notice of Collection](#) | [Do Not Sell My Personal Information](#)

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). Close

SUBSCRIBE |

San Francisco Police Chief Releases Officers' Racist Texts

By Thomas Fuller

April 29, 2016

SAN FRANCISCO — Police Chief Gregory P. Suhr on Friday announced that all officers on the San Francisco force would be required to complete anti-bias training as he released nine pages of racist text messages between three officers that further tarnished the image of a department under federal investigation.

“We have nothing to hide,” said Chief Suhr. “These are the actions of a few.”

But the city’s public defender and experts on criminal justice said the texts appeared to reveal a deep culture of bias in the 2,000-member force that contradicts the city’s image of tolerance and liberalism.

“These texts evidence a deep culture of racial hatred and animus against blacks, Latinos, gays and even South Asians,” Jeff Adachi, the public defender, said in an interview. “It can no longer be said to be an isolated problem.”

A number of police departments, including those in Miami and Los Angeles, have had problems with racist messages sent by their officers. Experts in criminal justice have debated how to address bias, both conscious and unconscious.

The text messages released Friday use crude and strongly disparaging language against blacks and other minorities and were discovered as part of an investigation into a rape charge against one of the officers.

They come a year after a scandal involving 14 officers who also exchanged racist messages. An attempt to fire some of the 14 was rejected by a Superior Court judge, who said the statute of limitation had expired. Most of those officers remain on the force. Of the three officers whose messages were released Friday, one has retired and two have resigned.

Some of the messages released Friday had been previously made public by the public defender’s office, which is reopening the files on 207 cases that were handled by the three officers who sent the messages.

Mr. Adachi said the messages were highly consequential.

“These are people who have the power to arrest and the power to kill somebody,” he said. “If you’re thinking, ‘This is a wild animal or this is a crazed black man who’s going to hurt me,’ that’s when you might pull the trigger. That’s where it becomes scary.”

Chief Suhr also announced measures on Friday that would re-evaluate the use of force by officers. Two men wielding knives, an African-American and a Latino, have been fatally shot by the police since December in what critics say was unnecessary use of force.

Among other things, the chief said the department would now require officers to document any time they point their weapons at someone. He also announced measures requiring officers to carry helmets, long batons and gloves in their vehicles “to create time and distance from a person with a weapon short of a firearm.”

Eugene O’Donnell, a former New York City police officer who is now a professor at the John Jay College of Criminal Justice, said that racist texts in San Francisco were a marker of more complex and multicultural times. Two of the three officers are Asian-Americans.

“The traditional black/white narrative is changing,” he said.

Mr. O’Donnell said that firing racist officers is the “easy part” but that changing the mentality of officers is much harder. He is skeptical that lectures on diversity and tolerance are effective.

“Lecturing officers is not going to work,” he said. “If you tell the cops, ‘Don’t tell us what you really think,’ that fuels a backlash.”

The text messages released Friday had names and dates redacted. But in what appears to be a measure of the seriousness of the problem within the police force, the texts were sent last year, just as the scandal of the 14 officers exchanging racist messages was raging.

One text message released on Friday featured the image of a badly burned turkey and the words “Is that a Ferguson turkey,” an apparent reference to the St. Louis suburb where Michael Brown, a black teenager, was killed by a white police officer.

The Los Angeles Times on Wednesday published emails mocking blacks, Latinos and Muslims that were sent by Tom Angel, now the chief of staff for the sheriff of Los Angeles County. The emails were sent when Mr. Angel was an officer in Burbank.

The texting scandal in San Francisco comes as the federal government is conducting an overall review of the Police Department after the fatal shooting in December of an African-American man, Mario Woods.

After video of the shooting was posted online, prompting an outcry, Mayor Edwin M. Lee requested the federal investigation, which is being carried out by the Justice Department.

Office of Citizen Complaints

LISTEN
TEXT ONLY
PRINT

A
A
A

RECEIVED
AT OCC

2009 NOV 30 P 2 23

Complaint Form, Page 1

OFFICE OF CITIZEN COMPLAINTS - USE BLACK INK ONLY!										
(1) Day, Date & Time Complaint Received WED NOV 25, 2009 2:25 PM					[]-[0][9][5][0]-[0][9]-[][] AT CHIEF OF POLICE					
Complaint Against: Personnel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy <input type="checkbox"/> Procedure <input type="checkbox"/> How Received: Person <input type="checkbox"/> Phone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letter <input type="checkbox"/> SFPD <input type="checkbox"/> Mail-In <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> : (specify) OFFICE BY PHONE										
(2) Primary Complainant: SEVERINOV SERGEI () Co-Complainant					(3) Personal Information					
Last Name First Name Middle Initial HOME ADDRESS: 1437 CLEMENT ST Street Apartment SAN FRANCISCO 94118 City State Zip WORK ADDRESS: Street Apartment City State Zip					Age: Date of Birth: Sex: MALE Ethnicity: RUSSIAN Occupation:					
(5) Location of Occurrence: SAN FRANCISCO INT'L AIRPORT AIR CANADA GATE 61 FLIGHT # 561					(4) Telephone Numbers Home: (415) 508-4083 Work: () (6) (7) District Type of Place AIRPORT					
(8) Day, Date, & Time of Occurrence: A.M./P.M. FRI WED NOV 25, 2009 APPROX. 7 AM (Circle one)					(9) Incident Report or Citation No. Penal Code 832.7(a)					
(10) SECONDARY COMPLAINANT? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Witnesses? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (If "Yes", attach separate sheet of paper.) Taped Interview? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Criminal Case Pending in Relation to This Matter? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
(11) Injuries Claimed? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injuries Visible? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drug/Alcohol Related? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photos Taken? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By: Photo Lab <input type="checkbox"/> O.C.C. <input type="checkbox"/> Other: Type of Injury: N/A Medical Release Signed? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
(12) Activity	(13) Type	(14) DISP	(15) Uniform		(16) Rank	(17) Member's Name & Star Number	(18) Unit	(19) Svc	(20) Sex	(21) Eth
			Yes	No		Penal Code 832.7(a)				
			✓							
			✓							
			✓							
14	CRD		✓			ID PENDING	ARMED			

Instructions | Page 2

Office of Citizen Complaints

OCC CASE NO.

LISTENTEXT ONLYPRINT

A

A

A

Complaint Form, Page 2

(22) NARRATIVE OF INCIDENT:

ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2009 AT APPROXIMATELY 2:25 PM, SERGEI SEVERIMOV TELEPHONED THE CHIEF'S OFFICE TO COMPLAIN ABOUT TREATMENT HE RECEIVED FROM AIRPORT POLICE WHILE ATTEMPTING TO BOARD AIR CANADA FLIGHT # 561 AT GATE 61. MR. SEVERIMOV SAID POLICE OFFICERS "SNATCHED" HIS BOARDING PASS AND CAUSED HIM TO MISS HIS FLIGHT. HE ALSO SAID HE WAS VERBALLY HARASSED. MR. SEVERIMOV SAID THE STAR NUMBERS OF THE OFFICERS PRESENT WERE # 4601, # 4065, AND # 894. I TOLD MR. SEVERIMOV I WOULD PASS ON HIS COMPLAINT FOR A DETERMINATION IF AN INVESTIGATION WOULD BE CONDUCTED.

SUBMITTED BY OFFICER MICHELANGELO APODACA # 303,
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE, NOV 25, 2009.

Penal Code 823.7(a)

(State law passed in 1995 mandates that the following statement be provided to, read and signed by persons filing complaints. The OCC encourages the filing of a complaint by anyone who believes he or she is a victim or a witness of improper police conduct or policies.)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COMPLAINANT (148.6 P.C.)

YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO MAKE A COMPLAINT AGAINST A POLICE OFFICER FOR ANY IMPROPER POLICE CONDUCT. CALIFORNIA LAW REQUIRES THIS AGENCY TO HAVE A PROCEDURE TO INVESTIGATE CITIZENS' COMPLAINTS. YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO A WRITTEN DESCRIPTION OF THIS PROCEDURE. THIS AGENCY MAY FIND AFTER

INVESTIGATION THAT THERE IS NOT ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO WARRANT ACTION ON YOUR COMPLAINT; EVEN IF THAT IS THE CASE, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO MAKE THE COMPLAINT AND HAVE IT INVESTIGATED IF YOU BELIEVE AN OFFICER BEHAVED IMPROPERLY. CITIZEN COMPLAINTS AND ANY REPORTS OR FINDINGS RELATING TO COMPLAINTS MUST BE RETAINED FOR AT LEAST FIVE YEARS. IT IS AGAINST THE LAW TO MAKE A COMPLAINT THAT YOU KNOW TO BE FALSE. IF YOU MAKE A COMPLAINT AGAINST AN OFFICER KNOWING THAT IT IS FALSE, YOU CAN BE PROSECUTED ON A MISDEMEANOR CHARGE.

☐ I HAVE READ AND UNDERSTOOD
ACKNOWLEDGMENT HAS BEEN
THE ABOVE STATEMENT.
COMPLAINANT.

☐ THE

READ TO THE

Complainant Signature/Date:

BY PHONE

Taken By (Name/#/Unit)/Date:

APODACA #303 11/25/09

Assigned Investigator/Date:

SR. INV. BRADY 11/30/09

Closure Approval/Date:

CR. GIB 1/19/10

INV. LITVINOV 12/9/09

Re: Public Records Request :: P062599-021522

From: Sergei Severinov (serolga@yahoo.com)

To: sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net

Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:50 PM PST

Your refusal of my request is unlawful.

I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions

between myself and my family members and SFPD.

I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021

I demand that you provide all responsive records.

I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure

of Request for Immediate Disclosure.

sergei severinov

On Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:36:41 PM PST, San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net> wrote:

Attachments:

[DEM - Public records form with instructions 2021.pdf](#)

[P62599 - 2.16.22_sfpd_response.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---



February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022, Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

In response to your request, please see attached document(s).

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

San Francisco Police Public Records Request :: P063740-022822

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal (sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net)

To: serolga@yahoo.com

Date: Monday, February 28, 2022, 02:05 PM PST



Dear Sergei Severinov:

Thank you for your interest in public records of the San Francisco Police Department ("SFPD").

The San Francisco Police Department ("SFPD") received your request, dated February 28, 2022 and given the reference number **P063740-022822** for tracking purposes.

Record(s) Requested: *TO: Custodian of Public Records San Francisco Police Department This is a request based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Based on section 67.21 part (c) San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, I hereby request that you assist me in identifying the existence, form, and nature of any records or information described below that are maintained by SFPD, available to SFPD, or in your custody, whether or not the contents of those records are exempt from disclosure. Based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, I request that you provide in writing within seven days of today, February 28, 2022, a written statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to my request below. The information and records that this request based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance pertains to, and/or is related to, and/or mentions, and/or is concerned with, and/or dealing with the following: 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual, 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual 4. Vera Severinova, an individual, 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals; 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals 9. detentions of Sergei Severinov 10. arrests of Sergei Severinov 11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova 12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova 13. detentions of Ivan Severinov 14. arrests of Ivan Severinov 15. detentions of Vera Severinova 16. arrests of Vera Severinova 17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals; 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals. 19. Incident Reports related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov 20. Chronological of Investigation related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov 21. Computer-Assisted Dispatch records related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov 22. Case summary reports related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov 24. use of force by SDPD members, and/or officers and/or agents against Sergei Severinov 23. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco; 24. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco; 25. SFPD's and/or its agents' and/or its affiliates' surveillance of and/or monitoring of, and/or investigations of: 2149 Grove street, San Francisco, 2151 Grove street, San Francisco 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco, and/or residents at these addresses, and/or the owners of the properties with these addresses, and/or any and all individuals visiting, and/or entering and/or exiting the structures and/or homes and/or apartments at these addresses. Sergei Severinov*

Your request is being forwarded to the appropriate department(s) for processing and you will be notified once the request is complete.

You can monitor request progress at the link below. Thank you for using the San Francisco Public Records Center.

San Francisco Police Department

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

Re: Public Records Request :: P062599-021522

From: Sergei Severinov (serolga@yahoo.com)

To: sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net

Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:50 PM PST

Your refusal of my request is unlawful.

I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions

between myself and my family members and SFPD.

I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021

I demand that you provide all responsive records.

I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure

of Request for Immediate Disclosure.

sergei severinov

On Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:36:41 PM PST, San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net> wrote:

Attachments:

[DEM - Public records form with instructions 2021.pdf](#)

[P62599 - 2.16.22_sfpd_response.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---



February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022, Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

In response to your request, please see attached document(s).

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

February 24, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

On February 16, SFPD acknowledged and provided a response to your Immediate Disclosure request.

On the same date, you sent a follow up response, as follows:

“Your refusal of my request is unlawful. I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions between myself and my family members and SFPD. I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021I demand that you provide all responsive records. I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure of Request for Immediate Disclosure.”

You requested, *“Immediate Disclosure Request*

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as ``SFPD`` in the sequel);

*This is a request for Information and disclosure under
California Public Records Act
and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.*

I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:

- 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual*
- 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,*
- 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual*
- 4. Vera Severinova, an individual,*
- 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department*
- 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*
- 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals*

9. *detentions of Sergei Severinov*
10. *arrests of Sergei Severinov*
11. *detentions of Olga Tikhonova*
12. *arrests of Olga Tikhonova*
13. *detentions of Ivan Severinov*
14. *arrests of Ivan Severinov*
15. *detentions of Vera Severinova*
16. *arrests of Vera Severinova*
17. *phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;*
- 18 *CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.*

19. *SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;*

20. *SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;*
21. *2149 Grove street, San Francisco*
22. *2151 Grove street, San Francisco*
23. *2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco*
24. *interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.*
25. *interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.*

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova.”

SFPD stands by it's initial response of February 16. As for your request for records on the above-referenced individuals, SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

As for your requests relating to a specific incident that occurred on September 22, 2021, based on the information you provided for that incident, SFPD was unable to locate a responsive incident report. However, you may submit a Public Records request with DEM for any calls for service.

Incident Reports

The Crime Information Services Division (“CISD”) is responsible for release of incident reports. For any specific incident reports you are interested in obtaining, please submit your request via the Incident Report Request Portal. The link is below. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7226.

Incident Report Request

[https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/\(S\(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543\)\)/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3](https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543))/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3)

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

August 31, 2022

Sergei Severinov

Complaint regarding denial to disclose records and refusal to search for records
and refusal to assist in search

by San Francisco Police Department (SFPD):

SOTF File No. **22014** scheduled for hearing on September 7, 2022

Honorable Members of the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force:

We –myself, my wife and our two kids- are an immigrant family in San Francisco. Myself, my wife and two of my children have all been harassed, intimidated, insulted with racial slurs, abused and racially profiled by SFPD. We have filed multiple complaints about SFPD with San Francisco Office of Citizens Complaints and Department of Police Accountability over the years.

SFPD is known for its racism, anti-immigrant bigotry and biased policing. SFPD officers have authored multiple racist electronic communications (articles from Los Angeles Times and the New York Times are attached).

SFPD is headed by racist and anti-immigrant bigot Chief William Scott and its racist and bigoted anti-immigrant policies and practices are implemented, in particular, by racist and anti-immigrant bigot Lieutenant.

Having been repeatedly victimized by SFPD, we want to know what records SFPD keeps on us on us and all records of our interaction with SFPD, and we have the right to do so under California Public Records Law and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

Accordingly, I have submitted a request for records to SFPD (attached) on February 15, 2022.

In response (attached), SFPD had refused to release any records.

Their response reveals that SFPD had refused to search for any records.

To justify their refusal, SFPD had impermissively narrowed down the interpretation of my request stating that ``SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individuals.”

SFPD has not right no narrow my request, in which I have demanded all records dealing and/or related to myself, Sergei Severinov, my wife, Olga Tikhonnova and my children, Vera and Ivan Severinov, with me. They also have an obligation to keep redactions and withholdings to a minimum.

SFPD justifies its refusal to do any search for records and release any records by Referring to section 11105 of California Penal Code. However, this section does not apply to records held by SFPD at all. Specifically, section 11105 of California Penal Code refers and applies only to records kept by the Department of Justice of California and only such records that are included in ``state summary history information.” Moreover, Section 11105 of California explicitly states in part (B):

“State summary criminal history information” does not refer to records and data compiled by criminal justice agencies other than the Attorney General, nor does it refer to records of complaints to or investigations conducted by, or records of intelligence information or security procedures of, the office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice.”

San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records law presume all records to be public. SFPD is obligated under these law to search for all records, examine them and disclose all public information. It is unlawful for them to conclude that a record or records are exempt from disclosure without examining the records.

Their failure to do a search is the most egregious violation of the law.

It is also unlawful for SFPD to refuse to search and release records, such as Incident reports, by stating that they have established a different procedure for release of such records. San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records require SFPD to release all records to me in response to my request, as long as I have submitted it to an address or fax or SFPD portal and it had reached them. SFPD had no right to deny my request by referring to an additional procedure.

As an example of an existing public record which should have been disclosed by SFPD but had not been disclosed, I am attaching a record that had been created by SFPD: an Office of Citizens' Complaints form filled out by SFPD's officer Apodaca, #303, from Chief of Police Office, on Nov 25, 2009.

SFPD should be found in violation of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and compelled to do a search for all responsive records, assist me in search by providing a detailed list and description of all records, exempt and non-exempt, and disclose all responsive records.

Sergei Severinov

ADVERTISEMENT

CALIFORNIA

More racist text messages uncovered among San Francisco police officers

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |



Former San Francisco Police Chief Greg Suhr, who resigned earlier this year. (Eric Risberg / Associated Press)

BY JOSEPH SERNA

APRIL 27, 2016 10:55 AM PT



Newly disclosed text messages in which a trio of San Francisco Police Department officers referred to minorities as “barbarians,” “cockroaches” and other slurs should trigger an even larger review of past criminal cases for signs of racial bias, according to the city’s public defender.

At a Tuesday news conference, San Francisco Public Defender Jeff Adachi revealed details of text messages traded among

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

[RELATED: Top L.A. County sheriff's official sent emails mocking Muslims, blacks, Latinos and women](#)

The messages were discovered during a recent internal affairs investigation and add to a growing list of racist emails and texts traded among department officers. Already, the racist communications have resulted in the dismissal of 13 pending criminal cases.

“When we’re talking about bias policing and racial profiling, there is a direct connection to these associations being made by officers,” Adachi said. “That’s the kind of mentality that tells you it’s OK to shoot, OK to kill, OK to arrest” people of color.

ADVERTISEMENT

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

The department said the latest texts were uncovered during a department investigation into allegations that an officer had committed a sexual assault while off-duty. The officer, Jason Lai, has been charged with misdemeanor counts of unlawful access and use of criminal and motor vehicle databases.

Police Chief Greg Suhr said the three officers mentioned at Tuesday's news conference have left the department. A fourth officer is also facing discipline, officials said.

In addition to the other slurs, Lai also used a derogatory term in Chinese to refer to black people and followed that up with a text message referring to them as "a pack of wild animals on the loose."

[Join the conversation on Facebook >>](#)

"Anytime an officer presents him or herself to be this way, they will be gone," Suhr said. "We will not have this in the San Francisco police force."

Suhr pledged to have all officers undergo training for implicit bias -- the theory that they are unconsciously treating or viewing a certain population differently without realizing it. Adachi said that responsibility in changing the department's culture starts with its officers, not its chief.

"You can have the chief say 'We need officers themselves,'" Adachi said. "I r

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

The texts were the second time an investigation has unearthed blatant racism among San Francisco police officers. A court filing by federal prosecutors last year revealed that 14 other officers had either sent or received [text messages](#) in previous years.

The previous scandal led to the dismissal of 13 pending criminal cases and a [review of 3,000](#) more. Suhr tried to fire several of the 14 officers, but a judge ruled that the department had waited too long to discipline them. That ruling is now on appeal.

Adachi said Tuesday that the recent texts will require at least 207 criminal cases to be reviewed.

For breaking California news, follow [@JosephSerna](#) on Twitter.

ALSO

[LAUSD police officer charged with attempted sex trafficking of a child](#)

[Chyna died of accidental overdose of Valium and Ambien, her manager says](#)

[Police recover \\$600,000 in \\$1 bills during arrest of suspected skid row drug kingpin](#)

CALIFORNIA




The sto

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

[SIGN ME UP](#)

You may occasionally receive promotional content from the Los Angeles Times.



Joseph Serna

[Twitter](#)[Instagram](#)[Email](#)[Facebook](#)

Joseph Serna is the deputy editor of culture and talent at the Los Angeles Times and helps oversee its career training and recruitment efforts.

SUBSCRIBERS ARE READING

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

CALIFORNIA

17 L.A. gangs have sent out crews to follow and rob city’s wealthiest, LAPD says

TRAVEL

FOR SUBSCRIBERS

What’s happening in Joshua Tree is a ‘dream’ — and possibly a curse

REAL ESTATE

What \$500,000 buys in five L.A. County communities

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). Close

SUBSCRIBE |

SUBSCRIBERS ARE READING >

WORLD & NATION

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

A transgender psychologist has helped hundreds of teens transition. But rising numbers have her concerned

CALIFORNIA

17 L.A. gangs have sent out crews to follow and rob city's wealthiest, LAPD says

TRAVEL

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

What's happening in Joshua Tree is a 'dream' — and possibly a curse

REAL ESTATE

What \$500,000 buys in five L.A. County communities

DODGERS

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

Baseball reveres Jackie Robinson, but Robinson didn't revere baseball. Here's why

ADVERTISEMENT

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

**SUBSCRIBE |**

LATEST CALIFORNIA >

CALIFORNIA

A San Diego County school chief's comments about Asian students spur backlash, apology

1 hour ago

CALIFORNIA

After 'Real Housewives' scandal, scathing audit says California fails to stop corrupt lawyers

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Riverside County teacher arrested on suspicion of sending explicit photos to student

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Two men in Maserati are struck in drive-by shooting in South L.A.

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Garcetti vows a 'safer city' in final State of the City speech

46 minutes ago

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

Subscribe for unlimited access

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). Close

SUBSCRIBE |

- eNewspaper
- Coupons
- Find/Post Jobs
- Place an Ad
- Media Kit: Why the L. A. Times?
- Bestcovery

Copyright © 2022, Los Angeles Times | [Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [CA Notice of Collection](#) | [Do Not Sell My Personal Information](#)

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

Re: Public Records Request :: P062599-021522

From: Sergei Severinov (serolga@yahoo.com)

To: sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net

Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:50 PM PST

Your refusal of my request is unlawful.

I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions

between myself and my family members and SFPD.

I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021

I demand that you provide all responsive records.

I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure

of Request for Immediate Disclosure.

sergei severinov

On Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:36:41 PM PST, San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net> wrote:

Attachments:

[DEM - Public records form with instructions 2021.pdf](#)

[P62599 - 2.16.22_sfpd_response.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---



February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022, Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

In response to your request, please see attached document(s).

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

TO:

Custodian of Public Records

San Francisco Police Department

This is a request based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance

Based on section 67.21 part (c) San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance,

I hereby request that you assist me in identifying the existence, form, and nature of any records or information described below that are maintained by SFPD, available to SFPD, or in your custody, whether or not the contents of those records are exempt from disclosure.

Based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance,

I request that you provide in writing within seven days of today a written statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to my request below.

