BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-5184
Fax No. 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Youth Commission

FROM: Derek Evans, Assistant Clerk

DATE: July 16, 2015

SUBJECT: REFERRAL FROM BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The Board of Supervisors has received the following legislation. At the request of the Youth Commission, this item is being referred as per Charter Section 4.124 for comment and recommendation. The Commission may provide any response it deems appropriate within 12 days from the date of this referral.

File No. 150683

Resolution establishing a Board of Supervisors policy that police staffing levels be adjusted through a multidisciplinary process involving multiple departments and community stakeholders and directing the Budget and Legislative Analyst to review public policy best practices for evaluating police staffing levels.

Please return this cover sheet with the Commission's response to Derek Evans , Clerk , Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee .	
****************	***************
RESPONSE FROM YOUTH COMMISSION	Date:
No Comment	
Recommendation Attached	
	Chairperson, Youth Commission

Youth Commission Referral 11/7/07

[Establishing a Multidisciplinary Process for Determining Police Staffing]

Resolution establishing a Board of Supervisors policy that police staffing levels be adjusted through a multidisciplinary process involving multiple departments and community stakeholders and directing the Budget and Legislative Analyst to review public policy best practices for evaluating police staffing levels.

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Police Department is charged with preserving the public peace, preventing and detecting crime, and protecting the rights of persons and properties by enforcing the laws of the United States, the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Police Department has 1,730 sworn active duty officers down from 1,951 sworn active duty officers in May 2010; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Board of Supervisors approves the annual budget, that sets the appropriation for the budget for the Police Department, which includes determining the number and size of annual Police academy classes; and

WHEREAS, In 1994, the voters of San Francisco passed Proposition D, a Charter amendment that established a police staffing level of not fewer than 1,971 full duty sworn officers; and

WHEREAS, In 1994, the population of San Francisco was 742,316; and WHEREAS, In 2014, the population of San Francisco was estimated to be 841,138, which is an increase of 98,822 since 1994, or 13.3%; and

WHEREAS, Statistics from the California Department of Justice show that between 1994 and 2013, despite this increase in population, the overall number of crimes in San

Francisco have decreased, with violent crimes decreasing by 34.8%, homicides decreasing by 47.2%, robberies decreasing by 36.6%, and property crimes decreasing by 5.3%; and

WHEREAS, In 2008, the Police Executive Research Forum (PERF) published a report in which it developed a Vision Statement for the city's Police Department and recommendations to implement this Vision Statement, which included an emphasis on community policing and problem-solving policing in the department's approach to crimefighting; and

WHEREAS, The PERF report's recommendations to achieve the goals of this vision statement included a range of staffing levels for sworn personnel of between 1,839 and 2,254 officers, when San Francisco's population was 798,680; and

WHEREAS, The PERF report states that "the target for patrol staffing should balance the work that needs to be performed against the resources a jurisdiction has available for patrol services;" and

WHEREAS, The PERF report's upper limit recommendation of 2,254 officers was intended to allow officers time for "heavy involvement . . . in community policing and problem solving, especially during "prime time" community policing hours from 11:00 a.m. through 9:00 p.m. Monday through Thursday. These prime time hours allow officers to attend community meetings, work with community organizations, meet with business people, and contact other governmental agencies;" and

WHEREAS, San Francisco's police staffing goals should reflect its current and future needs, not the needs of the city from 20 years ago; and

WHEREAS, A report by the San Francisco Controller in 2015 found that from 2004 to 2014, the sworn police staffing per 100,000 residents in San Francisco has decreased by 13 percent; and

WHEREAS, In a comparison with peer cities, San Francisco was found to have the second highest total crime per 100,000 residents, trailing only Oakland, and also was found to trail several jurisdictions, including Washington DC, Chicago, Philadelphia, Newark, and Boston, in the ratio of sworn and civilian police staffing per 100,000 for both residents and daytime population; and

WHEREAS, The Controller report found that San Francisco has 0.14 civilians for every one sworn officer, which is less than half the national average for cities with populations over 350,000; and

WHEREAS, In a comparison with peer cities, San Francisco was found to have a slightly lower number of high-priority calls for service per sworn office, and San Francisco's violent crime rate falls in the middle of its peers and is only slightly above the national average for cities with populations over 350,000; and

WHEREAS, San Francisco is a dynamic urban environment with evolving needs and a growing population; and

WHEREAS, New neighborhoods have been built or are in the process of being built in previously non-residential or low density areas, including but not limited to Treasure Island, Candlestick Point, the Hunters Point Shipyard, and Visitacion Valley; and

WHEREAS, These new neighborhoods will require more city services, including police patrols and response commensurate to those required by existing neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, To rely on a static minimum staffing number disregards the changing needs of an evolving urban environment; and

WHEREAS, The International Association of Chiefs of Police's "Patrol Staffing and Deployment Study" state that "Ratios, such as officers-per-thousand population, are totally inappropriate as a basis for staffing decisions Defining patrol staffing allocation and

deployment requirements is a complex endeavor which requires consideration of an extensive series of factors and a sizable body of reliable, current data;" and

WHEREAS, The Department of Justice's Office of Community Oriented Policing
Services (COPS) and the Michigan State University School of Criminal Justice's report "A
Performance-Based Approach to Police Staffing and Allocation" states that the disadvantages
of a per-capita approach to police staffing include "its failure to address how officers spend
their time, the quality of their efforts, and community conditions, needs, and expectations.
Given these disadvantages and others, experts strongly advise against using population rates
for determining police-staffing needs;" and

WHEREAS, The International City/County Management Association created a "Patrol Workload & Deployment Analysis System" to help policy makers make police staffing decisions based on multiple variables including workload, administrative tasks, and the size and number of patrol beats; and

WHEREAS, The University of North Texas Department of Criminal Justice developed a "Model for the Allocation of Patrol Personnel" that uses 35 input values to determine the number of patrol officers needed to accomplish six performance objectives:

- Answering calls for service;
- Meeting response time goals;
- Optimizing visibility in the community;
- Having a patrol unit available to immediately respond to an emergency;
- Providing officers ample time to perform self-initiated activities;
- Allowing officers sufficient time to perform administrative activities; now,
 therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that a police staffing policy goal should be based on a multidisciplinary process involving multiple departments and community

stakeholders, informed by multiple factors, including current population and need, projected city and population growth, crime statistics, police workload and response time, community policing needs and practices—including practices that legitimize police officers in the eyes of the community—and balanced by budgetary constraints and the needs of other vital City services; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the Budget and Legislative Analyst to review public policy best practices for evaluating police staffing levels.