The information and records that this request based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance,

pertains to, and/or is related to, and/or mentions, and/or is concerned with, and/or dealing with the following:

1. Sergei Severinov, an individual
2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,
3. Ivan Severinov, an individual
4. Vera Severinova, an individual,
6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department
7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;
8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals
9. detentions of Sergei Severinov
10. arrests of Sergei Severinov
11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova
12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova
13. detentions of Ivan Severinov
14. arrests of Ivan Severinov
15. detentions of Vera Severinova
16. arrests of Vera Severinova
17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;

- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.
- 19. Incident Reports related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov
- 20. Chronological of Investigation related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov
- 21. Computer-Assisted Dispatch records related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov
- 22. Case summary reports related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov
- 24. use of force by SDPD members, and/or officers and/or agents against Sergei Severinov

- 23. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

- 24. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
- 25. SFPD's and/or its agents' and/or its affiliates' surveillance of and/or monitoring of, and/or investigations of: 2149 Grove street, San Francisco, 2151 Grove street, San Francisco 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco, and/or residents at these addresses, and/or the owners of the properties with these addresses, and/or any and all individuals visiting, and/or entering and/or exiting the structures and/or homes and/or apartments at these addresses.

TO:
Custodian of Public Records
San Francisco Police Department

This is a request based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance

Based on section 67.21 part (c) San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance,
I hereby request that you assist me in identifying the existence, form, and nature of any records or information described below that are maintained by SFPD, available to SFPD, or in your custody, whether or not the contents of those records are exempt from disclosure.

Based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance,

I request that you provide in writing within seven days of today a written statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to my request below.

The information and records that this request based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance,

pertains to, and/or is related to, and/or mentions, and/or is concerned with, and/or dealing with the following:

1. Sergei Severinov, an individual
2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,
3. Ivan Severinov, an individual
4. Vera Severinova, an individual,
6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department
7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;
8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals
9. detentions of Sergei Severinov
10. arrests of Sergei Severinov
11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova
12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova
13. detentions of Ivan Severinov
14. arrests of Ivan Severinov
15. detentions of Vera Severinova
16. arrests of Vera Severinova
17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;

- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.
- 19. Incident Reports related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov
- 20. Chronological of Investigation related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov
- 21. Computer-Assisted Dispatch records related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov
- 22. Case summary reports related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov
- 24. use of force by SDPD members, and/or officers and/or agents against Sergei Severinov

- 23. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

- 24. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
- 25. SFPD's and/or its agents' and/or its affiliates' surveillance of and/or monitoring of, and/or investigations of: 2149 Grove street, San Francisco, 2151 Grove street, San Francisco 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco, and/or residents at these addresses, and/or the owners of the properties with these addresses, and/or any and all individuals visiting, and/or entering and/or exiting the structures and/or homes and/or apartments at these addresses.

San Francisco Police Chief Releases Officers' Racist Texts

By Thomas Fuller

April 29, 2016

SAN FRANCISCO — Police Chief Gregory P. Suhr on Friday announced that all officers on the San Francisco force would be required to complete anti-bias training as he released nine pages of racist text messages between three officers that further tarnished the image of a department under federal investigation.

“We have nothing to hide,” said Chief Suhr. “These are the actions of a few.”

But the city’s public defender and experts on criminal justice said the texts appeared to reveal a deep culture of bias in the 2,000-member force that contradicts the city’s image of tolerance and liberalism.

“These texts evidence a deep culture of racial hatred and animus against blacks, Latinos, gays and even South Asians,” Jeff Adachi, the public defender, said in an interview. “It can no longer be said to be an isolated problem.”

A number of police departments, including those in Miami and Los Angeles, have had problems with racist messages sent by their officers. Experts in criminal justice have debated how to address bias, both conscious and unconscious.

The text messages released Friday use crude and strongly disparaging language against blacks and other minorities and were discovered as part of an investigation into a rape charge against one of the officers.

They come a year after a scandal involving 14 officers who also exchanged racist messages. An attempt to fire some of the 14 was rejected by a Superior Court judge, who said the statute of limitation had expired. Most of those officers remain on the force. Of the three officers whose messages were released Friday, one has retired and two have resigned.

Some of the messages released Friday had been previously made public by the public defender’s office, which is reopening the files on 207 cases that were handled by the three officers who sent the messages.

Mr. Adachi said the messages were highly consequential.

“These are people who have the power to arrest and the power to kill somebody,” he said. “If you’re thinking, ‘This is a wild animal or this is a crazed black man who’s going to hurt me,’ that’s when you might pull the trigger. That’s where it becomes scary.”

Chief Suhr also announced measures on Friday that would re-evaluate the use of force by officers. Two men wielding knives, an African-American and a Latino, have been fatally shot by the police since December in what critics say was unnecessary use of force.

Among other things, the chief said the department would now require officers to document any time they point their weapons at someone. He also announced measures requiring officers to carry helmets, long batons and gloves in their vehicles “to create time and distance from a person with a weapon short of a firearm.”

Eugene O’Donnell, a former New York City police officer who is now a professor at the John Jay College of Criminal Justice, said that racist texts in San Francisco were a marker of more complex and multicultural times. Two of the three officers are Asian-Americans.

“The traditional black/white narrative is changing,” he said.

Mr. O’Donnell said that firing racist officers is the “easy part” but that changing the mentality of officers is much harder. He is skeptical that lectures on diversity and tolerance are effective.

“Lecturing officers is not going to work,” he said. “If you tell the cops, ‘Don’t tell us what you really think,’ that fuels a backlash.”

The text messages released Friday had names and dates redacted. But in what appears to be a measure of the seriousness of the problem within the police force, the texts were sent last year, just as the scandal of the 14 officers exchanging racist messages was raging.

One text message released on Friday featured the image of a badly burned turkey and the words “Is that a Ferguson turkey,” an apparent reference to the St. Louis suburb where Michael Brown, a black teenager, was killed by a white police officer.

The Los Angeles Times on Wednesday published emails mocking blacks, Latinos and Muslims that were sent by Tom Angel, now the chief of staff for the sheriff of Los Angeles County. The emails were sent when Mr. Angel was an officer in Burbank.

The texting scandal in San Francisco comes as the federal government is conducting an overall review of the Police Department after the fatal shooting in December of an African-American man, Mario Woods.

After video of the shooting was posted online, prompting an outcry, Mayor Edwin M. Lee requested the federal investigation, which is being carried out by the Justice Department.

Office of Citizen Complaints

LISTEN
TEXT ONLY
PRINT

A
A
A

RECEIVED
AT OCC

2009 NOV 30 P 2 23

Complaint Form, Page 1

OFFICE OF CITIZEN COMPLAINTS - USE BLACK INK ONLY!										
(1) Day, Date & Time Complaint Received WED NOV 25, 2009 2:25 PM					[]-[0][9][5][0]-[0][9]-[][] AT CHIEF OF POLICE					
Complaint Against: Personnel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy <input type="checkbox"/> Procedure <input type="checkbox"/> How Received: Person <input type="checkbox"/> Phone <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letter <input type="checkbox"/> SFPD <input type="checkbox"/> Mail-In <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> : (specify) OFFICE BY PHONE										
(2) Primary Complainant: SEVERINOV () Co-Complainant SERGEI					(3) Personal Information					
Last Name SEVERINOV First Name SERGEI Middle Initial					Age: Date of Birth:					
HOME ADDRESS: 1437 CLEMENT ST					Sex: MALE					
Street					Ethnicity: RUSSIAN					
Apartment					Occupation:					
SAN FRANCISCO 94118										
City										
State										
WORK ADDRESS:					(4) Telephone Numbers					
Street					Home: (415) 508-4083					
Apartment					Work: ()					
City										
State										
(5) Location of Occurrence:					(6) (7) District					
SAN FRANCISCO INT'L AIRPORT					Type of Place AIRPORT					
AIR CANADA GATE 61 FLIGHT # 561										
(8) Day, Date, & Time of Occurrence:					(9) Incident Report or Citation No.					
A.M./P.M. FRID WED NOV 25, 2009 APPROX. 7 AM (Circle one)					Penal Code 832.7(a)					
(10) SECONDARY COMPLAINANT? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Witnesses? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (If "Yes", attach separate sheet of paper.)										
Taped Interview? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Criminal Case Pending in Relation to This Matter? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
(11) Injuries Claimed? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injuries Visible? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drug/Alcohol Related? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photos Taken? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By: Photo Lab <input type="checkbox"/> O.C.C. <input type="checkbox"/> Other: NIA										
Medical Release Signed? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										
(12) Activity	(13) Type	(14) DISP	(15) Uniform	(16) Rank	(17) Member's Name & Star Number	(18) Unit	(19) Svc	(20) Sex	(21) Eth	
			Yes No							
			✓		Penal Code 832.7(a)					
			✓							
			✓							
14	CRD		✓		ID PENDING	AIRPORT				

Instructions | Page 2

Office of Citizen Complaints

OCC CASE NO.

LISTENTEXT ONLYPRINT

A

A

A

Complaint Form, Page 2

(22) NARRATIVE OF INCIDENT:

ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2009 AT APPROXIMATELY 2:25 PM, SERGEI SEVERIMOV TELEPHONED THE CHIEF'S OFFICE TO COMPLAIN ABOUT TREATMENT HE RECEIVED FROM AIRPORT POLICE WHILE ATTEMPTING TO BOARD AIR CANADA FLIGHT # 561 AT GATE 61. MR. SEVERIMOV SAID POLICE OFFICERS "SNATCHED" HIS BOARDING PASS AND CAUSED HIM TO MISS HIS FLIGHT. HE ALSO SAID HE WAS VERBALLY HARASSED. MR. SEVERIMOV SAID THE STAR NUMBERS OF THE OFFICERS PRESENT WERE # 4601, # 4065, AND # 894. I TOLD MR. SEVERIMOV I WOULD PASS ON HIS COMPLAINT FOR A DETERMINATION IF AN INVESTIGATION WOULD BE CONDUCTED.

SUBMITTED BY OFFICER MICHELANGELO APODACA # 303,
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE, NOV 25, 2009.

Penal Code 823.7(a)

(State law passed in 1995 mandates that the following statement be provided to, read and signed by persons filing complaints. The OCC encourages the filing of a complaint by anyone who believes he or she is a victim or a witness of improper police conduct or policies.)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COMPLAINANT (148.6 P.C.)

YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO MAKE A COMPLAINT AGAINST A POLICE OFFICER FOR ANY IMPROPER POLICE CONDUCT. CALIFORNIA LAW REQUIRES THIS AGENCY TO HAVE A PROCEDURE TO INVESTIGATE CITIZENS' COMPLAINTS. YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO A WRITTEN DESCRIPTION OF THIS PROCEDURE. THIS AGENCY MAY FIND AFTER

INVESTIGATION THAT THERE IS NOT ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO WARRANT ACTION ON YOUR COMPLAINT; EVEN IF THAT IS THE CASE, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO MAKE THE COMPLAINT AND HAVE IT INVESTIGATED IF YOU BELIEVE AN OFFICER BEHAVED IMPROPERLY. CITIZEN COMPLAINTS AND ANY REPORTS OR FINDINGS RELATING TO COMPLAINTS MUST BE RETAINED FOR AT LEAST FIVE YEARS. IT IS AGAINST THE LAW TO MAKE A COMPLAINT THAT YOU KNOW TO BE FALSE. IF YOU MAKE A COMPLAINT AGAINST AN OFFICER KNOWING THAT IT IS FALSE, YOU CAN BE PROSECUTED ON A MISDEMEANOR CHARGE.

☐ I HAVE READ AND UNDERSTOOD
ACKNOWLEDGMENT HAS BEEN
THE ABOVE STATEMENT.
COMPLAINANT.

☐ THE

READ TO THE

Complainant Signature/Date: BY PHONE	Taken By (Name/#/Unit)/Date: APODACA #303 11/25/09
Assigned Investigator/Date: SR. INV. BRADY 11/30/09	Closure Approval/Date: CR. GIB 1/19/10

INV. LITVINOV 12/9/09

February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

You requested, "*Immediate Disclosure Request*

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as ``SFPD`` in the sequel);

*This is a request for Information and disclosure under
California Public Records Act
and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.*

*I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to,
and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:*

- 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual*
- 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,*
- 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual*
- 4. Vera Severinova, an individual,*
- 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually,
and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of,
and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department*
- 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*
- 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals*
- 9. detentions of Sergei Severinov*
- 10. arrests of Sergei Severinov*
- 11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova*
- 12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova*
- 13. detentions of Ivan Severinov*
- 14. arrests of Ivan Severinov*
- 15. detentions of Vera Severinova*
- 16. arrests of Vera Severinova*
- 17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;*
- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.*

- 19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22,*

2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco

22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco

23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco

24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.

25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova."

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individuals. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

Incident Reports

The Crime Information Services Division ("CISD") is responsible for release of incident reports. If there is a specific incident report you are interested in obtaining, please submit your request via the Incident Report Request Portal. The link is below. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7226.

Incident Report Request

[https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/\(S\(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543\)\)/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3](https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543))/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3)

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

March 10, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 28, 2022, Reference # P063740-022822

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 28, 2022.

You requested, *"This is a request based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.*

Based on section 67.21 part (c) San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, I hereby request that you assist me in identifying the existence, form, and nature of any records or information described below that are maintained by SFPD, available to SFPD, or in your custody, whether or not the contents of those records are exempt from disclosure.

Based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, I request that you provide in writing within seven days of today, February 28, 2022, a written statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to my request below.

The information and records that this request based on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance pertains to, and/or is related to, and/or mentions, and/or is concerned with, and/or dealing with the following:

- 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual*
- 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,*
- 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual*
- 4. Vera Severinova, an individual,*
- 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department*
- 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*
- 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals*
- 9. detentions of Sergei Severinov*
- 10. arrests of Sergei Severinov*
- 11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova*
- 12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova*
- 13. detentions of Ivan Severinov*
- 14. arrests of Ivan Severinov*

15. *detentions of Vera Severinova*
16. *arrests of Vera Severinova*
17. *phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;*
- 18 *CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.*
19. *Incident Reports related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov*
20. *Chronological of Investigation related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov*
21. *Computer-Assisted Dispatch records related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov*
22. *Case summary reports related to and/or mentioning and/or dealing with Sergei Severinov*
24. *use of force by SDPD members, and/or officers and/or agents against Sergei Severinov*

23. *SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;*

24. *SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;*
25. *SFPD's and/or its agents' and/or its affiliates' surveillance of and/or monitoring of, and/or investigations of: 2149 Grove street, San Francisco, 2151 Grove street, San Francisco 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco, and/or residents at these addresses, and/or the owners of the properties with these addresses, and/or any and all individuals visiting, and/or entering and/or exiting the structures and/or homes and/or apartments at these addresses. Sergei Severinov."*

SFPD received a similar request, reference # P062599-021522, dated February 15, 2022. SFPD stands by its initial February 16, Response to request, reference # P062599-021522. As for your request for any records, including arrest records on the above-referenced individuals, SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

SFPD looked into the one specific incident that occurred on September 22, 2021, which you provided information for. However, we were unable to locate an incident report. You may submit a Public Records request with DEM for any calls for service. Finally, a public records request must specify an identifiable record or category of records sought, Cal Govt. Code § 6253(b). SFPD is more than happy to assist you in identifying documents, but a request must be sufficiently clear and defined to enable SFPD to understand what records are the subject of the request.

Incident Reports

The Crime Information Services Division ("CISD") is responsible for release of incident reports. If you have interest in obtaining any specific incident reports, please submit your request via the Incident Report Request Portal. The link is below. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7226.

Incident Report Request

[https://cisusanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/\(S\(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543\)\)/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3](https://cisusanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543))/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3)

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

Re: Public Records Request :: P062599-021522

From: Sergei Severinov (serolga@yahoo.com)

To: sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net

Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:50 PM PST

Your refusal of my request is unlawful.

I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions

between myself and my family members and SFPD.

I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021

I demand that you provide all responsive records.

I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure

of Request for Immediate Disclosure.

sergei severinov

On Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:36:41 PM PST, San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net> wrote:

Attachments:

[DEM - Public records form with instructions 2021.pdf](#)

[P62599 - 2.16.22_sfpd_response.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---



February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022, Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

In response to your request, please see attached document(s).

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

February 24, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

On February 16, SFPD acknowledged and provided a response to your Immediate Disclosure request.

On the same date, you sent a follow up response, as follows:

“Your refusal of my request is unlawful. I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions between myself and my family members and SFPD. I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021I demand that you provide all responsive records. I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure of Request for Immediate Disclosure.”

You requested, *“Immediate Disclosure Request*

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as ``SFPD`` in the sequel);

*This is a request for Information and disclosure under
California Public Records Act
and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.*

*I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to,
and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:*

- 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual*
- 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,*
- 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual*
- 4. Vera Severinova, an individual,*
- 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually,
and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of,
and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department*
- 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*
- 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals*

9. detentions of Sergei Severinov
10. arrests of Sergei Severinov
11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova
12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova
13. detentions of Ivan Severinov
14. arrests of Ivan Severinov
15. detentions of Vera Severinova
16. arrests of Vera Severinova
17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;
- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.

19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco
22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco
23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco
24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.
25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova.”

SFPD stands by its initial response of February 16. As for your request for records on the above-referenced individuals, SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

As for your requests relating to a specific incident that occurred on September 22, 2021, based on the information you provided for that incident, SFPD was unable to locate a responsive incident report. However, you may submit a Public Records request with DEM for any calls for service.

Incident Reports

The Crime Information Services Division (“CISD”) is responsible for release of incident reports. For any specific incident reports you are interested in obtaining, please submit your request via the Incident Report Request Portal. The link is below. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7226.

Incident Report Request

[https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/\(S\(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543\)\)/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3](https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543))/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3)

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

April 10, 2022

Sergei Severinov

Complaint regarding lack of disclosure and incomplete disclosure of records

by Department of Police Accountability:

SOTF File No. **22014** scheduled for hearing on April 19, 2022

Honorable Members of the Committee

of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

We are an immigrant family in San Francisco. Myself, my wife and my children have been harassed, intimidated, insulted with racial slurs, abused and racially profiled by SFPD. We have filed multiple complaints about SFPD with San Francisco Office of Citizens Complaints and Department of Police Accountability over the years.

SFPD is known for its racism and biased policing. SFPD officers have authored multiple racist electronic communications (articles from Los Angeles Times and New York Times attached).

Having been repeatedly victimized by SFPD racism, we want to know what records SFPD keeps on us and all records of our interaction with SFPD, and we have the right to do so under California Public Records Law and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

Accordingly, I have submitted a request for records to SFPD (attached) on February 15, 2022.

In response (attached), SFPD had refused to release any records.

Their response reveals that SFPD had refused to search for any records.

To justify their refusal, SFPD had impermissively narrowed down the interpretation of my request stating that ``SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individuals.’’

SFPD has not right no narrow my request, in which I have demanded all records dealing and/or related to myself, Sergei Severinov, my wife, Olga Tikhonnova and my children, Vera and Ivan Severinov, with me. They have also have an obligation to keep redactions and withholdings to a minimum.

San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records law presume all records to be public. SFPD is obligated under these law to search for all records, examine them and disclose all public information. It is unlawful for them to conclude that a record or records are exempt from disclosure without examining the records.

Their failure to do a search is the most egregious violation of the law.

It is also unlawful for SFPD to refuse to search and release records, such as Incident reports, by stating that they have established a different procedure for release of such records. San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records require SFPD to release all records to me in response to my request, as long as I have submitted it to an address or fax or SFPD portal and it had reached them. SFPD had no right to deny my request by referring to an additional procedure.

As an example of an existing public record which should have been disclosed by SFPD but had not been disclosed, I am attaching a record that had been created by SFPD: an Office of Citizens' Complaints form filled out by SFPD's officer Apodaca, #303, from Chief of Police Office, on Nov 25, 2009.

Sergei Severinov

April 10, 2022

Sergei Severinov

Complaint regarding lack of disclosure and incomplete disclosure of records
by Department of Police Accountability:

SOTF File No. **22020** scheduled for hearing on April 19, 2022

Honorable Members of the Committee
of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

We are an immigrant family in San Francisco. Myself, my wife and my children have been harassed, intimidated, insulted with racial slurs, abused and racially profiled by SFPD. We have filed multiple complaints about SFPD with San Francisco Office of Citizens Complaints and Department of Police Accountability over the years.

SFPD is known for its racism and biased policing. SFPD officers have authored multiple racist electronic communications (articles from Los Angeles Times and New York Times attached).

Having been repeatedly victimized by SFPD racism, we want to know what records SFPD keeps on us and all records of our interaction with SFPD, and we have the right to do so under California Public Records Law and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

Accordingly, I have submitted a request based on San **on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance to SFPD on February 22, 2022**. The request is attached.

Section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance obliges SFPD to assist me in identifying the responsive records.

As I have requested, SFPD is obliged to provide`` a written statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records`` relating to my request,`` irrespectively of whether they are exempt or not.

However, SFPD had refused to provide such assistance, had not made a search for records, as follows from their response (attached) and had not provided a written statement regarding the existence, quantity, form and nature of records requested.

This is an egregious violation of the law by SFPD.

As an example of an existing public record which should have been found and described by SFPD but had not been, I am attaching a record that had been created by SFPD: an Office of Citizens' Complaints form filled out by SFPD's officer Apodaca, #303, from Chief of Police Office, on Nov 25, 2009.

Sergei Severinov

October 19, 2022

Sergei Severinov

Complaint regarding denial to disclose records and refusal to search for records
and refusal to assist in search

by San Francisco Police Department (SFPD):

SOTF File No. **22014** scheduled for Compliance and Amendments Committee

hearing on October 25, 2022 .

Members of the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force:

My complaint 22014 is based on sections 67.21(b) and 67.21(c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and was heard by SOTF on Sept 7, 2022.

On the substance of the matter, SFPD has refused and continue to refuse to disclose any records that I have requested and has refused to do any search for responsive records.

Also, they have refused to provide in provide in a written statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to my requests for records. I have requested such written statement from SFPD in request to them of February 28, 2022.

I have received an attached response from SFPD dated Sept 13, 2022, apparently in response to SOTF hearing on Sept 7, 2022. IN the said response, SFPD still denies to disclose any records and does not provide the required written statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to my requests for records. In the said response, SFPD still unlawfully interprets my request *``...that SFPD provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following''*. SFPD interprets this request narrowly as *``request for incident report''*

In their letter dated Sept 13, 2022, SFPD also states that they have found responsive records (see Response to item nos. 19 through 23) but refuse to disclose them, stating in particular:

SFPD was able to locate responsive information for item 22. Please contact DEM for responsive records.

As an example of an existing public record which should have been disclosed by SFPD but had not been disclosed, I am attaching a record that had been created by SFPD: an Office of Citizens' Complaints form filled out by SFPD's officer Apodaca, #303, from Chief of Police Office, on Nov 25, 2009.

In their letter dated Sept 13, 2022 SFPD still falsely claims that disclosure of records is barred Penal Code § 11105.

In fact, section 11105 of Penal code does not prohibit disclosure of requested records. Section 11105 of California Penal Code refers and applies only to records kept by the Department of Justice of California and only such records that are included in ``state summary history information.'' Moreover, Section 11105 of California explicitly states in part (B):

“State summary criminal history information” does not refer to records and data compiled by criminal justice agencies other than the Attorney General, nor does it refer to records of complaints to or investigations conducted by, or records of intelligence information or security procedures of, the office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice.”

Moreover parag (h) of section 11105:

It is not a violation of this section to include information obtained from a record in (1) a transcript or record of a judicial or administrative proceeding or (2) any other public record if the inclusion of the information in the public record is authorized by a court, statute, or decisional law.

The reason why SDPD refuses to disclose records is their base and vicious racism and hatred of immigrants. We –myself, my wife and out two kids- are an immigrant family in San Francisco, and have all been harassed, intimidated, insulted with racial slurs, abused and racially profiled by SFPD multiple times. We have filed multiple complaints about SFPD with San Francisco Office of Citizens Complaints and Department of Police Accountability over the years.

SFPD is known for its racism, anti-immigrant bigotry and biased policing. SFPD officers have authored multiple racist electronic communications (articles from Los Angeles Times and the New York Times are attached).

SFPD is headed by racist and anti-immigrant bigot Chief William Scott and its racist and bigoted anti-immigrant policies and practices are implemented, in particular, by racist and anti-immigrant bigot Lieutenant Riley.

As many immigrants in this city, we live in constant fear of SFPD , and are subject to their never ending harassment.

Having been repeatedly victimized by SFPD, we want to know what records SFPD keeps on us on us and inspect the records of our interaction with SFPD, and we have the right to do so under California Public Records Law and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

SFPD has not right to narrow my request, in which I have demanded all records dealing and/or related to myself, Sergei Severinov, my wife, Olga Tikhonova and my children, Vera and Ivan Severinov, with me.

They also have an obligation to

San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records law presume all records to be public. SFPD is obligated under these law to search for all records, examine them and disclose all public information. It is unlawful for them to conclude that a record or records are exempt from disclosure without examining the records.

Their failure to do a search is the most egregious violation of the law.

It is also unlawful for SFPD to refuse to search and release records, such as Incident reports, by stating that they have established a different procedure for release of such records. San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records require SFPD to release all records to me in response to my request, as long as I have submitted it to an address or fax or SFPD portal and it had reached them. SFPD had no right to deny my request by referring to an additional procedure.

SFPD should be found in violation of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and of the decisions of SOTF taken September 7, 2022. SFPD compelled to do a search for all responsive records, assist me in search by providing a detailed list and description of all records, exempt and non-exempt.

and disclose all responsive records.

SFPD should be referred to District Attorney for their persistent non-compliance with San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance in this matter.

Sergei Severinov

ADVERTISEMENT

CALIFORNIA

More racist text messages uncovered among San Francisco police officers

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |



Former San Francisco Police Chief Greg Suhr, who resigned earlier this year. (Eric Risberg / Associated Press)

BY JOSEPH SERNA

APRIL 27, 2016 10:55 AM PT



Newly disclosed text messages in which a trio of San Francisco Police Department officers referred to minorities as “barbarians,” “cockroaches” and other slurs should trigger an even larger review of past criminal cases for signs of racial bias, according to the city’s public defender.

At a Tuesday news conference, San Francisco Public Defender Jeff Adachi revealed details of text messages traded among

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

[RELATED: Top L.A. County sheriff's official sent emails mocking Muslims, blacks, Latinos and women](#)

The messages were discovered during a recent internal affairs investigation and add to a growing list of racist emails and texts traded among department officers. Already, the racist communications have resulted in the dismissal of 13 pending criminal cases.

“When we’re talking about bias policing and racial profiling, there is a direct connection to these associations being made by officers,” Adachi said. “That’s the kind of mentality that tells you it’s OK to shoot, OK to kill, OK to arrest” people of color.

ADVERTISEMENT

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

The department said the latest texts were uncovered during a department investigation into allegations that an officer had committed a sexual assault while off-duty. The officer, Jason Lai, has been charged with misdemeanor counts of unlawful access and use of criminal and motor vehicle databases.

Police Chief Greg Suhr said the three officers mentioned at Tuesday's news conference have left the department. A fourth officer is also facing discipline, officials said.

In addition to the other slurs, Lai also used a derogatory term in Chinese to refer to black people and followed that up with a text message referring to them as "a pack of wild animals on the loose."

[Join the conversation on Facebook >>](#)

"Anytime an officer presents him or herself to be this way, they will be gone," Suhr said. "We will not have this in the San Francisco police force."

Suhr pledged to have all officers undergo training for implicit bias -- the theory that they are unconsciously treating or viewing a certain population differently without realizing it. Adachi said that responsibility in changing the department's culture starts with its officers, not its chief.

"You can have the chief say 'We need officers themselves,'" Adachi said. "I r

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

The texts were the second time an investigation has unearthed blatant racism among San Francisco police officers. A court filing by federal prosecutors last year revealed that 14 other officers had either sent or received [text messages](#) in previous years.

The previous scandal led to the dismissal of 13 pending criminal cases and a [review of 3,000](#) more. Suhr tried to fire several of the 14 officers, but a judge ruled that the department had waited too long to discipline them. That ruling is now on appeal.

Adachi said Tuesday that the recent texts will require at least 207 criminal cases to be reviewed.

For breaking California news, follow [@JosephSerna](#) on Twitter.

ALSO

[LAUSD police officer charged with attempted sex trafficking of a child](#)

[Chyna died of accidental overdose of Valium and Ambien, her manager says](#)

[Police recover \\$600,000 in \\$1 bills during arrest of suspected skid row drug kingpin](#)

CALIFORNIA




The sto

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

[SIGN ME UP](#)

You may occasionally receive promotional content from the Los Angeles Times.



Joseph Serna

[Twitter](#)[Instagram](#)[Email](#)[Facebook](#)

Joseph Serna is the deputy editor of culture and talent at the Los Angeles Times and helps oversee its career training and recruitment efforts.

SUBSCRIBERS ARE READING

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

CALIFORNIA

17 L.A. gangs have sent out crews to follow and rob city’s wealthiest, LAPD says

TRAVEL

FOR SUBSCRIBERS

What’s happening in Joshua Tree is a ‘dream’ — and possibly a curse

REAL ESTATE

What \$500,000 buys in five L.A. County communities

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). Close

SUBSCRIBE |

SUBSCRIBERS ARE READING >

WORLD & NATION

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

A transgender psychologist has helped hundreds of teens transition. But rising numbers have her concerned

CALIFORNIA

17 L.A. gangs have sent out crews to follow and rob city's wealthiest, LAPD says

TRAVEL

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

What's happening in Joshua Tree is a 'dream' — and possibly a curse

REAL ESTATE

What \$500,000 buys in five L.A. County communities

DODGERS

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

Baseball reveres Jackie Robinson, but Robinson didn't revere baseball. Here's why

ADVERTISEMENT

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

**SUBSCRIBE |**

LATEST CALIFORNIA >

CALIFORNIA

A San Diego County school chief's comments about Asian students spur backlash, apology

1 hour ago

CALIFORNIA

After 'Real Housewives' scandal, scathing audit says California fails to stop corrupt lawyers

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Riverside County teacher arrested on suspicion of sending explicit photos to student

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Two men in Maserati are struck in drive-by shooting in South L.A.

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Garcetti vows a 'safer city' in final State of the City speech

46 minutes ago

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

Subscribe for unlimited access

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). Close

SUBSCRIBE |

- eNewspaper
- Coupons
- Find/Post Jobs
- Place an Ad
- Media Kit: Why the L. A. Times?
- Bestcovery

Copyright © 2022, Los Angeles Times | [Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [CA Notice of Collection](#) | [Do Not Sell My Personal Information](#)

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). Close

SUBSCRIBE |

September 13, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

On February 16, SFPD acknowledged and provided a response to your Immediate Disclosure request.

On the same date, you sent a follow up response, as follows:

“Your refusal of my request is unlawful. I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions between myself and my family members and SFPD. I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021I demand that you provide all responsive records. I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure of Request for Immediate Disclosure.”

On February 24, SFPD informed you that we stand by our initial response of February 16. As for your request for records on the above-referenced individuals, SFPD informed you that we interpreted your request for criminal history records. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq. Furthermore, as for your requests relating to a specific incident that occurred on September 22, 2021, based on the information you provided for that incident, SFPD informed you we were unable to locate a responsive incident report. However, you may submit a Public Records request with DEM for any calls for service. Finally, we provided you with instructions on how to obtain records from the Department of Emergency Management and incident reports.

SFPD is supplementing its response. You can obtain a record of your own local criminal history through the ID Bureau of the San Francisco Police Department. As for your request for any records, including arrest records on the other individuals referenced in your Public Records request, SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on those individuals. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq. Please follow the instructions provided below to obtain your own criminal history records (Clearance Letter for San Francisco County Only).

Obtain your own criminal history records/Clearance Letter

Here is the link: [Obtain a Clearance Letter | San Francisco Police Department](#)

There are 2 ways to obtain a SFPD Clearance Report:

1. In person with valid identification during business hours (Monday - Friday, excluding holidays; 8 a.m. - 5p.m.) at the San Francisco Police Department
ID Section
850 Bryant St., Room 475
San Francisco, CA 94103

You must bring a valid ID document with a photograph, such as a passport or driver's license. Expect to have your identity verified by fingerprints.

2. By mail with a notarized request

Instructions for Mail Request:

All mail requests for a criminal history/background check must be **notarized**. If the results of this check are to be released to a third party, a statement to that effect shall be included in the notarized document. It must give the person's legal name, date of birth, and include the number from a valid form of identification such as a driver's license. It should state that you give permission to release the information that you requested to the individual.

Your request must also include all of the following information:

- Full legal name and all other names you have used.
- Your correct date of birth and any other D.O.B. you have used.
- Your correct social security number and any others that you may have used.
- Your current driver's license or state ID card number.

Your request containing all of the above information must be sent along with a **self addressed, stamped envelope** to the following address:

San Francisco Police Department

ID Section

850 Bryant St., Room 475

San Francisco, CA 94103

Fee: None

For questions, please call 415-553-1415

Public Records request

You requested, *“Immediate Disclosure Request*

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as ‘SFPD’ in the sequel);

This is a request for Information and disclosure under California Public Records Act and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:

SFPD is providing an additional supplemental response, dated September 13, 2022:

1. You requested, *“Sergei Severinov, an individual,”*

On March 17, SFPD provided you with the above instructions on how to obtain your own criminal history. In addition, SFPD interprets your request for incident reports.

Incident Reports

Crime Information Services Division (“CISD”) is responsible for release of incident reports. Your request for incident reports is being processed by CISD. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7232.

2. You requested, *“Olga Tikhonova, an individual,”*

SFPD interprets your request for incident reports.

Incident Reports

Crime Information Services Division (“CISD”) is responsible for release of incident reports. Your request for incident reports is being processed by CISD. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7232.

3. You requested, *“Ivan Severinov, an individual”*

SFPD was unable to locate responsive records.

4. You requested, *“Vera Severinova, an individual,”*

Please refer to item 1.

6. You requested, *“any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department”*

Please refer to item nos. 1 through 4 for responsive information.

7. Questions 7 and 8 are consolidated. You requested, *“any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*

8. *any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals.”*

Response to item nos. 7 and 8:

Please refer to item nos. 1 through 4 for responsive information.

9. Questions 9 and 10 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Sergei Severinov”*

10. *arrests of Sergei Severinov”*

Response to item nos. 9 and 10:

Please refer to item 1.

11. Questions 11 and 12 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Olga Tikhonova”*

12. *arrests of Olga Tikhonova”*

Response to item nos. 11 and 12:

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individual. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

13. Questions 13 and 14 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Ivan Severinov”*

14. *arrests of Ivan Severinov”*

Response to item nos. 13 and 14:

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individual. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

15. Questions 15 and 16 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Vera Severinova”*

16. *arrests of Vera Severinova”*

Response to item nos. 15 and 16:

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individual. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

17. Questions 17 and 18 are consolidated. You requested, *“phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;”*

18. *CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.”*

Response to item nos. 17 and 18:

SFPD interprets your requests as asking for calls for service.

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

19. Questions 19 through 23 are consolidated. You requested, *“SFPD officers’ Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;”*

20. *SFPD officers’ Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;”*

Is 2151 grove fall under address above?

21. *2149 Grove street, San Francisco*

22. *2151 Grove street, San Francisco*

23. *2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco”*

Response to item nos. 19 through 23:

SFPD was able to locate responsive information for item 22. Please contact DEM for responsive records.

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

24. You requested, *“interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.”*

SFPD was unable to locate responsive records.

25. You requested, *“interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.”*

SFPD was unable to locate responsive records. We interpret your request for incident reports that originate out of the San Francisco International Airport. To obtain incident reports, you may contact the San Mateo County Hall of Justice at 650.363.4525.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

San Francisco Police Chief Releases Officers' Racist Texts

By Thomas Fuller

April 29, 2016

SAN FRANCISCO — Police Chief Gregory P. Suhr on Friday announced that all officers on the San Francisco force would be required to complete anti-bias training as he released nine pages of racist text messages between three officers that further tarnished the image of a department under federal investigation.

“We have nothing to hide,” said Chief Suhr. “These are the actions of a few.”

But the city’s public defender and experts on criminal justice said the texts appeared to reveal a deep culture of bias in the 2,000-member force that contradicts the city’s image of tolerance and liberalism.

“These texts evidence a deep culture of racial hatred and animus against blacks, Latinos, gays and even South Asians,” Jeff Adachi, the public defender, said in an interview. “It can no longer be said to be an isolated problem.”

A number of police departments, including those in Miami and Los Angeles, have had problems with racist messages sent by their officers. Experts in criminal justice have debated how to address bias, both conscious and unconscious.

The text messages released Friday use crude and strongly disparaging language against blacks and other minorities and were discovered as part of an investigation into a rape charge against one of the officers.

They come a year after a scandal involving 14 officers who also exchanged racist messages. An attempt to fire some of the 14 was rejected by a Superior Court judge, who said the statute of limitation had expired. Most of those officers remain on the force. Of the three officers whose messages were released Friday, one has retired and two have resigned.

Some of the messages released Friday had been previously made public by the public defender’s office, which is reopening the files on 207 cases that were handled by the three officers who sent the messages.

Mr. Adachi said the messages were highly consequential.

“These are people who have the power to arrest and the power to kill somebody,” he said. “If you’re thinking, ‘This is a wild animal or this is a crazed black man who’s going to hurt me,’ that’s when you might pull the trigger. That’s where it becomes scary.”

Chief Suhr also announced measures on Friday that would re-evaluate the use of force by officers. Two men wielding knives, an African-American and a Latino, have been fatally shot by the police since December in what critics say was unnecessary use of force.

Among other things, the chief said the department would now require officers to document any time they point their weapons at someone. He also announced measures requiring officers to carry helmets, long batons and gloves in their vehicles “to create time and distance from a person with a weapon short of a firearm.”

Eugene O’Donnell, a former New York City police officer who is now a professor at the John Jay College of Criminal Justice, said that racist texts in San Francisco were a marker of more complex and multicultural times. Two of the three officers are Asian-Americans.

“The traditional black/white narrative is changing,” he said.

Mr. O’Donnell said that firing racist officers is the “easy part” but that changing the mentality of officers is much harder. He is skeptical that lectures on diversity and tolerance are effective.

“Lecturing officers is not going to work,” he said. “If you tell the cops, ‘Don’t tell us what you really think,’ that fuels a backlash.”

The text messages released Friday had names and dates redacted. But in what appears to be a measure of the seriousness of the problem within the police force, the texts were sent last year, just as the scandal of the 14 officers exchanging racist messages was raging.

One text message released on Friday featured the image of a badly burned turkey and the words “Is that a Ferguson turkey,” an apparent reference to the St. Louis suburb where Michael Brown, a black teenager, was killed by a white police officer.

The Los Angeles Times on Wednesday published emails mocking blacks, Latinos and Muslims that were sent by Tom Angel, now the chief of staff for the sheriff of Los Angeles County. The emails were sent when Mr. Angel was an officer in Burbank.

The texting scandal in San Francisco comes as the federal government is conducting an overall review of the Police Department after the fatal shooting in December of an African-American man, Mario Woods.

After video of the shooting was posted online, prompting an outcry, Mayor Edwin M. Lee requested the federal investigation, which is being carried out by the Justice Department.

PENAL CODE - PEN

PART 4. PREVENTION OF CRIMES AND APPREHENSION OF CRIMINALS [11006 - 14315]

(Part 4 added by Stats. 1953, Ch. 1385.)

TITLE 1. INVESTIGATION AND CONTROL OF CRIMES AND CRIMINALS [11006 - 11482]

(Title 1 added by Stats. 1953, Ch. 1385.)

CHAPTER 1. Investigation, Identification, and Information Responsibilities of the Department of Justice [11006 - 11144]

(Heading of Chapter 1 amended by Stats. 1972, Ch. 1377.)

ARTICLE 3. Criminal Identification and Statistics [11100 - 11112]

(Article 3 added by Stats. 1953, Ch. 1385.)

11105.

(a) (1) The Department of Justice shall maintain state summary criminal history information.

(2) As used in this section:

(A) "State summary criminal history information" means the master record of information compiled by the Attorney General pertaining to the identification and criminal history of a person, such as name, date of birth, physical description, fingerprints, photographs, dates of arrests, arresting agencies and booking numbers, charges, dispositions, sentencing information, and similar data about the person.

(B) "State summary criminal history information" does not refer to records and data compiled by criminal justice agencies other than the Attorney General, nor does it refer to records of complaints to or investigations conducted by, or records of intelligence information or security procedures of, the office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice.

(b) The Attorney General shall furnish state summary criminal history information to the following, if needed in the course of their duties, provided that when information is furnished to assist an agency, officer, or official of state or local government, a public utility, or any other entity, in fulfilling employment, certification, or licensing duties, Chapter 1321 of the Statutes of 1974 and Section 432.7 of the Labor Code shall apply:

(1) The courts of the state.

(2) Peace officers of the state, as defined in Section 830.1, subdivisions (a) and (e) of Section 830.2, subdivision (a) of Section 830.3, subdivision (a) of Section 830.31, and subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 830.5.

(3) District attorneys of the state.

(4) Prosecuting city attorneys or city prosecutors of a city within the state.

(5) City attorneys pursuing civil gang injunctions pursuant to Section 186.22a, or drug abatement actions pursuant to Section 3479 or 3480 of the Civil Code, or Section 11571 of the Health and Safety Code.

(6) Probation officers of the state.

(7) Parole officers of the state.

(8) A public defender or attorney of record when representing a person in proceedings upon a petition for a certificate of rehabilitation and pardon pursuant to Section 4852.08.

(9) A public defender or attorney of record when representing a person in a criminal case or a juvenile delinquency proceeding, including all appeals and postconviction motions, or a parole, mandatory supervision pursuant to paragraph (5) of subdivision (h) of Section 1170, or postrelease community supervision revocation or revocation extension proceeding, if the information is requested in the course of representation.

(10) An agency, officer, or official of the state if the state summary criminal history information is required to implement a statute or regulation that expressly refers to specific criminal conduct applicable to the subject person of the state summary criminal history information, and contains requirements or exclusions, or both, expressly based upon that specified criminal conduct. The agency, officer, or official of the state authorized by this paragraph to receive state summary criminal history information may perform state and federal criminal history information checks as provided for in subdivision (u). The Department of Justice shall provide a state or federal response to the agency, officer, or official pursuant to subdivision (p).

(11) A city, county, city and county, or district, or an officer or official thereof, if access is needed in order to assist that agency, officer, or official in fulfilling employment, certification, or licensing duties, and if the access is specifically authorized by the city council, board of supervisors, or governing board of the city, county, or district if the state summary criminal history information is required to implement a statute, ordinance, or regulation that expressly refers to specific criminal conduct applicable to the subject person of the state summary criminal history information, and contains requirements or exclusions, or both, expressly based upon that specified criminal conduct. The city, county, city and county, district, or the officer or official thereof authorized by this paragraph may also transmit fingerprint images and related information to the Department of Justice to be transmitted to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

(12) The subject of the state summary criminal history information under procedures established under Article 5 (commencing with Section 11120).

(13) A person or entity when access is expressly authorized by statute if the criminal history information is required to implement a statute or regulation that expressly refers to specific criminal conduct applicable to the subject person of the state summary criminal history information, and contains requirements or exclusions, or both, expressly based upon that specified criminal conduct.

(14) Health officers of a city, county, city and county, or district when in the performance of their official duties enforcing Section 120175 of the Health and Safety Code.

(15) A managing or supervising correctional officer of a county jail or other county correctional facility.

(16) A humane society, or society for the prevention of cruelty to animals, for the specific purpose of complying with Section 14502 of the Corporations Code for the appointment of humane officers.

(17) Local child support agencies established by Section 17304 of the Family Code. When a local child support agency closes a support enforcement case containing state summary criminal history information, the agency shall delete or purge from the file and destroy documents or information concerning or arising from offenses for or of which the parent has been arrested, charged, or convicted, other than for offenses related to the parent's having failed to provide support for minor children, consistent with the requirements of Section 17531 of the Family Code.

(18) County child welfare agency personnel who have been delegated the authority of county probation officers to access state summary criminal history information pursuant to Section 272 of the Welfare and Institutions Code for the purposes specified in Section 16504.5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. Information from criminal history records provided pursuant to this subdivision shall not be used for a purpose other than those specified in this section and Section 16504.5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. When an agency obtains records both on the basis of name checks and fingerprint checks, final placement decisions shall be based only on the records obtained pursuant to the fingerprint check.

(19) The court of a tribe, or court of a consortium of tribes, that has entered into an agreement with the state pursuant to Section 10553.1 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. This information may be used only for the purposes specified in Section 16504.5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code and for tribal approval or tribal licensing of foster care or adoptive homes. Article 6 (commencing with Section 11140) shall apply to officers, members, and employees of a tribal court receiving state summary criminal history information pursuant to this section.

(20) Child welfare agency personnel of a tribe or consortium of tribes that has entered into an agreement with the state pursuant to Section 10553.1 of the Welfare and Institutions Code and to whom the state has delegated duties under paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 272 of the Welfare and Institutions

Code. The purposes for use of the information shall be for the purposes specified in Section 16504.5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code and for tribal approval or tribal licensing of foster care or adoptive homes. When an agency obtains records on the basis of name checks and fingerprint checks, final placement decisions shall be based only on the records obtained pursuant to the fingerprint check. Article 6 (commencing with Section 11140) shall apply to child welfare agency personnel receiving criminal record offender information pursuant to this section.

(21) An officer providing conservatorship investigations pursuant to Sections 5351, 5354, and 5356 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(22) A court investigator providing investigations or reviews in conservatorships pursuant to Section 1826, 1850, 1851, or 2250.6 of the Probate Code.

(23) A person authorized to conduct a guardianship investigation pursuant to Section 1513 of the Probate Code.

(24) A humane officer pursuant to Section 14502 of the Corporations Code for the purposes of performing the officer's duties.

(25) A public agency described in subdivision (b) of Section 15975 of the Government Code, for the purpose of oversight and enforcement policies with respect to its contracted providers.

(26) (A) A state entity, or its designee, that receives federal tax information. A state entity or its designee that is authorized by this paragraph to receive state summary criminal history information also may transmit fingerprint images and related information to the Department of Justice to be transmitted to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for the purpose of the state entity or its designee obtaining federal-level criminal offender record information from the Department of Justice. This information shall be used only for the purposes set forth in Section 1044 of the Government Code.

(B) For purposes of this paragraph, "federal tax information," "state entity" and "designee" are as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (3), respectively, of subdivision (f) of Section 1044 of the Government Code.

(c) The Attorney General may furnish state summary criminal history information and, when specifically authorized by this subdivision, federal-level criminal history information upon a showing of a compelling need to any of the following, provided that when information is furnished to assist an agency, officer, or official of state or local government, a public utility, or any other entity in fulfilling employment, certification, or licensing duties, Chapter 1321 of the Statutes of 1974 and Section 432.7 of the Labor Code shall apply:

(1) A public utility, as defined in Section 216 of the Public Utilities Code, that operates a nuclear energy facility when access is needed in order to assist in employing persons to work at the facility, provided that, if the Attorney General

supplies the data, the Attorney General shall furnish a copy of the data to the person to whom the data relates.

(2) A peace officer of the state other than those included in subdivision (b).

(3) An illegal dumping enforcement officer as defined in subdivision (i) of Section 830.7.

(4) A peace officer of another country.

(5) Public officers, other than peace officers, of the United States, other states, or possessions or territories of the United States, provided that access to records similar to state summary criminal history information is expressly authorized by a statute of the United States, other states, or possessions or territories of the United States if the information is needed for the performance of their official duties.

(6) A person when disclosure is requested by a probation, parole, or peace officer with the consent of the subject of the state summary criminal history information and for purposes of furthering the rehabilitation of the subject.

(7) The courts of the United States, other states, or territories or possessions of the United States.

(8) Peace officers of the United States, other states, or territories or possessions of the United States.

(9) An individual who is the subject of the record requested if needed in conjunction with an application to enter the United States or a foreign nation.

(10) (A) (i) A public utility, as defined in Section 216 of the Public Utilities Code, or a cable corporation as defined in subparagraph (B), if receipt of criminal history information is needed in order to assist in employing current or prospective employees, contract employees, or subcontract employees who, in the course of their employment, may be seeking entrance to private residences or adjacent grounds. The information provided shall be limited to the record of convictions and arrests for which the person is released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(ii) If the Attorney General supplies the data pursuant to this paragraph, the Attorney General shall furnish a copy of the data to the current or prospective employee to whom the data relates.

(iii) State summary criminal history information is confidential and the receiving public utility or cable corporation shall not disclose its contents, other than for the purpose for which it was acquired. The state summary criminal history information in the possession of the public utility or cable corporation and all copies made from it shall be destroyed not more than 30 days after employment or promotion or transfer is denied or granted,

except for those cases where a current or prospective employee is out on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial, in which case the state summary criminal history information and all copies shall be destroyed not more than 30 days after the case is resolved.

(iv) A violation of this paragraph is a misdemeanor, and shall give the current or prospective employee who is injured by the violation a cause of action against the public utility or cable corporation to recover damages proximately caused by the violations. A public utility's or cable corporation's request for state summary criminal history information for purposes of employing current or prospective employees who may be seeking entrance to private residences or adjacent grounds in the course of their employment shall be deemed a "compelling need" as required to be shown in this subdivision.

(v) This section shall not be construed as imposing a duty upon public utilities or cable corporations to request state summary criminal history information on current or prospective employees.

(B) For purposes of this paragraph, "cable corporation" means a corporation or firm that transmits or provides television, computer, or telephone services by cable, digital, fiber optic, satellite, or comparable technology to subscribers for a fee.

(C) Requests for federal-level criminal history information received by the Department of Justice from entities authorized pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be forwarded to the Federal Bureau of Investigation by the Department of Justice. Federal-level criminal history information received or compiled by the Department of Justice may then be disseminated to the entities referenced in subparagraph (A), as authorized by law.

(11) A campus of the California State University or the University of California, or a four-year college or university accredited by a regional accreditation organization approved by the United States Department of Education, if needed in conjunction with an application for admission by a convicted felon to a special education program for convicted felons, including, but not limited to, university alternatives and halfway houses. Only conviction information shall be furnished. The college or university may require the convicted felon to be fingerprinted, and any inquiry to the department under this section shall include the convicted felon's fingerprints and any other information specified by the department.

(12) A foreign government, if requested by the individual who is the subject of the record requested, if needed in conjunction with the individual's application to adopt a minor child who is a citizen of that foreign nation. Requests for information pursuant to this paragraph shall be in accordance with the process described in Sections 11122 to 11124, inclusive. The response shall be provided to the foreign government or its designee and to the individual who requested the information.

(d) Whenever an authorized request for state summary criminal history information pertains to a person whose fingerprints are on file with the Department of Justice and the department has no criminal history of that person, and the information is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes, the fingerprint card accompanying the request for information, if any, may be stamped "no criminal record" and returned to the person or entity making the request.

(e) Whenever state summary criminal history information is furnished as the result of an application and is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes, the Department of Justice may charge the person or entity making the request a fee that it determines to be sufficient to reimburse the department for the cost of furnishing the information. In addition, the Department of Justice may add a surcharge to the fee to fund maintenance and improvements to the systems from which the information is obtained. Notwithstanding any other law, a person or entity required to pay a fee to the department for information received under this section may charge the applicant a fee sufficient to reimburse the person or entity for this expense. All moneys received by the department pursuant to this section, Sections 11105.3 and 26190, and former Section 13588 of the Education Code shall be deposited in a special account in the General Fund to be available for expenditure by the department to offset costs incurred pursuant to those sections and for maintenance and improvements to the systems from which the information is obtained upon appropriation by the Legislature.

(f) Whenever there is a conflict, the processing of criminal fingerprints and fingerprints of applicants for security guard or alarm agent registrations or firearms qualification permits submitted pursuant to Section 7583.9, 7583.23, 7596.3, or 7598.4 of the Business and Professions Code shall take priority over the processing of other applicant fingerprints.

(g) It is not a violation of this section to disseminate statistical or research information obtained from a record, provided that the identity of the subject of the record is not disclosed.

(h) It is not a violation of this section to include information obtained from a record in (1) a transcript or record of a judicial or administrative proceeding or (2) any other public record if the inclusion of the information in the public record is authorized by a court, statute, or decisional law.

(i) Notwithstanding any other law, the Department of Justice or a state or local law enforcement agency may require the submission of fingerprints for the purpose of conducting state summary criminal history information checks that are authorized by law.

(j) The state summary criminal history information shall include any finding of mental incompetence pursuant to Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 1367) of Title 10 of Part 2 arising out of a complaint charging a felony offense specified in Section 290.

(k) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal summary criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an authorized agency or organization and the information is to be used for peace officer employment or certification purposes. As used in this

subdivision, a peace officer is defined in Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 830) of Title 3 of Part 2.

(2) Notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction rendered against the applicant.

(B) Every arrest for an offense for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Every arrest or detention, except for an arrest or detention resulting in an exoneration, provided, however, that where the records of the Department of Justice do not contain a disposition for the arrest, the Department of Justice first makes a genuine effort to determine the disposition of the arrest.

(D) Every successful diversion.

(E) Every date and agency name associated with all retained peace officer or nonsworn law enforcement agency employee preemployment criminal offender record information search requests.

(F) Sex offender registration status of the applicant.

(G) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(I) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal summary criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by a criminal justice agency or organization as defined in Section 13101, and the information is to be used for criminal justice employment, licensing, or certification purposes.

(2) Notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction rendered against the applicant.

(B) Every arrest for an offense for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Every arrest for an offense for which the records of the Department of Justice do not contain a disposition or which did not result in a conviction, provided that the Department of Justice first makes a genuine effort to determine the disposition of the arrest. However, information concerning an arrest shall not be disclosed if the records of the Department of Justice

indicate or if the genuine effort reveals that the subject was exonerated, successfully completed a diversion or deferred entry of judgment program, or the arrest was deemed a detention, or the subject was granted relief pursuant to Section 851.91.

(D) Every date and agency name associated with all retained peace officer or nonsworn law enforcement agency employee preemployment criminal offender record information search requests.

(E) Sex offender registration status of the applicant.

(F) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(m) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal summary criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an authorized agency or organization pursuant to Section 1522, 1568.09, 1569.17, or 1596.871 of the Health and Safety Code, or a statute that incorporates the criteria of any of those sections or this subdivision by reference, and the information is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes.

(2) Notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction of an offense rendered against the applicant, except a conviction for which relief has been granted pursuant to Section 1203.49.

(B) Every arrest for an offense for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Every arrest for an offense for which the Department of Social Services is required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 1522 of the Health and Safety Code to determine if an applicant has been arrested. However, if the records of the Department of Justice do not contain a disposition for an arrest, the Department of Justice shall first make a genuine effort to determine the disposition of the arrest.

(D) Sex offender registration status of the applicant.

(E) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(3) Notwithstanding the requirements of the sections referenced in paragraph (1) of this subdivision, the Department of Justice shall not disseminate information about an arrest subsequently deemed a detention or an arrest that resulted in the successful completion of a diversion program, exoneration, or a grant of relief pursuant to Section 851.91.

(n) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal summary criminal history information, to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes, is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an authorized agency, organization, or individual pursuant to any of the following:

(A) Paragraph (10) of subdivision (c), when the information is to be used by a cable corporation.

(B) Section 11105.3 or 11105.4.

(C) Section 15660 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(D) A statute that incorporates the criteria of any of the statutory provisions listed in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C), or of this subdivision, by reference.

(2) With the exception of applications submitted by transportation companies authorized pursuant to Section 11105.3, and notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction, except a conviction for which relief has been granted pursuant to Section 1203.49, rendered against the applicant for a violation or attempted violation of an offense specified in subdivision (a) of Section 15660 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. However, with the exception of those offenses for which registration is required pursuant to Section 290, the Department of Justice shall not disseminate information pursuant to this subdivision unless the conviction occurred within 10 years of the date of the agency's request for information or the conviction is over 10 years old but the subject of the request was incarcerated within 10 years of the agency's request for information.

(B) Every arrest for a violation or attempted violation of an offense specified in subdivision (a) of Section 15660 of the Welfare and Institutions Code for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Sex offender registration status of the applicant.

(D) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(o) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal summary criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an authorized agency or organization pursuant to Section 379 or 1300 of the Financial Code, or a statute that incorporates the criteria of either of those sections or this subdivision by reference, and the information is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes.

(2) Notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction rendered against the applicant for a violation or attempted violation of an offense specified in Section 1300 of the Financial Code, except a conviction for which relief has been granted pursuant to Section 1203.49.

(B) Every arrest for a violation or attempted violation of an offense specified in Section 1300 of the Financial Code for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(p) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an agency, organization, or individual not defined in subdivision (k), (l), (m), (n), or (o), or by a transportation company authorized pursuant to Section 11105.3, or a statute that incorporates the criteria of that section or this subdivision by reference, and the information is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes.

(2) Notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction rendered against the applicant.

(B) Every arrest for an offense for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Sex offender registration status of the applicant.

(D) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(q) All agencies, organizations, or individuals defined in subdivisions (k), (l), (m), (n), (o), and (p) may contract with the Department of Justice for subsequent notification pursuant to Section 11105.2. This subdivision shall not supersede sections that mandate an agency, organization, or individual to contract with the Department of Justice for subsequent notification pursuant to Section 11105.2.

(r) This section does not require the Department of Justice to cease compliance with any other statutory notification requirements.

(s) The provisions of Section 50.12 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations are to be followed in processing federal criminal history information.

(t) Whenever state or federal summary criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an authorized agency, organization, or individual defined in subdivisions (k) to (p), inclusive, and the information is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes, the authorized agency, organization, or individual shall expeditiously furnish a copy of the information to the person to whom the information relates if the information is a basis for an adverse employment, licensing, or certification decision. When furnished other than in person, the copy shall be delivered to the last contact information provided by the applicant.

(u) (1) If a fingerprint-based criminal history information check is required pursuant to any statute, that check shall be requested from the Department of Justice and shall be applicable to the person identified in the referencing statute. The agency or entity identified in the statute shall submit to the Department of Justice fingerprint images and related information required by the Department of Justice of the types of applicants identified in the referencing statute, for the purpose of obtaining information as to the existence and content of a record of state or federal convictions and state or federal arrests and also information as to the existence and content of a record of the state or federal arrests for which the Department of Justice establishes that the person is free on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial or appeal.

(2) If requested, the Department of Justice shall transmit fingerprint images and related information received pursuant to this section to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for the purpose of obtaining a federal criminal history information check. The Department of Justice shall review the information returned from the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and compile and disseminate a response or a fitness determination, as appropriate, to the agency or entity identified in the referencing statute.

(3) The Department of Justice shall provide a state- or federal-level response or a fitness determination, as appropriate, to the agency or entity identified in the referencing statute, pursuant to the identified subdivision.

(4) The agency or entity identified in the referencing statute shall request from the Department of Justice subsequent notification service, as provided pursuant to Section 11105.2, for persons described in the referencing statute.

(5) The Department of Justice shall charge a fee sufficient to cover the reasonable cost of processing the request described in this subdivision.

(v) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2023, and as of that date is repealed.

(Amended by Stats. 2022, Ch. 58, Sec. 29. (AB 200) Effective June 30, 2022. Repealed as of January 1, 2023, by its own provisions. See later operative version added by Sec. 30 of Stats. 2022, Ch. 58.)

Re: Public Records Request :: P062599-021522

From: Sergei Severinov (serolga@yahoo.com)

To: sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net

Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:50 PM PST

Your refusal of my request is unlawful.

I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions

between myself and my family members and SFPD.

I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021

I demand that you provide all responsive records.

I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure

of Request for Immediate Disclosure.

sergei severinov

On Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 03:36:41 PM PST, San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net> wrote:

Attachments:

[DEM - Public records form with instructions 2021.pdf](#)

[P62599 - 2.16.22_sfpd_response.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---



February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022, Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

In response to your request, please see attached document(s).

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Officer in Charge
Risk Management - Legal Division

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please DO NOT REPLY.

February 24, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

On February 16, SFPD acknowledged and provided a response to your Immediate Disclosure request.

On the same date, you sent a follow up response, as follows:

“Your refusal of my request is unlawful. I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions between myself and my family members and SFPD. I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021I demand that you provide all responsive records. I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure of Request for Immediate Disclosure.”

You requested, *“Immediate Disclosure Request*

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as “SFPD” in the sequel);

*This is a request for Information and disclosure under
California Public Records Act
and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.*

I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:

- 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual*
- 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,*
- 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual*
- 4. Vera Severinova, an individual,*
- 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department*
- 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*
- 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals*

9. detentions of Sergei Severinov
10. arrests of Sergei Severinov
11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova
12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova
13. detentions of Ivan Severinov
14. arrests of Ivan Severinov
15. detentions of Vera Severinova
16. arrests of Vera Severinova
17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;
- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.
19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco
22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco
23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco
24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.
25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova.”

SFPD stands by it's initial response of February 16. As for your request for records on the above-referenced individuals, SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

As for your requests relating to a specific incident that occurred on September 22, 2021, based on the information you provided for that incident, SFPD was unable to locate a responsive incident report. However, you may submit a Public Records request with DEM for any calls for service.

Incident Reports

The Crime Information Services Division ("CISD") is responsible for release of incident reports. For any specific incident reports you are interested in obtaining, please submit your request via the Incident Report Request Portal. The link is below. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7226.

Incident Report Request

[https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/\(S\(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543\)\)/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3](https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543))/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3)

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

April 10, 2022

Sergei Severinov

Complaint regarding lack of disclosure and incomplete disclosure of records
by Department of Police Accountability:

SOTF File No. **22014** scheduled for hearing on April 19, 2022

Honorable Members of the Committee
of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

We are an immigrant family in San Francisco. Myself, my wife and my children have been harassed, intimidated, insulted with racial slurs, abused and racially profiled by SFPD. We have filed multiple complaints about SFPD with San Francisco Office of Citizens Complaints and Department of Police Accountability over the years.

SFPD is known for its racism and biased policing. SFPD officers have authored multiple racist electronic communications (articles from Los Angeles Times and New York Times attached).

Having been repeatedly victimized by SFPD racism, we want to know what records SFPD keeps on us and all records of our interaction with SFPD, and we have the right to do so under California Public Records Law and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

Accordingly, I have submitted a request for records to SFPD (attached) on February 15, 2022.

In response (attached), SFPD had refused to release any records.

Their response reveals that SFPD had refused to search for any records.

To justify their refusal, SFPD had impermissively narrowed down the interpretation of my request stating that ``SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individuals.’’

SFPD has not right no narrow my request, in which I have demanded all records dealing and/or related to myself, Sergei Severinov, my wife, Olga Tikhonnova and my children, Vera and Ivan Severinov, with me. They have also have an obligation to keep redactions and withholdings to a minimum.

San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records law presume all records to be public. SFPD is obligated under these law to search for all records, examine them and disclose all public information. It is unlawful for them to conclude that a record or records are exempt from disclosure without examining the records.

Their failure to do a search is the most egregious violation of the law.

It is also unlawful for SFPD to refuse to search and release records, such as Incident reports, by stating that they have established a different procedure for release of such records. San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records require SFPD to release all records to me in response to my request, as long as I have submitted it to an address or fax or SFPD portal and it had reached them. SFPD had no right to deny my request by referring to an additional procedure.

As an example of an existing public record which should have been disclosed by SFPD but had not been disclosed, I am attaching a record that had been created by SFPD: an Office of Citizens' Complaints form filled out by SFPD's officer Apodaca, #303, from Chief of Police Office, on Nov 25, 2009.

Sergei Severinov

April 10, 2022

Sergei Severinov

Complaint regarding lack of disclosure and incomplete disclosure of records
by Department of Police Accountability:

SOTF File No. **22020** scheduled for hearing on April 19, 2022

Honorable Members of the Committee
of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

We are an immigrant family in San Francisco. Myself, my wife and my children have been harassed, intimidated, insulted with racial slurs, abused and racially profiled by SFPD. We have filed multiple complaints about SFPD with San Francisco Office of Citizens Complaints and Department of Police Accountability over the years.

SFPD is known for its racism and biased policing. SFPD officers have authored multiple racist electronic communications (articles from Los Angeles Times and New York Times attached).

Having been repeatedly victimized by SFPD racism, we want to know what records SFPD keeps on us and all records of our interaction with SFPD, and we have the right to do so under California Public Records Law and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

Accordingly, I have submitted a request based on San **on section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance to SFPD on February 22, 2022**. The request is attached.

Section 67.21 part (c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance obliges SFPD to assist me in identifying the responsive records.

As I have requested, SFPD is obliged to provide`` a written statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records`` relating to my request,`` irrespectively of whether they are exempt or not.

However, SFPD had refused to provide such assistance, had not made a search for records, as follows from their response (attached) and had not provided a written statement regarding the existence, quantity, form and nature of records requested.

This is an egregious violation of the law by SFPD.

As an example of an existing public record which should have been found and described by SFPD but had not been, I am attaching a record that had been created by SFPD: an Office of Citizens' Complaints form filled out by SFPD's officer Apodaca, #303, from Chief of Police Office, on Nov 25, 2009.

Sergei Severinov

October 19, 2022

Sergei Severinov

Complaint regarding denial to disclose records and refusal to search for records
and refusal to assist in search

by San Francisco Police Department (SFPD):

SOTF File No. **22014** scheduled for Compliance and Amendments Committee

hearing on October 25, 2022 .

Members of the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force:

My complaint 22014 is based on sections 67.21(b) and 67.21(c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and was heard by SOTF on Sept 7, 2022.

On the substance of the matter, SFPD has refused and continue to refuse to disclose any records that I have requested and has refused to do any search for responsive records.

Also, they have refused to provide in provide in a written statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to my requests for records. I have requested such written statement from SFPD in request to them of February 28, 2022.

I have received an attached response from SFPD dated Sept 13, 2022, apparently in response to SOTF hearing on Sept 7, 2022. IN the said response, SFPD still denies to disclose any records and does not provide the required written statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to my requests for records. In the said response, SFPD still unlawfully interprets my request *``...that SFPD provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following''*. SFPD interprets this request narrowly as *``request for incident report''*

In their letter dated Sept 13, 2022, SFPD also states that they have found responsive records (see Response to item nos. 19 through 23) but refuse to disclose them, stating in particular:

SFPD was able to locate responsive information for item 22. Please contact DEM for responsive records.

As an example of an existing public record which should have been disclosed by SFPD but had not been disclosed, I am attaching a record that had been created by SFPD: an Office of Citizens' Complaints form filled out by SFPD's officer Apodaca, #303, from Chief of Police Office, on Nov 25, 2009.

In their letter dated Sept 13, 2022 SFPD still falsely claims that disclosure of records is barred Penal Code § 11105.

In fact, section 11105 of Penal code does not prohibit disclosure of requested records. Section 11105 of California Penal Code refers and applies only to records kept by the Department of Justice of California and only such records that are included in ``state summary history information.'' Moreover, Section 11105 of California explicitly states in part (B):

“State summary criminal history information” does not refer to records and data compiled by criminal justice agencies other than the Attorney General, nor does it refer to records of complaints to or investigations conducted by, or records of intelligence information or security procedures of, the office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice.”

Moreover parag (h) of section 11105:

It is not a violation of this section to include information obtained from a record in (1) a transcript or record of a judicial or administrative proceeding or (2) any other public record if the inclusion of the information in the public record is authorized by a court, statute, or decisional law.

The reason why SDPD refuses to disclose records is their base and vicious racism and hatred of immigrants. We –myself, my wife and out two kids- are an immigrant family in San Francisco, and have all been harassed, intimidated, insulted with racial slurs, abused and racially profiled by SFPD multiple times. We have filed multiple complaints about SFPD with San Francisco Office of Citizens Complaints and Department of Police Accountability over the years.

SFPD is known for its racism, anti-immigrant bigotry and biased policing. SFPD officers have authored multiple racist electronic communications (articles from Los Angeles Times and the New York Times are attached).

SFPD is headed by racist and anti-immigrant bigot Chief William Scott and its racist and bigoted anti-immigrant policies and practices are implemented, in particular, by racist and anti-immigrant bigot Lieutenant Riley.

As many immigrants in this city, we live in constant fear of SFPD , and are subject to their never ending harassment.

Having been repeatedly victimized by SFPD, we want to know what records SFPD keeps on us on us and inspect the records of our interaction with SFPD, and we have the right to do so under California Public Records Law and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

SFPD has not right to narrow my request, in which I have demanded all records dealing and/or related to myself, Sergei Severinov, my wife, Olga Tikhonova and my children, Vera and Ivan Severinov, with me.

They also have an obligation to

San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records law presume all records to be public. SFPD is obligated under these law to search for all records, examine them and disclose all public information. It is unlawful for them to conclude that a record or records are exempt from disclosure without examining the records.

Their failure to do a search is the most egregious violation of the law.

It is also unlawful for SFPD to refuse to search and release records, such as Incident reports, by stating that they have established a different procedure for release of such records. San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records require SFPD to release all records to me in response to my request, as long as I have submitted it to an address or fax or SFPD portal and it had reached them. SFPD had no right to deny my request by referring to an additional procedure.

SFPD should be found in violation of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and of the decisions of SOTF taken September 7, 2022. SFPD compelled to do a search for all responsive records, assist me in search by providing a detailed list and description of all records, exempt and non-exempt.

and disclose all responsive records.

SFPD should be referred to District Attorney for their persistent non-compliance with San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance in this matter.

Sergei Severinov

ADVERTISEMENT

CALIFORNIA

More racist text messages uncovered among San Francisco police officers

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |



Former San Francisco Police Chief Greg Suhr, who resigned earlier this year. (Eric Risberg / Associated Press)

BY JOSEPH SERNA

APRIL 27, 2016 10:55 AM PT



Newly disclosed text messages in which a trio of San Francisco Police Department officers referred to minorities as “barbarians,” “cockroaches” and other slurs should trigger an even larger review of past criminal cases for signs of racial bias, according to the city’s public defender.

At a Tuesday news conference, San Francisco Public Defender Jeff Adachi revealed details of text messages traded among

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

[RELATED: Top L.A. County sheriff's official sent emails mocking Muslims, blacks, Latinos and women](#)

The messages were discovered during a recent internal affairs investigation and add to a growing list of racist emails and texts traded among department officers. Already, the racist communications have resulted in the dismissal of 13 pending criminal cases.

“When we’re talking about bias policing and racial profiling, there is a direct connection to these associations being made by officers,” Adachi said. “That’s the kind of mentality that tells you it’s OK to shoot, OK to kill, OK to arrest” people of color.

ADVERTISEMENT

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

The department said the latest texts were uncovered during a department investigation into allegations that an officer had committed a sexual assault while off-duty. The officer, Jason Lai, has been charged with misdemeanor counts of unlawful access and use of criminal and motor vehicle databases.

Police Chief Greg Suhr said the three officers mentioned at Tuesday's news conference have left the department. A fourth officer is also facing discipline, officials said.

In addition to the other slurs, Lai also used a derogatory term in Chinese to refer to black people and followed that up with a text message referring to them as "a pack of wild animals on the loose."

[Join the conversation on Facebook >>](#)

"Anytime an officer presents him or herself to be this way, they will be gone," Suhr said. "We will not have this in the San Francisco police force."

Suhr pledged to have all officers undergo training for implicit bias -- the theory that they are unconsciously treating or viewing a certain population differently without realizing it. Adachi said that responsibility in changing the department's culture starts with its officers, not its chief.

"You can have the chief say 'We need officers themselves,'" Adachi said. "I r

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

The texts were the second time an investigation has unearthed blatant racism among San Francisco police officers. A court filing by federal prosecutors last year revealed that 14 other officers had either sent or received [text messages](#) in previous years.

The previous scandal led to the dismissal of 13 pending criminal cases and a [review of 3,000](#) more. Suhr tried to fire several of the 14 officers, but a judge ruled that the department had waited too long to discipline them. That ruling is now on appeal.

Adachi said Tuesday that the recent texts will require at least 207 criminal cases to be reviewed.

For breaking California news, follow [@JosephSerna](#) on Twitter.

ALSO

[LAUSD police officer charged with attempted sex trafficking of a child](#)

[Chyna died of accidental overdose of Valium and Ambien, her manager says](#)

[Police recover \\$600,000 in \\$1 bills during arrest of suspected skid row drug kingpin](#)

CALIFORNIA




The sto

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

[SIGN ME UP](#)

You may occasionally receive promotional content from the Los Angeles Times.



Joseph Serna

[Twitter](#)[Instagram](#)[Email](#)[Facebook](#)

Joseph Serna is the deputy editor of culture and talent at the Los Angeles Times and helps oversee its career training and recruitment efforts.

SUBSCRIBERS ARE READING

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

CALIFORNIA

17 L.A. gangs have sent out crews to follow and rob city’s wealthiest, LAPD says

TRAVEL

FOR SUBSCRIBERS

What’s happening in Joshua Tree is a ‘dream’ — and possibly a curse

REAL ESTATE

What \$500,000 buys in five L.A. County communities

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). Close

SUBSCRIBE |

SUBSCRIBERS ARE READING >

WORLD & NATION

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

A transgender psychologist has helped hundreds of teens transition. But rising numbers have her concerned

CALIFORNIA

17 L.A. gangs have sent out crews to follow and rob city's wealthiest, LAPD says

TRAVEL

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

What's happening in Joshua Tree is a 'dream' — and possibly a curse

REAL ESTATE

What \$500,000 buys in five L.A. County communities

DODGERS

[FOR SUBSCRIBERS](#)

Baseball reveres Jackie Robinson, but Robinson didn't revere baseball. Here's why

ADVERTISEMENT

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

**SUBSCRIBE |**

LATEST CALIFORNIA >

CALIFORNIA

A San Diego County school chief's comments about Asian students spur backlash, apology

1 hour ago

CALIFORNIA

After 'Real Housewives' scandal, scathing audit says California fails to stop corrupt lawyers

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Riverside County teacher arrested on suspicion of sending explicit photos to student

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Two men in Maserati are struck in drive-by shooting in South L.A.

April 14, 2022

CALIFORNIA

Garcetti vows a 'safer city' in final State of the City speech

46 minutes ago

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). [Close](#)

SUBSCRIBE |

Subscribe for unlimited access

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). Close

SUBSCRIBE |

- eNewspaper
- Coupons
- Find/Post Jobs
- Place an Ad
- Media Kit: Why the L. A. Times?
- Bestcovery

Copyright © 2022, Los Angeles Times | [Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [CA Notice of Collection](#) | [Do Not Sell My Personal Information](#)

By continuing to use our site, you agree to our [Terms of Service](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). You can learn more about how we use cookies by reviewing our [Privacy Policy](#). Close

SUBSCRIBE |

September 13, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

On February 16, SFPD acknowledged and provided a response to your Immediate Disclosure request.

On the same date, you sent a follow up response, as follows:

“Your refusal of my request is unlawful. I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions between myself and my family members and SFPD. I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021I demand that you provide all responsive records. I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure of Request for Immediate Disclosure.”

On February 24, SFPD informed you that we stand by our initial response of February 16. As for your request for records on the above-referenced individuals, SFPD informed you that we interpreted your request for criminal history records. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq. Furthermore, as for your requests relating to a specific incident that occurred on September 22, 2021, based on the information you provided for that incident, SFPD informed you we were unable to locate a responsive incident report. However, you may submit a Public Records request with DEM for any calls for service. Finally, we provided you with instructions on how to obtain records from the Department of Emergency Management and incident reports.

SFPD is supplementing its response. You can obtain a record of your own local criminal history through the ID Bureau of the San Francisco Police Department. As for your request for any records, including arrest records on the other individuals referenced in your Public Records request, SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on those individuals. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq. Please follow the instructions provided below to obtain your own criminal history records (Clearance Letter for San Francisco County Only).

Obtain your own criminal history records/Clearance Letter

Here is the link: [Obtain a Clearance Letter | San Francisco Police Department](#)

There are 2 ways to obtain a SFPD Clearance Report:

1. In person with valid identification during business hours (Monday - Friday, excluding holidays; 8 a.m. - 5p.m.) at the San Francisco Police Department
ID Section
850 Bryant St., Room 475
San Francisco, CA 94103

You must bring a valid ID document with a photograph, such as a passport or driver's license. Expect to have your identity verified by fingerprints.

2. By mail with a notarized request

Instructions for Mail Request:

All mail requests for a criminal history/background check must be **notarized**. If the results of this check are to be released to a third party, a statement to that effect shall be included in the notarized document. It must give the person's legal name, date of birth, and include the number from a valid form of identification such as a driver's license. It should state that you give permission to release the information that you requested to the individual.

Your request must also include all of the following information:

- Full legal name and all other names you have used.
- Your correct date of birth and any other D.O.B. you have used.
- Your correct social security number and any others that you may have used.
- Your current driver's license or state ID card number.

Your request containing all of the above information must be sent along with a **self addressed, stamped envelope** to the following address:

San Francisco Police Department

ID Section

850 Bryant St., Room 475

San Francisco, CA 94103

Fee: None

For questions, please call 415-553-1415

Public Records request

You requested, *“Immediate Disclosure Request*

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as ‘SFPD’ in the sequel);

This is a request for Information and disclosure under California Public Records Act and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:

SFPD is providing an additional supplemental response, dated September 13, 2022:

1. You requested, *“Sergei Severinov, an individual,”*

On March 17, SFPD provided you with the above instructions on how to obtain your own criminal history. In addition, SFPD interprets your request for incident reports.

Incident Reports

Crime Information Services Division (“CISD”) is responsible for release of incident reports. Your request for incident reports is being processed by CISD. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7232.

2. You requested, *“Olga Tikhonova, an individual,”*

SFPD interprets your request for incident reports.

Incident Reports

Crime Information Services Division (“CISD”) is responsible for release of incident reports. Your request for incident reports is being processed by CISD. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7232.

3. You requested, *“Ivan Severinov, an individual”*

SFPD was unable to locate responsive records.

4. You requested, *“Vera Severinova, an individual,”*

Please refer to item 1.

6. You requested, *“any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department”*

Please refer to item nos. 1 through 4 for responsive information.

7. Questions 7 and 8 are consolidated. You requested, *“any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*

8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals.”

Response to item nos. 7 and 8:

Please refer to item nos. 1 through 4 for responsive information.

9. Questions 9 and 10 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Sergei Severinov”*

10. arrests of Sergei Severinov”

Response to item nos. 9 and 10:

Please refer to item 1.

11. Questions 11 and 12 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Olga Tikhonova”*

12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova”

Response to item nos. 11 and 12:

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individual. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

13. Questions 13 and 14 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Ivan Severinov”*

14. arrests of Ivan Severinov”

Response to item nos. 13 and 14:

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individual. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

15. Questions 15 and 16 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Vera Severinova”*

16. arrests of Vera Severinova”

Response to item nos. 15 and 16:

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individual. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

17. Questions 17 and 18 are consolidated. You requested, *“phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;”*

18. *CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.”*

Response to item nos. 17 and 18:

SFPD interprets your requests as asking for calls for service.

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

19. Questions 19 through 23 are consolidated. You requested, *“SFPD officers’ Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;”*

20. *SFPD officers’ Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;”*

Is 2151 grove fall under address above?

21. *2149 Grove street, San Francisco*

22. *2151 Grove street, San Francisco*

23. *2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco”*

Response to item nos. 19 through 23:

SFPD was able to locate responsive information for item 22. Please contact DEM for responsive records.

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

24. You requested, *“interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.”*

SFPD was unable to locate responsive records.

25. You requested, *“interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.”*

SFPD was unable to locate responsive records. We interpret your request for incident reports that originate out of the San Francisco International Airport. To obtain incident reports, you may contact the San Mateo County Hall of Justice at 650.363.4525.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

San Francisco Police Chief Releases Officers' Racist Texts

By Thomas Fuller

April 29, 2016

SAN FRANCISCO — Police Chief Gregory P. Suhr on Friday announced that all officers on the San Francisco force would be required to complete anti-bias training as he released nine pages of racist text messages between three officers that further tarnished the image of a department under federal investigation.

“We have nothing to hide,” said Chief Suhr. “These are the actions of a few.”

But the city’s public defender and experts on criminal justice said the texts appeared to reveal a deep culture of bias in the 2,000-member force that contradicts the city’s image of tolerance and liberalism.

“These texts evidence a deep culture of racial hatred and animus against blacks, Latinos, gays and even South Asians,” Jeff Adachi, the public defender, said in an interview. “It can no longer be said to be an isolated problem.”

A number of police departments, including those in Miami and Los Angeles, have had problems with racist messages sent by their officers. Experts in criminal justice have debated how to address bias, both conscious and unconscious.

The text messages released Friday use crude and strongly disparaging language against blacks and other minorities and were discovered as part of an investigation into a rape charge against one of the officers.

They come a year after a scandal involving 14 officers who also exchanged racist messages. An attempt to fire some of the 14 was rejected by a Superior Court judge, who said the statute of limitation had expired. Most of those officers remain on the force. Of the three officers whose messages were released Friday, one has retired and two have resigned.

Some of the messages released Friday had been previously made public by the public defender’s office, which is reopening the files on 207 cases that were handled by the three officers who sent the messages.

Mr. Adachi said the messages were highly consequential.

“These are people who have the power to arrest and the power to kill somebody,” he said. “If you’re thinking, ‘This is a wild animal or this is a crazed black man who’s going to hurt me,’ that’s when you might pull the trigger. That’s where it becomes scary.”

Chief Suhr also announced measures on Friday that would re-evaluate the use of force by officers. Two men wielding knives, an African-American and a Latino, have been fatally shot by the police since December in what critics say was unnecessary use of force.

Among other things, the chief said the department would now require officers to document any time they point their weapons at someone. He also announced measures requiring officers to carry helmets, long batons and gloves in their vehicles “to create time and distance from a person with a weapon short of a firearm.”

Eugene O’Donnell, a former New York City police officer who is now a professor at the John Jay College of Criminal Justice, said that racist texts in San Francisco were a marker of more complex and multicultural times. Two of the three officers are Asian-Americans.

“The traditional black/white narrative is changing,” he said.

Mr. O’Donnell said that firing racist officers is the “easy part” but that changing the mentality of officers is much harder. He is skeptical that lectures on diversity and tolerance are effective.

“Lecturing officers is not going to work,” he said. “If you tell the cops, ‘Don’t tell us what you really think,’ that fuels a backlash.”

The text messages released Friday had names and dates redacted. But in what appears to be a measure of the seriousness of the problem within the police force, the texts were sent last year, just as the scandal of the 14 officers exchanging racist messages was raging.

One text message released on Friday featured the image of a badly burned turkey and the words “Is that a Ferguson turkey,” an apparent reference to the St. Louis suburb where Michael Brown, a black teenager, was killed by a white police officer.

The Los Angeles Times on Wednesday published emails mocking blacks, Latinos and Muslims that were sent by Tom Angel, now the chief of staff for the sheriff of Los Angeles County. The emails were sent when Mr. Angel was an officer in Burbank.

The texting scandal in San Francisco comes as the federal government is conducting an overall review of the Police Department after the fatal shooting in December of an African-American man, Mario Woods.

After video of the shooting was posted online, prompting an outcry, Mayor Edwin M. Lee requested the federal investigation, which is being carried out by the Justice Department.

PENAL CODE - PEN

PART 4. PREVENTION OF CRIMES AND APPREHENSION OF CRIMINALS [11006 - 14315]

(Part 4 added by Stats. 1953, Ch. 1385.)

TITLE 1. INVESTIGATION AND CONTROL OF CRIMES AND CRIMINALS [11006 - 11482]

(Title 1 added by Stats. 1953, Ch. 1385.)

CHAPTER 1. Investigation, Identification, and Information Responsibilities of the Department of Justice [11006 - 11144]

(Heading of Chapter 1 amended by Stats. 1972, Ch. 1377.)

ARTICLE 3. Criminal Identification and Statistics [11100 - 11112]

(Article 3 added by Stats. 1953, Ch. 1385.)

11105.

(a) (1) The Department of Justice shall maintain state summary criminal history information.

(2) As used in this section:

(A) "State summary criminal history information" means the master record of information compiled by the Attorney General pertaining to the identification and criminal history of a person, such as name, date of birth, physical description, fingerprints, photographs, dates of arrests, arresting agencies and booking numbers, charges, dispositions, sentencing information, and similar data about the person.

(B) "State summary criminal history information" does not refer to records and data compiled by criminal justice agencies other than the Attorney General, nor does it refer to records of complaints to or investigations conducted by, or records of intelligence information or security procedures of, the office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice.

(b) The Attorney General shall furnish state summary criminal history information to the following, if needed in the course of their duties, provided that when information is furnished to assist an agency, officer, or official of state or local government, a public utility, or any other entity, in fulfilling employment, certification, or licensing duties, Chapter 1321 of the Statutes of 1974 and Section 432.7 of the Labor Code shall apply:

(1) The courts of the state.

(2) Peace officers of the state, as defined in Section 830.1, subdivisions (a) and (e) of Section 830.2, subdivision (a) of Section 830.3, subdivision (a) of Section 830.31, and subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 830.5.

(3) District attorneys of the state.

(4) Prosecuting city attorneys or city prosecutors of a city within the state.

(5) City attorneys pursuing civil gang injunctions pursuant to Section 186.22a, or drug abatement actions pursuant to Section 3479 or 3480 of the Civil Code, or Section 11571 of the Health and Safety Code.

(6) Probation officers of the state.

(7) Parole officers of the state.

(8) A public defender or attorney of record when representing a person in proceedings upon a petition for a certificate of rehabilitation and pardon pursuant to Section 4852.08.

(9) A public defender or attorney of record when representing a person in a criminal case or a juvenile delinquency proceeding, including all appeals and postconviction motions, or a parole, mandatory supervision pursuant to paragraph (5) of subdivision (h) of Section 1170, or postrelease community supervision revocation or revocation extension proceeding, if the information is requested in the course of representation.

(10) An agency, officer, or official of the state if the state summary criminal history information is required to implement a statute or regulation that expressly refers to specific criminal conduct applicable to the subject person of the state summary criminal history information, and contains requirements or exclusions, or both, expressly based upon that specified criminal conduct. The agency, officer, or official of the state authorized by this paragraph to receive state summary criminal history information may perform state and federal criminal history information checks as provided for in subdivision (u). The Department of Justice shall provide a state or federal response to the agency, officer, or official pursuant to subdivision (p).

(11) A city, county, city and county, or district, or an officer or official thereof, if access is needed in order to assist that agency, officer, or official in fulfilling employment, certification, or licensing duties, and if the access is specifically authorized by the city council, board of supervisors, or governing board of the city, county, or district if the state summary criminal history information is required to implement a statute, ordinance, or regulation that expressly refers to specific criminal conduct applicable to the subject person of the state summary criminal history information, and contains requirements or exclusions, or both, expressly based upon that specified criminal conduct. The city, county, city and county, district, or the officer or official thereof authorized by this paragraph may also transmit fingerprint images and related information to the Department of Justice to be transmitted to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

(12) The subject of the state summary criminal history information under procedures established under Article 5 (commencing with Section 11120).

(13) A person or entity when access is expressly authorized by statute if the criminal history information is required to implement a statute or regulation that expressly refers to specific criminal conduct applicable to the subject person of the state summary criminal history information, and contains requirements or exclusions, or both, expressly based upon that specified criminal conduct.

(14) Health officers of a city, county, city and county, or district when in the performance of their official duties enforcing Section 120175 of the Health and Safety Code.

(15) A managing or supervising correctional officer of a county jail or other county correctional facility.

(16) A humane society, or society for the prevention of cruelty to animals, for the specific purpose of complying with Section 14502 of the Corporations Code for the appointment of humane officers.

(17) Local child support agencies established by Section 17304 of the Family Code. When a local child support agency closes a support enforcement case containing state summary criminal history information, the agency shall delete or purge from the file and destroy documents or information concerning or arising from offenses for or of which the parent has been arrested, charged, or convicted, other than for offenses related to the parent's having failed to provide support for minor children, consistent with the requirements of Section 17531 of the Family Code.

(18) County child welfare agency personnel who have been delegated the authority of county probation officers to access state summary criminal history information pursuant to Section 272 of the Welfare and Institutions Code for the purposes specified in Section 16504.5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. Information from criminal history records provided pursuant to this subdivision shall not be used for a purpose other than those specified in this section and Section 16504.5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. When an agency obtains records both on the basis of name checks and fingerprint checks, final placement decisions shall be based only on the records obtained pursuant to the fingerprint check.

(19) The court of a tribe, or court of a consortium of tribes, that has entered into an agreement with the state pursuant to Section 10553.1 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. This information may be used only for the purposes specified in Section 16504.5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code and for tribal approval or tribal licensing of foster care or adoptive homes. Article 6 (commencing with Section 11140) shall apply to officers, members, and employees of a tribal court receiving state summary criminal history information pursuant to this section.

(20) Child welfare agency personnel of a tribe or consortium of tribes that has entered into an agreement with the state pursuant to Section 10553.1 of the Welfare and Institutions Code and to whom the state has delegated duties under paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 272 of the Welfare and Institutions

Code. The purposes for use of the information shall be for the purposes specified in Section 16504.5 of the Welfare and Institutions Code and for tribal approval or tribal licensing of foster care or adoptive homes. When an agency obtains records on the basis of name checks and fingerprint checks, final placement decisions shall be based only on the records obtained pursuant to the fingerprint check. Article 6 (commencing with Section 11140) shall apply to child welfare agency personnel receiving criminal record offender information pursuant to this section.

(21) An officer providing conservatorship investigations pursuant to Sections 5351, 5354, and 5356 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(22) A court investigator providing investigations or reviews in conservatorships pursuant to Section 1826, 1850, 1851, or 2250.6 of the Probate Code.

(23) A person authorized to conduct a guardianship investigation pursuant to Section 1513 of the Probate Code.

(24) A humane officer pursuant to Section 14502 of the Corporations Code for the purposes of performing the officer's duties.

(25) A public agency described in subdivision (b) of Section 15975 of the Government Code, for the purpose of oversight and enforcement policies with respect to its contracted providers.

(26) (A) A state entity, or its designee, that receives federal tax information. A state entity or its designee that is authorized by this paragraph to receive state summary criminal history information also may transmit fingerprint images and related information to the Department of Justice to be transmitted to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for the purpose of the state entity or its designee obtaining federal-level criminal offender record information from the Department of Justice. This information shall be used only for the purposes set forth in Section 1044 of the Government Code.

(B) For purposes of this paragraph, "federal tax information," "state entity" and "designee" are as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (3), respectively, of subdivision (f) of Section 1044 of the Government Code.

(c) The Attorney General may furnish state summary criminal history information and, when specifically authorized by this subdivision, federal-level criminal history information upon a showing of a compelling need to any of the following, provided that when information is furnished to assist an agency, officer, or official of state or local government, a public utility, or any other entity in fulfilling employment, certification, or licensing duties, Chapter 1321 of the Statutes of 1974 and Section 432.7 of the Labor Code shall apply:

(1) A public utility, as defined in Section 216 of the Public Utilities Code, that operates a nuclear energy facility when access is needed in order to assist in employing persons to work at the facility, provided that, if the Attorney General

supplies the data, the Attorney General shall furnish a copy of the data to the person to whom the data relates.

(2) A peace officer of the state other than those included in subdivision (b).

(3) An illegal dumping enforcement officer as defined in subdivision (i) of Section 830.7.

(4) A peace officer of another country.

(5) Public officers, other than peace officers, of the United States, other states, or possessions or territories of the United States, provided that access to records similar to state summary criminal history information is expressly authorized by a statute of the United States, other states, or possessions or territories of the United States if the information is needed for the performance of their official duties.

(6) A person when disclosure is requested by a probation, parole, or peace officer with the consent of the subject of the state summary criminal history information and for purposes of furthering the rehabilitation of the subject.

(7) The courts of the United States, other states, or territories or possessions of the United States.

(8) Peace officers of the United States, other states, or territories or possessions of the United States.

(9) An individual who is the subject of the record requested if needed in conjunction with an application to enter the United States or a foreign nation.

(10) (A) (i) A public utility, as defined in Section 216 of the Public Utilities Code, or a cable corporation as defined in subparagraph (B), if receipt of criminal history information is needed in order to assist in employing current or prospective employees, contract employees, or subcontract employees who, in the course of their employment, may be seeking entrance to private residences or adjacent grounds. The information provided shall be limited to the record of convictions and arrests for which the person is released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(ii) If the Attorney General supplies the data pursuant to this paragraph, the Attorney General shall furnish a copy of the data to the current or prospective employee to whom the data relates.

(iii) State summary criminal history information is confidential and the receiving public utility or cable corporation shall not disclose its contents, other than for the purpose for which it was acquired. The state summary criminal history information in the possession of the public utility or cable corporation and all copies made from it shall be destroyed not more than 30 days after employment or promotion or transfer is denied or granted,

except for those cases where a current or prospective employee is out on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial, in which case the state summary criminal history information and all copies shall be destroyed not more than 30 days after the case is resolved.

(iv) A violation of this paragraph is a misdemeanor, and shall give the current or prospective employee who is injured by the violation a cause of action against the public utility or cable corporation to recover damages proximately caused by the violations. A public utility's or cable corporation's request for state summary criminal history information for purposes of employing current or prospective employees who may be seeking entrance to private residences or adjacent grounds in the course of their employment shall be deemed a "compelling need" as required to be shown in this subdivision.

(v) This section shall not be construed as imposing a duty upon public utilities or cable corporations to request state summary criminal history information on current or prospective employees.

(B) For purposes of this paragraph, "cable corporation" means a corporation or firm that transmits or provides television, computer, or telephone services by cable, digital, fiber optic, satellite, or comparable technology to subscribers for a fee.

(C) Requests for federal-level criminal history information received by the Department of Justice from entities authorized pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be forwarded to the Federal Bureau of Investigation by the Department of Justice. Federal-level criminal history information received or compiled by the Department of Justice may then be disseminated to the entities referenced in subparagraph (A), as authorized by law.

(11) A campus of the California State University or the University of California, or a four-year college or university accredited by a regional accreditation organization approved by the United States Department of Education, if needed in conjunction with an application for admission by a convicted felon to a special education program for convicted felons, including, but not limited to, university alternatives and halfway houses. Only conviction information shall be furnished. The college or university may require the convicted felon to be fingerprinted, and any inquiry to the department under this section shall include the convicted felon's fingerprints and any other information specified by the department.

(12) A foreign government, if requested by the individual who is the subject of the record requested, if needed in conjunction with the individual's application to adopt a minor child who is a citizen of that foreign nation. Requests for information pursuant to this paragraph shall be in accordance with the process described in Sections 11122 to 11124, inclusive. The response shall be provided to the foreign government or its designee and to the individual who requested the information.

(d) Whenever an authorized request for state summary criminal history information pertains to a person whose fingerprints are on file with the Department of Justice and the department has no criminal history of that person, and the information is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes, the fingerprint card accompanying the request for information, if any, may be stamped "no criminal record" and returned to the person or entity making the request.

(e) Whenever state summary criminal history information is furnished as the result of an application and is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes, the Department of Justice may charge the person or entity making the request a fee that it determines to be sufficient to reimburse the department for the cost of furnishing the information. In addition, the Department of Justice may add a surcharge to the fee to fund maintenance and improvements to the systems from which the information is obtained. Notwithstanding any other law, a person or entity required to pay a fee to the department for information received under this section may charge the applicant a fee sufficient to reimburse the person or entity for this expense. All moneys received by the department pursuant to this section, Sections 11105.3 and 26190, and former Section 13588 of the Education Code shall be deposited in a special account in the General Fund to be available for expenditure by the department to offset costs incurred pursuant to those sections and for maintenance and improvements to the systems from which the information is obtained upon appropriation by the Legislature.

(f) Whenever there is a conflict, the processing of criminal fingerprints and fingerprints of applicants for security guard or alarm agent registrations or firearms qualification permits submitted pursuant to Section 7583.9, 7583.23, 7596.3, or 7598.4 of the Business and Professions Code shall take priority over the processing of other applicant fingerprints.

(g) It is not a violation of this section to disseminate statistical or research information obtained from a record, provided that the identity of the subject of the record is not disclosed.

(h) It is not a violation of this section to include information obtained from a record in (1) a transcript or record of a judicial or administrative proceeding or (2) any other public record if the inclusion of the information in the public record is authorized by a court, statute, or decisional law.

(i) Notwithstanding any other law, the Department of Justice or a state or local law enforcement agency may require the submission of fingerprints for the purpose of conducting state summary criminal history information checks that are authorized by law.

(j) The state summary criminal history information shall include any finding of mental incompetence pursuant to Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 1367) of Title 10 of Part 2 arising out of a complaint charging a felony offense specified in Section 290.

(k) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal summary criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an authorized agency or organization and the information is to be used for peace officer employment or certification purposes. As used in this

subdivision, a peace officer is defined in Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 830) of Title 3 of Part 2.

(2) Notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction rendered against the applicant.

(B) Every arrest for an offense for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Every arrest or detention, except for an arrest or detention resulting in an exoneration, provided, however, that where the records of the Department of Justice do not contain a disposition for the arrest, the Department of Justice first makes a genuine effort to determine the disposition of the arrest.

(D) Every successful diversion.

(E) Every date and agency name associated with all retained peace officer or nonsworn law enforcement agency employee preemployment criminal offender record information search requests.

(F) Sex offender registration status of the applicant.

(G) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(I) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal summary criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by a criminal justice agency or organization as defined in Section 13101, and the information is to be used for criminal justice employment, licensing, or certification purposes.

(2) Notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction rendered against the applicant.

(B) Every arrest for an offense for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Every arrest for an offense for which the records of the Department of Justice do not contain a disposition or which did not result in a conviction, provided that the Department of Justice first makes a genuine effort to determine the disposition of the arrest. However, information concerning an arrest shall not be disclosed if the records of the Department of Justice

indicate or if the genuine effort reveals that the subject was exonerated, successfully completed a diversion or deferred entry of judgment program, or the arrest was deemed a detention, or the subject was granted relief pursuant to Section 851.91.

(D) Every date and agency name associated with all retained peace officer or nonsworn law enforcement agency employee preemployment criminal offender record information search requests.

(E) Sex offender registration status of the applicant.

(F) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(m) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal summary criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an authorized agency or organization pursuant to Section 1522, 1568.09, 1569.17, or 1596.871 of the Health and Safety Code, or a statute that incorporates the criteria of any of those sections or this subdivision by reference, and the information is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes.

(2) Notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction of an offense rendered against the applicant, except a conviction for which relief has been granted pursuant to Section 1203.49.

(B) Every arrest for an offense for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Every arrest for an offense for which the Department of Social Services is required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 1522 of the Health and Safety Code to determine if an applicant has been arrested. However, if the records of the Department of Justice do not contain a disposition for an arrest, the Department of Justice shall first make a genuine effort to determine the disposition of the arrest.

(D) Sex offender registration status of the applicant.

(E) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(3) Notwithstanding the requirements of the sections referenced in paragraph (1) of this subdivision, the Department of Justice shall not disseminate information about an arrest subsequently deemed a detention or an arrest that resulted in the successful completion of a diversion program, exoneration, or a grant of relief pursuant to Section 851.91.

(n) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal summary criminal history information, to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes, is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an authorized agency, organization, or individual pursuant to any of the following:

(A) Paragraph (10) of subdivision (c), when the information is to be used by a cable corporation.

(B) Section 11105.3 or 11105.4.

(C) Section 15660 of the Welfare and Institutions Code.

(D) A statute that incorporates the criteria of any of the statutory provisions listed in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C), or of this subdivision, by reference.

(2) With the exception of applications submitted by transportation companies authorized pursuant to Section 11105.3, and notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction, except a conviction for which relief has been granted pursuant to Section 1203.49, rendered against the applicant for a violation or attempted violation of an offense specified in subdivision (a) of Section 15660 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. However, with the exception of those offenses for which registration is required pursuant to Section 290, the Department of Justice shall not disseminate information pursuant to this subdivision unless the conviction occurred within 10 years of the date of the agency's request for information or the conviction is over 10 years old but the subject of the request was incarcerated within 10 years of the agency's request for information.

(B) Every arrest for a violation or attempted violation of an offense specified in subdivision (a) of Section 15660 of the Welfare and Institutions Code for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Sex offender registration status of the applicant.

(D) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(o) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal summary criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an authorized agency or organization pursuant to Section 379 or 1300 of the Financial Code, or a statute that incorporates the criteria of either of those sections or this subdivision by reference, and the information is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes.

(2) Notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction rendered against the applicant for a violation or attempted violation of an offense specified in Section 1300 of the Financial Code, except a conviction for which relief has been granted pursuant to Section 1203.49.

(B) Every arrest for a violation or attempted violation of an offense specified in Section 1300 of the Financial Code for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(p) (1) This subdivision shall apply whenever state or federal criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an agency, organization, or individual not defined in subdivision (k), (l), (m), (n), or (o), or by a transportation company authorized pursuant to Section 11105.3, or a statute that incorporates the criteria of that section or this subdivision by reference, and the information is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes.

(2) Notwithstanding any other law, whenever state summary criminal history information is initially furnished pursuant to paragraph (1), the Department of Justice shall disseminate the following information:

(A) Every conviction rendered against the applicant.

(B) Every arrest for an offense for which the applicant is presently awaiting trial, whether the applicant is incarcerated or has been released on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial.

(C) Sex offender registration status of the applicant.

(D) Sentencing information, if present in the department's records at the time of the response.

(q) All agencies, organizations, or individuals defined in subdivisions (k), (l), (m), (n), (o), and (p) may contract with the Department of Justice for subsequent notification pursuant to Section 11105.2. This subdivision shall not supersede sections that mandate an agency, organization, or individual to contract with the Department of Justice for subsequent notification pursuant to Section 11105.2.

(r) This section does not require the Department of Justice to cease compliance with any other statutory notification requirements.

(s) The provisions of Section 50.12 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations are to be followed in processing federal criminal history information.

(t) Whenever state or federal summary criminal history information is furnished by the Department of Justice as the result of an application by an authorized agency, organization, or individual defined in subdivisions (k) to (p), inclusive, and the information is to be used for employment, licensing, or certification purposes, the authorized agency, organization, or individual shall expeditiously furnish a copy of the information to the person to whom the information relates if the information is a basis for an adverse employment, licensing, or certification decision. When furnished other than in person, the copy shall be delivered to the last contact information provided by the applicant.

(u) (1) If a fingerprint-based criminal history information check is required pursuant to any statute, that check shall be requested from the Department of Justice and shall be applicable to the person identified in the referencing statute. The agency or entity identified in the statute shall submit to the Department of Justice fingerprint images and related information required by the Department of Justice of the types of applicants identified in the referencing statute, for the purpose of obtaining information as to the existence and content of a record of state or federal convictions and state or federal arrests and also information as to the existence and content of a record of the state or federal arrests for which the Department of Justice establishes that the person is free on bail or on their own recognizance pending trial or appeal.

(2) If requested, the Department of Justice shall transmit fingerprint images and related information received pursuant to this section to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for the purpose of obtaining a federal criminal history information check. The Department of Justice shall review the information returned from the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and compile and disseminate a response or a fitness determination, as appropriate, to the agency or entity identified in the referencing statute.

(3) The Department of Justice shall provide a state- or federal-level response or a fitness determination, as appropriate, to the agency or entity identified in the referencing statute, pursuant to the identified subdivision.

(4) The agency or entity identified in the referencing statute shall request from the Department of Justice subsequent notification service, as provided pursuant to Section 11105.2, for persons described in the referencing statute.

(5) The Department of Justice shall charge a fee sufficient to cover the reasonable cost of processing the request described in this subdivision.

(v) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2023, and as of that date is repealed.

(Amended by Stats. 2022, Ch. 58, Sec. 29. (AB 200) Effective June 30, 2022. Repealed as of January 1, 2023, by its own provisions. See later operative version added by Sec. 30 of Stats. 2022, Ch. 58.)

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023539-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:16:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 03:59:02 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023539-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 170104900.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023539-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023537-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:16:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 03:51:04 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023537-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 070451336.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023537-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:
Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023552-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:12:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:28:05 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023552-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 212652553.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023552-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023543-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:15:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:11:02 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023543-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 150807817.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023543-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023538-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:16:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 03:55:13 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023538-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 081011444.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023538-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

Report Type: Initial

San Francisco Police Department
INCIDENT REPORT

090943909

Incident Number 090-943-909	Occurrence from Date/Time 9/13/09 14:00	Occurrence to Date/Time	Reported Date/Time 9/13/09 14:21	CAD Number 092562054
Type of Incident Suspicious Occurrence -64070, Dog, Bite or Attack -64010				
Location of Occurrence 2750 CLAY St At Intersection with/Premise type Park				
Confidential Report? <input type="checkbox"/>	Arrest Made? <input type="checkbox"/>	Suspect Known? <input type="checkbox"/>	Suspect Unknown? <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Suspect Incident? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Domestic Violence? <input type="checkbox"/> (Type of Weapon Used)				Reporting Unit 3G5C
Location Sent 2955 JACKSON St				
How Cleared?	Reported to Bureau All others	Name HEGLER	Star 10	Date/Time 9/13/09 16:20
Elder Victim? <input type="checkbox"/>	Gang Related? <input type="checkbox"/>	Juvenile Subject? <input type="checkbox"/>	Prejudice Based? <input type="checkbox"/>	

090943909

OFFICIAL CREATION	I declare under penalty of perjury, this report of <u>5</u> pages is true and correct, based on my personal knowledge, or is based on information and belief following an investigation of the events and parties involved.				
	PROP 115 CERTIFIED 5 YEAR/POST		Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>		
	Reporting Officer Marcelo Jr, Marcial Y	Star 1699	Station Richmond Station	Watch 1000-2000	Date 9/13/09 18:06:20
	Reviewing Officer <u>[Signature]</u>	STAR 2171	Station G	Watch 15101	Date 9/13/09
	OIC Lt. C. Johnson #2170	STAR [Signature]	Station M	Watch 3	Date SEP 14 2009
Related Case		Re-Assigned to	Assigned to Varies	Assigned by MM1699	
		Copies to Varies District	Add'l Copies ACC		

REPORT 1	Code R 1	Name (Last, First Middle) BINGHAM, SALLY G				Alias			
	Day Phone (415) 902-0808	Type Cell	Home Address 7 LAUREL STREET		City SAN FRANCISCO		State CA	Zip Code 94118-	
	Night Phone	Type	Work Address 220 MONTGOMERY STREET		City SAN FRANCISCO		State CA	Zip Code 94104-	
	DOB / Age Unk. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	or age between: 50 and	Race W	Sex F	Height	Weight	Hair Color	Eye Color	
	Confidential Person <input type="checkbox"/>	Violent Crime Notification <input type="checkbox"/>	293 PC Notification <input type="checkbox"/>	Star	Follow-up Form YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Statement YES <input type="checkbox"/>	Relationship to Subject Stranger/None		
	School (if Juvenile)		Injury/Treatment		Other Information/If Interpreter Needed Specify Language NO EMAIL / OWNER OF "OBI" A MIXED TERRIER				

Code	Name (Last, First Middle)				Alias			
Day Phone	Type	Home Address		City		State	Zip Code	
Night Phone	Type	Work Address		City		State	Zip Code	
DOB Unknown <input type="checkbox"/>	Date of Birth	Age	or age between and	Race	Sex	Height	Weight	
Hair Color	Eye Color							
SFNO	J/D# (if Juvi.)	ID Type/Jurisdiction/Number		ID Type/Jurisdiction/Number		ID Type/Jurisdiction/Number		
Book Section #1	Book Section #2	Book Section #3	Book Section #4	Book Section #5	Booking Location			
Warrant #	Court #	Action #	Dept	Enroute to	CWB Check	Star		
Warrant Violation(s)				Bail	Mirandized <input type="checkbox"/>	Star	Date Time Statement <input type="checkbox"/>	
Citation #	Violation(s)			Appear Date/Time	Location of Appearance			
Book/Cite Approval	Star	Mass Arrest Code	M X-Rays <input type="checkbox"/>	School (if Juvenile)	<input type="checkbox"/> CA Form Booked Copy Attached			
Other Information: Citation/Warrant/Booking Charge(s)/Missing Person-Subject Description; Scars, Marks, Tattoos								

090943909

San Francisco Police Department

ADDITIONAL REPORTEE

RP CODES: F -FOUND; N -NOTIFY; P -PARENT; R -REPORTEE; V -VICTIM; W -WITNESS

R E P O R T E E	Code R 2	Name (Last, First Middle) ADAMS, ELLEN D					Alias				
	Day Phone (415) 931-9215		Type Cell	Home Address 2929 JACKSON STREET			City SAN FRANCISCO		State CA	Zip Code 94115-	
	Night Phone		Type	Work Address			City		State	Zip Code	
	DOB/ Unk	Age 50	DOB Unk <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	or age between AND	Race W	Sex F	Height	Weight	Hair Color	Eye Color	ID No.
	Confidential Person <input type="checkbox"/>	Violent Crime Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		293 PC Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		Star	F/U Form YES <input type="checkbox"/>	Statement YES <input type="checkbox"/>	Relationship to Subject Stranger/None		
	School (if Juvenile)		Injury/Treatment				Other Information/If Interpreter Needed Specify Language NO EMAIL / "OLIVER" A NORWICH TERRIER				

R E P O R T E E	Code R 3	Name (Last, First Middle) SEVERINOV, SERGEI L					ALIAS				
	Day Phone (415) 990-9062		Type Cell	Home Address 1437 CLEMENT STREET			City SAN FRANCISCO		State CA	Zip Code 94118-	
	Night Phone		Type	Work Address			City		State	Zip Code	
	DOB/ 2/12/66	Age 43	DOB Unk <input type="checkbox"/>	or age between AND	Race W	Sex M	Height	Weight	Hair Color	Eye Color	ID No.
	Confidential Person <input type="checkbox"/>	Violent Crime Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		293 PC Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		Star	F/U Form YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Statement YES <input type="checkbox"/>	Relationship to Subject Stranger/None		
	School (if Juvenile)		Injury/Treatment				Other Information/If Interpreter Needed Specify Language NO EMAIL / FATHER OF V4-IVAN SEVERINOV				

V I C T I M	Code V 4	Name (Last, First Middle) SEVERINOV, IVAN					ALIAS				
	Day Phone (415) 990-9062		Type Other	Home Address 1437 CLEMENT STREET			City SAN FRANCISCO		State CA	Zip Code 94008-	
	Night Phone		Type	Work Address			City		State	Zip Code	
	DOB/ 12/19/03	Age 5	DOB Unk <input type="checkbox"/>	or age between AND	Race	Sex	Height	Weight	Hair Color	Eye Color	ID No.
	Confidential Person <input type="checkbox"/>	Violent Crime Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		293 PC Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		Star	F/U Form YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Statement YES <input type="checkbox"/>	Relationship to Subject		
	School (if Juvenile)		Injury/Treatment SMALL ABRASION TO RIGHT ELBOW				Other Information/If Interpreter Needed Specify Language NO EMAIL				

	Code	Name (Last, First Middle)					Alias				
	Day Phone		Type	Work Address			City		State	Zip Code	
	Night Phone		Type	WORK ADDRESS			City		State	Zip Code	
	DOB/ Unk	Age	DOB Unk <input type="checkbox"/>	or age between AND	Race	Sex	Height	Weight	Hair Color	Eye Color	ID No.
	Confidential Person <input type="checkbox"/>	Violent Crime Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		293 PC Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		Star	F/U Form YES <input type="checkbox"/>	Statement YES <input type="checkbox"/>	Relationship to Subject		
	School (if Juvenile)		Injury/Treatment				Other Information/If Interpreter Needed Specify Language				

SFPD377 B (03/03)

090943909

San Francisco Police Department PROPERTY LISTINGS

E 1	Code/No EVD 1	Item Description DISKETTE				Brand OFFICE DEPOT		Model	
	Serial No.		Gun Make	Caliber	Color BLK	Narcotics Lab No.		Quantity 1	Value
	Seized by (Star) 1772		From Where SCENE						
	Additional Description/Identifying Numbers DISKETTE CONTAINING (9)NINE PHOTOS								
	Code/No	Item Description				Brand		Model	
	Serial No.		Gun Make	Caliber	Color	Narcotics Lab No.		Quantity	Value
	Seized by (Star)		From Where						
	Additional Description/Identifying Numbers								
	Code/No	Item Description				Brand		Model	
	Serial No.		Gun Make	Caliber	Color	Narcotics Lab No.		Quantity	Value
	Seized by (Star)		From Where						
	Additional Description/Identifying Numbers								
	Code/No	Item Description				Brand		Model	
	Serial No.		Gun Make	Caliber	Color	Narcotics Lab No.		Quantity	Value
	Seized by (Star)		From Where						
	Additional Description/Identifying Numbers								
	Code/No	Item Description				Brand		Model	
	Serial No.		Gun Make	Caliber	Color	Narcotics Lab No.		Quantity	Value
	Seized by (Star)		From Where						
	Additional Description/Identifying Numbers								
	Code/No	Item Description				Brand		Model	
	Serial No.		Gun Make	Caliber	Color	Narcotics Lab No.		Quantity	Value
	Seized by (Star)		From Where						
	Additional Description/Identifying Numbers								
	Code/No	Item Description				Brand		Model	
	Serial No.		Gun Make	Caliber	Color	Narcotics Lab No.		Quantity	Value
	Seized by (Star)		From Where						
	Additional Description/Identifying Numbers								

I responded to 2955 Jackson street to assist 3G11a, 3G3a Officers Filamor #213 and Ophir #1772 regarding a dispute. Per the direction of 3G104 Sgt Yin #1778, I was to relieve the listed Officers as they were nearing the end of their shift. Dispatch advised that the reportee was being followed by an unknown male who was accusing the reportee's dog of a dog bite. Upon my arrival, I was advised by Officers Filamor and Ophir that all information had been taken from both parties and that they were concluding the investigation. It should be noted that King American Medic 2 was at the scene prior to my arrival. It should be noted that while at the scene, one of the involved parties later identified as R3-Sergie Severinov, wanted to leave the scene.

I first spoke to Officer Filamor who told me the following. Officer Filamor said upon his arrival at the scene, R3-Sergei Severinov approached him on the driver side of his vehicle and started yelling. Officer Filamor said he was unsure what Severinov was saying at that time. Officer Filamor said upon interviewing R3-Severinov, he continued to be uncooperative and was irate. Officer Filamor said he then spoke to the other involved parties later identified as R1-Sally Bingham and R2-Ellen Adams. Officer Filamor said both R1-Bingham and R2-Adams said they were walking their dogs at the Alta Plaza park located at 2750 Clay street. R1-Bingham and R2-Adams said they were near the tennis courts when R1-Bingham's dog ran into one of the nearby tennis courts. R1-Bingham said R3-Severinov and his son, later identified as V4-Ivan Severinov, were playing with tennis balls inside of the listed tennis court when her dog retrieved one of the tennis balls inside. R1-Bingham said R3-Severinov picked up his son and started to accuse R1-Bingham that her dog bit his son. R1-Bingham said she was supervising her dog the whole time and that her dog never bit R3-Severinov's son. Officer Filamor said he noticed a small abrasion to the right elbow of V4-Severinov. Officer Filamor added that the mark was apparently not consistent with a dog bite.

Officer Ophir then told me the following. Officer Ophir said upon his arrival at the scene, he interviewed R1-Bingham and R2-Adams while Officer Filamor was talking to R3-Severinov. Officer Ophir said R1-Bingham and R2-Adams told him the same events which are listed above. Officer Ophir added that R1-Bingham witnessed R3-Severinov's son fall on the ground prior to being picked up by R3-Severinov. R1-Bingham and R2-Adams said believing that a dog bite did not occur, they left the area to walk home. R1-Bingham and R2-Adams said they decided to call for the police due to the fact that R3-continued to follow and yell at them. R1-Bingham admitted that her dog was off leash while at the park. Officer Ophir said due to the fact that R3-was uncooperative at the scene along with the fact that the above listed Officers did not witness the dog off the leash, R1-Bingham was not cited for the off leash violation. Officer Ophir added that R1-Bingham's dog appeared to be calm and friendly. Bingham's dog is a mixed terrier that weighs approximately 12-15 lbs.

Officer Ophir said he observed a small but noticeable abrasion to V4-Severinov's elbow. Officer Ophir said he requested for paramedics to respond to the scene to evaluate V4-Severinov's abrasion. Upon the arrival of Medic 2, R3-Severinov refused all treatment to his son. Officer Ophir said that the abrasion was apparently not consistent with a dog bite, and that the abrasion may have occurred when V4-Severinov fell on the ground. Officer Ophir said he asked R3-Severinov if he would like to prepare a written statement. Officer Ophir said R3-Severinov was uncooperative and did not want to prepare a written statement. Officer Ophir said that R3-Severinov only wanted proof of vaccination of R1-Bingham's dog. Officer Ophir said R1-Bingham was able to provide vaccination papers along with a medalion, (medalion number 31643). Officer Ophir said the vaccinations were valid through 09-17-2010. Officer Ophir said he provided the information to R3-Severinov. Officer Ophir said he took E1-Photos of V4-Severinov, his right elbow, and of the dogs at the scene. All involved parties were issued SFPD105 forms at the scene. It should be noted that the Officers at the scene did not notice any alcohol consumption between the two parties involved.

Once at the Richmond station, Officer Ophir notified Officer Hegler of Animal Care and Control. Officer Ophir also called and advised R1-Bingham to quarantine her dog for 10 days. Officer Ophir booked the E1-diskette at Richmond station for evidence.

Retrieved by A22280 on 11/22/2022 at 1:54 PM
SFPD Crime Information Services Unit (415) 575-7232

San Francisco Police Department INCIDENT REPORT

Report Type: Initial

130411193

I N C I D E N T	Incident Number 130-411-193		Occurrence From Date / Time 05/19/2013 09:49		Occurrence To Date / Time		Reported Date / Time 05/19/2013 09:49		CAD Number 131390944	
	Type of incident INVESTIGATIVE DETENTION 64085									
	Location of Occurrence: 2800 TURK BL				At Intersection with/Premise Type SCHOOL, COLLEGE OR UNIVERSITY				District RICHMOND	
	Confidential Report? <input type="checkbox"/>	Arrest Made? <input type="checkbox"/>	Suspect Known? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Suspect Unknown? <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Suspect Incident? <input type="checkbox"/>	Domestic (Type of Weapon Used) Violence? <input type="checkbox"/>			Reporting Unit 3G103	
	Location Sent / On View: 2800 TURK BL				At Intersection with				Reporting District RICHMOND	
How Cleared?		Reported to Bureau		Name	Star	Date/ Time	Elder Victim <input type="checkbox"/>	Gang Related? <input type="checkbox"/>	Juvenile Subject? <input type="checkbox"/>	Prejudice Based? <input type="checkbox"/>

130411193

O F F I C E R T I O N	I declare under penalty of perjury, this report of <u>5</u> pages is true and correct, based on my personal knowledge, or is based on information and belief following an investigation of the events and parties involved.										
	PROP 115 CERTIFIED 5 Year/Post										
	Reporting Officer MURPHY, MICHAEL A			Star 1383		Station Richmond Station		Watch 0600-1600		Date 05/19/13 13:56	
	Reviewing Officer MURPHY, MICHAEL A			Star 1383		Station Richmond Station		Watch 0600-1600		Date 05/19/13 13:56	
	OIC LEE, FRANK E			Star 1179		Station Richmond Station		Watch 0600-1600		Date 05/19/13 14:24	
	Related Case --		Related Case --		Re-assigned to Copies to 3*300		Assigned to 3*300 Add'l Copies		Assigned by MM 1383		

R / W I T N E S S	Code R/W 1		Name (Last, First Middle) SGT. EVANS, #103 USF PS				Alias		Email			
	Day Phone (415) 422-2207		Type Work		Home Address				City		State Zip Code	
	Night Phone		Type		Work Address 2800 TURK BOULEVARD				City SAN FRANCISCO		State CA Zip Code	
	DOB / Age		DOB Unk. <input type="checkbox"/>		or age between and		Race	Sex F	Height	Weight	Hair Color	Eye Color
	Confidential Person <input type="checkbox"/>		Violent Crime Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		293 PC Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		Star		Follow-up Form YES <input type="checkbox"/>		Statement YES <input type="checkbox"/>	
	School (if Juvenile)		Injury/Treatment				Other Information/If Interpreter Needed Specify Language USF PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICER # 103					

R / W I T N E S S	Code R/W 2		Name (Last, First Middle) BIERKE, K.				Alias		Email			
	Day Phone (000) 000-0000		Type Unknown		Home Address				City		State Zip Code	
	Night Phone		Type		Work Address 2800 TURK BOULEVARD				City SAN FRANCISCO		State CA Zip Code	
	DOB / Age		DOB Unk. <input type="checkbox"/>		or age between and		Race	Sex M	Height	Weight	Hair Color	Eye Color
	Confidential Person <input type="checkbox"/>		Violent Crime Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		293 PC Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		Star		Follow-up Form YES <input type="checkbox"/>		Statement YES <input type="checkbox"/>	
	School (if Juvenile)		Injury/Treatment				Other Information/If Interpreter Needed Specify Language PARTNER AT SCENE WITH SGT. EVANS					

2013 MAY 19 P 5:33

SFPD
RMS UNIT

San Francisco Police Department INCIDENT REPORT

Report Type: Initial

130411193

R / W I T N E S S	Code RW 3	Name (Last, First Middle) LT. LEE, SFPD # 1179	Alias				Email					
	Day Phone (415) 666-8000		Type Work		Home Address				City		State	Zip Code
	Night Phone		Type		Work Address RICHMOND POLICE STATION				City SAN FRANCISCO		State CA	Zip Code -
	DOB	/ Age	DOB Unk. <input type="checkbox"/>	or age between and		Race	Sex	Height	Weight	Hair Color	Eye Color	ID Type Jurisd. ID No.
	Confidential Person <input type="checkbox"/>	Violent Crime Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		293 PC Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		Star		Follow-up Form YES <input type="checkbox"/>		Statement YES <input type="checkbox"/>		Relationship to Subject
	School (if Juvenile)				Injury/Treatment				Other Information/If Interpreter Needed Specify Language			

R E P O R T E E	Code R 1	Name (Last, First Middle) SFPD #1383, SGT. MURPHY	Alias				Email					
	Day Phone (415) 666-8000		Type Work		Home Address				City		State	Zip Code
	Night Phone		Type		Work Address RICHMOND POLICE STATION				City SAN FRANCISCO		State CA	Zip Code -
	DOB	/ Age	DOB Unk. <input type="checkbox"/>	or age between and		Race	Sex	Height	Weight	Hair Color	Eye Color	ID Type Jurisd. ID No.
	Confidential Person <input type="checkbox"/>	Violent Crime Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		293 PC Notification <input type="checkbox"/>		Star		Follow-up Form YES <input type="checkbox"/>		Statement YES <input type="checkbox"/>		Relationship to Subject STRANGER/NONE
	School (if Juvenile)				Injury/Treatment				Other Information/If Interpreter Needed Specify Language			

D E T A I N E D	Code D 1	Name (Last, First Middle) SEVERINOV, SERGEI L	Alias				Email					
	Day Phone (000) 000-0000		Type Refused		Home Address 143 PIERCE STREET				City SAN FRANCISCO		State CA	Zip Code -
	Night Phone (000) 000-0000		Type Refused		Work Address REFUSED				City REFUSED		State CA	Zip Code -
	DOB Unknown <input type="checkbox"/>	Date of Birth	Age	or age between and		Race W	Sex M	Height 5'11	Weight 195	Hair Color BRO	Eye Color GRE	
	SFNO	J/D# (if Juv)		ID Type/Jurisdiction/Number			ID Type/Jurisdiction/Number			ID Type/Jurisdiction/Number		
	Booking Charge(s)						Booking Location					
	Warrant #		Court#		Action#		Dept#		Enroute to			
	Warrant Violation(s)								Bail (\$)			
	Citation#		Violation(s)				Appear Date/time		Location of Appearance			
	<input type="checkbox"/> CA Form Booked Copy Attached		Mirandized: Star <input type="checkbox"/>		Date Time		CWB Check		Star			
	Book/Cite Approval		Star		Mass Arrest Code		M X-Rays <input type="checkbox"/>		School (if Juvenile)		Statement <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Other Information: Citation/Warrant/Booking Charge(s)/Missing Person-Subject Description; Scars, Marks, Tattoos WHITE T SHIRT, BLUE SHORTS											

**San Francisco Police Department
INCIDENT REPORT**

Report Type: Initial

130411193

P R O P E R T Y	E	Code/No EVD 1	Item Description SFPD 184 FORM AND COPY				Brand		Model	
	1	Serial No.	Gun Make	Caliber	Color YEL	Narcotics Lab No.	Quantity 1	Value		
		Seized by (Star) 1383		From Where AT USF LONE MTN. TENNIS COURTS.						
	Additional Description/Identifying Numbers 849 B Pc for provided to Severinov by #1383. He initially refused to take the release form but then accepted.									

Retrieved by A22280 on 11/22/2022 at 2:29 PM
SFPD Crime Information Services Unit (415) 575-7232

NARRATIVE

On 05/19/2013 I responded to a call of a fight located on the USF Campus tennis courts. I was advised via my pic radio that the caller thought that someone was impersonating USF Police harassing him and approaching him in a threatening manner. I was in full uniform and in a marked police vehicle. I was advised by dispatch that Lt. Lee # 1179 had arrived on scene. Dispatch advised me over the radio that the officers in question were real USF public safety officers in full uniform and not imposters.

I arrived and met with Lt. Lee and USF Public Safety Officer Evans # 103 and Bierke # 17. Ofc. Evans explained that a subject, identified as a white male in a white shirt and shorts, at the tennis courts was being verbally abusive after being asked to move his illegally parked vehicle and being asked leave the campus. The Campus is considered private property. Evans explained to Lt. Lee and myself that the individual was parked in a no parking, fire lane with appropriate signage. Evans calmly explained that the individual was still at the tennis court with his young daughter who was approximately 6-9 yrs old. Evans stated that the subject had made false accusations that they had pointed their guns at him. Evans stated that she did no such thing. Evans and her partner Bierke stated they have had a prior contact in which the same individual was verbally abusive and asked to leave the campus. Evans stated that the subject had the first name of Sergei which was consistent with the name of the caller who called dispatch claiming that people were impersonating campus public safety officers.

Lt. Lee and I approached the only adult male subject on the tennis courts. He was dressed in a white T-shirt with a tennis logo and blue shorts. A small female child was sitting on the sideline bench crying. Her crying appeared due to the actions of the male and not the actions of the public safety officers. Lt. Lee asked the individual his name and or identification. The individual did not say "hello police, I'm the one who called can you help me?" Instead, the white male identified by Sgt. Evans as Sergei, stated, "I do not recognize you authority and I do not have to give you my ID because the constitution of the United States says so." Lt. Lee stated to the individual that he is being detained and for the individual to provide the requested information. The white male, Sergei, refused. He stated that we were racists and that he did not have to leave and that the public safety was harassing him.

Evans provided me with the license plate to the vehicle that belonged to the individual. I asked dispatch to conduct a computer check on 5WAZ569 and to provide me with the registered owners information. Dispatch stated that the vehicle belonged to a Sergei Severinov who resides at 143 Pierce St. and at my request they ran the subject for warrants. Dispatch provided me with a DOB and CDL for (D-1) Severinov. Dispatch stated that the individual had no warrants.

I returned my attention to Severinov who was now red faced, spitting as he responded "you are all racists, am I being detained. I can be here because the campus has an agreement with the surrounding community and I can be here. Evans explained to me that the two neighboring community groups are allowed the privilege to use the courts but that they must abide by the rules. Evans stated that the address for Severinov, 143 Pierce, was not included in the community group area and if it was that Severinov's parking and abusive outbursts would revoke his privilege to the campus.

Lt. Lee asked Severinov to leave the area, he replied "I am still detained and I'm calling OCC right now and I want to talk to your Captain about this I'm suing you all." Severinov was red faced and shaking as he aggressively shouted at us. He shouted that he was "a friend of the mayor" and that he would be "calling him in the morning", that his "first, fourth and ninth civil rights were being being infringed" and that after he "sued us it would pay for his daughters college tuition". He repeatedly called the police station, OCC and what appeared to be dispatch in our presence. He stated "the city will pay, you'll see, they will pay me." At no time did he make any attempt to console the child as she cried. Evans explained that in the prior contact (May 5th 2013 at 0951 hrs) with Severinov, he was being verbally abusive to his 12 year old son while the child sat in the car. Evans stated that on that occasion Severinov had called the campus dispatch and provided his information.

I completed the SFPD 849 (B) PC form and attempted to verify the name and present it to Severinov. He refused to acknowledge my questions or raise his hands to accept the form. I explained that the form was an

acknowledgement that he had been detained and that he was free to leave. Severinov refused to take the form until the small child pleaded with him, "take it... just take it please, so we can go. Severinov left under his own power as a result of his daughters pleading. Severinov was never handcuffed during our contact. There is no video located in that area of the campus. There were no witnesses available to provide further information regarding the event. Ofc. Evans admonished Severinov that he was no longer welcome on the campus and that if he returns he will be cited for trespassing. At Co G I booked the (E-1)849 B PC release form and a copy.

Retrieved by A22280 on 11/22/2022 at 2:29 PM
SFPD Crime Information Services Unit (415) 575-7232

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023550-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:13:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:25:13 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023550-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 200603814.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023550-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023551-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:12:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:26:03 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023551-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 180396664.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023551-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023534-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:19:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 03:35:13 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023534-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 150807817.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023534-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:
Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023535-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:18:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 03:37:03 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023535-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 130411193.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023535-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023536-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:18:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 03:45:13 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023536-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 090943909.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023536-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:
Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023539-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:15:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 03:59:02 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023539-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 170104900.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023539-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:

Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023543-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:15:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:11:02 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023543-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 150807817.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023543-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:

Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023545-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:14:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:15:14 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023545-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 130411193.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023545-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023546-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:14:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:18:03 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023546-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 166034137.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023546-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023548-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:14:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:20:20 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023548-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 050954281.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023548-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023549-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:13:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:22:03 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023549-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 050030728.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023549-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023550-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:13:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:25:13 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023550-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 200603814.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023550-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:

Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023552-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:12:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:28:05 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023552-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 212652553.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023552-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:

Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023553-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:11:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:30:16 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023553-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 212652614.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023553-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:

Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023553-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:12:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:30:16 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023553-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 212652614.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023553-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023549-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:13:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:22:03 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023549-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 050030728.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023549-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023549-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:13:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Sansotf Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:22:02 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023549-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 050030728.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023549-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:
Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023546-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:14:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:18:02 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023546-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 166034137.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023546-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:

Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023545-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:15:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:15:13 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023545-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 130411193.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023545-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:

Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: SANFRANCISCOPD Service Request Updated :: R023534-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:09:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 at 04:08:02 PM PST
Subject: SANFRANCISCOPD Service Request Updated :: R023534-092222

Attachments:
[150807817_Redacted.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 22, 2022

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022, Reference # R023534-092222

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022.

Your request has been processed and is now complete. Documents/records have now been made available via the [San Francisco Public Records Center](#). I HAVE ALSO EMAIL YOU YOUR POLICE REPORT.

Incident Report Request - R023534-092222

Please Note:

If you need to request a correction to a report or add additional lost/stolen items and your Initial Report was submitted:

1. Online through CopLogic: You may submit a Supplemental Report online using CopLogic via <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-servicepolice-reports/file-police-report>
2. Phone: Call 311 (outside of San Francisco 1-415-701-2311) and relay the information over the phone.

3. Person: Go to your local police station and file a supplemental report.

To Request Photos/Videos/Statements/Evidence:

File a public records request online at <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-service/public-records-request>

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023537-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:10:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 at 01:53:01 PM PST
Subject: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023537-092222

Attachments:
[070451336.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 22, 2022

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022, Reference # R023537-092222

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022.

Your request has been processed and is now complete. Documents/records have now been made available via the [San Francisco Public Records Center](#).

Incident Report Request - R023537-092222

Please Note:

If you need to request a correction to a report or add additional lost/stolen items and your Initial Report was submitted:

1. Online through CopLogic: You may submit a Supplemental Report online using CopLogic via <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-servicepolice-reports/file-police-report>
2. Phone: Call 311 (outside of San Francisco 1-415-701-2311) and relay the information over the phone.
3. Person: Go to your local police station and file a supplemental report.

To Request Photos/Videos/Statements/Evidence:

File a public records request online at <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-service/public-records-request>

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023538-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:08:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2022 at 10:23:02 AM PST
Subject: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023538-092222

Attachments:

[081011444_2_Redacted.pdf](#)
[081011444_Redacted.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 29, 2022

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022, Reference # R023538-092222

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022.

Your request has been processed and is now complete. Please see attached reports. Documents/records have now been made available via the [San Francisco Public Records Center](#).

Incident Report Request - R023538-092222

Please Note:

If you need to request a correction to a report or add additional lost/stolen items and your Initial Report was submitted:

1. Online through CopLogic: You may submit a Supplemental Report online using CopLogic via <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-servicepolice-reports/file-police-report>
2. Phone: Call 311 (outside of San Francisco 1-415-701-2311) and relay the information over the phone.

3. Person: Go to your local police station and file a supplemental report.

To Request Photos/Videos/Statements/Evidence:

File a public records request online at <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-service/public-records-request>

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023545-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:08:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2022 at 12:20:02 PM PST
Subject: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023545-092222

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 29, 2022

Report #130411193

Dear Sergei Severinov:

We have received your report request, but due to the nature of the report we must route the request to the investigative unit for final release/approval. Please be patient as this may add several days to our processing time.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: SANFRANCISCOPD Service Request Updated :: R023548-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:06:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

For inclusion in agenda package for hearing on DEc 7, 2022
case 22104

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 at 03:52:01 PM PST
Subject: SANFRANCISCOPD Service Request Updated :: R023548-092222

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 30, 2022

Re: Report #050954281

Dear Sergei Severinov:

We have received your report request, but due to the nature of the report we must route the request to the investigative unit for final release/approval. Please be patient as this may add several days to our processing time.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023549-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:11:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 at 01:47:02 PM PST
Subject: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023549-092222

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 22, 2022

Dear Sergei Severinov:

We received your request but unfortunately, we are not able to locate your name on the police report you requested. If you are able to provide a valid release form from one of the parties on the report, you may submit a new request so we can provide you a copy.

Thank you.

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023552-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:11:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 at 01:41:03 PM PST
Subject: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023552-092222

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 22, 2022

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The police report number that you provided corresponds to a CAD (Computer Aided Dispatch) report. You may request the report through SF Department of Emergency Management by completing the request form on their website at: <https://sfdem.org/requesting-public-records-0>

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Service Request Updated :: R023535-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:09:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 at 02:37:01 PM PST
Subject: Service Request Updated :: R023535-092222

Attachments:
[130411193.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 22, 2022

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022, Reference # R023535-092222

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022.

Your request has been processed and is now complete. Documents/records have now been made available via the [San Francisco Public Records Center](#).

Incident Report Request - R023535-092222

Please Note: I attached a copy of the police report to this email.

If you need to request a correction to a report or add additional lost/stolen items and your Initial Report was submitted:

1. Online through CopLogic: You may submit a Supplemental Report online using CopLogic via <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-servicepolice-reports/file-police-report>
2. Phone: Call 311 (outside of San Francisco 1-415-701-2311) and relay the information over the phone.
3. Person: Go to your local police station and file a supplemental report.

To Request Photos/Videos/Statements/Evidence:

File a public records request online at <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-service/public-records-request>

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Service Request Updated :: R023536-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:10:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 at 02:02:02 PM PST
Subject: Service Request Updated :: R023536-092222

Attachments:
[090943909.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 22, 2022

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022, Reference # R023536-092222

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022.

Your request has been processed and is now complete. Documents/records have now been made available via the [San Francisco Public Records Center](#).

Incident Report Request - R023536-092222

Please Note:

If you need to request a correction to a report or add additional lost/stolen items and your Initial Report was submitted:

1. Online through CopLogic: You may submit a Supplemental Report online using CopLogic via <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-servicepolice-reports/file-police-report>
2. Phone: Call 311 (outside of San Francisco 1-415-701-2311) and relay the information over the phone.
3. Person: Go to your local police station and file a supplemental report.

To Request Photos/Videos/Statements/Evidence:

File a public records request online at <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-service/public-records-request>

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Service Request Updated :: R023538-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:08:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2022 at 06:54:02 PM PST
Subject: Service Request Updated :: R023538-092222

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 23, 2022

Report #081011444

Dear Sergei Severinov:

We have received your report request, but due to the nature of the report we must route the request to the investigative unit for final release/approval. Please be patient as this may add several days to our processing time.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Service Request Updated :: R023539-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:08:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2022 at 09:28:02 AM PST
Subject: Service Request Updated :: R023539-092222

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 29, 2022

Re: Report #170104900

Dear Sergei Severinov:

We have received your report request, but due to the nature of the report we must route the request to the investigative unit for final release/approval. Please be patient as this may add several days to our processing time.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Service Request Updated :: R023550-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:11:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 at 01:44:02 PM PST
Subject: Service Request Updated :: R023550-092222

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 22, 2022

Dear Sergei Severinov:

We received your request but unfortunately, we are not able to locate your name on the incident report you requested. If you are able to provide a valid release from the listed party on this report, you may submit a new request so we can provide you with a copy.

Thank you.

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Service Request Updated :: R023551-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:10:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 at 04:07:02 PM PST
Subject: Service Request Updated :: R023551-092222

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 30, 2022

Re: Report #180396664

Dear Sergei Severinov:

We have received your report request, but due to the nature of the report we must route the request to the investigative unit for final release/approval. Please be patient as this may add several days to our processing time.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [SFPD Public Records Center](#).

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Service Request Updated :: R023553-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:11:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 at 01:41:02 PM PST
Subject: Service Request Updated :: R023553-092222

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 22, 2022

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The police report number that you provided corresponds to a CAD (Computer Aided Dispatch) report. You may request the report through SF Department of Emergency Management by completing the request form on their website at: <https://sfdem.org/requesting-public-records-0>

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023551-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:12:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:26:03 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023551-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 180396664.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023551-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:

Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

November 29, 2022

Sergei Severinov

Complaint regarding denial to disclose records and refusal to search for records
and refusal to assist in search

by San Francisco Police Department (SFPD):

SOTF File No. **22014** scheduled for Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

hearing on December 7, 2022 .

Members of the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force:

My complaint 22014 is based on sections 67.21(b) and 67.21(c) of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and was heard by SOTF on Sept 7, 2022 and by Compliance and Amendments Committee on October 25, 2022

On the substance of the matter, SFPD has refused and continue to refuse to disclose records that I have requested and has refused to do a search of multiple police databases and email systems.

Also, they have refused to provide in provide a written statement as to the existence, quantity, form and nature of records relating to my requests for records. I have requested such written statement from SFPD on February 28, 2022 based on section 67.21(c).

The reason why SDPD refuses to disclose records is their base and vicious racism and hatred of immigrants. We –myself, my wife and out two kids- are an immigrant family in San Francisco, and have all been harassed, intimidated, insulted with racial slurs, abused and racially profiled by SFPD multiple times. We have filed multiple complaints about SFPD with San Francisco Office of Citizens Complaints and Department of Police Accountability over the years.

SFPD is known for its racism, anti-immigrant bigotry and biased policing. SFPD officers have authored multiple racists electronic communications

SFPD is headed by racist and anti-immigrant bigot Chief William Scott and its racists and bigoted anti-immigrant policies and practices are implemented, in particular, by racist and anti-immigrant bigots Lieutenant Riley, Lieutenant Frank Lee, Officers Philamor, Marcial, Ophir, Michael Murphy.

As many immigrants in this city, we live in constant fear of SFPD , and are subject to their never ending harassment.

Having been repeatedly victimized, searched, harassed, assaulted, racially profiled, discriminated, called racist names by SFPD, we want to know what records SFPD keeps on us on us and inspect the records of our interaction with SFPD, and we have the right to do so under California Public Records Law and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

After three hearings at SOTF and Committees, the latest on October 25, 2022, SFPD started sending emails which contained references to responsive records but without disclosure of such records and ``FAQ'' comments why release will take extra time and asking me to be patient.

Before Committee hearing on Oct 25 and after SOTF hearing, SFPD sent me 30 (THIRTY!) emails on one day, September 22, 2022, all referring to some incident reports, but NOT RELEASING a SINGLE REPORT.

After Sept 22, 2022, I have not received any communication from SFPF until November 22, 2022.

On November 22, 2022, I received SEVEN emails from SFPD, only three of which contained responsive records in the form of incident reports.

The other four email received on Nov 22, 2002 contained references to responsive records but without disclosure and asking me to patient.

On November 23, 2022, I received ONE email from SFPD. It contained reference to responsive records but without disclosure and asking me to patient.

On November 29, 2022, I received FOUR email from SFPD, only one of which contained records, of which one record was responsive, one record was not responsive and contained no references either to me or my family (vehicle incident report 08101144)

There other emails that I received on Nov 29, 2022, contained reference to responsive records but without disclosure and asking me to patient.

The delay and obfuscation that SFPD practices in these email and in this mater as a whole are illegal and represent a mockery of the law.

SFPD still refuses to search multiple databases and emails systems in its custody.

The reasons for such unlawful behavior by SFPD are clear: they are, first, SFPD bigotry and personal hatred towards us as immigrants and, second, SFPD covering its racism and wrongdoing by its members that those records are evidence of.

Specifically, incident report 090943909 (attached) describes that police officers Ophir, Filamor and Marcial investigated the biting of my son by a dog that belonged to a White American woman. Although that woman admitted that her dog was off leash, the officers refused to cite the said White American Woman blaming that on me being uncooperative. This is one example of SFPD covering up its wrongdoing by refusing to disclose the records and delaying their disclosure.

The other example of SFPD covering up its wrongdoing by delaying the disclosure of records is contained in incident report 130411193 (attached). This incident report describes that I have called SFPD after an employee of University of San Francisco (their ``public safety'' employee) pointed a gun at my seven year old daughter Vera and me. The incident report states that upon arrival the officer immediately exonerated the USF concluded that my report of USF employee pointing a gun at my daughter to be false. They arrived at this conclusion by talking to USF employee herself, but without talking to me and my daughter. The incident report says that I was then detained while my daughter was crying and ascribes my daughter crying to my behavior, without providing any reason for this conclusion. The report that mocks my skin color, repeatedly referring to my skin color as red. It then mocks my insistence on my civil rights and their protection.

In argumando, even taking my alleged comments in the report as true, the report does not provide any reasonable ground for my detention.

On the contrary, the report provides evidence of SFPD bias, selective policing, focus on skin color, and unlawful detention. Covering up SFPD wrongdoing is the reason why SFPD is trying to cover up the full release of responsive records.

To summarize, SFPD should be found in violation of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and of the SOTF resolution of September 7, 2022. SFPD should be compelled to do a complete search for all responsive records, assist me in search by providing a detailed list and description of all records, exempt and non-exempt, and disclose all responsive records.

SFPD should be referred to District Attorney and California Attorney General for their persistent violation of San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records act in this matter.

Sergei Severinov

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023538-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:16:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 03:55:11 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023538-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 081011444.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023538-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:

Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF_\(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023535-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:19:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 03:37:02 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023535-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 130411193.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023535-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:

Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023536-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:26:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 03:45:14 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023536-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 090943909.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023536-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Incident Report Request :: R023548-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:14:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:20:18 PM PDT
Subject: Incident Report Request :: R023548-092222



*If you have already picked up your incident report at Police Headquarters, please disregard the automated message below.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 050954281.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023548-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed within ten (10) days in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours:
Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the [CISU Incident Report Request Portal](#).

**This is an auto-generated email and has originated from an unmonitored email account. Please
DO NOT REPLY.**

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023552-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:12:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2022 at 04:28:05 PM PDT
Subject: INCIDENT REPORT Request :: R023552-092222

FAQ: Why is it taking so long to receive a copy of my report/record?

As the result of the COVID-19 pandemic and an understaffed Unit, increased workload, and financial restrictions; CISU is currently experiencing a backlog of requests for public records. We appreciate your patience during this time. Please DO NOT make a duplicate request for a copy of your report/record. This could potentially further delay your request, it is advised you follow the steps below.

FAQ: How do I send an email asking for a follow-up on the report/record I'm still waiting for?

While on the *Incident Report Request Portal*, please click on *View My Requests* and sign in to your account. Once you have signed in, you should see a form that you are allowed to type in. In the box provided, you may type *"I would like an update on the status of my initial report requested"*. Please be patient while waiting for an email response back from CISU.

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department has received your request for Incident Report Number 212652553.

Your request was received on 9/15/2022 and given the reference number R023552-092222 for tracking purposes. Your request will be processed in the order it was received. If applicable, your request will be expedited pursuant to the statutory guidelines.

Under CA Family Code § 6228, incident reports requested by a victim or his or her representative for the alleged crimes of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, human trafficking, and abuse of an elder or dependent adult are entitled to receive copies within five (5) working days of the request, unless good cause for delay exists. If good cause exists, reports shall be released no later than ten (10) working days after request is made.

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

Thank you,

San Francisco Police Department
Crime Information Services Unit
1245 3rd Street, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94158
415-575-7232

Counter Operating Hours: Monday – Friday 8:00 am to 5:00 pm
Closed Saturday and Sunday

From: [Sergei Severinov](#)
To: [SOTF \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fw: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023534-092222
Date: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 4:09:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include the email below in the agenda package for SOTF hearing on Dec 7, 2022, case 22014

Thanks
Sergei Severinov

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: San Francisco Police Records Portal <sanfranciscopd@mycusthelp.net>
To: serolga@yahoo.com <serolga@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 at 04:08:02 PM PST
Subject: SANFRANCISCPD Service Request Updated :: R023534-092222

Attachments:
[150807817_Redacted.pdf](#)

--- Please respond above this line ---

November 22, 2022

Sergei Severinov

San Francisco, CA

RE: Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022, Reference # R023534-092222

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Police Incident Report Request, dated September 15, 2022.

Your request has been processed and is now complete. Documents/records have now been made available via the [San Francisco Public Records Center](#). I HAVE ALSO EMAIL YOU YOUR POLICE REPORT.

Incident Report Request - R023534-092222

Please Note:

If you need to request a correction to a report or add additional lost/stolen items and your Initial Report was submitted:

1. Online through CopLogic: You may submit a Supplemental Report online using CopLogic via <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-servicepolice-reports/file-police-report>
2. Phone: Call 311 (outside of San Francisco 1-415-701-2311) and relay the information over the phone.
3. Person: Go to your local police station and file a supplemental report.

To Request Photos/Videos/Statements/Evidence:

File a public records request online at <https://www.sanfranciscopolice.org/get-service/public-records-request>

RESPONDENT DOCUMENTS

February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

You requested, "*Immediate Disclosure Request*

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as ``SFPD`` in the sequel);

*This is a request for Information and disclosure under
California Public Records Act
and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.*

*I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to,
and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:*

- 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual*
- 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,*
- 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual*
- 4. Vera Severinova, an individual,*
- 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually,
and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of,
and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department*
- 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*
- 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals*
- 9. detentions of Sergei Severinov*
- 10. arrests of Sergei Severinov*
- 11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova*
- 12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova*
- 13. detentions of Ivan Severinov*
- 14. arrests of Ivan Severinov*
- 15. detentions of Vera Severinova*
- 16. arrests of Vera Severinova*
- 17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;*
- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.*

- 19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22,*

2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco

22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco

23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco

24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.

25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova."

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individuals. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

Incident Reports

The Crime Information Services Division ("CISD") is responsible for release of incident reports. If there is a specific incident report you are interested in obtaining, please submit your request via the Incident Report Request Portal. The link is below. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7226.

Incident Report Request

[https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/\(S\(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543\)\)/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3](https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543))/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3)

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

February 16, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

You requested, "*Immediate Disclosure Request*

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as ``SFPD`` in the sequel);

*This is a request for Information and disclosure under
California Public Records Act
and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.*

*I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to,
and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:*

- 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual*
- 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,*
- 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual*
- 4. Vera Severinova, an individual,*
- 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually,
and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of,
and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department*
- 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*
- 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals*
- 9. detentions of Sergei Severinov*
- 10. arrests of Sergei Severinov*
- 11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova*
- 12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova*
- 13. detentions of Ivan Severinov*
- 14. arrests of Ivan Severinov*
- 15. detentions of Vera Severinova*
- 16. arrests of Vera Severinova*
- 17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;*
- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.*

- 19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22,*

2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;

21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco

22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco

23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco

24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.

25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova."

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individuals. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

Incident Reports

The Crime Information Services Division ("CISD") is responsible for release of incident reports. If there is a specific incident report you are interested in obtaining, please submit your request via the Incident Report Request Portal. The link is below. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7226.

Incident Report Request

[https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/\(S\(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543\)\)/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3](https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543))/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3)

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

February 24, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

On February 16, SFPD acknowledged and provided a response to your Immediate Disclosure request.

On the same date, you sent a follow up response, as follows:

“Your refusal of my request is unlawful. I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions between myself and my family members and SFPD. I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021I demand that you provide all responsive records. I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure of Request for Immediate Disclosure.”

You requested, *“Immediate Disclosure Request*

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as ``SFPD`` in the sequel);

*This is a request for Information and disclosure under
California Public Records Act
and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.*

I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:

- 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual*
- 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,*
- 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual*
- 4. Vera Severinova, an individual,*
- 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department*
- 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*
- 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals*

9. *detentions of Sergei Severinov*
10. *arrests of Sergei Severinov*
11. *detentions of Olga Tikhonova*
12. *arrests of Olga Tikhonova*
13. *detentions of Ivan Severinov*
14. *arrests of Ivan Severinov*
15. *detentions of Vera Severinova*
16. *arrests of Vera Severinova*
17. *phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;*
- 18 *CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.*

19. *SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;*

20. *SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;*
21. *2149 Grove street, San Francisco*
22. *2151 Grove street, San Francisco*
23. *2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco*
24. *interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.*
25. *interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.*

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova.”

SFPD stands by its initial response of February 16. As for your request for records on the above-referenced individuals, SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

As for your requests relating to a specific incident that occurred on September 22, 2021, based on the information you provided for that incident, SFPD was unable to locate a responsive incident report. However, you may submit a Public Records request with DEM for any calls for service.

Incident Reports

The Crime Information Services Division ("CISD") is responsible for release of incident reports. For any specific incident reports you are interested in obtaining, please submit your request via the Incident Report Request Portal. The link is below. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7226.

Incident Report Request

[https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/\(S\(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543\)\)/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3](https://cisu-sanfranciscopd.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/(S(5djill5uldmu0rt0z2lda543))/SupportHome.aspx?sSessionID=208121645YQNCHDTZWQVXLJFHWDMLXPCFTZTHVQM&lp=3)

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

September 13, 2022

Via email sotf@sfgov.org

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Complaint No. 22014 - filed by Sergei Severinov v. the Police Department

Dear Sunshine Ordinance Task Force:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) is in receipt of the Determination of Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (SOTF) hearing of September 7, 2022, regarding Complaint filed by Sergei Severinov, alleging that SFPD violated Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.1, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

In response to the SOTF hearing of September 7, SFPD submits a supplemental response that was sent to Complainant on September 13, 2022.

- 1) Reference # P062599-0215222, SFPD Response, dated September 13, 2022
- 2) Public Records request, dated February 15, 2022

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

September 13, 2022

Via email serolga@yahoo.com

RE: Public Records Request, dated February 15, 2022
Reference # P062599-021522

Dear Sergei Severinov:

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) received your Public Records Act request, dated February 15, 2022.

On February 16, SFPD acknowledged and provided a response to your Immediate Disclosure request.

On the same date, you sent a follow up response, as follows:

“Your refusal of my request is unlawful. I am demanding a disclosure of all records that you have on myself and my family members, and all interactions between myself and my family members and SFPD. I am also requesting disclosure about specific actions and presence of SFPD on Grove street on Sep t 22, 2021I demand that you provide all responsive records. I will be filing a complaint against you with san francisco sunshine ordinance Task Force if you do not provide full discsloure of Request for Immediate Disclosure.”

On February 24, SFPD informed you that we stand by our initial response of February 16. As for your request for records on the above-referenced individuals, SFPD informed you that we interpreted your request for criminal history records. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq. Furthermore, as for your requests relating to a specific incident that occurred on September 22, 2021, based on the information you provided for that incident, SFPD informed you we were unable to locate a responsive incident report. However, you may submit a Public Records request with DEM for any calls for service. Finally, we provided you with instructions on how to obtain records from the Department of Emergency Management and incident reports.

SFPD is supplementing its response. You can obtain a record of your own local criminal history through the ID Bureau of the San Francisco Police Department. As for your request for any records, including arrest records on the other individuals referenced in your Public Records request, SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on those individuals. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq. Please follow the instructions provided below to obtain your own criminal history records (Clearance Letter for San Francisco County Only).

Obtain your own criminal history records/Clearance Letter

Here is the link: [Obtain a Clearance Letter | San Francisco Police Department](#)

There are 2 ways to obtain a SFPD Clearance Report:

1. In person with valid identification during business hours (Monday - Friday, excluding holidays; 8 a.m. - 5p.m.) at the San Francisco Police Department
ID Section
850 Bryant St., Room 475
San Francisco, CA 94103

You must bring a valid ID document with a photograph, such as a passport or driver's license. Expect to have your identity verified by fingerprints.

2. By mail with a notarized request

Instructions for Mail Request:

All mail requests for a criminal history/background check must be **notarized**. If the results of this check are to be released to a third party, a statement to that effect shall be included in the notarized document. It must give the person's legal name, date of birth, and include the number from a valid form of identification such as a driver's license. It should state that you give permission to release the information that you requested to the individual.

Your request must also include all of the following information:

- Full legal name and all other names you have used.
- Your correct date of birth and any other D.O.B. you have used.
- Your correct social security number and any others that you may have used.
- Your current driver's license or state ID card number.

Your request containing all of the above information must be sent along with a **self addressed, stamped envelope** to the following address:

San Francisco Police Department

ID Section

850 Bryant St., Room 475

San Francisco, CA 94103

Fee: None

For questions, please call 415-553-1415

Public Records request

You requested, *“Immediate Disclosure Request*

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as ‘SFPD’ in the sequel);

This is a request for Information and disclosure under California Public Records Act and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:

SFPD is providing an additional supplemental response, dated September 13, 2022:

1. You requested, *“Sergei Severinov, an individual,”*

On March 17, SFPD provided you with the above instructions on how to obtain your own criminal history. In addition, SFPD interprets your request for incident reports.

Incident Reports

Crime Information Services Division (“CISD”) is responsible for release of incident reports. Your request for incident reports is being processed by CISD. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7232.

2. You requested, *“Olga Tikhonova, an individual,”*

SFPD interprets your request for incident reports.

Incident Reports

Crime Information Services Division (“CISD”) is responsible for release of incident reports. Your request for incident reports is being processed by CISD. For further questions regarding the release of incident reports, please contact CISD at (415) 575-7232.

3. You requested, *“Ivan Severinov, an individual”*

SFPD was unable to locate responsive records.

4. You requested, *“Vera Severinova, an individual,”*

Please refer to item 1.

6. You requested, *“any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department”*

Please refer to item nos. 1 through 4 for responsive information.

7. Questions 7 and 8 are consolidated. You requested, *“any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;*

8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals.”

Response to item nos. 7 and 8:

Please refer to item nos. 1 through 4 for responsive information.

9. Questions 9 and 10 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Sergei Severinov”*

10. arrests of Sergei Severinov”

Response to item nos. 9 and 10:

Please refer to item 1.

11. Questions 11 and 12 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Olga Tikhonova”*

12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova”

Response to item nos. 11 and 12:

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individual. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

13. Questions 13 and 14 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Ivan Severinov”*

14. arrests of Ivan Severinov”

Response to item nos. 13 and 14:

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individual. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

15. Questions 15 and 16 are consolidated. You requested, *“detentions of Vera Severinova”*

16. arrests of Vera Severinova”

Response to item nos. 15 and 16:

SFPD interprets your request for criminal history records on the above-referenced individual. That information is protected from public disclosure pursuant to Penal Code § 11105 et. seq.

17. Questions 17 and 18 are consolidated. You requested, *“phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;”*

18. *CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.”*

Response to item nos. 17 and 18:

SFPD interprets your requests as asking for calls for service.

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

19. Questions 19 through 23 are consolidated. You requested, *“SFPD officers’ Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;”*

20. *SFPD officers’ Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;”*

Is 2151 grove fall under address above?

21. *2149 Grove street, San Francisco*

22. *2151 Grove street, San Francisco*

23. *2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco”*

Response to item nos. 19 through 23:

SFPD was able to locate responsive information for item 22. Please contact DEM for responsive records.

DEM/911 Records

For responsive information regarding calls for service, including the non-emergency line, 911 calls, and Computer Assisted Dispatch (CAD) records, please contact the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), a separate agency of the City and County of San Francisco. DEM is located at 1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 558-3826. Please find attached, a DEM Request for Dispatch Records for your convenience, which you may complete and submit to DEM directly, <http://sfdem.org/>.

24. You requested, *“interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.”*

SFPD was unable to locate responsive records.

25. You requested, *“interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.”*

SFPD was unable to locate responsive records. We interpret your request for incident reports that originate out of the San Francisco International Airport. To obtain incident reports, you may contact the San Mateo County Hall of Justice at 650.363.4525.

If you have any questions, please contact the Legal Division at (415) 837-7394.

Thank you for your courtesy in this regard.

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141
Risk Management - Legal Division

Public Records Request (P062599-021522)

▼ Public Records Request Details

Department: Legal

Category of Records: Public Records Request

Describe the Record(s)
Requested: Immediate Disclosure Request

To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as "SFPD" in the sequel);

This is a request for Information and disclosure under California Public Records Act and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following:

1. Sergei Severinov, an individual
2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual,
3. Ivan Severinov, an individual
4. Vera Severinova, an individual,
6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department
7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals;
8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals
9. detentions of Sergei Severinov
10. arrests of Sergei Severinov
11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova
12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova
13. detentions of Ivan Severinov
14. arrests of Ivan Severinov
15. detentions of Vera Severinova
16. arrests of Vera Severinova
17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals;
- 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals.
19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco;
21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco
22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco
23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco
24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park.
25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport.

For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews.

Please provide complete records on all of the above items.

Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417

Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova

Incident Number:	<i>if known</i>
Police Officer(s) Involved:	<i>if known</i>
Time of Incident:	<i>specify AM or PM, if known</i>
Date Range From:	<i>if applicable</i>
Date Range To:	<i>if applicable</i>
Preferred Method to Receive Records:	Electronic via Records Center

▼ Public Records Archive Summary

Will you post this request to the online archive?:

> Create an Activity to Retrieve Records

> Send for Review

> Time Extension

> Denials, Exemptions & Redaction Codes

> Cost Estimate/Payment Details

> Clarification

▼ Trending – Internal Use Only

Trend As: (select all that apply)

Body Cam Video Included:

Total Number of Videos
requested:

Total length of all the videos
requested: Please enter this value in MINUTES

Total Number of Videos Pending:

Total length of all videos pending: Please enter this value in MINUTES

▼ Message History

Date

On 2/15/2022 11:01:49 AM, System Generated Message:

Date

Subject: San Francisco Police Public Records Request :: P062599-021522

Body:



Dear Sergei Severinov:

Thank you for your interest in public records of the San Francisco Police Department ("SFPD").

The San Francisco Police Department ("SFPD") received your request, dated February 15, 2022 and given the reference number **P062599-021522** for tracking purposes.

Record(s) Requested: *Immediate Disclosure Request To: San Francisco Police Department (referred to as SFPD" in the sequel); This is a request for Information and disclosure under California Public Records Act and San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. I hereby request that you provide all records about, and/or related to, and/or pertaining to, and/or mentioning, and/or concerning, and/or dealing with the following: 1. Sergei Severinov, an individual 2. Olga Tikhonova, an individual, 3. Ivan Severinov, an individual 4. Vera Severinova, an individual, 6. any interactions between the said four individuals, collectively and/or individually, and current and/or past members of, and/or agents of, and/or officers of, and/or affiliates of, and/or informants of San Francisco Police Department 7. any and all investigations related to or involving the said four individuals; 8. any and all complaints related to and or involving the said four individuals 9. detentions of Sergei Severinov 10. arrests of Sergei Severinov 11. detentions of Olga Tikhonova 12. arrests of Olga Tikhonova 13. detentions of Ivan Severinov 14. arrests of Ivan Severinov 15. detentions of Vera Severinova 16. arrests of Vera Severinova 17. phone and other calls involving or related to the said four individuals; 18 CAD files and/or CAD files numbers related to or involving the said four individuals. 19. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell actions, and/or inactions on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco; 20. SFPD officers' Tarbox and Mitchell interactions with individuals on or about September 22, 2021 on Grove street (between Masonic and Shrader streets) in San Francisco; 21. 2149 Grove street, San Francisco 22. 2151 Grove street, San Francisco 23. 2149-2151 Grove street, San Francisco 24. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco Golden Gate Park. 25. interactions between any or all of the said four individuals and the members of SFPD in San Francisco International airport. For the purposes of this request, records include, but are not limited to all things, papers, files, notes, reports, emails, notes, memoranda, files, electronic files, computer files, phone files, transcripts, materials, items, video and audio recordings, photos, evidence, photographic, audio, and video evidence, transcripts and/or recordings of interviews. Please provide complete records on all of the above items. Please, send these records to us by email to serolga@yahoo.com or by mail to 2151 Grove Street, San Francisco, CA, 94417 Sergei Severinov and Olga Tikhonova*

Your request is being forwarded to the appropriate department(s) for processing and you will be notified once the request is complete.

You can monitor request progress at the link below. Thank you for using the San Francisco Public Records Center.

San Francisco Police Department

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

On 2/15/2022 11:01:47 AM, Sergei Severinov wrote:

Request Created on Public Portal

▼ Request Details

Reference No: P062599-021522
Create Date: 2/15/2022 11:01 AM
Update Date: 2/15/2022 3:09 PM
Completed/Closed: No
Required Completion Date: 2/16/2022

Status: Assigned
Priority: Medium
Assigned Dept: Legal
Assigned Staff: Briseida Walton

Customer Name: Sergei Severinov
Email Address: serolga@yahoo.com
Phone:
Group: (Not Specified)

Source: Web

From: [SOTF. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Sergei Severinov](#); [Reilly, Lynn \(POL\)](#); [Library Users Association](#); [Lambert, Michael \(LIB\)](#); [Shaub, Margot \(LIB\)](#); [LIZA murawski](#); ["anne@conard.org"](#); [Stiliyan Bejanski](#); [Lew, Debra \(TTX\)](#); [Pojman, Natalie \(DPH\)](#); [Hawkes, Alison \(DPH\)](#)
Cc: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [mark.price.wolf@sfcityatty.org](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Bcc: [myankee.sotf@gmail.com](#); [jenn.sotf@gmail.com](#); [Chris Hyland](#); [Jaya SOTF Padmanabhan](#); [lstein.sotf@gmail.com](#); [Bruce Wolfe](#); [lilalahood.sotf@gmail.com](#); [DSchmi4@aol.com](#)
Subject: SOTF - Notice of Appearance, December 7, 2022 - Sunshine Ordinance Task Force; 4:00 PM; Remote Meeting
Date: Tuesday, November 22, 2022 2:36:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[SOTF - Complaint Procedure 2019-10-02 FINAL.pdf](#)

Good Afternoon:

You are receiving this notice because you are named as a Complainant or Respondent in the following complaints scheduled before the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force to: 1) hear the merits of the complaint; 2) issue a determination; and/or 3) consider referrals from a Task Force Committee. ***Please confirm your attendance.***

Date: December 7, 2022

Location: Remote Meeting

Time: 4:00 p.m.

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance, the custodian of records or a representative of your department, who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.

Complaints:

File No. 22014: Complaint filed by Sergei Severinov against Lt. Lynn Reilly and the Police Department for allegedly violating Administrator Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21(b), by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

File No. 21165: Complaint filed by Peter Warfield, Executive Director (Library Users Association), against City Librarian Michael Lambert and the San Francisco Public Library for allegedly violating Administrator Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Sections 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

File No. 22021: Hearing Regarding Request for Reconsideration of Complaint No. 21101: Complaint filed by Liza Murawski against the Department of Public Health for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.15 and 67.21, by failing to provide meeting minutes upon request and failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner. Hearing on the complaint to consider a request to grant reconsideration.

File No. 21126: Complaint filed by Stiliyan Bezhanski against Debra Lew and the Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector (TTX) for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.24, 67.25, 67.26, 67.27, and 67.29, by failing to respond to an Immediate Disclosure Request in a timely and/or complete manner, failing to keep withholdings to a minimum, failing to provide justification for withholdings, and failing to provide an index of records.

File No. 22084: Complaint filed by Stiliyan Bezhanski against Debra Lew and the Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector for allegedly violating Administrative Code

(Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21 and 67.25, and California Government Code, Section(s) 6253(c), by failing to respond to an Immediate Disclosure Request in a timely and/or complete manner.

For inclusion in the agenda packet, supplemental/supporting documents must be received by **5:00 PM, December 1, 2022.**

Cheryl Leger
Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors
Tel: 415-554-7724



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*